Magic without Tears

By Aleister Crowey

Get any book for free on: www.Abika.com

1

MAGICK WITHOUT TEARS

2

Complete and Unabridged, edited with a Foreword by Karl J. Germer $\,$

2

(c) 1954 Karl J. Germer for Ordo Templi Orientis

Renewed 1982

(c) BLURB

Ordo Templi Orientis

JAF Box 7666

New York, NY 10116 USA

3

FOREWORD

In 1943 Aleister Crowley met a lady who, having heard of his wide $\,$

knowledge and experience, asked his advice on occult, spiritual, and practical matters.

This chance connection resulted in a stimulating exchange of letters.

Crowley then asked others to put similar questions to $\mathop{\text{him}}\nolimits.$ The result

was this collection of over eighty letters which are now being issued $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

over the title that he chose, "MAGICK WITHOUT TEARS".

Crowley did not keep copies of his early letters to the above-mentioned

lady, so was unable to include them in the collection that he planned

to publish. Fortunately they have been preserved and are now included $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

in the introduction to this book. Their original form has been retained

with the opening and closing formulae which Crowley used in all his letters.

Crowley at first intended to call the book "ALEISTER EXPLAINS EVERYTHING",

and sent the following circular to his friends and disciples asking them $\,$

to suggest subjects for inclusion.

ALEISTER EXPLAINS EVERYTHING.

"Much gratified was the author of THE BOOK OF THOTH to have so

many letters of appreciation, mostly from women, thanking $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \, dx$

not 'putting it in unintelligible language', for 'making it all

so clear that even $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ with $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ limited intelligence can understand

it, or think I do.'

"Nevertheless and notwithstanding! For many years the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Master}}$

Therion has felt acutely the need of some groundwork-teaching

suited to those who have only just begun the study of Magick and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

its subsidiary sciences, or are merely curious about it, or interested in it with intent to study. Always he has done his

utmost to make his meaning clear to the average intelligent edu-

cated person, but even those who understand $\mbox{him perfectly}$ and are

most sympathetic to his work, agree that in this respect he has

often failed.

"So much for the diagnosis --- now for the remedy!

"One genius, inspired of the gods, suggested recently that the $\,$

riddle might be solved somewhat on the old and well-tried lines

of 'Dr. Brewer's Guide to Science'; i.e., by having aspirants

write to the Master asking questions, the kind of problem that

naturally comes into the mind of any sensible enquirer, and getting

his answer in the form of a letter. 'What is it?' 'Why should I bother my head about it?' 'What are it's principles?' 'What use is it?' 'How do I begin?', and the like.

"This plan has been put into action; the idea has been to cover

the subjects from every possible angle. The style has been collo -

quiel and fluent; technical terms have either been carefully

avoided or most carefully explained; and the letter has not been

4

admitted to the series until the querent has expressed satisfaction.

Some seventy letters, up to the present have been written, but still

there seem to be certain gaps in the demonstration, like those white

patches on the map of the World, which looked so tempting fifty years ago.

"This memorandum is to ask for your collaboration and support. $\ensuremath{\mathtt{A}}$

list, indicating briefly the subject of each letter already written,

is appended. Should you think that any of those will help you in

your own problems, a typed copy will be sent to you at once \dots

Should you want to know anything outside the scope, send in your $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

question (stated as fully and clearly as possible) \dots The answer

should reach you, bar accidents, in less than a month \dots It is

proposed ultimately to issue the series in book form."

This has now been done.

Karl J. Germer
Frater Saturnus Xø
Frater Superior, O.T.O.

January, 1954 e.v. Hampton, N.J.

5

I N T R O D U C T I O N

LETTERS WRITTEN BY MASTER THERION TO A STUDENT

Letter No. A

March 19, 1943

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law

I was very glad to gather from your conversation yesterday afternoon that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

Get any book for free on: www.Abika.com

you have a serious intention of taking up the Great Work in the proper

spirit. Your criticisms of previous experience in the course of your ad-

ventures appeared to be singularly sane and just. As I promised I am

writing this letter to cover a few practical points which we had not time

to discuss and which in any case I think it better to arrange by correspondence.

1) It is of the first importance that you should understand my personal

position. It is not actually wrong to regard me as a teacher, but it

is certainly liable to mislead; fellow-student, or, if you like, fellow-

sufferer, seems a more appropriate definition.

The climax of my life was what is known as the Cairo Working, described in

the minutest detail in the Equinox of the Gods. At that time most of The

Book of the Law was completely unintelligible to me, and a $\operatorname{\mathsf{good}}$ deal of it

- especially the third chapter - extremely antipathetic. I fought against

this book for years; but it proved irresistible.

I do not think I am boasting unfairly when I say that $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ personal researches

have been of the greatest value and importance to the study of the subject

of Magick and Mysticism in general, especially my integration of the vari-

ous thought-systems of the world, notably the identification of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{system}}$

of the Yi King with that of the Qabalah. But I do assure you that the whole $\,$

of my life's work, were it multiplied a thousand fold, would not be worth

one tithe of the value of a single verse of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{The}}$ Book of the Law.

I think you should have a copy of the Equinox of the $\operatorname{\mathsf{Gods}}$ and $\operatorname{\mathsf{make}}$ The

Book of the Law your constant study. Such value as $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ own work $\ensuremath{\mathsf{may}}$ possess

for you should amount to no more than an aid to the interpretation of this book.

2) It may be that later on you will want a copy of Eight Lectures on Yoga

so I am putting a copy aside for you in case you should want it.

3) With regard to the O.T.O., I believe I can find you a typescript of

all the official documents. If so, I will let you have them to read,

and you can make up your mind as to whether you wish to affiliate to the

Third Degree of the Order. I should consequently, in the case of your de-

ciding to affiliate, go with you though the script of the Rituals and ex-

plain the meaning of the whole thing; communicating, in addition, the real

secret and significant knowledge of which ordinary Masonry is not possessed

4) The horoscope; I do not like doing these at all, but it is part of the

agreement with the Grand Treasurer of the 0.T.O. that I should under- $\,$

take them in worthy cases, if pressed. But I prefer to keep the figure to

myself for future reference, in case any significant event makes consulta- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

tion desirable.

б

Now there is one really important matter. The only thing besides $\ensuremath{\mathsf{The}}$ Book

of the Law which is in the forefront of the battle. As I told you yester- $\,$

day, the first essential is the dedication of all that one is and all that $\ensuremath{\text{c}}$

one has to the Great Work, without reservation of any sort. This must be

kept constantly in mind; the way to do this is to practice Liber Resh vel

Helios, sub figura CC, pp. 425-426 - Magick. There is another version

of these Adorations, slightly fuller; but those in the text are quite al-

right. The important thing is not to forget. I shall have to teach you $\,$

the signs and gestures which go with the words.

It is also desirable before beginning a formal meal to go through the fol-

lowing dialogue: Knock 3-5-3: say, "Do what thou wilt shall be the whole

of the Law." The person at the other end of the table replies: "What is thy Will?" You: "It is my Will to eat and drink." He: "To what end?" You: "That my body may be fortified thereby." He: "To what end?" You: "That I may accomplish the Great Work." He: "Love is the law, love under will." You, with a single knock: "Fall to." When alone make a monologue of it: thus, Knock 3-5-3. Do what, etc. It is my Will to, etc., that my body, etc., that I may, etc., Love is, etc. Knock: and begin to eat. It is impossible to exaggerate the importance of performing these small ceremonies regularly, and being as nearly accurate as possible with regard to the times. You must not mind stopping in the middle of a crowded thoroughfare --- lorries or no lorries --- and saying the Adorations; and you must not mind snubbing your quest --- or your host --- if he or she should prove norant of his or her share of the dialogue. It is perhaps because these matters are so petty and trivial in appearance that they afford so excellent a training. They teach you concentration, mindfulness, moral and social courage, and a host of other virtues. Like a perfect lady, I have kept the tit bit to the last. It is absolutely essential to begin a magical diary, and keep it up daily. You begin by an account of your life, going back even before your birth to your ancestry. In conformity with the practice which you may perhaps choose to adopt later, given in Liber Thisarb, sub figura CMXIII, paragraphs 27-28, Magick, pp. 420-422, you must find an answer to the question: "How did I come to be in this place at this time, engaged in this particular work?" As you will see from the book, this will start you on the discovery of who you really are, and eventually lead you to your recovering the memory of previous incarnations.

As it is difficult for you to come to Town except at rare and irregular $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

intervals, may I suggest a plan which has previously proved very useful,

and that is a weekly letter. Eliphas L,vi did this with the Baron Spedalieri,

and the correspondence is one of the most interesting of his works. you

ask such questions as you wish to have answered, and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ answer them to the

best of my ability. I, of course, add spontaneous remarks which may be

elicited by my observations on your progress and the perusal of your magi-

cal diary. This, of course, should be written on one side of the paper

only, so that the opposite page is free for comments, and an arrangement

should be made for it to be inspected at regular intervals.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

7

666

Letter No. B

April 20, 1943

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law

I was very glad to have your letter, and am very sorry to hear that you

have been in affliction. About the delay, however, I think I ought to tell

you that the original Rule of the Order of A.'. A.'. was that the introducer $\,$

read over a short lection to the applicant, then left him alone for a quar-

ter of an hour, and on coming back received a "yes" or "no." If there was

any hesitation about it the applicant was barred for life.

The reason for the relaxation of the rule was that it was thought better

to help people along in the early stages of the work, even if there was no hope of their turning out first-class. But I should like you to realize that sooner or later, whether in this incarnation or another, it is put up to you to show perfect courage in face of the completely unknown, and the power of rapid and irrevocable decision without without counting the cost. I think that it is altogether wrong to allow yourself to be worried by "psychological, moral, and artistic problems." It is no good your starting anything of any kind unless you can see clearly into the simplicity of truth. All this humming and hawing about things is moral poison. What is the use of being a woman if you have not got an intuition, an instinct enabling you to distinguish between the genuine and the sham? Your state of mind suggests to me that you must have been, in the past, under the influence of people who were always talking about things, and never doing any real work. They kept on arguing all sorts of obscure philosophical points; that is all very well, but when you have succeeded in analyzing your reactions you will understand that all this talk is just an excuse for not doing any serious work. I am confirmed in this judgment by your saying: "I don't know if I want to enter into a great conflict. I need peace." Fortunately you save yourself by adding: "Real peace, that is living and not stagnant." All life is conflict. Every breath that you draw represents a victory in the struggle of the whole Universe. You can't have peace without perfect mastery of circumstance; and I take it that this is what you mean by "living, not stagnant." But it is of the first consequence for you to summon up the resolution to stamp on this sea of swirling thoughts by an act of will; you must say:

"Peace be still." The moment you have understood these

they are, tools of the enemy, invented by him with the idea

thoughts for what

of preventing

you from undertaking the Great Work --- the moment you dismiss all such considerations firmly and decisively, and say: "What must I do?" and having discovered that, set to work to do it, allowing of no interruption, you will find that living peace which (as you seem to see) is a dynamic and not a static condition. (There is quite a lot about this point in Little Essays Toward Truth, and also in The Vision and the Voice.)

Your postscript made me smile. It is not a very good advertisement for the

8

kind of people with whom you have been associated in the past. My own position is a very simple one. I obeyed the injunction to "buy a perfectly black hen, without haggling." I have spent over 100,000

pounds of my in-

herited money on this work: and if I had a thousand times that amount to- $\,$

day it would all go in the same direction. It is only when one is built $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

in this way, to stand entirely aloof from all considerations of two pence $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

halfpenny more or fourpence halfpenny less, that one obtains perfect free- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

dom on this Plane of Discs.

All the serious Orders of the world, or nearly all, begin by insisting that

the aspirant should take a vow of poverty; a Buddhist Bhikku, for example,

can own only nine objects - his three robes, begging bowl, a fan, tooth-

brush, and so on. The $\operatorname{\text{\bf Hindu}}$ and $\operatorname{\text{\bf Mohammedan}}$ Orders have similar regulations;

and so do all the important Orders of monkhood in Christianity.

Our own Order is the only exception of importance; and the reason for this $\frac{1}{2}$

is that it is much more difficult to retain one's purity if one is living

in the world than if one simply cuts oneself off from it. It is far easier

to achieve technical attainments if one is unhampered by any such considera-

tions. These regulations operate as restrictions to one's usefulness in $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2,3,\ldots \right\}$

helping the world. There are terrible dangers, the worst dangers of all,

associated with complete retirement. In my own personal judgment, moreover,

I think that our own ideal of a natural life is much more wholesome.

When you have found out a little about your past incarnations, you should

be able to understand this very clearly and simply.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

Letter No. C

April 30, 1943

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law

Thank you for your long letter of no date, but received two days ago. I am

very sorry you are still feeling exhausted. I am not too good myself, for $\,$

I find this weather very trying. I will answer your various points as best $\bar{}$

I can.

I am arranging to send you the official papers connected with the 0.T.O., but

the idea that you should meet other members first is quite impossible. Even

after affiliation, you would not meet anyone unless it were necessary for

you to work in cooperation with them. I am afraid you have still got the $\,$

idea that the Great Work is a tea-party. Contact with other students only

means that you criticize their hats, and then their morals; and I am not

going to encourage this. Your work is not anybody else's; and undirected

chatter is the worst poisonous element in human society.

When you talk of the "actual record" of the "Being called Jesus Christ," ${\tt I}$

don't know what you mean. I am not aware of the existence of any such re- $\,$

cord. I know a great many legends, mostly borrowed from previous legends of a similar character.

9

It would be better for you to get a copy of the Equinox of the Gods and

study it. The Great Work is the uniting of opposites. It may mean the $\,$

uniting of the soul with $\ensuremath{\mathsf{God}},$ of the microcosm with the macrocosm, of the

female with the male, of the ego with the non-ego $\operatorname{---}$ or what not.

By "love under will" one refers to the fact that the method in every case $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$

is love, by which is meant the uniting of opposites as above stated, such

as hydrogen and chlorine, sodium and oxygen, and so on. Any reaction what-

ever, any phenomenon, is a phenomenon of "love", as you will understand

when I come to explain to you the meaning of the word "point-event". But

love has to be "under will," if it is to be properly directed. You must

find your True Will, and make all your actions subservient to the one great purpose.

Rahoor is the Sun God; Tahuti is the Egyptian Mercury; Kephra is the Sun at midnight.

About your problems; what I have to do is to try to teach you to think

clearly. You will be immensely stimulated by having all the useless $\operatorname{trim-}$

mings stripped from your thinking apparatus. For instance, I don't think

you know the first principles of logic. You apparently take up a more or

less Christian attitude, but at the same time you like very much the idea $\,$

of Karma. You cannot have both.

The question about money does not arise. This old and very good rule (which

I have always kept) was really pertinent to the time when there were actual

secrets. But I have published openly all the secrets. All I can do is to

train you in a perfectly exoteric way. My suggestion about the weekly

letter was intended to exclude this question, as you would be getting $\ensuremath{\mathsf{full}}$

commercial value for anything paid.

Your questions about the Spirit of the Sun, and so on, are to be answered

by experience. Intellectual satisfaction is worthless. I have to bring

you to a state of mind completely superior to the mechanism of the normal $\ensuremath{\mathsf{mind}}\xspace.$

A good deal of your letter is rather difficult to answer. You always seem $\,$

to want to put the cart before the horse. Don't you see that, if I were

trying to get you to do something or other, I should simply return you to

the kind of answer which I thought would satisfy you, and make you happy?

And this would be very easy to do because you have got no clear ideas a-

bout anything. For one thing, you keep on using terms about whose signifi-

cance we are not yet in agreement. When you talk about the "Christian

path," do you believe in vicarious atonement and eternal damnation $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$ or

don't you? A great deal of the confusion that arises in all these ques- $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\}$

tions, and grows constantly worse as fellow-students talk them over --- the $\,$

blind leading the blind --- is because they have no idea of the necessity

of defining their terms.

Then again, you ask me questions like "What is purity?" that can be an-

swered in a dozen different ways; and you must understand what is meant $\ensuremath{\mathsf{w}}$

by a "universe of discourse." If you asked me --- "Is this sample of clo-

ride of gold a pure sample?" I can answer you. You must understand the

value of precision in speech. I could go on rambling about purity and

selflessness for years, and no one would be a penny the better.

P.S. --- or rather, I did not want to dictate this bit. --- Your ideas about

the O.T.O. remind me of some women's idea of shopping. You want to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$

10

maul about the stock and then walk out with a proud glad smile: NO. Do you really think that I should muster all the most distinguished people $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{$

The affiliation clause in our Constitution is a privilege: a

courtesy to a sympathetic body. Were you not a Mason, or Co-Mason, you

would have to be proposed and seconded, and then examined by savage Inquisitors; and

then --- probably --- thrown out on to the garbage heap. Well, no, it's not

as bad as that; but we certainly don't want anybody who chooses to apply.

Would you do it yourself, if you were on the Committee of a Club? The

 $\ensuremath{\text{O.T.O.}}$ is a serious body, engaged on a work of Cosmic scope. You should

question yourself: what can I contribute?

alive for your inspection and approval?

Secrets. There is one exception to what I have said about publishing

everything: that is, the ultimate secret of the O.T.O. This is really

too dangerous to disclose; but the safeguard is that you could not use

it if you knew it, unless you were an advanced Adept; and you would not

be allowed to go so far unless we were satisfied that you were sincerely $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

devoted to the Great Work. (See One Star in Sight). True, the $\mbox{{\tt Black}}$

Brothers could use it; but they would only destroy themselves.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

Letter No. D

June

8, 1943

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Thanks for your letter. I couldn't find the O.T.O. typescript --- and then

it struck me that it would be useful to await your reactions. If I were

expecting some presumably important papers by post, I should get anxious $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

after 24 hours delay (at most) and start enquiries. Anyhow, I can't find

them for the moment; but Mr. Bryant said he would lend you his Blue

Equinox: pages 195-270 give what you require.

But the real point of your affiliating is that it saves me from constantly

being on my guard lest I should mention something which I am sworn not to

reveal. As in every serious society, members are pledged not to disclose

what they may have learnt, whom they have met; it is so, even in Co-Mason-

ry: isn't it: But one may mention the names of members who have died. (See

Liber LII, par. 2.) Be happy then; the late X... Y... was one of us.

I hope that he and Rudolph Steiner will (between them) satisfy your doubts.

The A.'.A.'. is totally different. One Star in Sight tells you every-

thing that you need to know. (Perhaps some of these regulations are hard

to grasp: personally, I can never understand all this By-Law stuff. So $\,$

you must ask me what, and why, and so on.)

There is really only one point for your judgment. "By their fruits ye

shall know them." You have read Liber LXV and Liber VII; That shows you

11

what states you can attain by this cirriculum. Now read "A Master of the

Temple" (Blue Equinox, pp. 127-170) for an account of the early stages of training, and their results. (Of course, your path might not coincide with, or even resemble, his path.) But do get it into you head that "If the blind lead the blind, they shall both fall into the ditch." If you had seen 1% of the mischief that I have seen, you would freeze to the marrow of your bones at the mere idea of seeing another member through the telescope! Well, I employ the figure of hyperbole, that I admit; but it really won't do to have a dozen cooks at the broth! If you're working with me, you'll have no time to waste on other people. I fear your "Christianity" is like that of most other folk. You pick out one or two of the figures from which the Alexandrines concocted "Jesus" (too many cooks, again, with a vengeance!) and neglect the others. The Zionist Christ of Matthew can have no value for you; nor can the Asiatic "Dying-God" --- compiled from Melcarth, Mithras, Adonis, Bacchus, Osiris, Attis, Krishna, and others --- who supplied the miraculous and ritualistic elements of the fable. Rightly you ask: "What can I contribute?" Answer: One Book. That is the idea of the weekly letter: 52 of yours and 52 of mine, competently edited, would make a most useful volume. This would be your property: so that you get full material value, perhaps much more, for your outlay. I thought of the plan because one such arrangement has recently come to an end, with amazingly happy results: they should lie open to your admiring gaze in a few months from now. Incidentally, I personally get nothing out of it; secretarial work costs money these days. But there is another great advantage; it keeps both of us up to the mark. Also, in such letters a great deal of odds and ends of knowledge turn up automatically; valuable stuff, frequent enough; yes, but one doesn't want to lose the thread, once one starts. Possibly ten days might be best.

But please understand that this suggestion arose solely from your own

statement of what you thought would help in your present circumstances.

Anyway, as you say, decide! If it is yes, I should like to see you before

groundwork to keep you busy in my absence.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

Letter No. E

Aug.

18, 1943

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Much thought has gone into the construction of your Motto. "I will become"

can be turned neatly enough as "Let there be;" by avoiding the First Pro- $\,$

12

which is exactly what you want.

"The creative Force of the Universe" is quite ready-made. Pyramisl, a

pyramid, is that Force in its geometrical form; in its biological form

it is Phallus2, the Yang or Lingam. Both words have the same numerical $\,$

value, 831. These two words can therefore serve you as the secret object

of your Work. How than can you construct the number 831?

The Letter Kaph3, Jupiter (Jehovah), the Wheel of Fortune in the Tarot ---

the Atu X is a picture of the Universe built up and revolving by virtue of

those Three Principles: Sulphur, Mercury, Salt; or Gunas: Sattvas, Rajas,

Tamas --- has the value 20. So also has the letter Yod4 spelt in full.

One Gnostic secret way of spelling and pronouncing Jehovah is IAO5 and $\,$

this has the value 811. So has "Let there be," Fiat, transliterating into ${\it Greek}$.

Resuming all these ideas, it seems that you can express your aspiration $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

very neatly, very fully, by choosing for your motto the words FIAT YOD.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

P.S. Please study this letter, and these explanatory figures (the author,

BAPHOMET X0 O.T.O., in the original spells each word, giving the $\,$

numerical equivalent of each letter in puramis, etc. This is here not

copied.) and meditate upon them until you have fully assimilate not only

the matter under immediate consideration, but the general method of Qabal- $\,$

istic research and construction. Note how new cognate ideas arise to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

enrich the formula.

666

Letter No. F

Aug.

20, 1943

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Let me begin by referring to my letter about the motto and make clear to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

you the working of this letter.

In this motto you have really got several ideas combined, and yet they are

really, of course, one idea. Fiat, being 811, is identical with IAO, and therefore FIAT YOD might be read not only as "let there be" (or "Let me become"), the secret source of all creative energy, but as "the secret source of the energy of Jehovah." The two words together, having the value

1* In the original in Greek

2* In the original in Greek.

3* In the original in Hebrew.

4* In the original in Hebrew.

5* In the original in Greek.

13

of 831, they contain the secret meanings Pyramis and Phallos, which is the same idea in different forms; thus you have three ways of expressing the creative form, in its geometrical aspect, its human aspect, and its divine aspect. I am making a point of this, because the working out of this motto should give you a very clear idea of the sort of way in which Qabalah should be used. I think it is rather useful to remember what the essence of the Qabalah is in principle; thus, in your correspondence for Malkuth, Yesod, and Hod you are simply writing down some of the ideas which pertain to the numbers 10, 9, and 8 respectively. Naturally, there is a great deal of redundancy and overloading as soon as you get to ideas important enough to be comprehensive; as is mentioned in the article on the Qabalah in Equinox Vol. I, No. 5, it is quite easy to prove 1 = 2 = 3 = 4, etc.

On the other hand, you must be careful to avoid taking the correspondences given in the books of reference without thinking out why they are so given.

Thus, you find a camel in the number which refers to the Moon, but the Tarot card "the Moon" refers not to the letter Gimel which means camel, but to the letter Qoph, and the sign Pisces which means fish, while the letter

itself refers to the back of the head; and you also find fish has the meaning of the letter Nun. You must not go on from this, and say that the back of your head is like a camel - the connection between them is simply

that they all refer to the same thing.

In studying the Qabalah you mention six months; I think after that time you should be able to realize that, after six incarnations of uninterrupted study, you may realize that you can never know it; as Confucius said about the Yi King. "If a few more years were added to my life, I would devote a hundred of them to the study of the Yi."

If, however, you work at the Qabalah in the same way as I did myself, in season and out of season, you ought to get a very fair grasp of it in six months. I will now tell you what this method is: as I walked about, I made a point of attributing everything I saw to its appropriate idea. I would walk out of the door of my house and reflect that door is Daleth. and house Beth; now the word "dob" is Hebrew for bear, and has the number 6, which refers to the Sun. Then you come to the fence of your property and that is Cheth - number 8, number of Tarot Trump 7, which is the Chariot:

so you begin to look about for your car. Then you come to the street and the first house you see is number 86, and that is Elohim, and it is built of red brick which reminds you of Mars and the Blasted

Tower, and so on. As soon as this sort of work, which can be done in a quite lighthearted

spirit, becomes habitual, you will find your mind running naturally in

this direction, and will be surprised at your progress. Never let your $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

mind wander from the fact that your Qabalah is not my Qabalah; a good

many of the things which I have noted may be useful to you, but you must

construct your own system so that it is a living weapon in your hand.

I think I am fair if I say that the first step on the Qabalah which may be called success, is when you make an actual discovery which throws light on

some problem which has been troubling you. A quarter of a century ago I was in New Orleans, and was very puzzled about my immediate course of action; in fact I may say I was very much distressed. There seemed literally nothing that I could do, so I bethought myself that I had better invoke Mercury. As soon as I got into the appropriate frame of mind, it naturally occurred to me, with a sort of joy, "But I am Mercury." I put it into Latin --- Mercurius sum, and suddenly something struck me, a sort of nameless reaction which said: "That's not quite right." Like a flash it came to me to put

14

it into Greek, which gave me "Hermes Eimi", {Keynote: may wish to convert to true Greek} and adding that up rapidly, I got the number 418, with all the marvellous correspondences which had been so abundantly useful to me in the past (See Equ. of the Gods, p. 138). My troubles disappeared like a flash of lightning.

Now to answer your questions seriatum; it is quite all right to put questions to me about The Book of the Law; a very extended commentary has been written, but it is not yet published. I shall probably be able to answer any of your questions from the manuscript, but you cannot go on after that when it would become a discussion; as they say in the law-courts, "You must take the witness' answer."

