



# TLM, Monitor, Scoreboard & Environment Integration

---



## Objective

Build a clear understanding of how **data moves inside a UVM testbench** from sequences → drivers → monitors → TLM connections → scoreboard — and how all of them fit together inside the environment.

---



## TLM Basics & Monitor Integration

---



### 1 Transaction-Level Modeling (TLM)

- ♦ **What is TLM?**

- UVM's communication mechanism for **class-based components**.
- Instead of connecting **signals**, components exchange **transactions (objects)**.

- ♦ **Why use TLM?**

- Easier to connect UVM classes (driver, monitor, scoreboard).
  - Promotes **modularity** and **reuse** — no signal-level dependencies.
-

## TLM Port Types

Port Type	Direction	Blocking?	Typical Use Case
put_port	→	Blocking	Producer → Consumer
get_port	←	Blocking	Consumer → Producer
peek_port	←	Blocking	Observe without removal
analysis_port	→	Non-Blocking	Broadcast from monitor → scoreboard/coverage

---

## Common TLM Classes in UVM

Class	Meaning	Used In
uvm_analysis_port	Publisher → calls <code>write()</code>	Monitor
uvm_analysis_export	Middleman bridge	Scoreboard
uvm_analysis_import	Implementation sink	Scoreboard

### Direction Rule

`analysis_port` → `analysis_export` → `analysis_imp`

---

### ♦ Virtual Interface vs TLM Connections

Feature	Virtual Interface	TLM Connection
Connects to	DUT signals (pins)	UVM class components
Type	Physical signal-level	Transaction-level
Used By	Driver, Monitor	Monitor, Scoreboard, Coverage

Data Type	Logic bits	Objects ( <code>counter_item</code> , etc.)
-----------	------------	---

---

## 2 Monitor Implementation (Observer in UVM)

### Why Monitor is Passive

- It **never drives** signals; only **watches** them.
- Works like a **CCTV camera** observing DUT activity.

### Typical Steps

1. Get virtual interface from config DB.
2. Sample DUT signals every clock.
3. Create a transaction (`counter_item`).
4. Publish it using `ap.write(tr);`

### Example

```
class counter_monitor extends uvm_monitor;
  `uvm_component_utils(counter_monitor)
  virtual counter_if vif;
  uvm_analysis_port#(counter_item) ap;

  function new(string name, uvm_component parent);
    super.new(name, parent);
    ap = new("ap", this);
  endfunction

  task run_phase(uvm_phase phase);
    counter_item tr;
    forever begin
      @(posedge vif.clk);
      tr = counter_item::type_id::create("tr");
      tr.reset = vif.reset;
      tr.enable = vif.enable;
```

```
    tr.count  = vif.count;
    ap.write(tr);
end
endtask
endclass
```

---

## ✖ 3 TLM FIFO (`uvm_tlm_analysis_fifo`)

### 💡 Purpose

A **buffer** between monitor and scoreboard when outputs arrive later than inputs.

### ⚙ Example

```
uvm_tlm_analysis_fifo#(counter_item) fifo;
mon.ap.connect(fifo.analysis_export);
fifo.get(sb.tr);
```

### 🧠 When to Use

Scenario	Recommended Connection
Real-time checks (immediate)	Direct <code>ap.write()</code>
Multi-cycle delays / async DUT	<code>uvm_tlm_analysis_fifo</code> buffer

---



# Scoreboard Design & Environment Integration

---

## 1 Scoreboard Architecture & Checker Logic

### Role

Verify that the DUT output matches the expected behavior.

### Typical Flow

```
Input Monitor → Scoreboard (expected_q)  
Output Monitor → Scoreboard (actual_q)
```

```
counter_item expected_q[$];  
counter_item actual_q[$];
```

### Compare Logic

```
function void compare_results();  
    if (expected_q.size() && actual_q.size()) begin  
        counter_item exp = expected_q.pop_front();  
        counter_item act = actual_q.pop_front();  
        if (exp.count == act.count)  
            `uvm_info("SB", $sformatf("MATCH count=%0d", act.count),  
UVM_LOW)  
        else  
            `uvm_error("SB", $sformatf("MISMATCH exp=%0d act=%0d",  
exp.count, act.count))  
    end  
endfunction
```

---

### Reference Model vs Simple Checker

Type	Description	Example
Simple Checker	Direct compare expected ↔ actual	Counter
Reference Model	Generates expected outputs algorithmically	ALU, FIFO, DSP

---

## 2 Integrating Multiple Agents (e.g., UART + FIFO)

### Why

Multi-interface SoCs have more than one data source.

All monitors must feed the same scoreboard through different TLM exports.

### Connection Example

```
uart_agt.mon.ap.connect(sb uart_export);  
fifo_agt.mon.ap.connect(sb fifo_export);
```

Each export maps to its own `write(<type>)` method inside the scoreboard.

---

## 3 Environment Integration with TLM

### Goal

Combine everything → **sequence** → **driver** → **monitor** → **TLM** → **scoreboard**.

### Hierarchy

```
uvm_test_top  
└── counter_test  
    └── counter_env  
        ├── counter_agent  
        │   ├── counter_driver  
        │   ├── counter_monitor  
        │   └── counter_sequencer  
        └── counter_scoreboard
```

### Phases Overview

Phase	Purpose	Example
<b>build_phase</b>	Instantiate components	<pre>agt = counter_agent::create("agt", this);</pre>
<b>connect_phase</b>	Wire TLM connections	<pre>agt.mon.ap.connect(sb.analysis_ex port);</pre>

**run\_phase** Execute stimulus & time flow `seq.start(env.agt.seqr);`

---

## ❖ Full Data Flow

Sequence → Sequencer → Driver → DUT

↓  
Monitor

↓  
Scoreboard (via TLM)

---

## ✓ Key Takeaways

Concept	Summary
<b>TLM</b>	Class-to-class communication using transactions
<b>Monitor</b>	Passive observer sampling DUT pins
<b>Scoreboard</b>	Compares expected vs actual results
<b>FIFO</b>	Buffers data when DUT output is delayed
<b>Environment</b>	Brings everything together (build → connect → run)

---

## ✓ Conclusion:

TLM connects the UVM world. Monitors observe, drivers stimulate, and scoreboards check - all linked by TLM in the environment through build, connect, and run phases.