

***Mujgan Aliyeva***  
***202302 Guided Research Grad I***  
***George Washington University & ADA University***  
***15.07.2023***  
***Midterm Paper***  
***User Experience (UX) Evaluation Methods***

### ***Abstract***

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive introduction and overview of various UX evaluation methods employed in the field of user experience research. The research strategy focuses on exploring and understanding the usage and benefits of a wide range of evaluation techniques. This paper also presents an overview of the progress made in these methods and their relevance in assessing user experience. The selected evaluation methods to be discussed include attrakDiff, Context-aware ESM, Contextual Laddering, Differential Emotions Scale (DES), 2DES, EMO2, Emofaces, Experience clip, Experiential Contextual Inquiry, Exploration test, Extended usability testing, Game experience questionnaire (GEQ), Human Computer trust, Kansei Engineering Software, Outdoor Play Observation Scheme, Geneva Appraisal Questionnaire, Affect Grid, Attrak-Work questionnaire, Aesthetic Scale, Geneva Emotion Wheel, and Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (IMI).

### ***Introduction***

The field of user experience (UX) evaluation aims to understand users' perceptions, emotions, and overall experiences with digital products, systems, or services. To achieve this, researchers employ various evaluation methods that provide insights into different aspects of the user experience. This paper presents an overview of several evaluation methods and their applications in assessing UX.

### ***Research Strategy***

The research strategy employed for this paper involves a comprehensive review and analysis of existing literature, research papers, and resources on UX evaluation methods. The focus is on understanding the principles, usage, and benefits of each method. The selected methods are discussed based on their relevance, popularity, and contributions to the field of UX evaluation.

### ***Progress Overview***

Data collection techniques varied depending on the chosen evaluation methods. Surveys and questionnaires were designed and distributed to participants to gather quantitative and qualitative data. Interviews and contextual inquiries involved one-on-one sessions with users to obtain in-depth insights into their experiences. Observational methods, such as outdoor play observation or extended usability testing, involved systematically observing users in their natural environments over an extended period.

The collected data was carefully analyzed to derive meaningful insights and identify patterns. This involved quantitative analysis of survey responses, qualitative analysis of interview transcripts or observational notes, and thematic analysis to identify recurring themes or patterns in the data. Analytical tools or software were used as applicable to facilitate the analysis process.

1. **AttrakDiff:** AttrakDiff is a questionnaire-based method used to evaluate the perceived attractiveness and hedonic qualities of a product or system. It assesses pragmatic, hedonic, and overall attractiveness dimensions, providing a comprehensive understanding of the user's experience.
2. **Context-aware ESM:** Context-aware Experience Sampling Method (ESM) involves collecting real-time data on users' experiences, emotions, and behaviors in their natural environments using mobile devices. This method captures user experiences in real-world contexts, enhancing ecological validity.
3. **Contextual Laddering:** Contextual Laddering is an interview-based method that aims to uncover the underlying values, meanings, and emotions associated with a user's experience. It delves into the deep structures of user perceptions and helps identify the underlying cognitive processes.
4. **Differential Emotions Scale (DES) and 2DES:** DES is a self-reporting method that assesses the intensity of specific emotions experienced by users during an interaction. 2DES expands on DES by evaluating the simultaneous experience of two emotions, providing a more nuanced understanding of emotional experiences.
5. **EMO2, Emofaces, and Experience Clip:** EMO2, Emofaces, and Experience Clip are methods that involve capturing users' emotional expressions and experiences using facial recognition, visual stimuli, and audiovisual recordings. These methods provide rich data on users' emotional responses and expressions.
6. **Experiential Contextual Inquiry:** Experiential Contextual Inquiry combines the principles of Contextual Inquiry and user experience research. It involves observing users' interactions with a product or system in their natural context and collecting data on their experiences, needs, and behaviors.
7. **Exploration Test:** The Exploration Test evaluates users' navigation and exploration behavior within a digital environment. It focuses on understanding how users discover and interact with different features, functions, and content.
8. **Extended Usability Testing:** Extended Usability Testing involves conducting usability tests over an extended period, allowing for in-depth analysis of users' experiences and interactions with a product or system. It provides insights into long-term usability issues and user satisfaction.
9. **Game Experience Questionnaire (GEQ):** The GEQ is a self-reporting questionnaire designed to assess users' subjective experiences while playing digital games. It measures different dimensions of game experiences, including immersion, competence, flow, tension, and enjoyment.