II. The Qabalah, both Greek and Hebrew, also very likely Arabic, was used by the author of The Book of the Law. I have explained above the proper use of the Qabalah. I cannot tell you how the early Rosicrucians used it, but I think one may assume that their methods were not dissimilar to our own. Incidentally, it is not very safe to talk about Rosicrucians, because

their name has become a signal for letting loose the most devastating floods

of nonsense. What is really known about the original Rosicrucians is prac-

tically confined to the three documents which they issued. The eighteenth

century Rosicrucians may, or may not, have been legitimate successors of $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\}$

the original brotherhood - I don't know. But from them the O.T.O. derived

its authority; The late O.H.O. Theodor Reuss possessed a certain number

of documents which demonstrated the validity of his claim according to \mbox{him} ;

but I only saw two or three of them, and they were not of very great impor- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

tance. Unfortunately he died shortly after the last War, and he had got

out of touch with some of the other Grand $\operatorname{Masters}.$ The documents did not

come to me as they should have done; they were seized by his wife who had

an idea that she could sell them for a fantastic price; and we did not

feel inclined to meet her views. I don't think the matter is of very great

importance, the work being done by members of the Order all over the place $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

is to me quite sufficient.

III. The Ruach contains both the moral and intellectual worlds, which is $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

really all that we mean by the conscious mind; perhaps it even includes

certain portions of the subconscious.

IV. In initiation from the grade of Neophyte to that of Zelator, one

passes by this way. The main work is to obtain admission to, and control of, the astral plane.

Your expressions about "purifying the feelings" and so on

are rather vague to enter into a scientific system like ours. The result

less refer to is attained automatically in the course of your experiments.

Your very soon discover the sort of state of mind which is favourable or

unfavourable to the work, and you also discover what is helpful and harm-

ful to these states in your way of life. For instance, the practice like

the non-receiving of gifts is all right for a $\mbox{\sc Hindu}$ whose $\mbox{\sc mind}$ is branded

which you doubt-

for ten thousand incarnations by the shock of accepting a cigarette or a

 cup of tea. Incidentally, most of the Eastern cults fall down when they

come West, simply because they make no allowance for our different tempera-

ments. Also they set tasks which are completely unsuitable to Europeans $\ -$

an immense amount of disappointment has been caused by failure to recognize these facts.

Your sub-questions a, b, and c are really answered by the above. All the $\,$

terms you use are very indefinite. I hope it will not take too long to

15

get you out of the way of thinking in these terms. For instance, the word "initiation" includes the whole process, and how to distinguish between it and enlightenment I cannot tell you. "Probation," moreover, if it means "proving," continues throughout the entire process. Nothing is worse for the student than to indulge in these mild speculations about ambiguous terms.

V. You can, if you like, try to work out a progress of Osiris through Amennti on the Tree of Life, but I doubt whether you will get any satisfactory result.

It seems to me that you should confine yourself very closely to the actual

work in front of you. At the present moment, of course, this includes a

good deal of general study; but my point is that the terms employed in

that study should always be capable of precise definition. I am not sure $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

whether you have my Little Essays Toward Truth. The first essay in the $\,$

book entitled "Man" gives a full account of the five principles which go

to make up Man according to the Qabalistic system. I have tried to define

these terms as accurately as possible, and I think you will find them,, in any case, clearer than those to which you have become accustomed with the Eastern systems. In India, by the way, no attempt is ever

made to use

these vague terms. They always have a very clear idea of what is meant by

words like "Buddhi," "Manas" and the like. Attempts at translation are

very unsatisfactory. I find that even with such a simple matter as the

"Eight limbs of Yoga," as you will see when you come to read my Eight

Lectures.

I am very pleased with your illustrations; that is excellent practice for

you. Presently you have to make talismans, and a Lamen for yourself, and

even to devise a seal to serve as what you might call a magical coat-of-

arms, and all this sort of thing is very helpful.

It occurs to me that so far we have done nothing about the astral plane

and this path of Tau of which you speak. Have you had any experience of

travelling in the astral? If not, do you think that you can begin by your-

self on the lines laid down in Liber O, sections 5 and 6? (See Magick,

pp. 387-9). If not you had better let me take you through the first gates.

The question of noise instantly arises; I think we should have to do it

not earlier than nine o'clock at night, and I don't know whether you can manage this.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

Letter No. G

September 4.

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

"shall be" (instead of "Do what thou wilt is ... ") not "is". See Liber AL,

I, 36, 54, and II, 54. Not "Master Perdurabo": see Magick p. XXIX. "Care

Frater" is enough.

777 is practically unpurchaseable: copies fetch $\alpha 10$ or so. Nearly all im-

16

portant correspondences are in Magick Table I. The other 2 books are $\,$

being sent at once. "Working out games with numbers." I am sorry you

should see no more than this. When you are better equipped, you will see

that the Qabalah is the best (and almost the only) means by which an in-

telligence can identify himself. And Gematria methods serve to discover

spiritual truths. Numbers are the network of the structure of the Universe,

and their relations the form of expression of our Understanding of it. (He

gives the numerical value of the letters of the Greek alphabet – not copied $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

here. - ed.) In Greek and Hebrew there is no other way of writing numbers;

our 1, 2, 3 etc. comes from the Phoenicians through the Arabs. You need

no more of Greek and Hebrew than these values, some sacred words --- $\mbox{know-}$

ledge grows by use --- and books of reference.

One cannot set a pupil definite tasks beyond the groundwork I am giving

you, and we should find this correspondence taking clear shape of its own

accord. You have really more than you can do already. And I can only tell

you what the right tasks --- out of hundreds --- are by your own reactions to

your own study and practice.

"Osiris in Amennti" - see the Book of the Dead. I meant you might try to

trace a parallelism between his journeyings and the Path of Initiation.

Astral travel - development of the Astral Body is essential to research;

and, above all, to the attainment of "the Knowledge and Conversation of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

the Holy Guardian Angel."

You ought to demonstrate your performance of the Pentagram Ritual to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{me}}$;

you are probably making any number of mistakes. I will, of course, take

you carefully through the 0.T.O. rituals to III \emptyset as soon as you are fairly

familiar with them. The plan of the grades is this: ---

- 0ø Attraction to the Solar System
- Iø Birth
- IIø Life
- IIIø Death
- IVø "Exaltation"
- P.I, "Annihilation"

 $\ensuremath{\text{Vo-IXØ}}$ Progressive comment on IIØ with very special reference to

the central secret of practical Magick.

There is thus no connection with the A.'.A.'. system and the Tree of Life.

Of course, there are certain analogies.

Your suggested method of study: you have got my idea quite well. But no-

body can "take you through" the Grades of A.'.A.'.. The Grades confirm

your attainments as you make them; then, the new tasks appear. See One Star in Sight.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

Letter No. H

17

November 10 - 11. 11

p.m. - 2 a.m.

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Your's of yestere'en came to gladden me just when the whole evening lay blank before me: the one job such a big job that I simply can't get down to it until I get help: How annoying! Still, yours the gain! 1. That verse (AL. I, 44) condenses the whole magical technique. It makes clear --- when you have understood it --- the secret of success in the Great Work. Of course at first it appears a paradox. You must have an aim, and one aim only: yet on no account must you want to achieve it!!! Those chapters of the Book of Lies quoted in my last letter6 do throw some light onto this Abyss of self-contradiction; and there is meaning much deeper than the contrast between the Will with a capital W, and desire, want, or velleity. The main point seems to be that in aspiring to Power one is limited by the True Will. If you use force, violating your own nature either from lack of understanding or from petulant whim, one is merely wasting energy; things go back to normal as soon as the stress is removed. This is one small case of the big Equation "Free Will = Necessity" (Fate, Destiny, or Karma: it's all much the same idea). One is most rigidly bound by the causal chain that has dragged one to where one is; but it is one's own self that has forged the links. Please refrain from the obvious retort: "Then, in the long run, you can't possibly go wrong: so it doesn't matter what you do." Perfectly true, of course! (There is no single grain of dust that shall not attain to Buddhahood: " with some such words did the debauched old reprobate seek to console himself when Time began to take its revenge.) But the answer is simple enough: you happen to be the kind of being that thinks it does matter what course you steer; or, still more haughtily, you enjoy the pleasure of sailing. No, there is this factor in all success: self-confidence.

If we analyze

this, we find that it means that one is aware that all one's mental and physical faculties are working harmoniously. The deadliest and subtlest enemy of that feeling is anxiety about the result; the finest gauze of doubt is enough to dim one's vision, to throw the entire field out of focus. Hence, even to be aware that there is a result in prospect must militate against that serenity of spirit which is the essence of self-confidence. As you will know, all our automatic physiological functions are deranged if one is aware of them. This then, is the difficulty, to enjoy consciously while not disturbing the process involved. The obvious physical case is the sexual act: perhaps its chief importance is just that it is a type of this exceptional spiritual-mental condition. I hope, however, that you will remember what I have said on the subject in paragraphs 15 - 17 of my 3rd Lecture on Yoga for Yellowbellies (pp. 71-72); there is a way of obtaining ecstacy from the most insignificant physiological function. Observe that in transferring the whole consciousness to (say) one's little finger or big toe is not trying to interfere with the normal exercise of sits activities, but only to realize what is going on in the organism, the 6* A letter dated Oct. 12, '43 constituted No. 48 in Magick Without Tears and the following chapters from the Book of Lies: - "Peaches", "Pilgrim-Talk", "Buttons and Rosettes", "The Gun-Barrel and the Mountaineer".

18

exquisite pleasure of a function in its normal activity. With a little imagination one can conceive the analogical case of the Universe itself; and, still less fettered by even the mildest limitation which material symbols necessarily (however little) suggest, "Remember all ye that exis-

tence is pure joy; ... " (AL, II, 9).

Is it too bold to suggest that the gradual merging of all these Ways into

an interwoven unity may be taken as one mode of presentation of the Accom-

plishment of the Great Work itself?

At least, I feel fairly satisfied the meditation of them severally and

jointly may help you to an answer to your first question.

2. Most people in my experience either cook up a hell-broth of self-induced

obstacles to success in Astral traveling, or else shoot forth on the wings

of romantic imagination and fool themselves for the rest of their lives in

the manner of the Village Idiot. Yours, luckily, is the former trouble.

But --- is it plain obstinacy? --- you do not exercise the sublime Art of Guru-

bullying. You should have made one frenzied leap to my dying bed, thrust

aside the cohorts of Mourning Archimandrites, and wrung my nose until $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

made you do it.

And you repeatedly insist that it is difficult. It isn't. Is there, how-

ever, some deep-seated inhibition - a (Freudian) fear of success? Is there

some connection with that sense of guilt which is born in all but the very few?

But you don't give it a fair chance. There is, I admit, some trick, or

knack, about getting properly across; a faculty which one acquires (as a

rule) quite suddenly and unexpectedly. Rather like mastering some shots at

billiards. Practice has taught me how to communicate this to students; only

in rare cases does one fail. (It's incredible: one man simply could not

be persuaded that intense physical exertion was the wrong way to to it.

There he sat, with the veins on his forehead almost on the point of burst-

ing, and the arms of $my\ favourite\ chair\ visibly\ trembling\ beneath\ his\ power-$

ful grip!) In your case, I notice that you have got this
practice mixed up

with Dharana: you write of "Emptying my mind of everything except the one idea, etc." Then you go on: "The invoking of a supersensible Being is impossible to me as yet." The impudence! The arrogance! How do you know, pray madam? (Dial numbers at random: the results are often surprisingly delightful!) Besides, I didn't ask you to invoke a supersensible (what a word! Meaning?) Being right away, or at any time: that supersensible is getting on my nerves: do you mean "not in normal circumstances to be apprehended by the senses?" I suppose so. In a word: do fix a convenient season for going on the Astral Plane under my eye: half an hour (with a bit of luck) on not more than four evenings would put you in a very different frame of mind. You will soon "feel your feet" and then "get your sea-legs" and then, much sooner than you think "Afloat in the aethyr, O my God! my God!". "White swan, bear thou ever me up between thy wings!" 3. Now then to your old Pons Asinorum about the names of the Gods! Stand in the corner for half an hour with your face to the wall! Stay in after

school and write Malka be-Tharshishim v-Ruachoth b-Schebralim 999 times!

19

My dear, dear sister, a name is a formula of power. How can you talk of "anachronism" when the Being is eternal? For the type of energy is eternal.

Every name is a number: and "Every number is infinite; there is no difference." (AL I, 4). But one Name, or system of Names, may be more convenient either (a) to you personally or (b) to the work you are at. E.g. I have

very little sympathy with Jewish Theology or ritual; but the Qabalah is so handy and congenial that I use it more than almost any --or all the others together --- for daily use and work. The Egyptian Theogony is the noblest, the most truly magical, the most bound to me (or rather I to it) by some inmost instinct, and by the memory of my incarnation as Ankh-f-n-Khonsu, that I use it (with its Graeco-Phoenician child) for all work of supreme import. Why stamp my vitals, madam! The Abramelin Operation itself turned into this form before I could so much as set to work on it! like the Duchess' baby (excuse this enthusiasm; but you have aroused the British Lion-Serpent.) Note, please, that the equivalents given in 777 are not always exact. Tahuti is not quite Thoth, still less Hermes; Mercury is a very much more comprehensive idea, but not nearly so exalted: Hanuman hardly at all. Nor is Tetragrammaton IAO, though even etymology asserts the identity. In these matters you must be catholic, eclectic, even syncretic. And you must consider the nature of your work. If I wanted to evoke Taphthartharath, there would be little help indeed from any but the Qabalistic system; for that spirit's precise forms and numbers are not to be found in any other. The converse, however, is not so true. The Qabalah, properly understood, properly treated, is so universal that one can vamp up a ritual to suit almost "any name and form." But in such a case one may expect to have to reinforce it by a certain amount of historical, literary, or philosophic study --- and research. 4. Quite right, dear lady, about your incarnation memories acting as a "Guide to the Way Back." Of course, if you "missed an Egyptian Incarnation," you would not be so likely to be a little Martha, worried "about much serving." Don't get surfeited with knowledge, above all things; it is so very

fascinating, so dreadfully easy; and the danger of becoming a pedant --"Deuce take all your pedants! say I." Don't "dry-rot at ease 'till the Judgment Day."

No, I will NOT recommend a book. It should not hurt you too much to browse on condensed hay (or thistles) such as articles in Encyclopedias. Take Roget's Thesaurus or Smith's Smaller Classical Dictionary (and the like) to read yourself to sleep on. But don't stultify yourself by taking up such study too seriously. You only make yourself ridiculous by trying to do at 50 what you ought to have done at 15. As you didn't --- tant pis! You can't possibly get the spirit; if you could, it would mean merely mental indi-

gestion. We have all read how Cato started to learn Greek at 90: but the

story stops there. We have never been told what good it did to himself or anyone else.

5. God-forms. See Magick pp. 378-9. Quite clear: quite adequate: no

use at all without continual practice. No one can join with you $\operatorname{---}$ off you

go again! No, no, a thousand times no: this is the practice par excellence $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

where you have to do it all yourself. The Vibration of Godnames: that

perhaps, I can at least test you in. But don't you dare come up for a test

2.0

until you've been at it --- and hard --- for at least 100 exercises.

I think this is your trouble about being "left in the air." When I "present many new things" to you, the sting is in the tail --- the

practice that vitalizes it. Doctrinal stuff is fine "Lazily, lazily, drowsily, drowsily,

in the noo-on-dye shaun!" An ounce of your practice is worth a ton of my

teaching. GET THAT. It's all your hatred of hard work:

"Go to the ant thou sluggard!
Consider her ways and be ----."

I am sure that Solomon was too good a poet, and too experienced a Guru, to tail off with the anticlimax "wise."

6. Minerval. What is the matter? All you have to do is understand it:

just a dramatization of the process of incarnation. Better run through it

with me: I'll make it clear, and you can make notes of your troubles and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

their solution for the use of future members.

7. The Book of Thoth. Surely all terms not in a good dictionary are

explained in the text. I don't see what I can do about it, in any case;

the same criticism would apply to (say) Bertrand Russell's Introduction

to Mathematical Physics, wouldn't it?

Is x an R-ancestor of y if y has every R-hereditary that x has, provided

 ${\bf x}$ is a term which has the relation R to something or to which something has

the relation R? (Enthusiastic cries of "Yes, it is!") He says "A number

is anything which has the number of some class." Feel better now?

Still, it would be kind of you to go through a page or so with me, and tell $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2,3,\ldots \right\}$

me where the shoe pinches. Of course I have realized the difficulty long

ago; but I don't know the solution --- or if there is a solution. I did

think of calling Magick "Magick Without Tears"; and I did try having my

work cross-examined as I went on by minds of very inferior education or

capacity. In fact, Parts I and II of Book 4 were thus tested.

What about applying the Dedekindian cut to this letter? I am sure you

would not wish it to develop into a Goclenian Sorites, especially as $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

fear that I may already have deviated from the diapantos 7 Hapaxlegomenon.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

Letter No. I

January 27, 1944

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

7* Greek letters in the original

21

It is very good hearing that these letters do good, but rather sad to reflect that it is going to make you so unpopular. Your friends will notice at once that glib vacuities fail to impress, and hate you, and tell lies about you. It's worth it.

Yes, your brain is quite all right; what is wanted is to acquire the habit of pinning things down instantly. (He says 're-incarnation' --- now what exactly does he mean by that? He says "it is natural to suppose . . . ": what is "natural", and what is implied by supposition?) Practice this style of criticism; write down what happens. Within a week or two you will be astounded to discover that you have got what is apparently little less than a new brain! You must make this a habit, not letting anything get by the sentries.

Indeed, I want you to go even further; make sure of what is meant by even the simplest words. Trace the history of the word with the help of Skeat's Etymological Dictionary. E.g. "pretty" means tricky, deceitful; on the other hand, "hussy" is only "housewife". It's amusing, too, this "tabby"

refers to Prince Attab, the grandson of Ommeya --- the silk quarter of

Baghdad where utabi, a rich watered silk was sold. This will soon give

you the power of discerning instantly when words are being used to hide $\,$

meaning or lack of it.

About A.'.A.'., etc.: your resolution is noble, but there is a letter ready

for you which deals with what is really a legitimate enquiry; necessary,

too, with so many hordes of "Hidden Masters" and "Mahatmas" and so on

scurrying all over the floor in the hope of distracting attention from the

inanities of their trusted henchmen.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

P.S. I must write at length about the Higher Self or "God within us," too

easy to get muddled about it, and the subject requires
careful preparation.

CHAPTER I.

WHAT IS MAGICK?

Cara Soror,

 $\,\,$ Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

What is Magick? Why should anyone study and practice it? Very natural;

the obvious preliminary questions of any subject soever. We must $\operatorname{cer-}$

tainly get all this crystal clear; fear not that I shall fail to set

forth the whole business as concisely as possible yet as fully, as cogent -

ly yet as lucidly, as may prove within my power to do.

At least I need not waste any time on telling you what Magick is not; or to

go into the story of how the word came to be misapplied to conjuring tricks, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

and to sham miracles such as are to this day foisted by charlatan swindlers,

either within or without the Roman Communion, upon a gaping crew of pious

37

imbeciles.

22

First let me go all Euclidean, and rub your nose in the Definition, Postulate and Theorems given in my comprehensive (but, alas! too advanced and

too technical) Treatise on the subject. Here we are!

I. DEFINITION:

Magick is the Science and Art of causing Change to occur in conformity with Will.

(Illustration: It is my Will to inform the World of certain facts $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

within my knowledge. I therefore take "magical
weapons," pen, ink,

and paper; I write "incantations" --- these sentences -- in the "magi-

cal language" i.e. that which is understood by people I wish to $\,$

instruct. I call forth "spirits" such as printers,
publishers,

booksellers, and so forth, and constrain them to convey my message

to those people. The composition and distribution is thus an ${\tt act}$

of --- MAGICK --- by which I cause Changes to take place in conformity with my Will.8)

II. POSTULATE:

the proper object.

ANY required Change may be effected by application of the proper kind and degree of Force in the proper manner through the proper medium to

(Illustration: I wish to prepare an ounce of Chloride of Gold. I

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

in sufficient quantity and of adequate strength, and place it, in a $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

vessel which will not break, leak or corrode, in such a manner as $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

will not produce undesirable results, with the necessary quantity

of Gold, and so forth. Every Change has its own conditions.

In the present state of our knowledge and power some changes are

not possible in practice; we cannot cause eclipses, for instance,

or transform lead into tin, or create men from mushrooms. But it

is theoretically possible to cause in any object any change of which

that object is capable by nature; and the conditions are covered

by the above postulate.)

III. THEOREMS:

1. Every intentional act is a Magical Act.9

(Ilustration: See "Definition" above.)

- 2. Every successful act has conformed to the postulate.
- 3. Every failure proves that one or more requirements of the postu-

late have not been fulfilled

(Illustrations: There may be failure to understand the case; as $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

when a doctor makes a wrong diagnosis, and his treatment injures $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

his patient. There may be failure to apply the right kind of force, $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}$

 $\* By "intentional" I mean "willed". But even unintentional acts so seem-

ing are not truly so. Thus, breathing is an act of the Will-to-live.

9* In one sense Magick may be defined as the name given to Science by the vulgar.

23

as when a rustic tries to blow out an electric light. There may be

failure to apply the right degree of force, as when a wrestler has $\ensuremath{\mathsf{A}}$

his hold broken. There may be failure to apply the force in the

right manner, as when one presents a cheque at the wrong window of $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\}$

the Bank. There may be failure to employ the correct medium, as

when Leonardo da Vinci found his masterpiece fade away. The force $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{may}}$ be applied to an unsuitable object, as when one tries to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{crack}}$

a stone, thinking it a nut.)

4. The first requisite for causing any change is thorough qualita-

tive and quantitative understanding of the condition.

(Illustration: The most common cause of failure in life is ignorance

of one's own True Will, or of the means by which to fulfill that Will.

A man may fancy himself a painter, and waste his life trying to become

one; or he may be really a painter, and yet fail to understand and

to measure the difficulties peculiar to that career.)

5. The second requisite of causing any change is the practical

ability to set in right motion the necessary forces.

(Illustration: A banker may have a perfect grasp of a given situa-

tion, yet lack the quality of decision, or the assets, necessary to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

take advantage of it.)

6. "Every man and every woman is a star." That is to say, every

human being is intrinsically an independent individual with his own

proper character and proper motion.

7. Every man and every woman has a course, depending partly on the $\,$

 $\mbox{\it self}\,,$ and partly on the environment which is natural and necessary

for each. Anyone who is forced from his own course, either through

not understanding himself, or through external opposition, comes in-

to conflict with the order of the Universe, and suffers accordingly.

(Illustration: A man may think it his duty to act in a certain way,

through having made a fancy picture of himself, instead of investi-

gating his actual nature. For example, a woman may make herself

miserable for life by thinking that she prefers love to social con-

sideration, or vice versa. One woman may stay with an unsympathetic

husband when she would really be happy in an attic with a lover, $\$

while another may fool herself into a romantic elopement when her $\,$

only true pleasures are those of presiding at fashionable functions.

Again, a boy's instinct may tell him to go to sea, while his parents

insist on his becoming a doctor. In such a case, he will be both

unsuccessful and unhappy in medicine.

8. A man whose conscious will is at odds with his True Will is $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2,3,\ldots \right\}$

wasting his strength. He cannot hope to influence his environment

efficiently.

(Illustration: When Civil War rages in a nation, it is in no condi-

tion to undertake the invasion of other countries. A $\mbox{\tt man}$ with cancer

employs his nourishment alike to his own use and to that of the enemy

which is part of himself. He soon fails to resist the pressure of

his environment. In practical life, a man who is doing what his

conscience tells him to be wrong will do it very clumsily. At first!)

24

9. A man who is doing his True Will has the inertia of the Universe $\,$

to assist him.

(Illustration: The first principle of success in evolution is that

the individual should be true to his own nature, and at the same $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left($

time adapt himself to his environment.)

10. Nature is a continuous phenomenon, thought we do not know in all

cases how things are connected.

41

(Illustration: Human consciousness depends on the properties of

 $\ensuremath{\operatorname{protoplasm}}$, the existence of which depends on innumerable physical

conditions peculiar to this planet; and this planet is determined

by the mechanical balance of the whole universe of matter. We may $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

then say that our consciousness is causally connected with the $\ensuremath{\text{re-}}$

motest galaxies; yet we do not know even how it arises
from --- or

with --- the molecular changes in the brain.)

11. Science enables us to take advantage of the continuity of Nature

by the empirical application of certain principles whose interplay

involves different orders of idea, connected with each other in a $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

way beyond our present comprehension.

(Illustration: We are able to light cities by rule-of-thumb methods.

We do not know what consciousness is, or how it is connected with

muscular action; what electricity is or how it is connected with

the machines that generate it; and our methods depend on calcula-

tions involving mathematical ideas which have no correspondence in

the Universe as we know it.10)

 $12.\ \mathrm{Man}$ is ignorant of the nature of his own being and powers.

Even his idea of his limitations is based on experience of the past.

and every step in his progress extends his empire. There is, there-

fore, no reason to assign theoretical limits 1 to what he may be,

or to what he may do.

(Illustration: Two generations ago it was supposed theoretically

impossible that man should ever know the chemical composition of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

the fixed stars. It is known that our senses are adapted to receive $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

only an infinitesimal fraction of the possible rates of vibration.

Modern instruments have enabled us to detect some of these supra-

sensibles by indirect methods, and even to use their peculiar quali-

ties in the service of man, as in the case of the rays of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Hertz}}$ and

Roentgen. As Tyndall said, man might at any moment learn to per-

ceive and utilize vibrations of all conceivable and inconceivable

kinds. The question of Magick is a question of discovering and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{em}}\xspace-$

ploying hitherto unknown forces in nature. We know that they exist,

and we cannot doubt the possibility of mental or physical instru- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

ments capable of bringing us in relation with them.)

13. Every man is more or less aware that his individuality comprises

several orders of existence, even when he maintains that his subtler $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

principles are merely symptomatic of the changes in his gross vehicle.

A similar order may be assumed to extend throughout nature.

10* For instance, "irrational," "unreal," and "infinite" expressions.

11* i.e. except --- possibly --- in the case of logically absurd questions,

such as the schoolmen discussed in connection with "God."

25

(Illustration: One does not confuse the pain of toothache with the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

decay which causes it. Inanimate objects are sensitive to certain

physical forces, such as electrical and thermal conductivity; but

neither in us nor in them $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$ so far as we know $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$ is there any direct

conscious perception of these forces. Imperceptible influences are

therefore associated with all material phenomena; and there is no $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

reason why we should not work upon matter through those subtle ener- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

gies as we do through their material bases. In fact, we use magnetic $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

force to move iron, and solar radiation to reproduce images.)

