

Informal Notes about PROOFS

• What is a PROOF?

A proof is a finite logical sequence of TRUE statements culminating in the statement for which a proof was required.

(Thus the conclusion is also TRUE)

• What types of Statements are acceptable (admissible) in a PROOF?

In this case

- A statement is a sentence that could be either TRUE or FALSE. Sentences like 'command' are not acceptable.

- Statements which are acceptable as TRUE are:

- (1) Assumptions or Hypotheses.
- (2) Definitions
- (3) Previously known results
- (4) Statements which logically follow from earlier statements in the sequence.

Note: Most likely errors in proofs occur in (4).

Typical Propositional Forms

(1) Implication: This is the most common form.

Symbolic Notation: $p \Rightarrow q$

Language Expression: If p , then q
OR p implies q .

(2) The Biconditional:

Symbolic Notation: $p \Leftrightarrow q$

Language Expression: p if and only if q
OR: p is equivalent to q

Note: This is a way to combine two implications. It means $p \Rightarrow q$ and $q \Rightarrow p$
(The converse of $p \Rightarrow q$)

Types of Proofs (for $p \Rightarrow q$):

(1) Direct Proof:

$p \rightarrow$ True (Assumption): Then a logical sequence of true statement follows.

$\left. \begin{array}{l} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{array} \right\}$ (All True)

$q \rightarrow$ The end: True
(Conclusion)

(2) Contrapositive Proof:

- The contrapositive of $p \Rightarrow q$ is

$$\sim q \Rightarrow \sim p \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{The symbol } \sim \text{ stands for} \\ \text{negation or not.} \end{array} \right)$$

Now $p \Rightarrow q$ and $\sim q \Rightarrow \sim p$ are equivalent.
(By a Theorem of Logic)

This provides another type of proof

We start with: $\sim q \rightarrow \text{True}$ (Assumption)

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{array} \right\} \text{ (All True)}$$

$$\sim p \rightarrow \text{True} \quad (\text{The desired conclusion})$$

Conclusion: Proving $\sim q \Rightarrow \sim p$ yields a
proof of $p \Rightarrow q$

(3) Proof BY WAY OF CONTRADICTION (BWOC):

Here we prove p and $\sim q \Rightarrow r$

(Notation: $p \wedge \sim q$ ($\wedge \equiv \text{and}$))

where r is some proposition known
to be false (NOT TRUE).

Thus we start with:

$p \rightarrow \text{True}$ (By the given assumption)

$\sim q \rightarrow$ New assumption \otimes
(Introduced by the Prover)

$r \rightarrow$ follows from the proof

$$\sim p \rightarrow \text{True (Previously known)}$$

So, r and $\sim r$ is true which is not possible.

Thus there is something wrong in the proof.

The only possible place for error is the assumption $\textcircled{*}$. Thus $\sim q$ is false.

So, q is true and hence $p \Rightarrow q$ is true
which is what we wanted.

SOME TIPS FOR WRITING PROOFS

(1) Be clear about

(1) Be clear about what is given (assumptions/hypotheses)

- what is given (assumption)
- and • What is the desired conclusion (to be proved)

You can write:

GIVEN

RTP (Required to be proved)

at the start of the proof

- This is particularly necessary for 'if and only if' propositions.

(2) Pay attention to notation:

- Write down what each symbol stands for.
- Do not introduce a new symbol without stating what it stands for.
- Do not use the same symbol for two different objects.
- Clearly distinguish vectors and scalars.

(3) Check that each statement (step) in the proof is legitimate.

Note: A common error is to write a statement which is not a known result. It may be false or just as hard to prove as the desired conclusion.

(4) Use short simple statements as steps in the proof: with explanation if necessary (put in brackets if appropriate).

(5) Use precise mathematical language and symbols/equations as far as possible.

(6) Objectives of the proof:

Every proof is written for a certain class of readers and it has to be appropriate for them.

e.g. (1) Proofs in journal articles: By

experts for experts : Brief with many steps left out.

(2) Text books and Lecture notes: For learners:

— Usually steps are not left out and there are extra explanations

(3) By a student in a test: Your aim is to convince the examiner that you have understood the logic. Do not leave gaps and cite used results explicitly.

