

Name1: All present Y/N Name 2: **All contribute to best of ability** Y/N Name 3: Name 4: Name 5: If No, schedule for agreed division of 100%:

What set out to do, how, why, what achieved, initial concept and how evolved (8)	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
Lessons learned. What each person learned and how they might contribute differently now. (8)	
Credible networked consensus service that offers clear potential for a meaningful contribution or advance towards a credible challenge/goal/purpose. (5)	
Well-considered solution to a problem of your choice that can make a meaningful difference in that specific space. Identify the aspect or facet of the situation and its needs and requirements that you plan to target to advance capacity in. (6)	
Identify the networking/communication aspects of your planned approach and solution. (2) . Number of local entities/nodes (nodes within your group) communicated with. Number of foreign entities/nodes (nodes outside your group) communicated with. Describe the protocol you followed. What runs where? And why? (4)	
<p>Demo: Demonstrate scalable, credible consideration and implementation of a scalable and distributed system model. (10)</p> <p>Demo: Launches, does something close to described: (10) a-Interoperate and reach consensus with the protocol of at least one other group in the class (20) b- AT LEAST 4 nodes using your specific code for demo i.e. at least 4 separate processes or instantiations (different port for each), ALL of which should participate in communication and consensus with EVERY other group you communicate with. (12: 4x3) c-*4 marks will be awarded for demonstrating successful interoperation (2) and consensus (2) with each group you successfully and effectively communicate with, up to a maximum of 12 marks for these interactions (3 other groups – (2+2) x 3</p> <p>Demonstrate: Discover : (10) ; Negotiate: (15); Agreement: (15); Action: (10);</p> <p>Code: (8) What runs where, why and how: Environment and suitability:</p> <p>Comms/Networking: (8) Local/remote/both: Communication between them demonstrated: Multiple nodes/processes launched:</p> <p>Development: (10) Platforms chosen, why, and alternatives: Third party choices, and why: How much can be seen to be as claimed:</p> <p>Performance – comment on objectively: (10)</p>	

Start time: Presentation : 6mins max; Live demo: 4 mins max; Questions 5 mins min.
You will incur a 5% cumulative penalty for each 30 seconds you overrun in each or any of the above timings.

Alternative scenario, how approach, what reuse from present and why, what different and why, strengths and weaknesses of direct reuse of current solution:

Challenges addressed and insight from demo into quality of solution and its performance:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Specific considerations:

Broader contexts:

Bonus tasks (if you wish to present them)

Overall notes:

Equal contributions?

2 sentence summary of how and why your proposal offers a credible solution/advance?

Demo slots booked:

Wednesday	Group	Collaborating groups
11:00		
11:20	8	18,2,10
11:45	15	12,14,19
12:05	12	14,15,19
12:30		
14:00	3	4,20
14:25	20	3,x,y
14:50	19	15,14,12
15:25	9	6,7,13,21
16:30	7	6,9,13,21
16:55	13	6,7,9,21
Thursday		
11:00	2	18,8,10
11:40	17	1,5,11
12:20	5	1,17,11
14:00		
14:20	11	1,5,17
14:45	1	5,11,17
15:20	18	2,8,10
16:30	21	6,7,9,13
16:50	6	7,9,13,21

Start time: Presentation : 6mins max; Live demo: 4 mins max; Questions 5 mins min.

You will incur a 5% cumulative penalty for each 30 seconds you overrun in each or any of the above timings.