14. Man is capable of being, and using, anything which he perceives;

for everything that he perceives is in a certain sense a part of his

being. He may thus subjugate the whole Universe of which he is con-

scious to his individual Will.

(Illustration: Man has used the idea of God to dictate his personal

conduct, to obtain power over his fellows, to excuse his crimes, and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

for innumerable other purposes, including that of realizing himself

as God. He has used the irrational and unreal conceptions of mathe-

 $\mbox{\tt matics}$ to help him in the construction of $\mbox{\tt mechanical}$ devices. He

has used his moral force to influence the actions even of wild ani- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

mals. He has employed poetic genius for political purposes.)

15. Every force in the Universe is capable of being transformed

into any other kind of force by using suitable means. There is thus

an inexhaustible supply of any particular kind of force that we may $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

need.

(Illustration: Heat may be transformed into light and power by

using it to drive dynamos. The vibrations of the air may be used

to kill men by so ordering them in speech as to inflame war-like $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

passions. The hallucinations connected with the mysterious energies

of sex result in the perpetuation of the species.)

16. The application of any given force affects all the orders of

being which exist in the object to which it is applied, whichever

of those orders is directly affected.

(Illustration: If I strike a man with a dagger, his consciousness,

such, has no direct relation therewith. Similarly, the power of $\boldsymbol{m}\boldsymbol{y}$

thought may so work on the mind of another person as to produce far- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

reaching physical changes in him, or in others through him.)

17. A man may learn to use any force so as to serve any purpose,

by taking advantage of the above theorems.

(Illustration: A man may use a razor to make himself vigilant over

his speech, by using it to cut himself whenever he unguardedly utters $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

a chosen word. He may serve the same purpose by resolving that every $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

incident of his life shall remind him of a particular thing, Making

every impression the starting point of a connected series of thoughts $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

ending in that thing. He might also devote his whole energies to

some particular object, by resolving to do nothing at variance

therewith, and to make every act turn to the advantage of that object.)

18. He may attract to himself any force of the Universe by making

himself a fit receptacle for it, establishing a connection with it,

26

and arranging conditions so that its nature compels it to flow to- $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$

ward him.

(Illustration: If I want pure water to drink, I dig a well in a

place where there is underground water; I prevent it
from leaking

away; and I arrange to take advantage of water's accordance with

the laws of Hydrostatics to fill it.)

19. Man's sense of himself as separate from, and opposed to, the

Universe is a bar to his conducting its currents. It insulates him.

(Illustration: A popular leader is most successful when he forgets $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

himself, and remembers only "The Cause." Self-seeking engenders

jealousies and schism. When the organs of the body assert their $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1$

they are diseased. The single exception is the organ of reproduc-

tion. Yet even in this case self-assertion bears witness to its.

dissatisfaction with itself, since in cannot fulfill its function $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

until completed by its counterpart in another organism.)

 $20\,.$ Man can only attract and employ the forces for which he is

really fitted.

(Illustration: You cannot make a silk purse out of a sow's ear.

A true man of science learns from every phenomenon. But Nature is $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

dumb to the hypocrite; for in her there is nothing
false12.)

21. There is no limit to the extent of the relations of any man

with the Universe in essence; for as soon as man makes himself one

with any idea, the means of measurement cease to exist. But his $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

power to utilize that force is limited by his mental power and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

capacity, and by the circumstances of his human environment.

(Illustration: When a man falls in love, the whole world becomes, $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left($

to him, nothing but love boundless and immanent; but his mystical

state is not contagious; his fellow-men are either amused or an-

noyed. He can only extend to others the effect which his love has

had upon himself by means of his mental and physical qualities.

Thus, Catullus, Dante, and Swinburne made their love a mighty mover $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

of mankind by virtue of their power to put their thoughts on the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

subject in musical and eloquent language. Again, Cleopatra and

other people in authority moulded the fortunes of many other people $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

by allowing love to influence their political actions. The Magician, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

however well he succeeds in making contact with the secret sources

of energy in nature, can only use them to the extent permitted by

his intellectual and moral qualities. Mohammed's intercourse with

Gabriel was only effective because of his statesmanship, soldier-

of the rays which we now use for wireless telegraphy was sterile

until reflected through the minds and wills of the people who could

take his truth, and transmit it to the world of action by means of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

mechanical and economic instruments.)

 12^{\star} $\,$ It is no objection that the hypocrite is himself part of Nature. He

is an "endothermic" product, divided against himself, with a tendency to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}$

break up. He will see his own qualities everywhere, and thus obtain a $\,$

radical misconception of phenomena. Most religions of the past have

failed by expecting Nature to conform with their ideals of proper conduct.

27

22. Every individual is essentially sufficient to himself. But he

is unsatisfactory to himself until he has established himself in his

right relation with the Universe.

(Illustration: A microscope, however perfect, is useless in the

hands of savages. A poet, however sublime, must impose himself upon $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

theoretically should be the case.)

23. Magick is the Science of understanding oneself and one's $\operatorname{condi-}$

tions. It is the \mbox{Art} of applying that understanding in action.

(Illustration: A golf club is intended to move a special ball in a

special way in special circumstances. A Niblick should rarely be

used on the tee, or a Brassie under the bank of a bunker. But, also,

the use of any club demands skill and experience.).

 $\,$ 24. Every man has an indefeasible right to be what he is.

(Illustration: To insist that anyone else shall comply with one's own

standards is to outrage, not only him, but oneself, since both parties $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

are equally born of necessity.)

25. Every man must do Magick each time that he acts or even thinks,

since a thought is an internal act whose influence ultimately affects $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

action, thought it may not do so at the time.

(Illustration: The least gesture causes a change in a man's own body $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2,\ldots \right\}$

and in the air around $\mbox{him:}$ it disturbs the balance of the entire

universe and its effects continue eternally throughout all space.

Every thought, however swiftly suppressed, has its effect on the

 $\,$ mind. It stands as one of the causes of every subsequent thought,

and tends to influence every subsequent action. A golfer may lose $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\}$

a few yards on his drive, a few more with his second and third, he

may lie on the green six bare inches too far from the hole; but the

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

stroke, and so probably between having and losing the hole.)

26. Every man has a right, the right of self-preservation, to ful-

fill himself to the utmost.13.

(Illustration: A function imperfectly performed injures, not only

itself, but everything associated with it. If the heart is afraid $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

to beat for fear of disturbing the liver, the liver is starved for

blood, and avenges itself on the heart by upsetting digestion, which

disorders respiration, on which cardiac welfare depends.)

 $\,$ 27. Every man should make Magick the keynote of his life. He should

learn its laws and live by them.

(Illustration: The Banker should discover the real meaning of his

existence, the real motive which led him to choose that profession.

He should understand banking as a necessary factor in the economic

existence of mankind, instead of as merely a business whose objects $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

13* Men of "criminal nature" are simply at issue with their true Wills. The

murderer has the Will-to-live; and his will to murder is a false will at

variance with his true Will, since he risks death at the hands of Society by obeying his criminal impulse.

2.8

are independent of the general welfare. He should learn to distin-

guish false values from real, and to act not on accidental fluctua-

tions but on considerations of essential importance. Such a banker $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

will prove himself superior to others; because he will not be an $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

individual limited by transitory things, but a force of Nature, as $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

impersonal, impartial and eternal as gravitation, as patient and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

irresistible as the tides. His system will not be subject to panic,

any more than the law of Inverse Squares is disturbed by Elections. $\,$

 $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath}\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath}\ens$

his; and for that reason he will be able to direct them with the $\,$

calm, clear-headed confidence of an onlooker, with
intelligence un-

clouded by self-interest and power unimpaired by passion.)

 $28.\ \mbox{Every}$ man has a right to fulfill his own will without being

afraid that it may interfere with that of others; for if he is in

his proper path, it is the fault of others if they interfere with him. (Illustration: If a man like Napoleon were actually appointed by destiny to control Europe, he should not be blamed for exercising his rights. To oppose him would be an error. Anyone so doing would have made a mistake as to his own destiny, except in so far as it might be necessary for him to learn the lessons The sun moves in space without interference. The order of Nature provides a orbit for each star. A clash proves that one or the other has strayed from its course. But as to each man that keeps his true course, the more firmly he acts, the less likely are others to get in his way. His example will help them to find their own paths and pursue them. Every man that becomes a Magician helps others to do likewise. The more firmly and surely men move, and the more such action is accepted as the standard of morality, the less will conflict and confusion hamper humanity.) Well, here endeth the First Lesson. That seems to me to cover the ground fairly well; at least, that is what I have to say when serious analysis is on the agenda. But there is a restricted and conventional sense in which the word may be used without straying too far from the above philosophical position. One might say: -"Magick is the study and use of those forms of energy which are (a) subtler than the ordinary physical-mechanical types, (b) accessible only to those who are (in one sense or another) 'Initiates'." I fear that this may sound rather obscurum per obscurius; but this is one of these cases --we are likely to encounter many such in the course of our researches ---

in which we understand, quite well enough for all practical

purposes,

what we mean, but which elude us more and more successfully the more

accurately we struggle to define their import.

We might fare even worse if we tried to clear things up by making lists

of events in history, tradition, or experience and classifying this as

being, and that as not being, true ${\tt Magick.}$ The borderland cases would

confuse and mislead us.

But --- since I have mentioned history --- I think it might help, if I went straight on to the latter part of your question, and gave

you a brief

29

sketch of Magick past, present and future as it is seen from the inside.

What are the principles of the "Masters"? What are They trying to do?

What have They done in the past? What means do They employ?

As it happens, I have by me a sketch written by M. Gerard Aumont of Tunis $\,$

some twenty years ago, which covers this subject with reasonable adequacy.

I have been at the pains of translating it from his French, I hope not

too much reminiscent of the old traduttore, traditore. I will revise $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

it, divide it (like Gaul) into Three Parts and send it along.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

CHAPTER II

THE NECESSITY OF MAGICK FOR ALL

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Right glad am I to hear that you have been so thoroughly satisfied with my explanation of what Magick is, and on what its theories rest. It is good, too, hearing how much you were interested in the glimpse that you have had of some of its work in the world; more, that you grasped the fact that this apparently recondite and irrelevant information has an immediate bearing on your personal life of today. Still, I was not surprised that you should add: "But why should I make a special study of, and devote my time and energy to acquiring proficiency in, the Science and Art of Magick? Ah, well then, perhaps you have not understood my remarks at one of our earliest interviews as perfectly as you suppose! For the crucial point of my exposition was that Magick is not a matter extraneous to the main current of your life, as music, gardening, or collection jade might be. No, every act of your life is a magical act; whenever from ignorance, carelessness, clumsiness or what not, you come short of perfect artistic success, you inevitably register failure, discomfort, frustration. Luckily for all of us, most of the acts essential to continued life are involuntary; the "unconscious" has become so used to doing its "True Will" that there is no need of interference; when such need arises, we call it disease, and seek to restore the machine to free spontaneous fulfillment of its function. But this is only part of the story. As things are, we have all adventured into an Universe of immeasurable, of incalculable, possibilities, of situations never contemplated by the trend of Evolution. Man is a marine monster; when he decided that it would be better for him somehow to live on land, he had to grow lungs instead of gills. When we want to travel over soft snow, we have to invent ski; when we wish to exchange thoughts, we must arrange a conventional code of sounds, of knots in

string, of

carved or written characters --- in a word --- embark upon the boundless ocean of hieroglyphics or symbols of one sort or another. (Presently I shall have to explain the supreme importance of such systems; in Universe itself is not, and cannot be, anything but an arrangement of 30 symbolic characters!) Here we are, then, caught in a net of circumstances; if we are to do anything at all beyond automatic vegetative living, we must consciously apply ourselves to Magick, "the Science and Art" (let me remind you!) "of causing change to occur in conformity with the Will." Observe that the least slackness or error means that things happen which do not thus conform; when this is so despite our efforts, we are (temporarily) baffled; when it is our own ignorance of what we ought to will, or lack of skill in adapting our means to the right end, then we set up a conflict in our own Nature: our act is suicidal. Such interior struggle is at the base of nearly all neuroses, as Freud recently "discovered" --as if this had not been taught, and taught without his massed errors, by teachers of the past! The Taoist doctrine, in particular, is most precise and most emphatic on this point; indeed, it may seem to some of us to overshoot the mark; for nothing is permissible in that scheme but frictionless adjustment and adaptation to circumstance. "Benevolence and righteousness" are actually deprecated! That any such ideas should ever have existed (says Lao-tse) is merely evidence of the universal disorder. Taoist sectaries appear to assume that Perfection consists in the absence of any disturbance of the Stream of Nescience; and this is

very much like

53

the Buddhist idea of Nibbana.

We who accept the Law of Thelema, even should we concur in this doctrine theoretically, cannot admit that in practice the plan would work out; our aim is that our Nothing, ideally perfect as it is in itself, should enjoy itself through realizing itself in the fulfillment of all possibilities. All such phenomena or "point-events" are equally "illusion"; Nothing is always Nothing; but the projection of Nothing on this screen of the phenomenal does not only explain, but constitutes, the Universe. It is the only system which reconciles all the contradictions inherent in Thought, and in Experience; for in it "Reality" is "Illusion", "Freewill" is "Destiny", the "Self" is the "Not-Self"; and so for every puzzle of Philosophy. Not too bad an analogy is an endless piece of string. Like a driving band, you cannot tie a knot in it; all the complexities you can contrive are "Tom Fool" knots, and unravel at the proper touch. Always either Naught or Two! But every new re-arrangement throws further light on the possible tangles, that is, on the Nature of the String itself. It is always "Nothing" when you pull it out; but becomes "Everything" as you play about with it,14 since there is no limit to the combinations that you can form from it, save only in your imagination (where the whole thing belongs!) and that grows mightily with Experience. It is accordingly well worth while to fulfill oneself in every conceivable manner. It is then (you will say) impossible to "do wrong", since all phenomena are equally "Illusion" and the answer is always "Nothing". In theory one can hardly deny this proposition; but in practice --- how shall I put it? "The state of Illusion which for convenience I call my present consciousness is such that the course of action A is more natural to me that the

course of action B?"

Or: A is a shorter cut to Nothing; A is less likely to create internal conflict.

14* N ñ N = Two or Naught; one is the Magical, the other the

14* N ñ N = Two or Naught; one is the Magical, the other the mystical,

process. You will hear a lot about this one day!

31

Will that serve?

Offer a dog a juicy bone, and a bundle of hay; he will naturally take

the bone, whereas a horse would choose the hay. So, while you happen to

imagine yourself to be a Fair Lady seeking the Hidden Wisdom, you come to

me; if you thought you were a Nigger15 Minstrel, you would play the banjo,

and sing songs calculated to attract current coin of the Realm from a

discerning Public! The two actions are ultimately identical - see AL I,

 $22\ \text{-}$ and your perception of that fact would make you an Initiate of very

high standing; but in the work-a-day world, you are "really" the Fair

Lady, and leave the minstrel to grow infirm and old and hire an orphan

boy to carry his banjo!

Now then, what bothers me it this: Have I or have I not explained this $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

matter of "Magick" - "Why should I (who have only just heard of it, at $\ensuremath{\text{S}}$

;east as a serious subject of study) acquire a knowledge of its principles,

and of the powers conferred by its mastery?" Must I bribe you with pro- $\,$

mises of health, wealth, power over others, knowledge, thaumaturgical

I hope there is no such need - and yet, shall I confess it?
- it was only

because all the "good things of life" were suddenly seen of me to be worth-

less, that I took the first steps towards the attainment of that Wisdom

which, while enjoying to the full the "Feast of Life," guarantees me against

surfeit, poison or interruption by the knowledge that it is all a Dream,

and gives me the Power to turn that dream at will into any form that hap- $\,$

pens to appeal to my Inclination.

Let me sum up, very succinctly; as usual, my enthusiasm has lured me into

embroidering my sage discourse with Poets' Imagery!

Why should you study and practice Magick? Because you can't help doing

it, and you had better do it well than badly. You are on the links,

whether you like it or not; why go on topping your drive, and slicing

your brassie, and fluffing your niblick, and pulling your iron, and socket-

ing your mashie and not being up with your putt - that's 6, and you are

not allowed to pick up. It's a far cry to the Nineteenth, and the ${\rm sky}$

threatens storm before the imminent night.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

CHAPTER III

HIEROGLYPHICS: LIFE AND LANGUAGE NECESSARILY SYMBOLIC

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Very natural, the irritation in your last! You write: ---

"But why? Why all this elaborate symbolism? Why not say straight out

15^ WEH NOTE: Expound here a bit to clarify Crowley's attitude toward race. refer to Chapter LXXIII.

32

what you mean? Surely the subject is difficult enough in any case --- must you put on a mask to make it clear? I know you well enough by now to be sure that you will not fob me off with any Holy-Willie nonsense about the ineffable, about human language being inadequate to reveal such Mysteries, about the necessity of constructing a new language to explain a new system of thought; of course I know that this had to be done in the case of chemistry, of higher mathematics, indeed of almost all technical subjects; but I feel that you have some other, deeper explanation in reserve. After all, most of what I am seeking to learn from you has been familiar to many of the great minds of humanity for many centuries. Indeed, the Qabalah is a special language, and that is old enough; there is not much new material to fit into that structure. But why did they, in the first place, resort to this symbolic jargon?" You put it very well; and when I think it over, I feel far from sure that the explanation which I am about to inflict upon you will satisfy you, or even whether it will hold water! In the last resort, I shall have to maintain that we are justified by experience, by the empirical success in communicating thought which has attended, and continues to attend, our endeavors. But to give a complete answer, I shall have to go back to the beginning, and restate the original problem; and I beg that you will not suppose that I am evading the question, or adopting the Irish method of answering it by another, though I know it may sound as if I were. Let me set out by restating our original problem; what we want is Truth; we want an even closer approach to Reality; and we want to discover and discuss the proper means of achieving this object. Very good; let us start by the simplest of all possible enquiries --- and the most difficult --- "What is anything?" "What do we know?" and other questions that spring naturally from these.

```
I see a tree..

I hear it --- rustling or creaking in the wind.

I touch it --- hard.

I smell it --- acrid.

I taste it --- bitter.

Now all the information given by these five senses has to be
```

put together, although no two agree in any sort of way. The logic by which we build up

our complex idea of a tree has more holes than a sponge.

But this is to jump far ahead: we must first analyze the single, simple $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

impression. "I see a tree." This phenomenon is what is called a "point-

event." It is the coming together of the two, the seer and the seen. It

is single and simple; yet we cannot conceive of either of them as any-

thing but complex. And the Point-Event tells us nothing whatever about $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

either; both, as Herbert Spencer and God knows how many others have

shown, unknowable; it stands by itself, alone and aloof. It has happened;

it is undeniably Reality. Yet we cannot confirm it; for it can never

happen again precisely the same. What is even more bewildering is that

since it takes time for the eye to convey an impression to the conscious-

ness (it may alter in 1,000 ways in the process!) all that really exists $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{$

is a memory of the Point-Event. not the Point-Event itself. what then is

this Reality of which we are so sure? Obviously, it has not got a name,

since it never happened before, or can happen again! To discuss it at

33

all we must invent a name, and this name (like all names) cannot possibly be anything more than a symbol.

Even so, as so often pointed out, all we do is to "record the behaviour

of our instruments." Nor are we much better off when we've done it; for

58

our symbol, referring as it does to a phenomenon unique in itself, and

not to be apprehended by another, can mean nothing to one's neighbors.

What happens, of course, is that similar, though not identical, Point-

Events happen to many of us, and so we are able to construct a symbolic

language. My memory of the mysterious Reality resembles yours suffi-

ciently to induce us to agree that both belong to the same class.

But let me furthermore ask you to reflect on the formation of language

itself. Except in the case of onomato-poetic words and a few others,

there is no logical connection between a thing and the sound of our name

for it. "Bow-wow" is a more rational name than " \log ", which is a mere

convention agreed on by the English, while other nations prefer chien,

hund, cane, kalb, kutta and so on. All symbols, you see, my dear child,

and it's no good your kicking!

But it doesn't stop there. When we try to convey thought by writing, we

are bound to sit down solidly, and construct a holy Qabalah out of nothing.

Why would a curve open to the right, sound like the ocean, open at the

top, like you? And all these arbitrary symbolic letters are combined by

just as symbolic and arbitrary devices to take on conventional meanings,

these words again combined into phrases by no less highhanded a procedure.

And then folk wonder how it is that there should be error and misunder-

standing in the transmission of thought from one person to another! $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

Rather regard it as a miraculous intervention of Providence when even $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

one of even the simplest ideas "gets across." Now then, this being so,

it is evidently good sense to construct one's own alphabet, with one's $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

own very precise definitions, in order to handle an abstruse and techni- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

cal subject like Magick. The "ordinary" words such as God, self, soul,

spirit and the rest have been used so many thousand times in so many

thousand ways, usually by writers who knew not, or cared not for the

necessity of definition that to use them to-day in any scientific essay is almost ludicrous.

That is all, just now, sister; no more of your cavilling, please; sit

down quietly with your 777, and get it by heart!

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

CHAPTER IV

THE QABALAH, THE BEST TRAINING FOR MEMORY

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Now you must learn Qabalah. Learn this Alphabet of Magick.

take it on trust, as a child does his own alphabet. No one has ever

34

found out why the order of the letters is what it is. Probably there isn't any answer.

If you only knew what I am grappling with in the Yi King! the order of

the sixty-four hexagrams. I am convinced that it is extremely signifi-

cant, that it implies a sublime system of philosophy. I've got far enough

to be absolutely sure that there is a necessary rhythm ; and it's killing

me by millimetres, finding out why each pair succeeds the last. Forgive these tears!

But our Magical Alphabet is primarily not letters, but figures, not sounds

but mathematical ideas. Sir Humphrey Davyl6, coming out of his famous

illumination (with some help from Nitrous Oxide he got in) exclaimed:

The Universe is composed solely of ideas. We, analyzing this a little,

say: The Universe is a mathematical expression.

Sir James Jeans might have said this, only his banker advised him to cash

in on God. The simplest form of this expression is 0 = 2, elsewhere

expounded at great length. This 2 might itself be expressed in an indefin-

itely great number of ways. Every prime number, including some not in the

series of "natural numbers", is an individual. The other numbers with

perhaps a few exceptions (e.g. 41817) are composed of their primes.

Each of these ideas may be explained, investigated, understood, by means

very various. Firstly, the Hebrew, Greek and Arabic numbers are also

letters. Then, each of these letters is further described by one of the

(arbitrarily composed) "elements of Nature;" the Four (or Five) Elements,

the Seven (or Ten) Planets, and the Twelve Signs of the Zodiac.

All these are arranged in a geometrical design composed of ten "Sephiroth"

(numbers) and twenty-two "paths" joining them; this is called the Tree of Life.

Every idea soever can be, and should be, attributed to one or more of

these primary symbols; thus green, in different shades, is a quality or

function of Venus, the Earth, the Sea, Libra, and others. So also abstract

ideas; dishonesty means "an afflicted Mercury," generosity a good, though

not always strong, Jupiter; and so on.

The Tree of Life has got to be learnt by heart; you must know it back-

wards, forwards, sideways, and upside down; it must become the automatic

background of all your thinking. You must keep on hanging everything

that comes your way upon its proper bough.

61

At first, of course, all this is dreadfully confusing; but persist, and a time will come when all the odd bits fit into the jig-saw, and you behold --- with what adoring wonder! --- the marvellous beauty and symmetry of the Qabalistic system.

And then --- what a weapon you will have forged!

16° WEH NOTE: Option to add a comment of Humphrey Davy and the invention of

modern anesthesia to clarify the reference. On the occasion of a Nitrous

Oxide party, such as he catered, he chanced to note that one of the

participants had taken injury but felt no pain. This led to the practice

of administrating anesthetics to patients in operations, and gave the time $% \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}$

in surgery to perfect modern procedural medicine.

17^^ WEH NOTE: 418 = give the prime factors.

35

What power to analyze, to order, to manipulate your thinking!

And please remember when people compliment you on your memory or the clarity of your thought, to give credit to the Qabalah!

That's fine, I seem to hear you purr; that looks a lovely machine. The

Design is just elegant; that scarf-pin of yours is perfectly sweet.

There's only one point: how to make the damn thing work?

Ah yes, like the one in the Apocalypse, the sting is in your tail.

Honest, you needn't worry; it works on ball-bearings, and there's always $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\} =0$

those "Thirteen Fountains of Magnificent Oil flowing down the Beard of

 ${\tt Macroprosopus"}$ in case it creaks a little at first. But seriously, all

the mathematics you need is simple Addition and Multiplication.

"Yeah!" you rudely reply. "That's what you think; but you haven't got very far in the Qabalah!" Too true, sister. The Book of the Law itself insists upon the fact that it contains a Qabalah which was beyond me at the time of its dictation, is beyond me now, and always will be beyond me in this incarnation. Let me direct your spiritual attention to AL I, 54; I, 56; II, 54-55; II, 76; III, 47. Now there was enough comprehensible at the time to assure me that the Author of the Book knew at least as much Qabalah as I did: I discovered subsequently more than enough to make it certain without error that he knew a very great deal more, and that of an altogether higher order, than I knew; finally, such glimmerings of light as time and desperate study have thrown on many other obscure passages, to leave no doubt whatever in my mind that he is indeed the supreme Qabalist of all time "I asked you how to work it." Don't be so peevish, querulous, and impatient; your zeal is laudable, but it's wasting your own time to hurry me. Well, when you've got this Alphabet of Numbers (in its proper shape) absolutely by heart, with as many sets of attributions as you can commit to memory without getting confused, you may try a few easy exercises, beginning with the past. ("How many sets of attributions?" - Well, certainly, the Hebrew and Greek Alphabets with the names and numbers of each letter, and its meaning: a couple of lists of God-names, with a clear idea of the character, qualities, functions, and importance of each; the "Kingscale" of colour, all the Tarot attributions, of course; then animals, plants, drugs, perfumes, a list or two of archangels, angels, intelligences and spirits ---

63

that ought to be enough for a start.)

Now you are armed! Ask yourself: why is the influence of Tiphareth

transmitted to Yesod by the Path of Samekh, a fence, 60, Sagittarius,

the Archer, Art, blue - and so on; but to Hod by the Path of Ayin, an $\,$

eye, 70, Capricornus, the Goat, the Devil, Indigo, K.T.

36

Thirteen is the number of Achad {Hebrew option}, Unity, and Ahebah {Hebrew

option}, Love; then what word

should arise when you expand it by the Creative Dyad, and get 26; what

when you multiply it by 4, and get 52? Then, suppose the Pentagram gets

busy, $13 \times 5 = 65$, what then?

