Data Cleaning

Fundamental Techniques in Data Science



Kyle M. Lang

Department of Methodology & Statistics Utrecht University

Outline

Missing Data

Outliers



Data Cleaning

When we receive new data, they are generally messy and contaminated by various anomalies and errors.

- One of the first steps in processing a new set of data is *cleaning*.
- By cleaning the data, we ensure a few properties:
 - The data are in an analyzable format.
 - All data take legal values.
 - Any outliers are located and treated.
 - Any missing data are located and treated.



MISSING DATA



What are Missing Data?

Missing data are empty cells in a dataset where there should be observed values.

 The missing cells correspond to true population values, but we haven't observed those values.



What are Missing Data?

Missing data are empty cells in a dataset where there should be observed values.

 The missing cells correspond to true population values, but we haven't observed those values.

Not every empty cell is a missing datum.

- Quality-of-life ratings for dead patients in a mortality study
- Firm profitability after the company goes out of business
- Self-reported severity of menstrual cramping for men
- Empty blocks of data following "gateway" items

Missing Data Pattern

Missing data (or response) patterns represent unique combinations of observed and missing items.

• P items $\Rightarrow 2^P$ possible patterns.

	Χ	Υ
1	Х	у
2	Χ	
3		У
4		

Patterns for P = 2

	Χ	Υ	Z
1	Х	у	Z
2	Χ	У	
3	Х		Z
4		У	Z
5	Х		
6			Z
7		У	
8			

Patterns for P = 3

Nonresponse Rates

Percent/Proportion Missing

- The proportion of cells containing missing data
- Should be computed for each variable, not for the entire dataset

Attrition Rate

 The proportion of participants that drop-out of a study at each measurement occasion

Covariance Coverage

- The proportion of cases available to estimate a given pairwise relationship (e.g., a covariance between two variables)
- Very important to have adequate coverage for the parameters you want to estimate

We can calculate basic response rates with simple base R commands.

```
## Load some example data:
data(boys, package = "mice")

## Compute variable-wise proportions missing:
mMat <- is.na(boys)
mMat %>% colMeans() %>% round(3)

age hgt wgt bmi hc gen phb tv reg
0.000 0.027 0.005 0.028 0.061 0.672 0.672 0.698 0.004
```


```
## Compute observation-wise proportions missing:
pmRow <- rowMeans(mMat)</pre>
## Summarize the above:
range(pmRow)
[1] 0.0000000 0.7777778
range(pmRow[pmRow > 0])
[1] 0.1111111 0.7777778
median(pmRow)
[1] 0.3333333
## Compute the proportion of complete cases:
mean(pmRow == 0)
[1] 0.2981283
```

We can use routines from the **mice** package to calculate covariance coverage and response patterns.

```
## Compute the covariance coverage:
cc <- mice::md.pairs(boys)$rr / nrow(boys)</pre>
## Check the result:
round(cc, 2)
    age hgt wgt bmi hc gen phb tv reg
age 1.00 0.97 0.99 0.97 0.94 0.33 0.33 0.3 1.00
hgt 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.92 0.32 0.32 0.3 0.97
wgt 0.99 0.97 0.99 0.97 0.94 0.32 0.32 0.3 0.99
bmi 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.97 0.91 0.32 0.32 0.3 0.97
   0.94 0.92 0.94 0.91 0.94 0.33 0.33 0.3 0.93
phb 0.33 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.3 0.33
   reg 1.00 0.97 0.99 0.97 0.93 0.33 0.33 0.3 1.00
```

```
## Range of coverages:
range(cc)
[1] 0.2994652 1.0000000
range(cc[cc < 1])
[1] 0.2994652 0.9959893
## How many coverages fall below some threshold?
(cc[lower.tri(cc)] < 0.7) %>% sum()
[1] 21
```

```
## Compute missing data patterns:
pats <- mice::md.pattern(boys)</pre>
```



```
pats
                 hgt bmi hc gen phb
223
19
437
43
16
                   0
                   0
                   0
                             503 503 522 1622
```


```
## How many unique response patterns?
nrow(pats) - 1
[1] 13

## What is the most commond response patterns?
maxPat <- rownames(pats) %>% as.numeric() %>% which.max()
pats[maxPat, ]
age reg wgt hgt bmi hc gen phb tv
    1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 3
```

