

Group 1



Abou Dehn, Omar O. - Alexandru, Emilian E.M. - Drășutis, Evaldas E. -
Glaser, Max M.P.F. - Snobl, Jindra J.W. - Somsen, Duarte D.



1


2

3

Checkpoint 3 Sprint C 25-05-2021



⚠ No feedback submitted.



Write a summary of what you discussed with your teacher...

Post Feedback

Checkpoint 2 Sprint B 16-04-2021



⚠ No feedback submitted.



Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher) a month ago

- Good Sprint B review and Sprint C planning meeting was held (April 21). Everybody was present in the meeting. Chair/presenting roles were rotated. The team has been working well on understanding the environment and clarifying the requirements from the client and making gradually - in small steps - good progress having a good research attitude. Research was done on the ASolutions API and Data. Class Diagram was reviewed by the client and has been updated.

- Good meeting minutes were created throughout the duration of the sprint.

- For Sprint C it would be a good target to have an updated project plan and to create a solution document (architecture document) containing the requirements, user stories or use cases and a high level design (possibly using the theory from the C4 Model) and to have an implementation plan for Sprint D.

- Also TICT document needs to be part of sprint C and a one page report on Cultural Awareness. Make sure you also update the self / peer reviews in FeedPulse after each sprint.

Checkpoint 1 Sprint A 07-04-2021



No feedback submitted.

**Koehorst, Michiel M.W.** (Teacher) 2 months ago

- Good sprint A review and sprint B planning meeting was held. Everybody was present in the meeting. Good presentation. For next sprint review meeting (and other meetings) it would be good to rotate the chair/presenting roles or arrange it in a way that everybody of the team contributes to the meeting. Client was positively surprised by the class diagram and proactive approach (research on context / stakeholders). The diagram helps in discussing the solution outline. Next step is to work on the user stories.
- A notes / meeting minutes document was created with some good, informative points. Document could be made more professional by having a more clear structure, e.g. adding the participants of the meeting, date/time/location/MSteams, discussion points, decisions and action items.
- Feedback regarding the project plan: Overall it is still a draft version, some sections like e.g. the context, the strategy are described very briefly; Fill in version history; 1.5 Research: Some more research questions could be given; 1.6 End products: PBS to be done; 2.1 Stakeholders: add Client stakeholders and Fontys tutor, for planning purposes: the expectation is that you spend two days per week per person (so about 16 hours per week per person) on this project; 2.2 Communication: Add participants of the weekly meetings and that minutes will be created; Chapters 3, 4 and 5 to be updated. Make a clear planning for sprint B: which tasks are planned? who does the task? when? what is the estimated effort?
- Individually: fill in the peer tab in feedpulse (Use the Peers tab in the Feedpulse tool to indicate your current state and contribution to the project. Also do this for your groupmates.)