Now don't you dare to come round crawling to me for the answers; work

it out yourself what sort of words they ought to be, and then check $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

your result by looking up those numbers in the Sepher Sephiroth:

Equinox Vol. I, No. 8, Supplement.

When you are a real adept at all these well-known calculations "prepare

to enter the Immeasurable Region" and dig out the Unknown.

You must construct your own Qabalah!

Nobody can do it for you. What is your own true Number? You must find

it and prove it to be correct. In the course of a few years, you should

have built yourself a Palace of Ineffable Glory, a Garden of Indescrib-

able Delight. Nor Time nor Fate can tame those tranquil towers, those

Minarets of Music, or fade one blossom in those avenues of Perfume!

Humph! Nasty of me: but it has just stuck me that it might be just as

well if you made a Sepher Sephiroth of your own! What a positively

beastly thing to suggest! However, I do suggest it.

After all, it's simple enough. Every word you come across, add it up,

stick it down against that number in a book kept for the purpose. That

may seem tedious and silly; why should you do all over again the work

that I have already done for you? Reason: simple. Doing it will teach

you Qabalah as nothing else could. Besides, you won't be all cluttered

up with words that mean nothing to you; and if it should happen that you

want a word to explain some particular number, you can look it up in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$

Sepher Sephiroth.

By this method, too, you may strike a rich vein of words of your own

that I have altogether missed.

No doubt, a Really Great Teacher would have said: "Beware! Use my

Dictionary, and mine alone! All others are spurious!" But then I'm not $\parbox{\footnotement{\foot$

a R.G.T. of that kind.

For a start, of course, you should put down the words that are bound to

come in your way in any case: numbers like 11, 13, 31, 37, and their $\,$

multiples; the names of God and the principal angels; the planetary

and geomantic names; and your own private and particular name with its $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

branches. After that, let your work on the Astral Plane guide you.

When investigating the name and other words communicated to you by such

beings as you meet there, or invoke, many more will come up in their

proper connections. Very soon you will have quite a nice little Sepher $\,$

Sephiroth of your very own. Remember to aim, above all things, at coherence.

It is excellent practice, but the way, to do some mental arithmetic on $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

your walks; acquire the habit of adding up any names that you have come

across in your morning's reading. Nietzsche has well observed that the

best thoughts come by walking; and it has happened to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{me}}\xspace,$ more than

once or twice, that really important correspondences have come , as by

37

a flashlight, when I was padding the old hoof.

You will have noticed that in this curt exposition I have confined $\ensuremath{\mathsf{myself}}$

to Gematria, the direct relation of number and work, omitting any refer-

ence to Notariqon, the accursed art of making words out of initials,

like (in profane life) Wren and Gestapo and their horrid brood, or to

Temurah, the art of altering the position of the letters in a word, a

sort of cipher; for these are almost always frivolous. To base any

serious calculations on them would be absurd.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

P.S. You should study the Equinox Vol. I, No. 5, "The Temple of Solomon $\,$

the King" for a more elaborate exposition of the Qabalah.

CHAPTER V

THE UNIVERSE. THE 0 = 2 EQUATION

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Yes, I admit everything! It is all my fault. Looking over my past writ-

ings, I do see that $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ only one-opointed attempt to set forth a sound

ontology was my early fumbling letter brochure Berashith18. Since then,

I seem to have kept assuming that everybody knew all about it; referring $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

to it, quoting it, but never sitting down seriously to demonstrate the

thesis, or even to state it in set terms. Chapter 0 of Magick in Theory $\ \ \,$

and Practice skates gently over it; the "Naples Arrangement" in The

66

Book of Thoth dodges it with really diabolical ingenuity. I ask $\ensuremath{\mathsf{myself}}$

why. It is exceedingly strange, because every time I think of the Equa-

tion, I am thrilled with a keen glow of satisfaction that this sempiternal

Riddle of the Sphinx should have been answered at last.

So then let me now give myself the delight, and you the comfort, of stat-

ing the problem from its beginning, and proving the soundness of the

solution --- of showing that the contradiction of this Equation is unthink-

able. --- Are you ready? Forward! Paddle!

A. We are aware.

- B. We cannot doubt the existence (whether "real" or "illusory" makes no difference) of something, because doubt itself is a form of awareness.
- C. We lump together all that of which we are aware under the convenient name of "Existence", or "The Universe". Cosmos is not so

good for this
purpose; that word implies "order", which in the present
stage of our

argument, is a mere assumption.

D. We also tend to think of the Universe as containing things of which we are not aware; but this is altogether unjustifiable, although it is

difficult to think at all without making some such assumption. For

18* See Crowley, Collected Works.

38

instance, one may come upon a new branch of knowledge --- say, histology

or Hammurabi or the language of the Iroquois or the poems of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Herma}}\xspace$

phrodite of Panormita. It seems to be there all ready waiting for us;

we simply cannot believe that we are making it all up as we go along.

For all that, it is sheer sophistry; we may merely be unfolding the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

contents of our own minds. Then again, does a thing cease to exist if we

forget it? The answer is that one cannot be sure.

Personally, I feel convinced of the existence of an Universe outside $\ensuremath{\mathsf{m}} \ensuremath{\mathsf{y}}$

own immediate awareness; but it is true, even so, that it does not exist

for me unless and until it takes its place as part of my consciousness.

 ${\tt E.}$ All this paragrpah ${\tt D}$ is in the nature of a digression, for what you

may think of it does not at all touch the argument of this letter. But

it had to be put in, just to prevent your mind from raising irrelevant

objections. Let me continue, then, from C.

F. Something is 19. This something appears incalculably vast and complex.

How did it come to be?

This, briefly, is the "Riddle of the Universe," which has been always the $\,$

 ${\tt G.}$ The orthodox idiot answer, usually wrapped up in obscure terms in the

hope of concealing from the enquirer the fact that it is not an answer

at all, but an evasion, is: God created it.

Then, obviously, who created God? Sometimes we have a Demiurge, a creative

 God behind whom is an eternal formless $\operatorname{Greatness}$ --- anything to $\operatorname{confuse}$

the issue!

Sometimes the Universe is supported by an elephant; he, in turn, stands

on a tortoise . . . by that time it is hoped that the enquirer is too $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

tired and muddled to ask what holds up the tortoise.

Sometimes, a great Father and Mother crystallize out of some huge cloudy

confusion of "Elements" – and so on. But nobody answers the question; $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

at least, none of these God-inventing mules, with their incurably common-place minds.

H. Serious philosophy has always begun by discarding all these pueril-

ities. It has of necessity been divided into these schools: the Nihilist,

the Monist, and the Dualist.

I. The last of these is, on the surface, the most plausible; for almost

the first thing that we notice on inspecting the Universe is what the

Hindu schools call "the Pairs of Opposites."

This too, is very convenient, because it lends itself so readily to ortho-

dox theology; so we have Ormuzd and Ahriman, the Devas and the Asuras,

Osiris and Set, et cetera and da capo, personifications of " Good " and

"Evil." The foes may be fairly matched; but more often the tale tells

of a revolt in heaven. In this case, "Evil" is temporary; soon, espe-

cially with the financial help of the devout, the "devil" will be "cast

into the Bottomless Pit" and "the Saints will reign with Christ in glory

19* You must read The Soldier an The Hunchback: ! and ? in the Equinox $\,$

I, 1.

39

for ever and ever, Amen!" Often a "redeemer," a "dying God," is needed to secure victory to Omnipotence; and this is usually what

little vulgar

boys might call a "touching story!"

 ${\tt J.}$ The Monist (or Advaitist) school, is at once subtler and more refined;

it seems to approach the ultimate reality (as opposed to the superficial $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

examination of the Dualists) more closely.

It seems to me that this doctrine is based upon a sorites of $\mbox{doubtful}$

validity. To tell you the hideously shameful truth, I hate this doc-

trine so rabidly that I can hardly trust myself to present it fairly!

But I will try. Meanwhile, you can study it in the Upanishads, in the Bhagavad-Gita, in Ernst Haeckel's The Riddle of the Universe, and dozens of other classics. The dogma appears to excite its dithyrambs. I have to admit the "poetry" of the idea; but there is something in me which vehemently rejects it with excruciating and vindictive violence. Possibly, this is because part of our own system runs parallel with the first equations of theirs. K. The Monists perceive quite clearly and correctly that it is absurd to answer the question "How came these Many things (of which we are aware) to be?" by saying that they came from Many; and "Many" in tion includes Two. The Universe must therefore be a single phenomenon: make it eternal and all the rest of it --- i.e. remove all limit of any kind --- and the Universe explains itself. How then can Opposites exist, as we observe them to do? Is it not the very essence of our original Sorites that the Many must be reducible to the One? They see how awkward this is; so the "devil" of the Dualist is emulsified and evaporated into "illusion;" what they call "Maya" or some equivalent term. "Reality" for them consists solely of Brahman, the supreme Being "without quantity or quality." They are compelled to deny him all attributes, even that of Existence; for to do so would instantly limit them, and so hurl them headlong back in to Dualism. All that of which we are aware must obviously possess limits, or it could have no intelligible meaning for us; if we want "pork," we must specify its qualities and quantities; at the very least, we must be able to distinguish it from "that-whichis-not-pork." But - one moment, please! L. There is in Advaitism a most fascinating danger; that is that, up to a certain point, "Religious Experience" tends to support

this theory.

A word on this. Vulgar minds, such as are happy with a personal God,

Vishnu, Jesus, Melcarth, Mithras, or another, often excite themselves -

call it "Energized Enthusiasm" if you want to be sarcastic!
--- to the

point of experiencing actual Visions of the objects of their devotion.

But these people have not so much as asked themselves the original $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

question of "How come?" which is our present subject. Sweep them into

the discard!

 ${\tt M.}$ Beyond Vishvarupadarshana, the vision of the Form of Vishnu, beyond

that yet loftier vision which corresponds in Hindu classification to our

"Knowledge and Conversation of the Holy Guardian Angel", is that called $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Can}}$

Atmadarshana, the vision (or apprehension, a much better word) of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{W}}$

Universe as a single phenomenon, outside all limitations, whether of

time, space, causality, or what not.

40

Very good, then! Here we are with direct realization of the Advaitist

theory of the Universe. Everything fits perfectly. Also, when I say $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2,\ldots \right\}$

"realization," I want you to understand that I mean what I say in a

sense so intense and so absolute that it is impossible to convey my

meaning to anyone who has not undergone that experience20.

How do we judge the "reality" of an ordinary impression upon conscious-

ness? Chiefly by its intensity, but its persistence, by the fact that $\ensuremath{\mathsf{L}}$

nobody can argue us out of our belief in it. As people said of Berkeley's $\,$

'Idealism' - "his arguments are irrefutable but they fail to carry con-

viction." No sceptical, no idealist queries can persuade us that a kick $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

in the pants is not 'real' in any reasonable sense of the word. More- $\,$

over memory reassures us. However vivid a dream may be at the time,

however it may persist throughout the years (though it is rare for any

dream, unless frequently repeated, or linked to waking impressions by

some happy conjunction of circumstances, to remain long in the mind with

any clear-cut vision) it is hardly ever mistaken for an event of actual

life. Good: then, as waking life is to dream, so --- yes, more so! --- is

Religious Experience as above described to that life common to all of

us. It is not merely easy, it is natural, not merely natural, but inevi-

table, for anyone who has experienced "Samadhi" (this word conveniently

groups the higher types of vision21) to regard normal life as "illusion" $\,$

by comparison with this state in which all problems are resolved, all

doubts driven out, all limitations abolished.

But even beyond Atmadarshana comes the experience called Sivadarshana22,

in which this Atman (or Brahman), this limit-destroying Universe, is $\frac{1}{2}$

itself abolished and annihilated.

(And, with its occurrence, smash goes the whole of the Advaitist theory!)

It is a commonplace to say that no words can describe this final destruc-

tion. Such is the fact; and there is nothing one can do about it but

put it down boldly as I have done above. It does not matter to our

present purpose; all that we need to know is that the strongest prop of

the Monist structure has broken off short.

Moreover, is it really adequate to postulate an origin of the Universe,

as they inevitably do? Merely to deny that there ever was a beginning

by saying that this "one" is eternal fails to satisfy me.

What is very much worse, I cannot see that to call Evil "illusion" helps

us at all. When the Christian Scientist hears that his wife has been

savagely mauled by her Peke, he has to smile, and say that "there is a

claim of error." Not good enough.

N. It has taken a long while to clear the ground. That I $\mbox{\rm did}$ not

expect; the above propositions are so familiar to me, they run so

cleanly through my mind, that, until I came to set them down in order,

I had no idea what a long and difficult business it all was.

Still, it's a long lane, etc. We have seen that "Two" (or "Many") are

20* I have discussed this and the following points very fully in Book $4\,$

Part I, pp. 63-89

21* "Vision" is a dreadfully bad word for it; "trance" is better, but

idiots always mix it up with hypnotism.

22** Possibly almost identical with the Buddhist Neroda-Samapatti.

41

unsatisfactory as origin, if only because they can always be reduced to

"One"; and "One" itself is no better, because, among other things, it

finds itself forced to deny the very premises on which it was founded.

Shall we be any better off if we assume that "Ex nihilo nihil fit" is $\ensuremath{\text{[Therefore]}}$

a falsehood, that the origin of All Things is Nothing? Let us see!

O. Shall we first glance at the mathematical aspect of Nothing?

(Including its identical equation in Logic.) This I worked out so long

ago as 1902 e.g. in Berashith, which you will find reprinted in The $\,$

Sword of Song, and in my Collected Works, Vol. I.

The argument may be summarized as follows.

When, in the ordinary way of business, we write ${\tt 0}$, we should really

write 0n23. For 0 implies that the subject is not extended in any dimen-

sion under discussion. Thus a line may be two feet in length, but in

breadth and depth the coefficient is Zero. We could describe it as 2f + 0b + 0d, or n2f + 0b + 0d.

What I proposed in considering "What do we mean by Nothing?" was to

consider every possible quality of any object as a dimension.

For instance, one might describe this page as being nf + n'b + n"d + 0

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} redness + \tt) & \tt0 & \ttamiability + \tt0 & velocity + \tt0 & potential & \ttand & \ttso \\ \tt on, & \tt until & \tt you \\ \end{tabular}$

had noted and measured all the qualities it possesses, and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{excluded}}$ all

that it does not. For convenience, we may write this expression as

Xf+b+d+r+a+v+p --- using the initials of the qualities which we call dimensions.

Just one further explanation in pure mathematics. To interpret X1,

X1+1 or X2, and so on, we assume the reference to be to spatial dimen-

sions. Thus suppose ${\tt X1}$ to be a line a foot long, ${\tt X2}$ will be a plane a

foot square, and X3 a cube measuring a foot in each dimension. But

what about X4? There are no more spatial dimensions. Modern mathemat-

ics has (unfortunately, I think) agreed to consider this fourth dimen-

sion as time. Well, and X5? To interpret this expression, we may

begin to consider other qualities, such as electric capacity, colour,

moral attributes, and so on. But this remark, although necessary,

leads us rather away from our main thesis instead of toward it.

P. What happens when we put a minus sign before the index (that small

letter up on the right) instead of a plus? Quite simple. 23 $^{\rm MEH}$ NOTE: Add comments to distinguish indices (Abstract Algebra) from

{Keynote: I shouldn't, but as a physicist, I have to say} {that Crowley is giving an erroneous layman's opinion } {and his usage of math notation cannot be considered } {correct. These expressions are ok as text, but not as } {math without redefinition through Abstract Algebra, a } {field Crowley appears not to know by name. The ideas } {are valid, but the expressions are misleading. It might} {be wise to add a footnote about the notation being non-} {traditional. Notably, this line defies Pythagoras!

powers of numbers.

{Crowley's notation with superscripts is the problem. {It looks like powers of numbers instead of indices. {He probably intended indices, but didn't know how to {represent them or flag them in typography.

42

x2 = X1+1 = X1 + X1. With a minus, we divide instead of multiplying.

Thus, X3-2 = X3 ö X2 = X1, just as if you had merely subtracted the 2

from the 3 in the index.

Now, at last, we come to the point of real importance to our thesis:

how shall we interpret XO? We may write it, obviously, as X1-1 or

Xn-n. Good, divide. Then X1 \ddot{o} X1 = 1. This is the same, clearly

enough, whatever X may be.

 ${\tt Q.}$ Ah, but what we started to do was discover the meaning of Nothing.

It is not correct to write it simply as 0; for that 0 implies an index

01, or 02, or 0n. And if our Nothing is to be absolute Nothing, then $\,$

there is not only no figure, but no index either. So we must write it as 00.

What is the value of this expression? We proceed as before; divide.

 $0 = 0n - n = 0n \ \ddot{o} \ 0n = -- \ x \ --. \ Of course \ 0n \ \ddot{o} \ 1$ remains 0;

1 0n

but 1 \ddot{o} On = \hat{i} {Keynote: this last is an elongated infinity symbol}.

That is, we have a clash of the "infinitely great" with the "infinitely

 ${\tt small;"}$ that knocks out the "infinity" (and Advaitism with it!) and

leaves us with an indeterminate but finite number of utter variety.

That is: 00 can only be interpreted as "The Universe that we know."

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{R}}.$ So much for one demonstration. Some people have found fault with

the algebra; but the logical Equivalent is precisely parallel. Suppose I wish to describe my study in one respect: I can say "No dogs are in my study, " or "Dogs are not in my study." I can make a little diagram: D is the world of dogs; S is my study. Here it is: The squares are quite separate. The whole world outside the square D is the world of no dogs: outside the square S, the world of no-study.24 But suppose now that I want to make the Zero absolute, like our 00, I must say "No dogs are not in my study." Or, "There is no absence-of-dog in my study." That is the same as saying: "Some doge are in my study;" diagram again: 25 In Diagram 1, 26 "the world where no dogs are" included the whole of my study; in Diagram 2 that absence-of-dog is no longer there; so one or more of them must have got in somehow. That's that; I know it may be a little difficult at first; fortunately there is a different way --- the Chinese way --- of stating the theorem in very much simpler terms. S. The Chinese, like ourselves, begin with the idea of "Absolute Nothing." They "make an effort, and call it the Tao;" but that is exactly what 24^ ¿ÄÄÄÙ ¿ÄÄÄÙ { 3 D 3 3 S 3 lute>} ÀÄÄÄÙ ÀÄÄÄÙ 25^{Keynote: Same two labeled squares, but this time the} {square with S overlaps lower right of D square at an angle} {--gratuitious comment: Crowley's language is invalid but diagrams ok} 26^{Keynote: need to label these two figures} 43 the Tao comes to mean, when we examine it. They see quite well, as we

```
have done above, that merely to assert Nothing is not to
explain the
Universe; and they proceed to do so by means of a
mathematical equation
even simpler than ours, involving as it does no operations
beyond simple
addition and subtraction. They say "Nothing obviously means
Nothing;
it has no qualities nor quantities." (The Advaitists27 said
the same, and
then stultified themselves completely by calling it One!)
"But," con-
tinue the sages of the Middle Kingdom, "it is always
possible to reduce
any expression to Nothing by taking any two equal and
opposite terms."
(Thus n = (-n) = 0.) "We ought therefore to be able to get
any expres-
sion that we want from Nothing; we merely have to be careful
terms shall be precisely opposite and equal." (0 = n + (-1)^n)
n). This then
they did, and began to diagrammatize the Universe as the Œ
{S.B. cap "I"} - a
pair of
opposites, the Yang or active male, and the Yin or passive
Female,
principles. They represented the Yang by an unbroken ( ----
--- ), the Yin
by a broken ( --- --- ), line. (The first manifestation in
Nature of these
two is Thfi Yang, the Sun, and the Thfi Yin, the Moon.)
This being a little
large and loose, they doubled these lines, and obtained the
four Hsiang.
They then took them three at a time, and got the eight Kwa.
represent the development from the original @ {S.B. cap "I"}
to the Natural
Order of
the Elements.
I shall call the male principle M, the Female F.
M.1. ----- Khien "Heaven-Father" F.1. -- -- Khwtn
"Earth-Mother"
    -----
                                         -- --
     _____
                                         -- --
M.2. ---- LŒ The Sun
                                   F.2. -- -- Khfn The
Moon
M.3. -- -- K†n Fire
                                   F.3. -- -- Tui Water
    -- --
                                         -----
     ----
                                         _____
```

M.4. ----- Sun Air F.4. ----- K†n Earth

Note how admirably they have preserved the idea of balance. M 1 and

F.1. are perfection. M.2. and F.2. still keep balance in their lines.

The four "elements" show imperfection; yet they are all balanced as

against each other. Note, too, how apt are the ideograms. $\ensuremath{\text{M.3.}}$ shows

the flames flickering on the hearth, F.3., the wave on the solid bottom $\,$

of the sea; M.4., the mutable air, with impenetrable space above, and

finally F.4., the thin crust of the earth masking the interior energies

of the planet. They go in to double these $\mathrm{Kw}f$, thus reaching the sixty-

four Hexagrams of the YC King, which is not only a Map, but a History $\,$

of the Order of Nature.

It is pure enthusiastic delight in the Harmony and Beauty of the System

that has led me thus far afield; my one essential purpose is to show

how the Universe was derived by these Wise Men from Nothing. 27^ WEH NOTE: Do an Arthur Avalon plug here, highlighting his "Garland of Letters"

44

When you have assimilated these two sets of Equations, when you have

understood how 0 = 2 is the unique, the simple, and the necessary solu- $\,$

tion of the Riddle of the Universe, there will be, in a sense, little

more for you to learn about the Theory of Magick.

You should, however, remember most constantly that the equation of the

Universe, however complex it may seem, inevitably reels out to Zero;

for to accomplish this is the formula of your Work as a $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Mystic}}.$ To

remind you, and to amplify certain points of the above, let me quote from Magick pp. 152-3 footnote 2. "All elements must at one time have been separate --- that would be the case with great heat. Now when atoms get to the sun, we get that immense extreme heat, and all the elements are themselves again. Imagine that each atom of each element possesses the memory of all his adventures in combination. By the way, that atom (fortified with that memory) would not be the same atom; yet it is, because it has gained nothing from anywhere except this memory. Therefore, by the lapse of time, and by virtue of memory, a thing could become something more than itself; thus a real development is possible. One can then see a reason for any element deciding to go through this series of incarnations, because so, and only so, can he go; and he suffers the lapse of memory which during these incarnations, because he knows he will come through unchanged. "Therefore you can have an infinite number of gods, individual and equal though diverse, each one supreme and utterly indestructible. This is also the only explanation of how a "Perfect Being" could create a world in which war, evil, etc., exist. God is only an appearance, because (like "good") it cannot affect the substance itself, but only multiply its combinations. This is something the same as mystic monotheism; but all parts of himself, so that their interplay is false. If we presuppose many elements, their interplay is natural. "It is no objection to this theory to ask who made the elements --- the elements are at least there, and God, when you look for him, is not there. Theism is obscurum per obscurius. A male star is built up from the centre outwards; a female from the circumference inwards. This is what is meant when we say that woman has no soul. It explains fully the difference between the sexes."

Every "act of love under will" has the dual result (1) the creation of

a child combining the qualities of its parents, (2) the withdrawal by

ecstasy into Nothingness. Please consult what I have elsewhere written

on "The Formula of Tetagrammaton;" the importance of this at the $\ensuremath{\text{the}}$

moment is to show how 0 and 2 appear constantly in Nature as the $\operatorname{\texttt{common}}$

Order of Events.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

CHAPTER VI

THE THREE SCHOOLS OF MAGICK (I)

45

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Here is the first section of M. Gerard Aumont's promised essay28; it was

originally called "The Three Schools of Magick". (Don't be cross,

please, because it is not in the form of a personal letter!)

There is today much misunderstanding of the meaning of the term "Magick".

Many attempts have been made to define it, but perhaps the best for our

present purpose of historical-ideological exposition will be this ${\mathord{\text{--}}}$

Magick is the Science of the Incommensurables.

This is one of the many restricted uses of the word; one suited to

the present purpose.

It is particularly to be noted that Magick, so often mixed up in the $\,$

popular idea of a religion, has nothing to do with it. It is, in fact,

the exact opposite of religion; it is, even more than Physical Science, its irreconcilable enemy.

80

let us define this difference clearly.

Magick investigates the laws of Nature with the idea of making use of

them. It only differs from "profane" science by always keeping ahead

of it. As Fraser29 has shown, Magick is science in the tentative stage;

but it may be, and often is, more than this. It is science which, for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

one reason or another, cannot be declared to the profane.

Religion, on the contrary, seeks to ignore the laws of Nature, or to

escape them by appeal to a postulated power which is assumed to have

laid them down. The religious man is, as such, incapable of understand-

ing what the laws of Nature really are. (They are generalizations from $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

the order of observed fact.)

The History of Magick has never been seriously attempted. For one

reason, only initiates pledged to secrecy know much about it; for

another, every historian has been talking about some more or less con-

ventional idea of Magick, not of the thing itself. But Magick has led

the world from before the beginning of history, if only for the reason

that Magick has always been the mother of Science. It is, therefore,

of extreme importance that some effort should be made to ${\tt understand}$

something of the subject; and there is, therefore, no apology necessary

for essaying this brief outline of its historical aspects.

There have always been, at least in nucleus, three main Schools of

Philosophical practice. (We use the word "philosophical" in the old

good broad sense, as in the phrase "Philosophical Transactions of the $\,$

Royal Society for the Advancement of Knowledge.")

It is customary to describe these three Schools as Yellow, Black, and

White. The first thing necessary is to warn the reader that they must

81

by no means be confounded with racial distinctions of colour; and they correspond still less with conventional symbols such as yellow caps, yellow robes, black magick, white witchcraft, and the like. The danger
28* A few amendments - very few - have been necessitated by the lapse of time.
29^ WEH NOTE: Mention Fraser source, locate it in G.B.

46

is only the greater that these analogies are often as alluring as the prove on examination to be misleading.

These Schools represent three perfectly distinct and contrary theories

of the Universe, and, therefore, practices of spiritual science. The $\,$

magical formula of each is as precise as a theorem of trigonometry. $\label{eq:precise}$

Each assumes as fundamental a certain law of Nature, and the subject is $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

complicated by the fact that each School, in a certain sense, admits the $\,$

formulae of the other two. It merely regards them as in some way incom-

plete, secondary, or illusory. Now, as will be seen later, the Yellow $\,$

School stand aloof from the other two by the nature of its postulates.