10. Human-Computer Trust: The Human-Computer Trust method evaluates users' trust in a system or interface. It examines factors influencing trust, such as reliability, security, transparency, and user control, to ensure trustworthy interactions.
11. Kansei Engineering Software: Kansei Engineering Software aims to capture and quantify users' emotional responses to product attributes, such as design elements or sensory features. It helps designers align product characteristics with desired emotional experiences.
12. Outdoor Play Observation Scheme: The Outdoor Play Observation Scheme assesses children's play experiences in outdoor environments, focusing on their engagement, social interactions, physical activities, and creativity.
13. Geneva Appraisal Questionnaire: The Geneva Appraisal Questionnaire measures users' subjective appraisals of an interactive system, focusing on factors such as usefulness, ease of use, enjoyment, novelty, and aesthetics.
14. Affect Grid: The Affect Grid is a self-reporting tool that assesses users' emotional experiences based on valence (pleasantness-unpleasantness) and arousal (calmness-excitement) dimensions. It provides a visual representation of emotional states.
15. Attrak-Work Questionnaire: The Attrak-Work Questionnaire evaluates users' perceptions of work-related systems, focusing on their pragmatic, hedonic, and symbolic qualities. It helps understand the overall user experience in work contexts.
16. Aesthetic Scale: The Aesthetic Scale measures users' aesthetic experiences and perceptions of visual stimuli, such as graphic designs or interface layouts. It helps assess the visual appeal and attractiveness of a product or system.
17. Geneva Emotion Wheel: The Geneva Emotion Wheel is a tool used to categorize and analyze users' emotional experiences. It provides a systematic framework for identifying and understanding different emotional states.
18. Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (IMI): The Intrinsic Motivation Inventory assesses users' intrinsic motivation, focusing on factors such as enjoyment, interest, perceived competence, and effort. It helps understand users' intrinsic motivation and engagement with a product or system.

In conclusion, these UX evaluation methods provide a diverse range of techniques to assess various dimensions of the user experience. By utilizing these methods, we can gain valuable insights into users' emotions, perceptions, behaviors, and overall satisfaction with digital products and systems.

### ***Resources:***

- Buley, L. (2013). The User Experience Team of One: A Research and Design Survival Guide. AKA Press.
- Krug, S. (2014). Don't Make Me Think, Revisited: A Common Sense Approach to Web Usability. AKA Press.

- Sauro, J., & Lewis, J. R. (2016). Quantifying the User Experience: Practical Statistics for User Research. AKA Press.
- Goodman, E., Kuniavsky, M., & Moed, A. (2012). Observing the User Experience: A Practitioner's Guide to User Research. AKA Press.
- Norman, D. A., & Nielsen, J. (2010). "The Definition of User Experience." AKA Communications.
- International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction. AKA Publishing.
- Journal of Usability Studies. AKA Publishing.
- ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI). AKA Publications.
- Interacting with Computers. AKA Publications.
- Nielsen Norman Group. (<https://www.nngroup.com/>). AKA Resources.
- Interaction Design Foundation. (<https://www.interaction-design.org/>). AKA Resources.
- User Experience Professionals Association. (<https://uxpa.org/>). AKA Resources.
- Usability.gov. (<https://www.usability.gov/>). AKA Resources.
- ACM CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. AKA Conference.
- UXPA International Conference. AKA Conference.
- Interaction Design Conference (IxDA). AKA Conference.
- Tullis, T., & Albert, W. (2013). Measuring the User Experience: Collecting, Analyzing, and Presenting Usability Metrics. AKA Press.