CIT4224 INTERNET AND WEB PUBLISHING
TERM 2520
EVALUATION RUBRIC

1. WEBSITE (15 marks)

Criteria	Excellent (3 marks)	Good (2 marks)	Satisfactory (1 mark)	Poor (0 mark)
Completeness of Required Pages	Contains all required pages for both User and Admin roles with all functions implemented. At minimum, all 20 pages listed in the assignment guideline are properly implemented	Most required pages included with minor missing pages (less than 5, combine user and admin)	Several pages missing or incomplete (5 and more, combine user and admin)	Many required pages missing. (10 and more, combine user and admin)
Form Implementation	All forms complete and functional User : 1. Contact form 2. Sign-up form 3. Sign-in form 4. Booking form 5. Payment form 6. Rating form Admin: 1. Sign-in form	Forms mostly complete. (more than 5 completed forms, combine)	Some fields missing or weak structure. (3 and more weak structure forms, combine user and admin)	Forms missing or non-functional. (3 and more missing or non-functional forms, combine user and admin

Criteria	Excellent (3 marks)	Good (2 marks)	Satisfactory (1 mark)	Poor (0 mark)
Technical Requirements	Fully meets all 8 technical requirements listed AND CSS properly implemented.	Meets most requirements. (6 and above requirements AND CSS properly implemented)	Meets minimal requirements. (4 and above requirements AND CSS properly implemented)	Does not meet requirements. (3 and below requirements and poor CSS implementation)
Navigation & User Flow	Very clear, intuitive, smooth flow, all links functional.	Navigation mostly clear with minor issues.	Confusing flow or broken links.	Poor navigation, unclear structure.
Additional Features	Inclusion of JavaScript features AND additional features or pages.	Inclusion of JavaScript features OR additional features or pages.	Inclusion of additional features or pages WITHOUT inclusion of JavaScript features	No JavaScript AND additional features or pages

2. REPORT (20 marks)

Criteria	Excellent (2.5 marks)	Good (2 marks)	Satisfactory (1 mark)	Poor (0 mark)
Cover Page	Uses the given template perfectly. Arrangement is neat and information is complete.	Template used with minor formatting issue. (arrangement is off) Information is complete	Template used but contains formatting issues and information is incomplete.	Missing, incorrect or poorly formatted.
Table of Contents	Complete, auto-generated, correctly numbered, easy to navigate.	Mostly complete with few minor errors (numbering, alignment)	Basic and not auto-generated, some missing sections and incorrect numbering.	Missing or very poorly formatted.
Task Distribution Form and Project Evaluation Form	Fully completed, accurate, and well-organized.	Completed with 1 missing form	Partially completed and 1 missing form	Incomplete or missing 2 forms
Introduction	Very clear, engaging and provides strong context for the project.	Clear introduction with good explanation.	Basic introduction, lacks detail.	Weak, unclear, or missing.
Objectives / Purpose of the Website	Clear, specific, well-stated objectives, directly aligned with the project.	Good explanation but slightly general.	Basic objectives, minimal detail.	Vague, unclear or missing.
Screenshots of All Pages	All pages included, labeled, and well-presented.	Most pages included with minor missing pages (2 or less pages)	Some screenshots missing or unlabeled (3 or more pages)	Many missing or unclear (5 or more pages

Criteria	Excellent (2.5 marks)	Good (2 marks)	Satisfactory (1 mark)	Poor (0 mark)
References / Bibliography	Proper formatting	Mostly correct formatting, minor errors (3 or less incorrect formatting)	Basic list, formatting inconsistent.	Very few sources, incorrect format or missing.
Group Meeting Reports (At least 4)	More than four reports included, detailed and clear information.	Four reports included with some missing information (date, place, discussion topic)	2 or more reports included but lacking detail.	Very incomplete or missing.

3. VIDEO PRESENTATION (10 marks)

Criteria	Excellent (2 marks)	Good (1.5 marks)	Satisfactory (1 mark)	Poor (0 mark)
Group Introduction	Clear, confident, engaging.	Clear introduction but less engaging.	Basic introduction.	Missing.
Website Objectives	Very clear with detailed explanation included.	Mostly clear with brief explanation included.	Only read the objectives point by point without explanation	Missing.
Demonstration	Smooth, complete, professional.	Good demo.	Partial demo.	Poor demo.
Presentation Quality	High audio/video quality.	Good quality.	Adequate.	Poor quality.
Team Participation	All members involved.	Most members involved. (Two or above)	Uneven participation. (One or below)	Members missing.

4. PEER EVALUATION (5 marks)

Criteria	Excellent (1 mark)	Good (0.5 mark)	Satisfactory (0.25 mark)	Poor (0 mark)
Contribution Level	Exceeded expectations.	Contributed well.	Minimal contribution.	No contribution.
Teamwork	Proactive, reliable.	Mostly reliable.	Some issues.	Poor teamwork.
Attendance	Present in all meetings.	Missed few.	Frequently absent.	Rarely attended.
Task Completion	All tasks completed.	Most completed.	Some incomplete.	None completed.
Communication	Uses respectful tone, responds promptly and acknowledges others' opinions	Mostly respectful tone, usually responds on time, actively listen	Casual tone, delayed responses, listens inconsistently.	Frequently inappropriate tone, very delayed or no response, poor listening