But the Black School and the White are always more or less in active

conflict; and it is because just at this moment that
conflict is

approaching a climax that it is necessary to write this essay. The

adepts of the White School consider the present danger to mankind so $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

great that they are prepared to abandon their traditional policy of

silence, in order to enlist in their ranks the profane of every nation.

We are in possession of a certain mystical document 30 which we may

describe briefly, for convenience sake, as an Apocalypse of which we

82

hold the keys, thanks to the intervention of the Master who has appeared

at this grave conjuncture of Fate. This document consists of a series

of visions, in which we hear the various Intelligences whose nature it $% \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right$

would be hard to define, but who are at the very least endowed with

knowledge and power far beyond anything that we are accustomed to regard $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

as proper to the human race.

We must quote a passage from one of the most important of these documents.

The doctrine is conveyed, as is customary among Initiates, in the form

of a parable. Those who have attained even a mediocre degree of enlight-

enment are aware that the crude belief of the faithful, and the crude

infidelity of the scoffer, with regard to matters of fact, are merely

childish. Every incident in Nature, true or false, possesses a spiritual

significance. It is this significance, and only this significance, that

possesses any philosophical value to the Initiate.

The orthodox need not be shocked, and the enlightened need not be contemp-

tuous, to learn that the passage which we are about to quote, is a parable $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots,n\right\}$

based on the least decorous of the Biblical legends which refer to Noah.

It simply captures for its own purposes the convenience of Scripture.

(Here follows the excerpt from the Vision.)

"And a voice cries: Cursed be he that shall uncover the nakedness of

the Most High, for he is drunken upon the wine that is the blood of the

adepts. And BABALON hath lulled him to sleep upon her breast, and she

hath fled away, and left him naked, and she hath called her children $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

together saying: Come up with me, and let us make a mock of the naked- $\,$

ness of the Most High.

"And the first of the adepts covered His shame with a cloth, walking

backwards, and was white. And the second of the adepts covered his

shame with a cloth, walking sideways, and was yellow, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the adepts made a mock of His nakedness, walking forwards, and was black.

And these are the three great schools of the Magi, who are also the $\,$

three Magi that journeyed unto Bethlehem; and because thou hast not

30* Liber CDXVIII, The Vision and the Voice, edition with Introduction

and Commentary by 666. Thelema Publishing Co., Barstow, California.

47

wisdom, thou shalt not know which school prevaileth, or if the three schools be not one."

We are now ready to study the philosophical bases of these three Schools.

We must, however, enter a caveat against too literal an interpretation,

even of the parable. It may be suspected, for reasons which should be

apparent after further investigation of the doctrines of the Three

Schools, that this parable was invented by an Intelligence of the ${\tt Black}$

School, who was aware of his iniquity, and thought to transform it into

righteousness by the alchemy of making a boast of it. The intelligent

reader will note the insidious attempt to identify the doctrine of the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

Black School with the kind of black magic $\{sic\}$ that is commonly called

 $\ensuremath{\operatorname{Diabolism}}$. In other words, this parable is itself an example of an

exceedingly subtle black magical operation, and the contemplation of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

such devices carried far enough beings us to an understanding of the $\,$

astoundingly ophidian processes of Magicians. Let not the profane

reader dismiss such subtleties from his mind as negligible nonsense.

It is cunning of this kind that determines the price of potatoes.

The above digression is perhaps not so inexcusable as it may seem on a

first reading. Careful study of it should reveal the nature of the

84

thought-processes which are habitually used by the secret ${\tt Masters}$ of

the human race to determine its destiny.

When everyone has done laughing, I will ask you to compare the real

effects produced on the course of human affairs by Caesar, Attila, and

Napoleon, on the one hand; of Plato, the Encyclopaedists, and Karl Marx31 on the other.

The Yellow School of Magick considers, with complete scientific and

philosophical detachment, the fact of the Universe as a fact. Being

itself apart of that Universe, it realizes its impotence to alter the

totality in the smallest degree. To put it vulgarly, it does not try to

raise itself from the ground by pulling at its socks. It therefore

opposes to the current of phenomena no reaction either of hatred or of

sympathy. So far as it attempts to influence the course of events at

all, it does so in the only intelligent way conceivable. It seeks to

diminish internal friction.

It remains, therefore, in a contemplative attitude. To use the terms

of Western philosophy, there is in its attitude something of the ${\tt stoicism}$

of Zeno; or of the Pickwickianism, if I may use the term, of Epicurus.

The ideal reaction to phenomena is that of perfect elasticity. It

possesses something of the cold-bloodedness of mathematics; and for

this reason it seems fair to say, for the purposes of elementary study,

that Pythagoras is its most adequate exponent in European philosophy.

Since the discovery of Asiatic thought, however, we have no need to

take our ideas at second-hand. The Yellow School of Magick possesses

one perfect classic. The Tao Teh King32.

31* It is interesting to note that the three greatest influences in the

world today are those of Teutonic Hebrews: Marx, Hertz, and Freud.

32* Unfortunately there is no translation at present published which is

the work of an Initiate. All existing translations have been garbled by

people who simply failed to understand the text. An approximately per-

fect rendering is indeed available, but so far it exists only in ${\tt manu-}$

script. One object of this letter is to create sufficient public interest

to make this work, and others of equal value available to the public.

48

It is impossible to find any religion which adequately represents the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

thought of this masterpiece. Not only is religion as such repugnant to

science and philosophy, but from the very nature of the tenets of the

Yellow School, its adherents are not going to put themselves to any

inconvenience for the enlightenment of a lot of people whom they consider $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

to be hopeless fools.

At the same time, the theory of religion, as such, being a tissue of

falsehood, the only real strength of any religion is derived from its

pilferings of Magical doctrine; and, religious persons being by defini-

tion entirely unscrupulous, it follows that any given religion is likely

to contain scraps of Magical doctrine, filched more or less haphazard

from one school or the other as occasion serves.

Let the reader, therefore, beware most seriously of trying to get a

grasp of this subject by means of siren analogies. Taoism has as little $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

to do with the Tao Teh King as the Catholic Church with the Gospel.

The Tao Teh King inculcates conscious inaction, or rather unconscious

inaction, with the object of minimizing the disorder of the world. $\ensuremath{\mathtt{A}}$

few quotations from the text should make the essence of the doctrine clear.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{X}}$ 3 "Here is the Mystery of Virtue. It createth all and nourisheth

all; yet it doth not adhere to them. It operateth all; but

knoweth not of it, nor proclaimeth it; it directeth all, but

without conscious control."

XXII 2 "Therefore the sage concentrateth upon one Will, and it is as $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

a light to the whole world. Hiding himself, he shineth;

 $\label{lem:withdrawing himself, he attracteth notice;} \\ \text{humbling himself,} \\$

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

not, no man may contend against him."

XLIII 1 "The softest substance hunteth down the hardest. The Unsub- $\,$

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

Virtue of Inertia."

 $2\,\,$ "Few are they who attain: whose speech is Silence, whose

Work is Inertia."

attract it."

 $\tt XLVIII~3$ "He who attracteth to himself all that is under Heaven doth

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ so without effort. He who maketh effort is not able to

LVIII 3 "The wise man is foursquare and avoideth aggression; his

corners do not injure others. He moveth in a straight line,

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

not blind with his brightness."

LXIII 2 "Do great things while they are yet small, hard things while $\$

they are yet easy; for all things, how great or hard soever,

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{have}}$ a beginning when they are little and easy. So thus the

wise man accomplisheth the greatest tasks without undertaking

anything important."

{Keynote: This footnote is obsolete. The "Tao Teh King" was published

as "Equinox" III - 8, 1975 e.v. by H.P.S.}

49

LXXVI 2 "So then rigidity and hardness are the stigmata of death; elasticity and adaptability of life."

3 "He then who putteth forth strength is not victorious; even as a strong tree filleth the embrace."

4 "Thus the hard and rigid have the inferior place, the soft and elastic the superior."

Enough, I think, for this part of the essay.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

CHAPTER VII

THE THREE SCHOOLS OF MAGICK (2)

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Hoping that you are now recovered from the devastating revelations in $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the matter of the Yellow School, I must ask you to brace yourself for

disclosures even more formidable about the Black. Do not confuse with

the Black Lodge, or the Black Brothers. The terminology is unfortunate,

but it wasn't I that did it. Now then, to work!

The Black School of Magick, which must by no means be confused with the $\,$

School of Black Magick or Sorcery, which latter is a perversion of the $\,$

White tradition, is distinguished fundamentally from the Yellow School

in that it considers the Universe not as neutral, but as definitely a $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

curse. Its primary theorem is the "First Noble Truth" of the Buddha $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$

"Everything is Sorrow." In the primitive classics of this School the

idea of sorrow is confused with that of sin. (This idea of universal

lamentation is presumably responsible for the choice of black as its

symbolic colour. And yet? Is not white the Chinese hue of mourning?)

The analysis of the philosophers of this School refers every phenomenon

to the category of sorrow. It is quite useless to point out to them

that certain events are accompanied with joy: they continue their ruth-

less calculations, and prove to your satisfaction, or rather dissatis- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

faction, that the more apparently pleasant an event is, the more

malignantly deceptive is its fascination. There is only one way of

escape even conceivable, and this way is quite simple, annihilation.

(Shallow critics of Buddhism have wasted a great deal of stupid ingenuity

on trying to make out that Nirvana or Nibbana means something different

from what etymology, tradition and the evidence of the $\operatorname{Classics}$ combine

to define it. The word means, quite simply, cessation: and it stands

to reason that, if everything is sorrow, the only thing which is not

sorrow is nothing, and that therefore to escape from sorrow is the attain- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

ment of nothingness.)

Western philosophy has on occasion approached this doctrine. It has at

least asserted that no known form of existence is exempt from sorrow.

50

Huxley says, in his Evolution and Ethics, "Suffering is the badge of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

all the tribe of sentient things."

The philosophers of this School, seeking, naturally enough, to amend the evil at the root, inquire into the cause of this existence which is sorrow, and arrive immediately at the 'Second Noble Truth' of the Buddha: "The Cause of Sorrow is Desire". They follow up with the endless concatenation of causes, of which the final root is Ignorance. (I am not concerned to defend the logic of this School: I merely state their doctrine.) The practical issue of all this is that every kind of action is both unavoidable and a crime. I must digress to explain that the confusion of thought in this doctrine is constantly recurrent. That is part of the blackness of the Ignorance which they confess to foundation of their Universe. (And after all, everyone has surely the right to have his own Universe the way he wants it.) This School being debased by nature, is not so far removed from conventional religion as either the White or the Yellow. Most primitive fetishistic religions may, in fact, be considered fairly faithful representatives of this philosophy. Where animism holds sway, the "medicine-man" personifies this universal evil, and seeks to propitiate it by human sacrifice. The early forms of Judaism, and that type of Christianity which we associate with the Salvation Army, Billy Sunday and the Fundamentalists of the back-blocks of America, are sufficiently simple cases of religion whose essence is the propitiation of a maliqnant demon. When the light of intelligence begins to dawn dimly through many fogs upon these savages, we reach a second stage. Bold spirits master courage to assert that the evil which is so obvious, is, in some mysterious way, an illusion. They thus throw back the whole complexity

of sorrow to a single cause; that is, the arising of the illusion aforesaid. The

problem then assumes a final form: How is that illusion to be destroyed.

A fairly pure example of the first stage of this type of thought is to

be found in the Vedas, of the second stage, in the Upanishads. But the

answer to the question, "How is the illusion of evil to be destroyed?",

depends on another point of theory. We may postulate a Parabrahm infi- $\,$

nitely good, etc. etc., in which case we consider the destruction

of the illusion of evil as the reuniting of the consciousness with

Parabrahm. the unfortunate part of this scheme of things is that on

seeking to define Parabrahm for the purpose of returning to Its purity,

it is discovered sooner or later, that It possesses no qualities at all!

In other words, as the farmer said, on being shown the elephant: There

ain't no sich animile. It was Gautama Buddha who perceived the inutility

of dragging in this imaginary pachyderm. Since our Parabrahm, he said to

the Hindu philosophers, is actually nothing, why not stick to or original

perception that everything is sorrow, and admit that the only way to

escape from sorrow is to arrive at nothingness?

We may complete the whole tradition of the Indian peninsula very simply.

To the Vedas, the Upanishads, and the Tripitaka of the Buddhists, we

have only to add the Tantras of what are called the Vamacharya Schools.

Paradoxical as it may sound the Tantrics are in reality the most advanced

of the Hindus. Their theory is, in its philosophical ultimatum, a primi-

tive stage of the White tradition, for the essence of the Tantric cults

is that by the performance of certain rites of Magick, one does not only

escape disaster, but obtains positive benediction. The Tantric is not

51

obsessed by the will-to-die. It is a difficult business, no doubt, to

get any fun out of existence; but at least it is not impossible. In other words, he implicitly denies the fundamental proposition that existence is sorrow, and he formulates the essential postulate of the White School of Magick, that means exist by which the universal sorrow (apparent indeed to all ordinary observation) may be unmasked, even as at the initiatory rite of Isis in the ancient days of Kehm. There, a Neophyte presenting his mouth, under compulsion, to the pouting buttocks of the Goat of Mendez, found himself caressed by the chaste lips of a virginal priestess of that Goddess at the base of whose shrine is written that No man has lifted her veil. The basis of the Black philosophy is not impossibly mere climate, with its resulting etiolation of the native, its languid, bilious, anaemic, fever-prostrated, emasculation of the soul of man. We accordingly find few true equivalents of this School in Europe. In Greek philosophy there is no trace of any such doctrine. The poison in its foulest and most virulent form only entered with Christianity33. But even so, few men of any real eminence were found to take the axioms of pessimism seriously. Huxley, for all of his harping on the minor key, was an eupeptic Tory. The culmination of the Black philosophy is only found in Schopenhauer, and we may regard him as having been obsessed, on the one hand, by the despair born of that false scepticism which he learnt from the bankruptcy of Hume and Kant; on the other, by the direct obsession of the Buddhist documents to which he was one of the earliest Europeans to obtain access. He was, so to speak, driven to suicide by his own vanity, a parallel to Kiriloff in The Possessed of Dostoiewsky. We have, however, examples plentiful enough of religions deriving almost exclusively from the Black tradition in the different stages. We have already mentioned the Evangelical cults with their ferocious

devil-god

who creates mankind for the pleasure of damning it and forcing it to

crawl before him, while he yells with druken glee over the agony of his

only son34. But in the same class, we must place Christian Science, so

grotesquely afraid of pain, suffering and evil of every sort, that its

dupes can think of nothing better than to bleat denials of its actuality,

in the hope of hypnotizing themselves into anaesthesia.

Practically no Westerns have reached the third stage of the Black tradi-

tion, the Buddhist stage. It is only isolated mystics, and those $\ensuremath{\mathsf{men}}$

who rank themselves with a contemptuous compliance under the standard $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

of the nearest religion, the one which will bother them least in their $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

quest of nothingness, who carry the sorites so far.

The documents of the Black School of Magick have already been indicated.

They are, for the most part, tedious to the last degree and repulsive to

every wholesome-minded man; yet it can hardly be denied that such books

as The Dhammapada and Ecclesiastes are masterpieces of literature. They $\,$

represent the agony of human despair at its utmost degree of intensity,

and the melancholy contemplation which is induced by their perusal is

not favourable to the inception of that mood which should lead every $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

truly courageous intelligence to the determination to escape from the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

33* Anti-semite writers in Europe --- e.g. Weininger --- call the Black $\,$

theory and practice Judaism, while by a curious confusion, the same ideas

are called Christian among Anglo-Saxons. In 1936 e.v. the "Nazi" School

began to observe this fact.

34* N.B. Christianity was in its first stage a Jewish Communism, hardly

distinguishable from Marxism.

ferule of the Black Schoolmaster to the outstretched arms of the White

Mistress of Life.

Let us leave the sinister figure of Schopenhauer for the mysteriously

radiant shape of Spinoza! This latter philosopher, in respect at least

of his Pantheism, represents fairly enough the fundamental thesis of the $\,$

White tradition. Almost the first observation that we have to make is

that this White tradition is hardly discoverable outside Europe. It

appears first of all in the legend of Dionysus. (In this connection

read carefully Browning's Apollo and the Fates.)

The Egyptian tradition of Osiris is not dissimilar. The central idea

of the White School is that, admitted that "everything is sorrow" for

the profane, the Initiate has the means of transforming it to "Every-

thing is joy". There is no question of any ostrich-ignoring of fact,

as in Christian Science. There is not even any more or less sophisti-

cated argument about the point of view altering the situation as in

 $\label{eq:contrary} \mbox{ We have, on the contrary, and attitude which was perhaps}$

first of all, historically speaking, defined by Zoroaster, "nature

teaches us, and the Oracles also affirm, that even the evil germs of
Matter may alike become useful and good." "Stay not on the

precipice with the dross of Matter; for there is a place for thine Image in a

realm ever splendid." "If thou extend the Fiery Mind to the work of

piety, thou wilt preserve the fluxible body."35

It appears that the Levant, from Byzantium and Athens to Damascus,

Jerusalem, Alexandria and Cairo, was preoccupied with the formulation

of this School in a popular religion, beginning in the days of Augustus

Caesar. For there are elements of this central idea in the works of

the Gnostics, in certain rituals of what Frazer conveniently calls the

Asiatic God, as in the remnants of the Ancient Egyptian cult. The $\operatorname{doc-}$

trine became abominably corrupted in committee, so to speak and the

result was Christianity, which may be regarded as a White ritual over-

laid by a mountainous mass of Black doctrine, like the baby of the

mother that King Solomon non-suited.

We may define the doctrine of the White School in its purity in very simple terms.

Existence is pure joy. Sorrow is caused by failure to perceive this

fact; but this is not a misfortune. We have invented sorrow, which

does not matter so much after all, in order to have the exuberant satis- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

faction of getting rid of it. Existence is thus a sacrament.

Adepts of the White School regard their brethren of the Black very much

as the aristocratic English Sahib (of the days when England was a nation)

regarded the benighted Hindu. Nietzsche expresses the philosophy of

this School to that extent with considerable accuracy and vigour. The

 $\mbox{\tt man}$ who denounces life merely defines himself as the $\mbox{\tt man}$ who is unequal

to it. The brave man rejoices in giving and taking hard knocks, and the $\,$

brave man is joyous. The Scandinavian idea of Valhalla may be primitive,

but it is manly. A heaven of popular concert, like the Christian; of

unconscious repose, like the Buddhist; or even of sensual enjoyment, like

the Moslem, excites his nausea and contempt. He understands that the $\,$

only joy worth while is the joy of continual victory, and victory itself

would become as tame as croquet if it were not spiced by equally contin-

 $35\,^{\star}$ This passage appears to be a direct hint at the Formula of the IXØ

O.T.O., and the preparation of the Elixir of Life.

ual defeat.

The purest documents of the White School are found in the Sacred Books

of Thelema. The doctrine is given in excellent perfection both in the

book of the Heart Girt with the Serpent and the book of Lapis Lazuli.

A single passage is adequate to explain the formula.

7. Moreover I beheld a vision of a river. There was a little boat $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

 $\qquad \qquad \text{thereon; and in it under purple sails was a golden} \\ \text{woman, an} \\$

image of Asi wrought in finest gold. Also the river was of

blood, and the boat of shining steel. Then I loved her; and, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

loosing my girdle, cast myself into the stream.

8. I gathered myself into the little boat, and for many days and $\,$

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

- 9. Yea! I gave her of the flower of my youth.
- 10. But she stirred not; only by my kisses I defiled her so that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

she turned to blackness before me.

- 11. Yet I worshipped her, and gave her of the flower of $\operatorname{\mathsf{my}}$ youth.

before me. Almost I cast myself into the stream.

13. Then at the end appointed her body was whiter than the milk of

the stars, and her lips red and warm as the sunset, and her

life of a white heat like the heat of the midmost \sup .

14. Then rose she up from abyss of Ages of Sleep, and her body $\,$

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

15. The river also became the river of Amrit, and the little boat

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ was the chariot of the flesh, and the sails thereof the blood

of the heart that beareth me, that beareth me.

Liber

LXV, Cap. II.

We find even in profane literature this doctrine of the White School of Magick: -

O Buddha! couldst thou nowhere rest
A pivot for the universe?

Must all things be alike confessed

Mere changes rung upon a curse?

I swear by all the bliss of blue

My Phryne with her powder on
Is just as false - and just as true
As your disgusting skeleton.

Each to his taste: if you prefer
 This loathly brooding on Decay;
I call it Growth, and lovelier
 Than all the glamours of the day.

You would not dally with Doreen

Because her fairness was to fade,

54

Because you know the things unclean

That go to make a mortal maid.

Aspasia may skin me close,
And Lais load me with disease.
Poor pleasures, bitter bargains, these?
I shall despise Diogenes.

Follow your fancy far enough!

At last you surely come to God.

There is thus in this School no attempt to deny that Nature is, as Zoroaster said, "a fatal and evil force"; but Nature is, so to speak, "the First Matter of the Work", which is to be transmuted into gold.

The joy is a function of our own part in this alchemy. For this reason

we find the boldest and most skillful adepts deliberately seeking out

the most repugnant elements of Nature that their triumph may be the

greater. The formula is evidently one of dauntless courage. It expresses

the idea of vitality and manhood in its most dynamic sense.

The only religion which corresponds to this School at all is that of

ancient Egypt; possibly also that of Chaldea. This is because those

religious component of them is negligible. So far as it exists, it $\ensuremath{\mathsf{exists}}$

exists only for the uninitiate.

There are, however, traces of the beginning of the influence of the

School in Judaism and in Paganism. There are, too, certain documents $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

of the pure Greek spirit which bear traces of this. It is what they $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$

called Theurgy.

The Christian religion in its simplest essence, by that idea of over-

coming evil through a Magical ceremony, the Crucifixion, seems at first

sight a fair example of the White tradition; but the idea of sin and

of propitiation tainted it abominably with Blackness. There have been,

however, certain Christian thinkers who have taken the bold logical step $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

of regarding evil as a device of God for exercising the joys of combat

and victory. This is, of course, a perfectly White doctrine; but it

is regarded as the most dangerous of heresies. (Romans VI. 1,2, et al.)

For all that, the idea is there. The Mass itself is essentially a

typical White ritual. Its purpose is to transform crude matter directly $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

into Godhead. It is thus a cardinal operation of Talismanic Magick. But

the influence of the Black School has corroded the idea with theological

accretions, metaphysical on the one hand, and superstitious on the other, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

so completely as to mask the Truth altogether.

At the Reformation, we find a nugatory attempt to remove the Black ele-

ment. The Protestant thinkers did their best to get rid of the idea of

 \sin , but it was soon seen that the effort could only lead to antinomian-

ism; and they recognized that this would infallibly destroy the religious idea as such.

55

 ${\tt Mysticism}\,,$ both Catholic and Protestant, made a further attempt to free

Christianity from the dark cloud of iniquity. They joined hands with

the Sufis and the Vedantists. But this again led to the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{mere}}$ denial of

the reality of evil. Thus drawing away, little by little, from clear

appreciation of the facts of Nature, their doctrine became purely

theoretical, and faded away, while the thundercloud of \sin settled down

more heavily than ever.

The most important of all the efforts of the White School, from an $\ensuremath{\mathsf{exo}}\xspace$

teric point of view, is Islam. In its doctrine there is some slight

taint, but much less than in Christianity. It is a virile religion.

It looks facts in the face, and admits their horror; but it proposes

to overcome them by sheer dint of manhood. Unfortunately, the $\operatorname{meta-}$

physical conceptions of its quasi-profane Schools are grossly material-

istic. It is only the Pantheism of the Sufis which eliminates the

conception of propitiation; and, in practice, the Sufis are too closely

allied to the Vedantists to retain hold of reality.

That will be all for the present.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

CHAPTER VIII

THE THREE SCHOOLS OF MAGICK (3)

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

It has been a long --- I hope not too tedious --- voyage; but at last the harbour is in sight.

Our Essay approaches its goal; the theory of Life to which initiation tends.

Let us continue!

There is in history only one movement whose object has been to organize

the isolated adepts of the White School of Magick, and this movement

was totally unconnected with religion, except in so far as it lent its

influence to the reformers of the Christian church. Its appeal was not

at all to the people. It merely offered to open up relations with, and

communicate certain practical secrets of wisdom to, isolated $\ensuremath{\mathsf{men}}$ of

science through Europe. This movement is generally known by the

name of Rosicrucianism.

The word arouses all sorts of regrettable correspondences; but the

adepts of the Society have never worried themselves in the least about

the abuse of their name for the purposes of charlatanism, or about the $% \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right$

attacks directed against them by envious critics. Indeed, so wisely

have they concealed their activities that some modern scholars of the $\,$

shallower type have declared that no such movement ever existed, that

it was a kind of practical joke played upon the curiosity of the credu-

lous Middle Ages. It is at least certain that, since the original

proclamations, no official publications have been put forward. The $\,$

essential secrets have been maintained inviolate. If, during the last

few years, a considerable number of documents have been published by

them, though not in their name, it is on account of the impending $\ensuremath{\operatorname{crisis}}$

to civilization, of which mention will later be made.

There is no good purpose, even were there license, to discuss the nature

of the basis of scientific attainment which is the core of the doctrines

of the Society. It is only necessary to point out that its correspondence

with alchemy is the one genuine fact on the subject which has been allowed

to transpire; for the Rosicrucian, as indicated by his central symbol,

the barren cross on which he has made a rose to flower, occupies $\ensuremath{\operatorname{him}}$ -

self primarily with spiritual and physiological alchemy. Taking for

"The First Matter of the Work" a neutral or inert substance (it is con-

stantly described as the commonest and least valued thing on earth, and

may actually connote any substance whatever) he deliberately poisons it,

so to speak, bringing it to a stage of transmutation generally called

the Black Dragon, and he proceeds to work upon this virulent poison until

he obtains the perfection theoretically possible.

Incidentally, we have an almost precise parallel with this operation in

modern bacteriology. The apparently harmless bacilli of a disease are

cultivated until they become a thousand times more virulent than at

first, and it is from this culture that is prepared the vaccine which

is an efficacious remedy for all the possible ravages of that kind of micro-organism.

. . . .

We have been obliged to expose, perhaps at too considerable a length,

the main doctrines of the three Schools. The task, however tedious,

has been necessary in order to explain with reasonable lucidity their

connection with the world which their ideas direct; that is to say,

the nature of their political activities.