Visualizing Incomplete Data

The **ggmice** package provides some nice ways to visualize incomplete data and objects created during missing data treatment.


```
library(ggmice); library(ggplot2)
ggmice(boys, aes(wgt, hgt)) + geom_point()
```



Visualizing Incomplete Data

We can also create a nicer version of the response pattern plot.


```
plot_pattern(boys, rotate = TRUE)
```



Visualizing Incomplete Data

The **naniar** package also provides some nice visualization and numerical summary routines for incomplete data.

naniar::gg_miss_upset(boys)



OUTLIERS



What is an outlier?

We're only considering *univariate outliers*.

- Extreme values with respect to the distribution of a variable's other observations
 - A human height measurement of 3 meters
 - A high temperature in Utrecht of 50°
 - Annual income of €250,000 for a student
- Not accounting for any particular model (we'll get to that later)

What is an outlier?

A univariate outlier may, or may not, be an illegal value.

- Data entry errors are probably the most common cause.
- Outliers can also be legal, but extreme, values.

Key Point: We choose to view an outlier as arising from a different population than the one to which we want to generalize our findings.



Finding Univariate Outliers

We have many methods available to diagnose potential outliers.

- Today, we'll only discuss two of the simplest:
 - 1. Z-score method
 - 2. Tukey's boxplot method



Z-Score Method

For each observation, X_n , we compute the following quantity:

$$Z_n = \frac{X_n - \overline{X}}{SD_X}$$

- Z_n represents the distance between X_n and the sample mean in standard deviation units.
- Assuming a large sample, if $T_n > C$ (where C is usually 3), we label X_n as an outlier.



Z-Score Method

For each observation, X_n , we compute the following quantity:

$$Z_n = \frac{X_n - \overline{X}}{SD_X}$$

- Z_n represents the distance between X_n and the sample mean in standard deviation units.
- Assuming a large sample, if $T_n > C$ (where C is usually 3), we label X_n as an outlier.

Although simple (and popular), this method has some substantial limitations.

- The logic of the filtering rule assumes a normally distributed variable.
- Both \overline{X} and SD_X are highly sensitive to outliers.

We can implement the z-score method via the scale() function.

```
## Compute the absolute standardized residuals:
z <- boys %$% scale(bmi) %>% abs()
## Which observations are potential outliers?
which(z > 3)
[1] 544 574 608 610 668 708 716 733
## View the potentially outlying cases:
boys \%\% filter(z > 3)
    age hgt wgt bmi hc gen phb tv reg
1 14.967 174.1 88.0 29.03 54.4
                                G3 P4 10 east
2 15.493 182.5 102.0 30.62 57.7 <NA> <NA> NA west
3 16.090 180.6 90.4 27.71 58.8 <NA> <NA> NA south
4 16.156 194.3 113.0 29.93 58.4
                                G3 P5 6 north
5 17.749 174.0 94.9 31.34 56.3 G5 P5 25 West
6 19.060 180.8 93.8 28.69 55.8 G4 P5 15 south
7 19.290 180.9 94.4 28.84 58.6 <NA> <NA> NA south
8 19.926 192.3 117.4 31.74 57.6
                                G5 P6 18 north
```

Breakdown Point

To compare robust statistics, we consider their breakdown points.

• The breakdown point is the minimum proportion of cases that must be replaced by ∞ to cause the value of the statistic to go to ∞ .

The mean has a breakdown point of 1/N.

• Replacing a single value with ∞ will produce an infinite mean.

The deletion mean has a breakdown point of 2/N.

 We can replace, at most, 1 value with ∞ without producing an infinite mean.


The median has breakdown point of 50%.

• We can replace n < N/2 of the observations with ∞ without producing an infinite median.

Boxplot Method

Tukey (1977) described a procedure for flagging potential outliers based on a box-and-whiskers plot.