The Yellow School, in accordance with its doctrine of perfectly elastic

reaction and non-interference, holds itself, generally speaking, entirely

apart from all such questions. We can hardly imagine it sufficiently

interested in any events soever to react aggressively. It feels strong

enough to deal satisfactorily with anything that may turn $\ensuremath{\text{up}}\xspace\colon$ and

generally speaking, it feels that any conceivable action on its part

would be likely to increase rather than to diminish the mischief.

It remains somewhat contemptuously aloof from the eternal conflict of

the Black School with the White. At the same time, there is a certain

feeling among the Yellow adepts that should either of these Schools

become annihilated, the result might well be that the victor would

sooner or later turn his released energy against themselves.

In accordance, therefore, with their general plan of non-action, as

expressed in the Tao Teh King, of dealing with mischief before it

has become too strong to be dangerous, they interfere gently from time to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

time to redress the balance.

During the last two generations the Masters of the Yellow School have

been compelled to take notice of the progressive ruin of the \mbox{White}

adepts. Christianity, which possessed at least the semblance of \boldsymbol{a}

White formula, is in the agonies of decomposition, even before it is

57

actually dead. Materialistic science has overwhelmed the faith and

102

hope of the Christians (they never possessed any charity to overwhelm)

with a demonstration of the sorrow, transitoriness and cruel futility

of the Universe. A vast wave of pessimism has engulfed the fortress of Mansoul.

It was indeed a deadly blow to the adepts of the White School when

Science, their own familiar friend in whom they trusted, lifted up

his heel against them. It was in this conjuncture that the Yellow

adepts sent forth into the Western world a messenger, Helena Petrowna

Blavatsky, with the distinct mission to destroy, on the one hand, the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

crude schools of Christianity, and, on the other, to eradicate the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

materialism from Physical Science. She made the necessary connection

with Edward Maitland and Anna Kingsford, who were trying rather

helplessly to put the exoteric formulae of the White School into th

hands of students, and with the secret representatives of the Rosicru-

cian Brotherhood. It is not for us in this place to estimate the

degree of success with which she carried out her embassy; but at

least we see today that Physical Science is at last penetrating to the

spiritual basis of material phenomena. The work of Henry PoincarŠ, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

Einstein, Whitehead, and Bertrand Russell is sufficient evidence of this fact.

Christianity, too, has fallen into a lower degree of contempt than

ever. Realizing that it was moribund, it made a supreme and $\operatorname{suicidal}$

effort, and plunged into the death-spasm of the first worldwar. It

was too far corrupt to react to the injections of the White formula

which might have saved it. We see today that Christianity is more

bigoted, further divorced from reality, than ever. In some countries

it has again become a persecuting church.

With horrid glee the adepts of the Black School looked on at these

atrocious paroxysms. But it did more. It marshalled its forces quietly, and prepared to clean up the debris of the

battlefields. It

is at present (1924 e.v.) pledged to a supreme attempt to chase the $\,$

manly races from their spiritual halidom. (The spasm still [1945 e.v.] $\,$

continues; note well the pro-German screams of Anglican Bishops, and $\,$

the intrigues of the Vatican.)

The Black School has always worked insidiously, by treachery. We need

then not be surprised by finding that its most notable representative

was the renegade follower of Blavatsky, Annie Besant, and that she was $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

charged by her Black masters with the mission of persuading the world

to accept for its Teacher a negroid36 Messiah. To make the humiliation

more complete, a wretched creature was chosen who, to the most loath- $\,$

some moral qualities, added the most fatuous imbecility. And then blew up!

This, then, is the present state of the war of the Three Schools. We $\begin{tabular}{ll} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ll} \end{tabular} \b$

cannot suppose that humanity is so entirely base as to accept Krishna-

murti; yet that such a scheme could ever have been conceived is a

symptom of the almost hopeless decadence of the White School37. The $\,$

 $36\ensuremath{^{\circ}}$ WEH NOTE: Inject something about Krishnamurti here, and soften the racial

remark made above.

37* Note. This passage was written in 1924 e.v. The Master Therion arose

and smote him. What seemed a menace is now hardly even a memory.

58

Black adepts boast openly that they have triumphed all along the line.

Their formula has attained the destruction of all positive qualities.

It is only one step to the stage when the annihilation of all life and

thought will appear as a fatal necessity. The materialism and vital $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

scepticism of the present time, its frenzied rush for pleasure in total

disregard of any idea of building for the future, testifies to a condi-

tion of complete moral disorder, of abject spiritual anarchy.

The White School has thus been paralysed. We are reminded of the spider $\,$

described by Fabre, who injects her victims with a poison which paralyzes

them without killing them, so that her own young may find fresh meat.

And this is what is going to happen in Europe and America unless some-

thing is done about it, and done in very short order.

The Yellow School could not remain impassive spectators of the abomina-

tions. Madame Blavatsky was a mere forerunner. They, in conjunction

with the Secret Chiefs of the White School in Europe, Chiefs who had

been compelled to suspend all attempts at exoteric enlightenment by the

general moral debility which had overtaken the races from which they $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

drew their adepts, have prepared a guide for mankind. This man, of an

extreme moral force and elevation, combined with a profound sense of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

worldly realities, has stood forth in an attempt to save the $\mbox{White School}$,

to rehabilitate its formula, and to fling back from the bastions of moral

freedom the howling savages of pessimism. Unless his appeal is heard,

unless there comes a truly virile reaction against the creeping atrophy

which is poisoning them, unless they enlist to the last man under his $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

standard, a great decisive battle will have been lost.

This prophet of the White School, chosen by its Masters and his brethren,

to save the Theory and Practice, is armed with a sword far mightier than $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

Excalibur. He has been entrusted with a new Magical formula, one which

can be accepted by the whole human race. Its adoption will strengthen

the Yellow School by giving a more positive value to their Theory; while

leaving the postulates of the Black School intact, it will transcend them $\,$

and raise their Theory and Practice almost to the level of the Yellow.

As to the White School, it will remove from them all taint of poison of

the Black, and restore vigour to their central formula of spiritual al-

chemy by giving each man an independent ideal. It will put an end to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

the moral castration involved in the assumption that each man , whatever

his nature, should deny himself to follow out a fantastic and impracti- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

cable ideal of goodness. Incidentally, this formula will save Physical

Science itself by making negligible the despair of futility, the vital

scepticism which has emasculated it in the past. It shows that the joy

of existence is not in a goal, for that indeed is clearly unattainable,

but in the going itself.

This law is called the Law of Thelema. It is summarized in the four $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

words, "Do what thou wilt."

It should not be necessary to explain that a full appreciation of this

message is not to be obtained by a hasty examination. It is essential

to study it from every point of view, to analyse it with the ${\tt keenest}$

philosophical acumen, and finally to apply it as a key for every problem,

internal and external, that exists. This key, applied with skill, will open every lock.

open every lock.

From the deepest point of view, the greatest value of this formula is

that it affords, for the first time in history, a basis of reconciliation

59

between the three great Schools of Magick. It will tend to appease the

eternal conflict by understanding that each type of thought shall go on

106

its own way, develop its own proper qualities without seeking to inter-

fere with other formulae, however (superficially) opposed to its own.

What is true for every School is equally true for every individual.

Success in life, on the basis of the Law of Thelema, implies severe

self-discipline. Each being must progress, as biology teaches, by

strict adaptation to the conditions of the organism. If, as the ${\sf Black}$

School continually asserts, the cause of sorrow is desire, we can still $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{$

escape the conclusion by the Law of Thelema. What is necessary is not

to seek after some fantastic ideal, utterly unsuited to our real needs,

but to discover the true nature of those needs, to fulfill them, and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

rejoice therein.

This process is what is really meant by initiation; that is to say, the

going into oneself, and making one's peace, so to speak, with all the $\,$

forces that one finds there.

It is forbidden here to discuss the nature of The Book of the Law, the

Sacred Scripture of Thelema. Even after forty years of close expert

examination, it remains to a great extent mysterious; but the little

we know of it is enough to show that it is a sublime synthesis of all

Science and all ethics. It is by virtue of this Book that $\mbox{\tt man}\mbox{\tt may}$

attain a degree of freedom hitherto never suspected to be possible, a

spiritual development altogether beyond anything hitherto known; and.

what is really more to the point, a control of external nature which

will make the boasted achievements of the last century appear no more $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

than childish preliminaries to an incomparably mighty manhood.

It has been said by some that the Law of Thelema appeals only to the

,lite of humanity. No doubt here is this much in that assertion, that

only the highest can take full advantage of the extraordinary opportuni-

ties which it offers. At the same time, "the Law is for all." Each in

and to develop it in freedom. It is by this means that the $\mbox{\sc White School}$

of Magick can justify its past, redeem its present, and assure its

future, by guaranteeing to every human being a life of Liberty and of Love.

Such, then, are the words of G,rard Aumont. I should not like to endorse

every phrase; but the whole exposition is so masterly in its terse, tense $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

vigour, and so unrivalled by any other document at $my\ disposal,\ that\ I$

thought it best to let you have it in its own original form, with only

those few alterations which lapse of time has made necessary.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

107

666

P.S. Our own School unites the ruby red of Blood with the gold of the

Sun. It combines the best characteristics of the Yellow and the \mbox{White}

Schools. In the light of M. Aumont's exposition, it is easy to under-stand.

To us, every phenomenon is an Act of Love, Every experience is necessary,

60

is a Sacrament, is a means of Growth. Hence, "...existence is pure joy;..."

(AL II, 9) "A feast every day in your hearts in the joy of my rapture!

A feast every night unto Nu, and the pleasure of uttermost delight! $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{"}}}$

(AL II, 42-43).

Let this soak in!

CHAPTER IX

THE SECRET CHIEFS

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Very glad I am, since at one time I was obliged to be starkly stern

about impertinent curiosity, to note that your wish to be informed about

the Secret Chiefs of the A.'.A.'. is justified; it is most certainly of

the first importance that you and I should be quite clear in our minds $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

about Those under whose jurisdiction and tutelage we both work.

The question is beset with thickets of tough thorn; what is worse, the

path is so slippery that nothing is easier than to tumble head first $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

into the spikiest bush of them all.

You justly remind me that one of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ earliest slogans was "Mystery is the

enemy of Truth;" how then is it what I acquiesce in the policy of con-

cealment in a matter so cardinal?

Perhaps the best plan is for me to set down the facts of the case, so

far as is possible, from them it may appear that no alternative policy is feasible.

The first condition of membership of the A.'.A.'. is that one is sworn

to identify one's own Great Work with that of raising mankind to higher $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

levels, spiritually, and in every other way.

Accordingly, it stands to reason that those charged with the conduct of

the Order should be at least Masters of the Temple, or their judgment $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

would be worthless, and at least Magi (though not that particular kind

of Magus who brings the Word of a New Formula to the world every 2,000

years of so) or they would be unable to influence events on any scale $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

commensurate with the scope of the Work.

Of what nature is this Power, this Authority, this Understanding, this Wisdom --- Will?

(I go up from Geburah to Chokmah.)

Of the passive side it is comparatively easy to form some idea; for the qualities essential are mainly extensions of those that all of us possess in some degree. And whether Understanding - Wisdom is "right" or "wrong" must be largely a matter of opinion; often Time only can decide such points.

But for the active side it is necessary to postulate the existence of a form of Energy at their disposal which is able "to cause change to occur in conformity with the Will" --- one definition of "Magick".

61

Now this, as you know, is an exceedingly complex subject; its theory is tortuous, and its practice encompassed with every kind of difficulty.

Is there no simple method?

Yes: the thaumaturgic engine disposes of a type of energy more adaptable than Electricity itself, and both stronger and subtler than this, its analogy in the world of profane science. One might say, that it is electrical, or at least one of the elements in the "Ringformula" of modern Mathematical Physics.

In the R.R. et A.C., this is indicated to the Adept Minor by the title conferred upon him on his initiation to that grade: Hodos Camelionis:
--- the Path of the Chameleon. (This emphasizes the omnivalence of the force.) In the higher degrees of O.T.O. --- the A.'.A.'. is not fond of

terms like this, which verge on the picturesque --- it is usually called "the Ophidian Vibrations", thus laying special stress upon its serpentine strength, subtlety, its control of life and death, and its power to insinuate itself into any desired set of circumstances. It is of this universally powerful weapon that the Secret Chiefs must be supposed to possess complete control. They can induce a girl to embroider a tapestry, or initiate a political movement to culminate in a world-war; all in pursuit of some plan wholly beyond the purview or the comprehension of the deepest and subtlest thinkers. (It should go without saying that the adroit use of these vibrations enables one to perform all the classical "miracles.") These powers are stupendous: they seem almost beyond imagination to conceive.

"Hic ego nec metas rerum nec tempora pono; Imperium sine fine dedi."

as Vergil, that mighty seer and magician of Rome at her perihelion says in his First Book of the Aenead. (Vergil whose every line is also an Oracle, the leaves of his book more sacred, more significant, more sure than those of the Cumaean Sibyl!)

These powers move in dimensions of time and space quite other than those with which we are familiar. Their values are incomprehensible to us.

To a Secret Chief, wielding this weapon, "The nice conduct of a clouded

cane" might be infinitely more important than a war, famine and pesti-

lence such as might exterminate a third part of the race, to promote

whose welfare is the crux of His oath, and the sole reason of His existence!

But who are They?

Since They are "invisible" and "inaccessible," may They not merely be

figments invented by a self-styled "Master," not quite sure of himself, to prop his tottering Authority? Well, the "invisible" and "inaccessible" criticism may equally be 62 leveled at Captain A. and Admiral B. of the Naval Intelligence Department. These "Secret Chiefs" keep in the dark for precisely the same reasons; and these qualities disappear instantaneously the moment They want to get hold of you. It is written, moreover, "Let my servants be few & secret: they shall rule the many & the known." (AL I, 10) But are They then men, in the usual sense of the word? They may be incarnate or discarnate: it is a matter of Their convenience. Have They attained Their position by passing through all the grades of the A.'.A.'.? Yes and no: the system which was given to me to put forward is only one of many. "Above the Abyss" all these technical wrinkles are ironed out. One man whom I suspect of being a Secret Chief has hardly any acquaintance with the technique of our system at all. That he accepts The Book of the Law is almost his only link with my work. That, and his use of the Ophidian Vibrations: I don't know which of us at it, but I am sure that he must be a very long way ahead of me if he is one of Them.

You have already in these pages and elsewhere in my writings examples numerous and varied of the way in which They work. The list is far

from complete. The matters of Ab-ul-Diz and of Amalantrah show one

method of communication; then there is the way of direct
"inspiration,"

as in the case of "Hermes Eimi" in New Orleans38.

Again, They may send an ordinary living man, whether one of Themselves

or no I cannot feel sure, to instruct me in some task, or to set me

right when I have erred. Then there have been messages conveyed by

natural objects, animate or inanimate39. Needless to say, the outstand-

ing example in my life is the whole Plan of Campaign concerning The

Book of the Law. But is Aiwaz a man (presumably a Persian or Assyrian)

and a "Secret Chief," or is He an "angel" in the sense that Gabriel is

an angel? Is Ab-ul-Diz an Adept who can project himself into the aura

of some woman with whom I happen to be living, although she has no pre-

vious experience of the kind, or any interest in such matters at all?

Or is He a being whose existence is altogether beyond this plane, only

adopting human appearance and faculties in order to make $\ensuremath{\operatorname{\text{Himself}}}$ sensible

and intelligible to that woman?

I have never attempted to pursue any such enquiry. It was not forbidden;

and yet I felt that it was! I always insisted, of course, on the strict-

est proof that He actually possessed the authority claimed by $\operatorname{Him}\!:\,\,\operatorname{But}$

I felt is improper to assume any other initiative. Just a point of good manners, perhaps?

You ask whether, contact once made, $\ \mbox{I}$ am able to renew it should \mbox{I} so

wish. Again, yes and no. But the real answer is that no such gesture $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

on my part can ever be necessary. For one thing, the "Chief" is so far

38* I will remember to give you details of these incidents when the $\,$

occasion arises.

 $39\,^{\star}\,$ One thing I regard from my own experience as certain: when you call,

They come. The circumstances usually show that the call had been fore-

seen, and preparations made to answer it, long before it was made. But

I suppose in some way the call has to justify the making.

63

above me that I can rely on $\mathop{\mbox{\rm Him}}$ to take the necessary steps, whenever

contact would be useful; for another, there is one path always open

which is perfectly sufficient for all possible contingencies.

Elsewhere I will explain why they picked out so woebegone a ragamuffin $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

as myself to proclaim the Word of the Aeon, and do all the chores appur-

tenant to that particular Work.

The Burden is heavier as the years go by; but --- Perdurabo.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

P.S. Reading this typescript over for "literals," it struck me that you

would ask, very reasonably: "But if the Secret Masters have these bound-

less powers, why do They allow you to be plagued by printers, held up

for lack of secretaries, worried by all sorts of practical problems?

. . . Why, in a word, does anything ever go wrong?"

There are several lines of reply; coalescing, they suffice:

- 1. What is "wrong?" Since four wars is Their idea of "right," you may
- well ask by what standard you may judge events.
- 2. Their Work is creative; They operate on the dull mass of $\operatorname{unrealized}$

possibilities. Thus they meet, firstly, the opposition of $\ensuremath{\operatorname{Inertia}};$

secondly, the recoil, the reaction, the rebound.

3. Things theoretically feasible are practically impossible when (a)

desirable though their accomplishment may be, it is not the one feat

essential to the particular Work in hand and the moment; (b) the sum total of available energy being used up by that special task, there is none available for side-issues; (c) the opposition, passive or active.

is too strong, temporarily, to overcome.

More largely, one cannot judge how a plan is progressing when one has no precise idea what it is. A soldier is told to "attack;" he may be intended to win through, to cover a general retreat, or to gain time by deliberate sacrifice. Only the Commander in Chief knows what the order means, or why he issues it; and even he does not know the

issue, or whether it will display and justify his military skill and

judgment.

Our business is solely to obey orders: our responsibility ends when we have satisfied ourselves that they emanate from a source which has the

P.P.S. A visitor's story has just reminded me of the possibility that I am a Secret Chief myself without knowing it: for I have sometimes been recognized by other people as having acted as such,

though I was

not aware of the fact at the time.

CHAPTER X

right to command.

THE SCOLEX SCHOOL

64

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

You actually want to know how to distinguish gold from copper pyrites40 ---"fool's gold" they called it in '49 California --- no! I wasn't there ---

or "absolute" alcohol and --- Liqueur Whisky from "alki" (commercial alcohol see Jack London's The Princess, a magnificent story --don't miss it!) and Wartime Scotch as sold in most British pubs in 1944, era vulgari. One pretty good plan is to take a masterpiece, pick out a page at random, translate it into French or German or whatever language you like best, walk around your chair three times (so as to forget the English) and then translate it back again. You will gather a useful impression of the value of the masterpiece by noticing the kind of difficulty that arises in the work of translation; more, by observing the effect produced on you by reading over the result; and finally, by estimating the re-translation; has the effect of the original been enhanced by the work done on it? Has it become more lucid? Has it actually given you the information which it purported to do? (I am giving you credit for very unusual ability; this test is not easy to make; and, obviously, you may have spoilt the whole composition, especially where its value depends on its form rather than on its substance. But we are not considering poetry, or poetic prose; all we want is intelligible meaning.) It does not follow that a passage is nonsensical because you fail to understand it; it may simply be too hard for you. When Bertrand Russell writes "We say that a function R is 'ultimately Q-convergent à' if there is a member y of the converse domain of R and the field of Q such that the value of the function for the argument y and for any argument to which y has the relation Q is a member of à." Do we? But you do not doubt that if you were to learn the meaning of all these unfamiliar terms, you would be able to follow his thought. Now take a paragraph from an "occult teacher."

What's more, I'll give you wheat, not tares; it seems terrifyingly easy for sound instruction to degenerate in to a "pi-jaw." Here

goes!

"To don Nirmanakaya's humble robe is to forego eternal bliss for

self, to help on man's salvation. To reach Nirvana's bliss but to

renounce it, is the supreme, the final step --- the highest on $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Renun}}\xspace$

ciation's Path."

Follows a common-sense comment by Frater O.M.

"All this about Gautama Buddha having renounced Nirvana is apparently

all a pure invention of Mme. Blavatsky, and has no authority in the $\,$

Buddhist canon. The Buddha is referred to, again and again, as having

'passed away by that kind of passing away which leaves nothing what-

 40° WEH NOTE: If Homer can nod, so can Crowley. The mineral called fool's

gold is actually iron pyrites, not copper. It has a brassy look, and that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

might account for this error.

65

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

 ${\tt Mahaparinibbana\ Sutta;}\ {\tt and\ it\ was\ the\ contention\ of\ the\ Toshophists}$

that this 'great, sublime Nibbana story' was something peculiar to

Gautama Buddha. They began to talk about Parinibbana, super-Nibbana,

as if there were some way of subtracting one from one which would $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

leave a higher, superior kind of a nothing, or as if there were some $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

way of blowing out a candle which would leave Moses in a much more $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

Egyptian darkness than we ever supposed when we were children.

"This is not science. This is not business. This is $\ensuremath{\mathsf{American}}$ Sun-

day journalism. The Hindu and the American are very much alike in $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

this innocence, this 'naivet,' which demands fairy stories with ever

bigger giants. They cannot bear the idea of anything being complete

and done with. So, they are always talking in superlatives, and are

hard put to it when the facts catch up with them, and they have to

invent new superlatives. Instead of saying that there are bricks of

various sizes, and specifying those sizes, they have a brick and a $\!\!\!$

super-brick, and 'one' brick, and 'some' brick; and
when they have

got to the end they chase through the dictionary for some other $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

epithet to brick, which shall excite the sense of wonder at the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

public with this word --- which is supposed to have been made. Probably

the whole thing is a bluff without a single fact behind it. Almost

the whole of the Hindu psychology is an example of this $\ensuremath{\mathsf{kind}}$ of

journalism. They are not content with the supreme $\ensuremath{\operatorname{\textsc{God}}}.$ The other

 $\mbox{\sc man}$ wishes to show off by having a supremer $\mbox{\sc God}$ than that, and when

a third man comes along and finds them disputing, it is up to him to

invent a supremest super-God.

"It is simply ridiculous to try to add to the definition of Nibbana

by this invention of Parinibbana, and only talkers busy themselves

with these fantastic speculations. The serious student minds his $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

own business, which is the business in hand. The President of a $\ensuremath{\,}^{}$

Corporation does not pay his bookkeeper to make a statement of the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

countless billions of profit to be made in some future year. It

requires no great ability to string a row of zeros after a signifi-

cant figure until the ink runs out. What is wanted is the actual $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

balance of the week.

"The reader is most strongly urged not to permit himself to indulge

in fantastic flights of thought, which are the poison of the $\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{mind}}}\,,$

because they represent an attempt to run away from reality, a dis-

 $\,$ persion of energy and a corruption of moral strength. His business

is, firstly, to know himself; secondly, to order and control $\ensuremath{\mathsf{him}}\xspace-$

self; thirdly, to develop himself on sound organic lines little by

little. The rest is only leather and prunella.

"There is, however, a sense in which the service of humanity is $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac$

necessary to the completeness of the Adept. He is not to fly away $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

too far.

"Some remarks on this course are given in the note to the next verse.

"The student is also advised to take note of the conditions of member-

ship of the A.'.A.'.". (Equinox III, Supplement pp. 57 - 59).

So much for the green tree; now for the dry!

66

We come down to the average popular "teacher," the mere humbug. Read this: ---

"One day quite soon an entirely different kind of electricity will $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

be discovered which will bring as many profound changes into human

living as the first type did. This new electricity will move in a $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

finer ether than does our familiar kind, and thus w

CHAPTER XVI

ON CONCENTRATION

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

You wisely ask me for a special letter on Concentration; you point out

that I have implied it constantly, but never given plain instruction.

It hope I have not been so vague as to allow you to suppose that Concen-

tration Camps are evidence that benevolent and enlightened governments

are at last seriously concerned to educate the world to Yoga; but I do

agree that it cannot do great harm if I take a dose of my own medicine,

and gather into one golden sheaf all the ripe corn of my wisdom on this subject.

For concentration does indeed unlock all doors; it lies at the heart of

every practice as it is of the essence of all theory; and almost all $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

the various rules and regulations are aimed at securing adeptship in

this matter. All the subsidiary work --- awareness, one-pointedness, mind-

fullness and the rest --- is intended to train you to this.

All the greetings, salutations, "Saying Will," periodical adorations, even

saying "apo pantos kakodaimonos" with a downward and outward sweep of the $\,$

arm, the eyes averted, when one sees a person dressed in a religious

(Christian) uniform: all these come under "Don't stroke the cat the wrong $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

way!" or, in the modern pseudo-scientific journalese jargon
"streamlining
life."

Let us see if Frater Perdurabo has anything to the point! Of course,

Part I of Book 4 is devoted to it; but there is too much, and not enough,

to be useful to us just now.

What your really need is the official Instruction in The Equinox, and the

very fullest and deepest understanding of Eight Lectures on Yoga; but

these lectures are so infernally interesting that when I look into the

book for something to quote, it carries me away with it. I can't put it

down, I forget all about this letter. Rather a back-handed advertisement

for Concentration!

The best way is the hardest; to forget all this and start from the begin-

ning as if there had never been anything on the subject written before.

I must keep always in mind that you are assumed to know nothing whatever

about Yoga and Magick, or anything else beyond what the average educated $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

person may be assumed to have been taught.

What is the problem? There are two.

Beta: To train the mind to move with the maximum speed and energy,

 $\label{eq:with the utmost possible accuracy in the chosen} % \[\begin{array}{c} \text{direction, and} \end{array} \]$

 $\qquad \qquad \text{with the } \text{minimum of disturbance or friction.} \\ \text{That is Magick.}$

1

Alpha: To stop the mind altogether. That is Yoga.

The rules, strangely enough, are identical in both cases; at least, until

your "Magick" is perfect; Yoga merely goes on a step further. In Beta

you have reduced all movements from many to One; in Alpha you reduce that One to Zero.

Now then, with a sigh of relief, know you this: that every possible inci-

dent in the Beta training is mutatis mutandis, perfectly familiar to the engineer.

The material must be chosen and prepared in the kind and in the manner,

best suited to the design of the intended machine; the various parts

must be put together with the utmost precision; every obstacle to the

function must be removed, and every source of error eliminated. Now cheer

up, child! In the case of a machine that he has devised and constructed

himself with every condition in his favour, he thinks he is doing not too

badly if he gets some fifteen or twenty per cent of the calculated effi-

ciency out of the instrument; and even Nature, with millions of years

to adjust and improve, very often cannot boast of having done much better.

So you have no reason to be discouraged if success does not smile upon you

in the first week or so of your Work, starting as you do with material of

whose properties you are miserably ignorant, with means pitifully limited,

with Laws of Nature which you do not understand; in fact, with almost

everything against you but indomitable Will and unconquerable courage.

(I know I'm a poor contemptible Lowbrow; but I refuse to be a shamed for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) =\left$

finding Kipling's If and Henley's Don't remember-the title; they may not

be poetry --- but they are honest food and damned good beer for the plebeian

wayfarer. It was such manhood, not the left-wing high-brow Bloomsbury

sissies, that kept London through the blitz. Pray forgive the digression!)

There is only one method to adopt in such circumstances as those of the $\,$

Aspirant to Magick and Yoga: the method of Science. Trial and error.

You must observe. That implies, first of all, that you must learn to ob-

serve. And you must record your observations. No circumstance of life

is, or can be irrelevant. "He that is not with me is against me." In

all these letters you will find only two things: either I tell you what

is bad for you, or what is good for you. But I am not you; I don't know

every detail of your life, every trick of your thought. You must do ninety

percent of the work for yourself. Whether it is love, or your daily avo-

cation, or diet, or friends, or amusement, or anything else, you must

find out what helps you to your True Will and what hinders; cherish the $\,$

one and eschew the other.

I want to insist most earnestly that concentration is not, as we nearly

all of us think, a matter of getting things right in the practices; you

must make every breath you draw subservient to the True Will, to fertilize

the soil for the practices. When you sit down in your Asana to quiet your

 $\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{mind}}}, \mbox{\ensuremath{\text{it}}}$ is much easier for you if your whole life has tended to relative

quietude; when you knock with your Wand to announce the opening of an

Invocation, it is better if the purpose of that ceremony has been simmer-

ing in the background of your thought since childhood!

Yes indeed: background!

Deep down, on the very brink of the subconscious, are all those facts $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$

which have determined you to choose this your Great Work.

2

Then, the ambition, conscious, which arranges the general order and disposition of your life.

Lastly, the practices themselves. And my belief is that the immense

official works mentioned in the early part of this letter; I shall be

happy if you will take to heart what I am now so violently thrusting at $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$

you, this Middle Work of Concentration.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

CHAPTER XVII

ASTRAL JOURNEY, EXAMPLE. HOW TO DO IT:

HOW TO VERIFY YOUR EXPERIENCES

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

There is no better way of training the memory than the practice of the Holy Qabalah.

The whole mechanism of memory depends on joining up independent data.

You must go on adding a little to little, always joining the simple impres-

sions by referring them to others which are more general; and so on

until the whole of your universe is arranged like the brain and the $\,$

nervous system. This system in fact, becomes the Universe. When you $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2,\ldots \right\}$

have got everything properly correlated, your central consciousness

understands and controls every tiniest detail. But you must begin at

the beginning --- you go out for a walk, and the first thing you see is

a car; that represents the Atu VII, the Chariot, referred to Cancer.

Then you come to a fishmonger, and notice certain crustacea, very \mbox{mala}

chostomous. This comes under the same sign of Cancer. The next thing $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

you notice is an amber-coloured dress in Swan and Edgar's; amber also

is the colour of Cancer in the King's Scale. Now then you have a set

of three impressions which is joined together by the fact that they all $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{$

belong to the Cancer class; experience will soon teach that you can

 $\ensuremath{\operatorname{remember}}$ all three very much more clearly and accurately than you could

any one of the three singly.

You have not increased the burden on your memory, but diminished it.

What you say about tension and eagerness and haste is very true. See

The Book of the Law, Chapter I, 44.

"For pure will, unassuaged of purpose, delivered from the lust of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

result, is every way perfect."

This, from a practical point of view, is one of the most important verses

3

in the book.

The unusual word "unassuaged" is very interesting. People generally

suppose that "will" is the slave of purpose, that you cannot will a thing

properly unless you are aiming at a definite goal. But this is not the

case. Thinking of the goal actually serves to distract the $\min d. \quad \mbox{In}$

these few words is included the whole method without all the $\operatorname{bombastic}$

piety of the servile doctrine of mysticism about the surrender of the

Will. Nor is this idea of surrender actually correct; the will must be $% \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right$

identified with the Divine Will, so-called. One wants to become like a $\,$

mighty flowing river, which is not consciously aiming at the sea, and is

certainly not yielding to any external influence. It is acting in

conformity with the law of its own nature, with the Tao. One can describe

it, if necessary, as "passive love"; but it is love (in effect) raised

to its highest potential. We come back to the same thing: when passion $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

is purged of any "lust of result" it is irresistible; it has become "Law."

I can never understand why it is that mystics fail to see that their $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

smarmy doctrine of surrender actually insists upon the duality which they $\,$

have set out to abolish!

I certainly have no intention of "holding you down" to "a narrow path of $\mbox{\ }$

work" or any path. All I can do is to help you to understand clearly the

laws of your own nature, so that you may go ahead without extraneous $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

influence. It does not follow that a plan that I have found successful

in my own case will be any use to you. That is another cardinal mistake

of most teachers. One must have become a Master of the Temple to annihi- $\,$

125

late one's ego. Most teachers, consciously or unconsciously, try to get others to follow in their steps. I might as well dress you up in my castoff clothing! (In the steps of the Master. At the feet of the Master. Steward!) Please observe that the further you get on, the higher your potential, the greater is the tendency to leak, or even to break the containing vessel. I can help you by warning you against setting up obstacles, real or imaginary, in your own path; which is what most people do. It is almost laughable to think that the Great Work consists merely in "letting her rip;" but Karma bumps you from one side of the toboggan slide to the other, until you "come into the straight." (There's a chapter or two in

the Book of Lies about this, but I haven't got a copy. I must find one,

and put them in here. Yes: p. 22)

O thou that settest out upon the Path, false is the Phantom that thou

seekest. When thou hast it thou shalt know all bitterness, thy teeth

fixed in the Sodom-Apple.

Thus hast thou been lured along that Path, whose terror else had

driven thee far away.

O thou that stridest upon the middle of the Path, no phantoms mock

thee. For the stride's sake thou stridest.

Thus art thou lured along that Path, whose fascination

driven thee far away.

O thou that drawest toward the End of The Path, effort is no more.

Faster and faster dost thou fall; thy weariness is changed into

Ineffable Rest.

For there is no Thou upon that Path: thou hast become The Way.

As in the Yi King, the 3rd hexagram has departed from the original perfec-

tion, and it takes all the rest of the hexagrams to put things right again.

The result, it is true, is superior; the perfection of the original has

been enhanced and enriched by its experience.

There is another way of defining the Great Work. That explains to us the $\,$

whole object of manifestation, of departing from the perfection of "Nothing"

tage, that it is quite impossible to go wrong. Every experience, whatever

may be its nature, is just another necessary bump.

Naturally one cannot realize this until one becomes a Master of the Temple;

consequently one is perpetually plunged in sorrow and despair. There is,

you see, a good deal more to it than merely learning one's mistakes. One

can never be sure what is right and what is wrong, until one appreciates

that "wrong" is equally "right." Now then one gets rid of the idea of

"effort" which is associated with "lust of result." $\,\,$ All that one does is

to exercise pleasantly and healthfully one's energies.

It will not do to regard "man" as the "final cause" of manifestation.

Please do not quote myself against me.

"Man is so infinitely small, In all these stars, determinate. Maker and master of them all, Man is so infinitely great."

The human apparatus is the best instrument of which we are, at present,

aware in our normal consciousness; but when you come to experience the

Conversation of the higher intelligences, you will understand how imper-

fect are your faculties. It is true that you can project these intelli-

gences as parts of yourself, or you can suppose that certain $\ensuremath{\mathsf{human}}$ vehicles

may be temporally employed by them for various purposes; but these specu-

127

lations tend to be idle. The important thing is to make contact with

beings, whatever their nature, who are superior to yourself, not merely

in degree but it kind. That is to say, not merely different as a Great

Dane differs from a Chihuahua, but as a buffalo differs from either.

Of course you are perfectly right about the senses, though ${\tt I}$ would not

agree to confine the meaning to the five which are common to most people.

There must, one might suspect, be ways of apprehending directly such

phenomena as magnetism, electrical resistance, chemical affinity and the $\,$

like. Let me direct you once more to The Book of the Law, Chapter II, $\ensuremath{\text{vs}}\xspace.$

70 - 72.

"There is help & hope in other spells. Wisdom says: be strong!

Then canst thou bear more joy. Be not animal; refine thy rapture!

If thou drink, drink by the eight and ninety rules of art : if thou

love, exceed by delicacy; and if thou do aught joyous, let there be subtlety therein!

"But exceed! exceed!

"Strive ever to more! and if thou art truly mine $\operatorname{---}$ and doubt it not,

an if thou art ever joyous! --- death is the crown of all."

5

The mystic's idea of deliberately stupefying and stultifying himself is

an "abomination unto the Lord." This, by the way, does not conflict with

the rules of Yoga. That kind of suppression is comparable to the restric-

tions in athletic training, or diet in sickness.

Now we get back to the Qabalah --- how to make use of it.

Let us suppose that you have been making an invocation, or shall we call

it an investigation, and suppose you want to interpret a passage of Bach.

To play this is the principal weapon of your ceremony. In the course of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

your operation, you assume your astral body and rise far above the terres-

trial atmosphere, while the music continues softly in the background.

You open your eyes, and find that it is night. Dark clouds are on the

horizon; but in the zenith is a crown of constellations. This light

helps you, especially as your eyes become accustomed to the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{gloom}}$, to

take in your surroundings. It is a bleak and barren landscape. Terrific

mountains rim the world. In the midst looms a cluster of blue-black crags.

Now there appears from their recesses a gigantic being. His strength,

especially in his hands and in his loins, it terrifying. he suggests a

combination of lion, mountain goat and serpent; and you instantly jump

to the idea that this is one of the rare beings which the Greeks called

Chimaera. So formidable is his appearance that you consider it prudent

to assume an appropriate god-form. But who is the appropriate god? You

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{may}}$ perhaps consider it best, in view of your complete ignorance as to

who he is and where you are, to assume the $\operatorname{god-form}$ of Harpocrates, as

being good defence in any case; but of course this will not take you very

far. If you are sufficiently curious and bold, you will make up your mind

rapidly on this point. This is where your daily practice of the Qabalah

will come in useful. You run through in your mind the seven sacred planets.

The very first of them seems quite consonant with what you have so far

seen. Everything suits Saturn well enough. To be on the safe side, you

go through the others; but this is a very obvious case --- Saturn is the

only planet that agrees with everything. The only other possibility will

be the Moon; but there is no trace noticeable of any of her more amiable

characteristics. You will therefore make up your mind that it is a

Saturnian god-form that you need. Fortunate indeed for you that you have

practiced daily the assumption of such forms! Very firmly, very steadily,

very slowly, very quietly, you transform your normal astral appearance

into that of Sebek. The Chimaera, recognizing your divine authority,

becomes less formidable and menacing in appearance. He may, in some way,

indicate his willingness to serve you. Very good, so far; but it is of

course the first essential to make sure of his integrity. Accordingly

you begin by asking his name. This is vital; because if he tells you the

truth, it gives you power over him. But if, on the other hand, he tells

you a lie, he abandons for good and all his fortress. He becomes rather $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

like a submarine whose base has been destroyed. He may do you a lot of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

mischief in the meantime, of course, so look out!

Well then, he tells you that his name is Ottillia. Shall we try to spell

it in Greek or in Hebrew. By the sound of the name and perhaps to some

extent by his appearance one might plump for the former; but after all

the Greek Qabalah is so unsatisfactory. We give Hebrew the first chance $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$

we start with Ayin Teth Yod Lamed Yod Aleph Hay {render in Hebrew}. Let us

try this lettering for a start. It adds

up to 135. I daresay that you don't remember what the Sepher Sephiroth

tells you about the number; but as luck will have it, there is no need

to inquire; for $135 = 3 \times 45$. Three is the number, is the first number

of Saturn, and 45 the last. (The sum of the numbers in the magic $\{\text{sic}\}$ square

6

of Saturn is 45.) That corresponds beautifully with everything you have

got so far; but then of course you must know if he is "one of the beliv-

ing Jinn." Briefly, is he a friend or an enemy? You accordingly say to

him "The word of the Law is Thelema {spell it in Greek}" It turns out that he

doesn't under-

stand Greek at all, so you were certainly right in choosing $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Hebrew}}\xspace.$ You

put it to him, "What is the word of the Law?" and he replies darkly.

"The word of the Law is Thora." That means nothing to you; any one might

know as much as that, Thora being the ordinary word for the Sacred Law of

Israel, and you accordingly ask him to spell it to make sure you have

heard aright; and he gives you the letters, perhaps by speaking them,

perhaps by showing them: Teth, Resh, Ayin. You add these up and get

279. This again is divisible by the Saturnian 3, and the result is 93;

in other words, he has been precisely right. On the plane of Saturn one

may multiply by three and therefore he has given you the correct word

"Thelema" in a form unfamiliar to you. You man now consider yourself

satisfied of his good faith, and may proceed to inspect him more closely.

The stars above his head suggest the influence of Binah, whose number also

is three, while the most striking thing about him is the core of his being:

the letter Yod. (One does not count the termination "AH": being a divine

suffix it represents the inmost light and the outermost light.) This Yod,

this spark of intense brilliance, is of the pale greenish $\ensuremath{\operatorname{gold}}$ which one

sees (in this world) in the fine gold leaf of Tibet. It glows with ever

greater intensity as you concentrate upon observing him, which you could

not do while you were preoccupied with investigating his credentials.

Confidence being thus established, you inquire why he as appeared to you

at this time and at this place; and the answer to this question is of

course your original idea, that is to say, he is presenting to you in

other terms that "mountainous Fugue" which invoked him. You listen to

him with attention, make such enquiries as seem good to you, and record the proceedings.

The above example is, of course, pure imagination, and represents a very

favourable case. You are only too likely, and that not only at the begin-

ning, to meet all sorts of difficulties and dangers.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666

CHAPTER XVIII

THE IMPORTANCE OF OUR CONVENTIONAL GREETINGS, ETC.

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

From time to time I have exhorted you with mine accustomed matchless $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

eloquence never to neglect the prescribed Greetings: but I think it just

as well to collect the various considerations connected with their use $\ensuremath{\mathsf{---}}$

and in "Greetings" I include "saying Will" before set meals, the four

daily adorations of the Sun (Liber CC, vel Resh) and the salutation of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

Our Lady the Moon. I propose to deal with the general object of the $\,$

combined rituals, not with the special virtues of each separately.

7

The practice of Liber III vel Jugoruml is the complement of these grouped $\,$

customs. By sharp physical self-chastisement when you think, say, or do

whatever it is that you have set yourself to avoid doing, you set a sentry

at the gate of your mind ready to challenge all comers, and so you acquire

the habit of being on the alert. Keep this in mind, and you will have no

difficulty in following the argument of this letter.

When you are practicing Dharana2 concentration, you allow yourself so

many minutes. It is a steady, sustained effort. The mind constantly

struggles to escape control. (I hope you remember the sequence of "breaks."

In case you don't, I summarize them.

- (1) Immediate physical interruptions: Asana should stop these.
 - (2) Things that are "on you mind."
 - (3) Reverie, and "Wouldn't it help if I were to --- ?"
- (4) Atmospherics --- e.g. voices apparently from some alien source.
- (5) Aberrations of the control itself; and the result itself.

(Remember the practice of some $\mbox{\sc Hindu}$ schools: "Not that, not

that!" to whatever it is the presents itself as Tat Sat ---

reality, truth).

Need I remind you how urgent the wish to escape will assuredly become,

how fantastic are the mind's devices and excuses, amounting often to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

deliberate revolt? In Kandy I broke away in a fury, and dashed down to

Colombo with the intention of painting the very air as red as the betel- $\,$

spittle on the pavements! But after three days of futile search for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

satisfying debauchery I came back to my horses, and, sure enough, it was

merely that I had gone stale; the relaxation soothed and steadied me; \mbox{I}

resumed the discipline with redoubled energy, and Dhyana dawned before \boldsymbol{a}

week had elapsed.

I mention this because it is the normal habit of the mind to organize

these counter-attacks that makes their task so easy. What you need is a

mind that will help rather than hinder your Work by its normal function.

This is where these Greetings, and Will-sayings, and Adorations come in.

It is not a concentration-practice proper; I haven't a good word for it. "Background-concentration" or "long-distance-concentration" are clumsy, and not too accurate. It is really rather like a public school education. One is not constantly "doing a better thing that one has ever done; one is not dropping one's eye-glass every two minutes, or being a little gentleman in the act of brushing one's hair. The point is that one trains oneself to react properly at any moment of surprise. It must become "second nature" for "Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law." to spring to the forefront of the mind when one is introduced to a stranger, or comes down to breakfast, or hears the telephone bell, or observes the hour of the adoration, (these are to be the superficial reactions, like instinctively rising when a lady enters the room), or, at the other end, in moments of immediate peril, or of sudden apprehension, or when in one's meditation, one approaches the deepest strata.

1* See Magick in Theory and Practice, pp. 427 - 429.
2** Book 4, Part I.

8

One need not be dogmatic about the use of these special words. One might choose a formula to represent one's own particular True Will. It is a little like Cato, (or Scipio, was it?) who concluded every speech, whether about the Regulations of the Roman Bath or the proposal to reclaim a marsh of the Maremma, with the words: "And moreover, in my opinion, Carthage ought to be destroyed."

Got it?

You teach the mind to push your thought automatically to the very thing from which it was trying to wander. "Yes, I get you Stephen! . . . But,

Uncle Dudley, come clean, do you always do all this yourself? Don't you sometimes feel embarrassed, or fear that you may destroy the effect of your letter, or "create a scene" in the public street when you suddenly stop and perform these incomprehensible antics, or simply forget about the whole thing?" Yes, I do. Peccavi. Mea culpa, mea macima culpa. I am not your old and valued friend, Adam Qadmon, the Perfect Man. I am a pretty poor specimen. I am nothing to cable about to Lung Peng Choung, or Himi, or Monsalvat. I do forget now and again; though, I am glad to say, not nearly as often as I used to do. (As the habit is acquired, it tends to strengthen itself). But often I deliberately omit to do my duty. I do funk it. I do resent it. I do feel that it's too much bother. As I said above, Adam Qadman is not my middle name. Well now, have I any shadow of an excuse? Yes, I have, after a fashion; I don't think it good manners to force my idiosyncrasies down people's throats, and I don't want to appear more of an eccentric than I need. It might detract from my personal influence, and so actually Work that I am trying to perform. . . "Yes, that's all very well, Alibi Ike; you are exceedingly well know as a Scripture-quoting Satan, as a Past-Master in selfjustification. Trained from infancy by the Plymouth Brethern, who for casuistry leave the Jesuits at the post!" "Yes, yes, but --- ---." "You needn't but me no buts, you old he-goat! Wasn't there once a Jonas Hanway, the first man to sport an umbrella? Wouldn't your practice be

natural, and right, and the cream of the cream of good manners as soon $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$

as a few hundred people of position took to doing it? And wouldn't

Thomas, Richard, and Henry, three months later, make a point of doing the $\,$

same as their betters?" (That was Conscience speaking.)

All right, you win.

Love is the law, love under will.

9

Yours Fraternally,

CHAPTER XIX

THE ACT OF TRUTH

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

It seems that last Wednesday I so far forgot myself as to refer to the $\,$

"Act of Truth" in conversation, and never mentioned what it is when it's $\ensuremath{\text{S}}$

at home, or why anyone should perform it, or what happens when one does perform it!

All right, I will remedy that; luckily, it is a very simple matter;

very important, perfectly paradoxical and devastatingly effective.

Analysed, it is to make the assumption that something which seems very

wrong is actually all right, that an eager wish is an accomplished fact.

a reasonable anxiety, entirely unfounded --- and to act accordingly.

For instance, I'm in some desolate place, dependent for my food supply

on a weekly messenger. If he is a day late, it is awkward; if two, it

means hardship; if three, serious risk. One is naturally anxious as the

day approaches; perhaps the weather, or some similar snag, makes it $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

likely that he will be late. From one cause or another, I have rather $\,$

exceeded my ration. There is nothing I can do about it, materially.

The sensible course of action is to draw in ${\tt my}$ horns, live on the ${\tt mini-}$

mun, necessary to life, which involves cutting the day's work down to

almost noting, and hope for the best, expecting the worst.

But there is a Magical mode of procedure. You say to yourself: I am $\,$

here to do this Work in accordance with my true Will. The Gods have got

to see to it that I'm not baulked by any blinking messenger. (But take $\,$

care They don't overhear you; They might mistake it for Hybris, or pre-

sumption. Do it all in the Sign of Silence, under the aegis of Harpocrates,

the "Lord of Defence and Protection"; be careful to assume his God-form,

as standing on two crocodiles. Then you increase your consumption, and

at the same time put in a whole lot of extra Work. If you perform this $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

"Act of Truth" properly, with genuine conviction that nothing can go

wrong, your messenger will arrive a day early, and bring an extra large supply.

This, let me say at once, is very difficult, especially at first, until $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Lin}}$

one has gained confidence in the efficacy of the Formula; and it is very

futile here than in most cases, and the results of messing it up are $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

commonly disastrous.3

You must invent your act to suit your case, every time; suppose you

expect a cable next Friday week, transferring cash to your account. You

need \$500 to make up an important payment, and you don't know whether

and save your expenses, and make yourself miserable and incapable of $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right$

3* Do not be misled by any apparent superficial resemblance to "Christian

137

Science" and "Coueism" and their cackling kin. They miss every essential feature of the formula.

10

vigorous thought or action? You may succeed in saving enough to swing the deal; but you won't get a penny beyond the amount actually needed ---

and look at the cost in moral grandeur!

No, go and stand yourself a champagne luncheon, and stroll up $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Bond}}$ Street

with an 8 1/2 "Hoyo de Monterey," and squander \$30 on some utterly useless

bauble. Then the \$500 will swell to \$1000, and arrive two days early at that!

There are one or two points to consider very carefully indeed before you start: ---

1. The proposed Act must be absurd; it won't do at all if by some $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Some}}$

 $\label{eq:fluke} \mbox{fluke, however unlikely, it might accomplish your aim. For }$

instance, it's no use backing an outsider. there must be no $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

causal link.

2. The Act must be one which makes the situation definitely worse.

 $\quad \text{E.g.:}$ suppose you are counting on a new dress to make a hit at

a Reception, and doubt whether it is so much better than your $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

 $$\operatorname{present}$$ best, or whether it will be finished in time. Then,

 $\mbox{ wear that present best to-night (wet, of course),} \\ \mbox{knowing you}$

are sure to soil it.

3. Obviously, all the usual conditions of a Magical Operation apply

in this as in all cases; your aim must conform with your True

 $\label{eq:will_self_wild} \text{Will, and all that; but there is one curious point} \ about \ an$

Act of Truth: this, that one should resort to it only when there

is no other method possible. In the explorer's case, above, it

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ won't do if he has any means of hurrying up the messenger.

It seems to me that the above brief sketch should suffice an intelligent

and imaginative student like yourself; but if any point remains darkling,

let me know, and I will follow up with a postscript.

Love is the law, love under will.

Yours fraternally,

666

P.S. --- I thought it might help you if I were to make a few experiments.

I have done so. Result: this is much more difficult and delicate an

affair than I had thought when I wrote this letter. For instance, one

single thought of a "second string" --- e.g. "if it fails, I had better do

so and so" $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$ is enough to kill the while operation stone dead. Of course,

I am totally out of practice; but, even so

CHAPTER XX

TALISMANS: THE LAMEN: THE PANTACLE

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Really you comfort me when you turn from those abstruse and exalted themes $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

with which you have belaboured me so often of late to dear cuddlesome

11

little questions like this in our letter received this morning: "Do

please, dear Master, give me some hints about how to make Talismans (that's $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$

the same as Telesmata, isn't it? Yes, 666) and the Pantacle. The official instructions are quite clear, of course; but somehow I find them just a little frightening."

Well, I think I know pretty well what you mean; so I will try to imitate the style of Aunt Tabitha in "The Flapper's Fireside."

For one thing, you forgot to mention the Lamen. Now what are these things when they are at home? That's easy enough.

The Lamen is a sort of Coat of Arms. It expresses the character and powers of the wearer.

A talisman is a storehouse of some particular kind of energy, the kind that is needed to accomplish the task for which you have constructed it.

The Pantacle is often confused with both the others; accurately, it is a

"Minutum Mundum", "the Universe in Little"; it is a map of all that

exists, arranged in the Order of Nature. There is a chapter in Book 4,

Part II, devoted to it (pp. 117 - 129); I cannot make up my mind whether

I like it. At the best it is very far from being practical instruction.

(The chapter on the Lamen, pp. 159 - 161, is even worse.)

An analogy, not too silly, for these three; the Chessplayer, the Openings, and the Game itself.

But --- you will object --- why be silly at all? Why not say simply that the

Lamen, stating as it does the Character and Powers of he wearer, is a

dynamic portrait of the individual, while the Pantacle, his Universe, is

a static portrait of him? And that, you pursue flattering, is why you

preferred to call the Weapon of Earth (in the Tarot) the Disk, emphasizing

its continual whirling movement rather than the Pantacle of Coin, as is

more usual. Once again, exquisite child of our Father the \mbox{Archer} of Light

and of seaborn Aphrodite, your well-known acumen has "nicked the ninety and

nine and one over" as Browning says when he (he too!) alludes to the Tarot.

As you will have gathered from the above, a Talisman is a much more $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

restricted idea; it is no more than one of the objects in his Pantacle,

one of the arrows in the quiver of his Lamen. As, then, you would expect,

it is very little trouble to design. All that you need is to "make consi-

derations' about your proposed operation, decide which planet, sign,

element or sub-element or what not you need to accomplish your miracle.

As you know, a very great many desirable objects can be attained by the

use of the talismans in the Greater and Lesser Keys of Solomon the King;

also in Pietro di Abano and the dubious Fourth Book of Cornelius Agrippa.