- Does not require normally distributed X
- Not sensitive to outliers



Boxplot Method

A *fence* is an interval defined as the following function of the *first quartile*, the *third quartile*, and the *inner quartile range* ($IQR = Q_3 - Q_1$):

$$F = \{Q_1 - C \times IQR, Q_3 + C \times IQR\}$$

- Taking C = 1.5 produces the inner fence.
- Taking C = 3.0 produces the *outer fence*.

We can use these fences to identify potential outliers:

- Any value that falls outside of the inner fence is a *possible outlier*.
- Any value that falls outside of the outer fence is a probable outlier.

We can implement the boxplot method via boxplot.stats() .

```
## Find potentially outlying cases:
(out <- boys %$% boxplot.stats(bmi, coef = 3)$out)
[1] 30.62 31.34 31.74
## Which observations are potential outliers?
boys %$% which(bmi %in% out)
[1] 574 668 733
## View the potentially outlying cases:
boys %>% filter(bmi %in% out)
          hgt wgt bmi hc gen phb tv reg
    age
1 15.493 182.5 102.0 30.62 57.7 <NA> <NA> NA west
2 17.749 174.0 94.9 31.34 56.3 G5 P5 25 West
3 19,926 192.3 117.4 31.74 57.6 G5 P6 18 north
```

Nominal, ordinal, and binary items *can* have outliers.

 Outliers on categorical variables are often more indicative of bad variables than outlying cases.



Nominal, ordinal, and binary items can have outliers.

• Outliers on categorical variables are often more indicative of bad variables than outlying cases.

Ordinal

- Most participant endorse one of the lowest categories on an ordinal item, but a few participants endorse the highest category.
- The participants who endorse the highest category may be outliers.



Nominal, ordinal, and binary items can have outliers.

 Outliers on categorical variables are often more indicative of bad variables than outlying cases.

Ordinal

- Most participant endorse one of the lowest categories on an ordinal item, but a few participants endorse the highest category.
- The participants who endorse the highest category may be outliers.

Nominal

 Groups with very low membership may be outliers on nominal grouping variables.

Nominal, ordinal, and binary items can have outliers.

• Outliers on categorical variables are often more indicative of bad variables than outlying cases.

Ordinal

- Most participant endorse one of the lowest categories on an ordinal item, but a few participants endorse the highest category.
- The participants who endorse the highest category may be outliers.

Nominal

 Groups with very low membership may be outliers on nominal grouping variables.

Binary

If most endorse the item, the few who do not may be outliers.

If we locate any outliers, they must be treated.

- Outliers cause by errors, mistakes, or malfunctions (i.e., error outliers) should be directly corrected.
- Labeling non-error outliers is a subjective task.
 - A (non-error) outlier must originate from a population separate from the one we care about.
 - Don't blindly automate the decision process.



If we locate any outliers, they must be treated.

- Outliers cause by errors, mistakes, or malfunctions (i.e., *error outliers*) should be directly corrected.
- Labeling non-error outliers is a subjective task.
 - A (non-error) outlier must originate from a population separate from the one we care about.
 - Don't blindly automate the decision process.

The most direct solution is to delete any outlying observation.

 If you delete non-error outliers, the analysis should be reported twice: with outliers and without.

For univariate outliers, we can use less extreme types of deletion.

- Delete outlying values (but not the entire observation).
- These empty cells then become missing data.

Winsorization:

Replace the missing values with the nearest non-outlying value.

Missing data analysis:

 Treat the missing values along with any naturally-occurring nonresponse.

We can also use robust regression procedures to estimate the model directly in the presence of outliers.

- Weight the objective function to reduce the impact of outliers
 - M-estimation
- Trim outlying observations during estimation
 - Least trimmed squares, MCD, MVE
- Take the median, instead of the mean, of the squared residuals
 - Least median of squares
- Model some quantile of the DV's distribution instead of the mean
 - Quantile regression
- Model the outcome with a heavy-tailed distribution
 - Laplacian, Student's T

References

Tukey, J. W. (1977). *Exploratory data analysis* (Vol. 2). Reading, MA: Addison–Wesley.