You must on no account attempt to use the squares given in the Book of the

Sacred Magic of Abramelin the Mage until you have succeeded in the Opera-

tion. More, unless you mean to perform it, and are prepared to go to any

length to do so, you are a fool to have the book in your possession at

all. Those squares are liable to get loose and do things on their own

initiative; and you won't like it.

The late Philip Haseltine, a young composer of genius, used one of these

squares to get his wife to return to him. He engraved it neatly on his

12

arm. I don't know how he proceeded to set to work; but his wife came

back all right, and a very short time afterwards he killed himself.

Then there are the Elemental Tablets of Sir Edward Kelly and Dr. John Dee.

From these you can extract a square to perform almost any conceivable

operation, if you understand the virtue of the various symbols which they

manifest. They are actually an expansion of the Tarot. (Obviously, the

Tarot itself as a whole is a universal Pantacle --- forgive the pleonasm!

Each card, especially is this true of the Trumps, is a talisman; and the

whole may also be considered as the Lamen of Mercury. It is evidently an

Idea far too vast for any human mind to comprehend in its entirety. For

it is "the Wisdom whereby He created the worlds.")

The decisive advantage of this system is not that its variety makes it so

adaptable to our needs, but that we already posses the Invocations

necessary to call forth the Energies required. What is perhaps still more

to the point, they work without putting the Magician to such severe toil

and exertion as is needed when he has to write them out from $\ensuremath{\mathsf{his}}$ own

ingenium. Yes! This is weakness on my part, and I am very naughty to

encourage you to shirk the hardest path.

I used often to make the background of my Talismans of four concentric

circles, painting then, the first (inmost) in the King (or Knight) scale,

the second in the Queen, the third in the Prince, and the outermost in $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

the Princess scale, of the Sign, Planet, or Element to which I was devoting

it. On this, preferably in the "flashing" colours, I would paint the $\,$

appropriate Names and Figures.

Lastly, the Talisman may be surrounded with a band inscribed with a suit-

able "versicle" chosen from some Holy book, or devised by the Magician to suit the case.

In the British Museum (and I suppose elsewhere) you may see the medal

struck to commemorate the victory over the Armada. This is a reproduction,

perhaps modified, of the Talisman used by $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Dee}}$ to raise the storm which

scattered the enemy fleet.

You must lay most closely to your heart the theory of the Magical Link

(see Magick pp . 107 - 122) and see well to it that it rings true; for $\,$

without this your talisman is worse than useless. It is dangerous; for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

all that Energy is bound to expend itself somehow; it will make its own

links with anything handy that takes its fancy; and you can get into any $\ensuremath{\text{get}}$

sort of the most serious kind of trouble.

There is a great deal of useful stuff in Magick; pp. 92 - 100, and pp.

179 - 189. I could go on all night doing nothing but indicating sources of information.

Then comes the question of how to "charge" the Talisman, of how to evoke

or to invoke the Beings concerned, and of --- oh! of so much that you need $\,$

a lifetime merely to master the theory.

Remember, too, please, what I have pointed out elsewhere, that the greatest

Masters have quite often not been Magicians at all, technically; they

have used such devices as Secret Societies, Slogans and Books. If you

are so frivolous as to try to exclude these from our discourse, it is

merely evidence that you have not understood a single word of what I have

been trying to tell you these last few hundred years!

13

May I close with a stray example or so? Equinox III, 1, has the Neophyte's

Pantacle of Frater O.I.V.V.I.O. The Fontispiece of the original (4 vol- $\,$

ume) edition of Magick, the colors vilely reproduced, is a Lamen of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$

own Magick, or a Pantacle of the Science, I'm sure I'm not sure which!

Most of my Talismans, like my Invocations, have been poems. This letter $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

must be like the Iliad in at least one respect: it does not end; it stops.

Love is the law, love under will.

Yours fraternally,

666

CHAPTER XXI

MY THEORY OF ASTROLOGY

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

A few well-chosen words about Astrology? Madam, I am only too happy to

oblige: our aim is to serve. The customer is usually wrong; but statis-

tics indicate that it doesn't pay to tell him so.

It seems a long while since I set up your Nativity, and read it, but it

is very clear in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ mind that you were astonished, as so $\ensuremath{\mathsf{many}}$ others

have been, by the simplicity and correctness of my reading. It began,

you remember, by your giving me the usual data when we dropped in for

tea at the Anglers' Rest,. I calculated the Ascendant on the spot, and

remarked "Rubbish!" I looked at you again very carefully;
and, after

many grunts, observed, "More likely half-past ten --- within an hour one $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

way or the other." You insisted; I insisted. Unwilling to make a Fracas

in the Inn, we decided to put you to the trouble of writing to your mother to settle the dispute. Back came the answer: "within

minutes of eleven. I remember because your father had hung on as long

as he could --- he had to take the morning service."

This occurrence is very common in my experience; I have contradicted

what sounded like ascertained fact and proved on enquiry to have been

right; so, considering that the statistics I made many years ago showed

me to have been right 109 times out of 120, I think two things are fairly

near probation; firstly, I am not guessing --- that doesn't
matter much;

but, secondly, which is of supreme importance, there is a definite con-

nection between the personal appearance and manner of the native, and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

the Sign of the Zodiac which was rising when he first drew air into his lungs.

Let me add, to strengthen the argument, that on the few occasions where

I have erred there has been a good astrological reason for it. E.g. $\ensuremath{\text{\textbf{I}}}$

might plump for Pisces rising when it was actually Capricornus; but in

that case Saturn would have been afflicted by being in Cancer, with

bad aspects from Venus and the Moon, thus taking away all his rugged,

male, laborious qualities, and in the Ascendant might have been Jupiter,

suggesting many of the qualities of Pisces: and so forth.

14

Now let me start! You want me to explain the system --- or no-system! ---

which I use. I do not "move in a mysterious way My wonders to perform;"

for nothing could be simpler. For its origin I have to thank Abramelin

the Mage, who empties the vials of his scorn upon the astrologers of his $\,$

time with their meticulous calculations of "the hours of the planets" $% \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac$

and so on. I think he goes too far when he says that a planet can have

no influence at all, or very little, unless it is above the horizon;

but he meant well, bless him! And, though he does not say so, I believe

that I do my stuff in very much the same way as he did.

Modern astrologers multiply their charts until their desks remind me of $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{$

a Bargain Basement in the rush hour! They compare and contrast until

they are in bat-eyed bewilderment bemused; and when the answer turns

out absolutely false, exclaim, what a shout: "By Ptolemy, I forgot to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

look at the last Luniation for Buda-Pesth!" But then they can always

find something or other which will explain how they came to go wrong:

understanding.

naturally, when you have several hundred factors, helplessly bound and gagged, it would be just too bad if you couldn't pick out one to serve your turn --- after the event! No, dear girl, it should be obvious to an unweaned brat: (a) they can't see the wood for the trees, (b) they are using Ruach on a proposition which demands Neschamah. Intellect is quite inadequate; the problem requires mother-wit, intuition,

Here is my system in a Number 000 Ampoule.

Put up the figure at birth: study it, make notes of the aspects and dignities, concentrate --- and turn on the Magical Tap!

Occasionally, when I began, I set up the "progressed figure" to see how

the patient was doing this week, but it never seemed to help enough to

compensate for the distraction caused by the complication. What I do $\,$

observe to examine the situation of to-day is Transits. These I have

found very reliable; but even with these I usually ignore aspects of

minor importance. Truth to tell, conjunctions mean very much more than $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

the rest put together.

Talking of aspects, I think it ridiculous to allow vast "orbs" like $15 \, \text{m}$

for Luna, and $12\emptyset$ for Sol. Astrologers go to extreme lengths to calculate

the "solar revolution" figure not to a degree, not to a minute, but to a $\$

second: and that when they don't know the exact time of birth within

half an hour or more! Talk about straining at a gnat and swallowing a

camel! Then what does an hour or so matter anyhow, if you are going to

allow an aspect, whether it is $2 \ensuremath{\text{\varpi}}$ or $10 \ensuremath{\text{\varpi}}$ off? This even with delicate

aspects like the quintile or semi-sextile. What would you think of \boldsymbol{a}

doctor who had a special thermometer made to register -1/100 of a degree,

and never took notice of the fact that the patient had just swallowed

a cupful of scalding hot tea?

In my own work, I disallow a deviation of 5σ or 6σ from the exact aspect,

unless there is some alien reason for thinking that it is actually opera-

tive. With the minor aspects, I dislike reckoning with them if they are even $3\emptyset$ away.

Nor do I see any sense in marking the odd minutes in the Ascendant, when one is not sure even of the decan.

That seems to be about all that is necessary for my "morning hate;"

suppose we go on to the question of interpretation.

15

Thousands of books have been written on Astrology; nobody could possible

read them all thoroughly, and he would be a great fool to try. But he

may do little harm by going into them far enough to observe that hardly

any half-dozen are agreed even on the foundations of their system, $\$

hardly any two upon the meaning of any given aspect, dignity, or posi-

tion; there is not always agreement even upon what questions pertain

to which houses.

There are a few completely quack systems, such as those which \min up

the science with Toshosophical4 hypotheses; naturally you discard these.

But even of generally acceptable forms of Astrology, such as Mundane

and Horary, I tend to be distrustful. I ask, for instance, why, if $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

Taurus rules Poland and Ireland, as is no doubt the case, the crash

and massacres of 1939 e.v. and later in the one did not take place in $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the other. All the seaports of the world naturally come under one of

the three watery signs; but we do not find that an affliction of Pisces,

which hits Tunis, should do harm to all the other harbours similarly ruled.

This brings us to the first Big Jump in the steeplechase of the whole

science. We hear of thousands of people being killed at the same time $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Line}}$

(within an hour or two, perhaps a minute or two) by earthquake, ship-

wreck, explosion, battle or other form of violence. Was the horoscope $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$

of every one of the victims marked with the probability of some such

end? I have known very strange cases of coincidence, but
not to that
extent!

The answer, I believe, is manifold. It might be, for example, that

Poland and Ireland are ruled by different degrees of Taurus; that there

are major and minor figures, the former overruling the latter, so that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

the figure of the launching of the "Titanic" swallowed up the nativities $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

of the victims of her wreck.

Something of this sort is really an obvious truth. Flood in China,

famine in India, pestilence anywhere, evidently depend on maps of \boldsymbol{a}

scale far more enormous than the personal.

Then --- on this point I feel reasonably sure --- there may be one or more

factors of which we know nothing at all, by which the basic possibilities

of a figure are set to work. (Just as a car with engine running will not start until the clutch is put in.)

I will conclude by announcing a rather remarkable position.

1. I see no objection at all to postulating that certain "rays,'

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

energy, may reach us from the other parts of the solar system; $\$

 $\qquad \qquad \text{for we can in fact point to perfectly analogous} \\ \text{phenomena in} \\$

the discoveries of the last hundred years or so.

But that is no more than a postulate.

 $4\ensuremath{^{\circ}}$ WEH NOTE: By now this term has appeared several times, and it will be

going by more than a few times ahead. Crowley disdained to apply

"Theosophical"

to the movement of Anne Besant, preferring to reserve the word for older systems. He coined the word "TOSHosophical" to replace "Theosophical" in these references.

16

2. The objections to Astrology as such, indicated by what I have $\,$

already pointed out, and several others, would suffice to place $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ me among the most arrogant disbelievers in the whole study, were

it not for what follows.

3. The facts with regard to the Ascendant are so patent, so undeni- $\,$

able, and so inexplicable without the postulate in (1), that ${\tt I}$

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ am utterly convinced of the fundamental truth of the basic

principles of the science.

I said, "I will conclude"; and I meant it. For now that (or so I hope)

you respect sufficiently ${\tt my}$ conviction that Astrology is a genuine science

and not a messy mass of Old Wives' Tales, you will obviously demand $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

instruction as to how to learn it, that you may verify my opinion in the $\,$

light of your own experiments.

This will look much better if I put it in a separate letter.

'Till then ---

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

CHAPTER XXII

HOW TO LEARN THE PRACTICE OF ASTROLOGY

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

149

"Up guards, and at 'em!" First, you must know your correspondences by heart backwards and upside down (air connu.) They are practically all in The Book of Thoth; but "if anyone anything lacks," look for it in 777.

Then, get a book on Astrology, the older the better. Raphael's Shilling
Handbook is probably enough for the present purpose. Get well into your
head what the menu says about the natures of the planets, the influence
of the aspects, what is meant by dignities, the scope of the houses, and so on.

Dovetail all this with your classical knowledge; the character and qualities, the powers and the exploits, of the several deities concerned.

Next, learn how to set up a figure of the heavens. This need not take an average intelligent person more than an hour at the most. You can learn it from a book. Lastly, get Barley's 1001 Notable nativities and More Nativites. Also any other collections available. Practice setting up the horoscopes. Use the Chaldean square system; it shows at the first glance what is happening in the angular houses, which are the keys of

compare and contrast what you know of the natives, from history, with what is said of the aspects (and the rest) in the books you have read.

Put together similar horoscopes; e.g. a dozen which have Sagittarius

17

rising, another lot with Jupiter in the hid-heaven, and so on; see if you can find a similarity in their lives with what the books will have

the whole figure.

150

led you to expect.

Don't be afraid to criticise; on the contrary, do some research work on

your own, and find cases which seem to contradict tradition.

Instance: Saturn in the M.C. is said to cause a spectacular rise in a $\$

man's career, ending in an equally notable crash. Examples: Napoleon I

and III, Oscar Wilde, Woodrow Wilson, Lord Northcliffe, Hitler. Look for

figures with Saturn thus placed, whose natives have jogged along equably

and died in the odour of sanctity. Find out why what worked in some

cases failed in the others.

By the time you have studied (say) 500 nativities you will be already a

fairly competent judge. Work your bloody guns! as Kipling says; get a

friend --- just this once I allow you human intercourse --- to set up for you

figures of historical importance, or with some outstanding characteristic

(e.g. murderers, champions of sport, statesmen, monsters, philanthropists, $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) +$

heresiarchs) without telling you to whom it refers.

Build up the character, profession, story from the nativity. It sounds

incredible; but more than a score of times I have been
actually able to
name him!

By the time you have got good at this game --- and a most amusing game it

is --- you may call yourself a very competent astrologer.

Sometimes, even now, you may assign the figure of the $Archbishop\ of\ York$

to Jabez Balfour or Catherine de Medici; or mix up Moody and Sankey with

Brown and Kennedy; don't be discouraged; perhaps there may be something

to be said for you after all!

I believe, as I hope, that you will be surprised at the speed with which you acquire proficiency.

All this time, moreover, you have not been wholly idle. You will have

been running about like a demented rabbit, and trying to spot the rising $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

sign of everybody you know. Look at them full-face, then profile; and note salient characteristics, pendulous lips, receding chins, bulbous noses, narrow foreheads, stuck-out ears, pimples, squints, warts, shape of face (three main types; thin, jutting, for cardinal signs; square, steadfast for cherubic; weak, nondescript, for the rest); then the stature, whether lithe, well-knit, sturdy, muscular, fat or what not; in short every bodily feature in turn; make up your mind what sign was rising at birth, and stick to it! Now to verify your suspicions. The conversation may run thus: You: "Can you answer a question without answering another which you were not asked?" It, surprised: "Why, yes, of course I can."

It: "1815."

18

You probably have to explain! In any case you begin all over again, when he has contented himself with "Yes" or "No" you say "Do you know the hour of your birth?" If he says "No," you ask if he can find out, and so on. It he says "Yes;" "Then tell me either the hour or the day and month; but not both." If he gives you the hour, you calculate a bit, and say: "Then you were born on the nth of Xember, within a fortnight either way."

If he tells you his birthday, work it out as before and then: "You were born at P in the morning within an hour either way." (This

makes it

about 11 to 1 against your being right, in either case, on pure chance.)

152

Again, you can practise this in caf,s, when you visit civilized countries,

and it is often possible to scrape acquaintance with people who look

specially interesting, and do not, as in England, instantly suspect you $\,$

of dishonourable advances, and get them to play up. This is sometimes

easier when you are already with that friend which I was so lax as to

allow you; and it is, I own, very helpful to discuss strange faces if

only to make it quite clear to your own mind why you decide on one as $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

Virgo, another as Taurus.

A strange thing happened once; I had explained all this to the girl

that I happened to be living with: that is, I taught her the names of

the signs; she knew no Astrology, net even the simple correspondences.

After about a month, she was better at it than I was! ("Why strange?"

you mutter rudely. "Quite right, my dear! I have always been a wretched

reader of character. Bless my soul! there was a time when I had hopes

of you," I savagely retort.) She had picked up the knack, the trick

of it; she could select, eliminate, re-compose, compare with past

experience, and form a judgment, without knowing the names of its materials.

When you have got your sea-legs at both these parts of your astrological

education, you may (I think) put out to sea with some confidence. Perhaps

a fair test of your fitness would be when you got three people right out

of four, in a total of a score or so. Well, allow for $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ being in a

"mood" to-night; call it two out of three. If it were guesswork, after

all, that means you are bringing it off at seven to one. Obviously, when

you do go wrong, set up the figure, study it more carefully than ever, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

and find out what misled you.

Remember constantly that the Statistical Method is your one and only

safeguard against self-deception.

Within the limits of a letter I could hardly hope to go into matters much

more fully or deeply than I have done; but 'pon my soul! I think that

what I have said should be enough for an intelligent and assiduous student.

Let me insist that all that is worth while comes by experience. Learning $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

one thing will give you the clue to another.

Well do I know to my sorrow how hard it is, as a rule, to learn how to

do a thing solely from written instruction; so perhaps you had better

arrange to see me one day about the actual setting-up of a figure.

Probably, too, there will be a few points that you would like to discuss.

I will end by betting you six clothing coupons to a pound of sugar that

in two years' concentrated work on these lines you will become a better

astrologer than ever I was. (This is very cunning of me ; in two years

we shall all be getting clothes without coupons.)

19

Love is the law, love under will.

Yours fraternally,

CHAPTER XXIII

IMPROVISING A TEMPLE

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

(This letter has been provoked by points discussed in your recent visit.)

As some of your daily practices are ceremonial, it should not come amiss

to vouch safe a few hints of practical service. For in ritual Magick, it will of course be the first care to get everything balanced and tidy. If you propose to erect a regular Temple, the most precise instructions in every detail are given in Book 4, Part II. (But I haven't so much as seen a copy for years!) There is a good deal scattered about in Part III (Magick, which you have) especially about the four elemental weapons. But if circumstances deny you for the moment the means of carrying out this Aedification as the Ideal would have it, you can certainly do your best to create a fairly satisfactory --- above all, workable --- substitute. (By the way, note the moral aspect of a house, as displayed in our language. "Edification" -- "house-making": from Latin Aedes, "house". "Economy" ---"houseruling": from the Greek "OIKOC", "House" and "NOMOC", I was often reduced to such expedients when wandering in strange lands, camping on glaciers, and so on. I fixed it workably well. In Mexico, D.F. for instance, I took my bedroom itself for the Circle, table for the Altar, my candle for the Lamp; and I made the Weapons compact. I had a Wand eight inches long, all precious stones and enamel, to represent the Tree of Life; within, an iron tube containing quicksilver --- very correct, lordly, and damsilly. What a club! Also, bought, a silver-gilt Cup; for Air and Earth I made one sachet of rose-petals in yellow silk, and another in green silk packed with salt. In the wilds it was easy, agreeable and most efficacious to make a Circle, and build an altar, of stones; my Alpine Lantern served admirably for the Lamp. It did double duty when required: e.g. in partaking of the Sacrament of the Four Elements, it served for Fire. But your conditions are not so restricted as this.

Let us consider what one can do with an ordinary house, such as you are

happy enough to possess.

First of all, it is of immense advantage to have a room specially conse-

crated to the Work, never used for any other purpose, and never entered $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

by any other person than yourself, unless it were another Initiate,

either for inspection or in case you were working together.

The aura accumulates with the regularity and frequency of ${\tt Use.}$

20

The first point is the Banishing: Everything is to be removed from the room which is not absolutely necessary to the Work.

in this country, one must attend to the heating. An electric stove in $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the East or the South, is best: it must not need attention. One can

usually buy stoves with excellent appropriate symbolism. (Last time ${\tt I}$

did this --- 13 e.v. --- I got a perfect Ferranti at Harrods. The circular $\,$

copper bowl, with the central Disk as the source of heat, is unsurpas-

sable.) The walls should be "self-coloured," a neutral tint
--- green,

grey or blue-grey? and entirely bare, unless you put up, in the proper

quarters, the proper designs, such as the "Watch Towers" --- see The $\,$

Equinox I, 7.

Remember that your "East," your Kiblah, is Boleskine House, which is as

near as possible due North from Plymouth. Find North by the shadow of

a vertical rod and noon, or by the Pole-Star. Work out the angle as usual.

The St,1, of Revealing may be just on the N. Wall to make your "East."

Next, your Circle. The floor ought to be "Earth" green; but white will serve, or black. (A Masonic carpet is not at all bad.) The

Circle it-

self should be as shown in Book 4, Part II; but as this volume is

probably unavailable, ask me to show you the large painted diagram in $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

my portfolio when next you visit me, and we can arrange for it to be copied.

This should then be painted in the correct colours on the floor: the

Kether Square to the North, your "East."

The Altar must fit exactly the square of Tiphareth; it is best made as

a cupboard; of oak or acacia, by preference. It can then be used to hold

reserves of incense and other requisites.

Note that the height of the Altar has to suit your convenience. It is

consequently in direct relation with your own stature; in proportion,

it is a double cube. This then determines the size of your circle; in

fact the entire apparatus and furniture is a geometrical function of

yourself. Consider it all as a projection of yourself in terms of these $\,$

conventional formulae. (A convention does really mean "that which is $\ensuremath{\mathsf{L}}$

convenient." How abject, then to obey a self-styled convention which

is actually as inconvenient as possible!)

Next, the Lamp. This may be of silver, or silver-gilt, (to represent

the Path of Gimel) and is to be hung from the ceiling exactly above the

centre of the altar. There are plenty of old church lamps which serve $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

very well. The light is to be from a wick in a floating cork in a glass

of olive oil. (I hope you can get it!) It is really desirable to make

this as near the "Ever-burning Lamp of the Rosicrucians" as possible;

it is not a drawback that this implies frequent attention.

Now for the Weapons!

The Wand. Let this be simple, straight and slim! Have you an Almond or

Witch Hazel in your garden --- or do I call it park? If so, cut (with the magick knife --- I would lend you mine) a bough, as nearly straight as possible, about two feet long. Peel it, rub it constantly with Oil of Abramelin (this, and his incense, from Wallis and Co., 26 New Cavendish

21

Street, W.1) and keep wrapped in scarlet silk, constantly, I wrote, and meant it; rub it, when saying your mantra, to the rhythm of that same.

(Remember, "A ka dua" is the best; ask me to intone it to

(Remember, "A ka dua" is the best; ask me to intone it to you when you next visit me.)

The Cup. There are plenty of chalices to be bought. It should be of silver. If ornamented, the best form is that of the apple. I have seen

suitable cups in many shops.

The Sword. The ideal form is shown in the Ace of Swords in the Tarot.

At all events, let the blade be straight, and the hilt a simple cross.

(The $32\emptyset$ Masonic Sword is not too bad; Kenning or Spencer in Great Queen

Street, W.C.2 stock them --- or used to do.)

The Disk. This ought to be of pure gold, with your own Pantacle, designed

by yourself after prolonged study, graved thereupon. While getting ready

for this any plain circle of gold will have to serve your turn. Quite $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

flat, of course. If you want a good simple design to go on interim, $\ensuremath{\operatorname{try}}$

the Rosy Cross or the Unicursal Hexagram.

So much for the Weapons! Now, as to your personal accoutrements, Robe,

Lamen, Sandals and the like, The Book of the Law has most thoughtfully

simplified matters for us. $\mbox{"I charge you earnestly to come before me in}$

a single robe, and covered with a rich headdress." (AL I, 61) The Robe

158

may well be in the form of the Tau Cross; i.e. expanding from axilla to $\ \ \,$

ankle, and from shoulder to $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$ whatever you call the place where your hands

come out. (Shape well shown in the illustration Magick face p. 360).

You being a Probationer, plain black is correct; and the Unicursal Hexa-

gram might be embroidered, or "applique" (is it? I mean
"stuck on"), upon

the breast. The best head-dress is the Nemyss: I cannot trust myself to

describe how to make one, but there are any number of models in the British

Museum, on in any Illustrated Hieroglyphic text. The Sphinx wears one,

and there is a photograph, showing the shape and structure very clearly,

in the Equinox I, 1, frontispiece to Supplement. You can easily make one

yourself out of silk; broad black-and-white stripes is a pleasing design.

Avoid "artistic" complexities.

Well, that ought to be enough to keep you out of mischief for a little

while; but I feel moved to add a line of caution and encouragement.

Listen!
Faites attention!
Achtung!
Khabardar karo!

Just as soon as you start seriously to prepare a place for magical Work,

the world goes more cockeyed than it is already. Don't be surprised if

you find that six weeks' intense shopping all over London fails to provide

you with some simple requisite that normally you could buy in ten minutes.

Perhaps your fires simply refuse to burn, even when liberally dosed with

petrol and phosphorus, with a handful of Chlorate of Potash thrown in just

to show there is no ill feeling! When you have almost decided that you

had better make up your mind to do without something that seems really

quite unobtainable --- say, a sixty-carat diamond which would look so well

of one. Or, a long series of quite unreasonable obstacles or silly acci-

dents interfere with your plans: or, the worst difficulty in your way is incomprehensibly removed by some extraordinary "freak of chance." Or, . . .

22

In a word, you seem to have strolled into a world where --- well, it might

be going too far to say that the Law of Cause and Effect is suspended;

but at least the Law of Probability seems to be playing practical jokes on you.

This means that your manoeuvres have somehow attracted the notice of the

Astral Plane: your new neighbours (May I call them?) are taking an $\ensuremath{\mbox{}}$

interest in the latest Tenderfoot, some to welcome, to do all they can

to help you to settle down, others indignant or apprehensive at this $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

disturbance of routine. This is where your Banishings and Invocations

come to the rescue. Of course, I am not here referring to the approach $\,$

to Sanctuaries which of necessity are closely guarded, but merely to the $\,$

recognition of a new-comer to that part of the world in general.

Of course all these miracles are very naughty of you; they mean that your $\,$

magical power has sprung a few small leaks; at least, the water is oozing

between some planks not sealed as Hermetically as they should be. But oh

and this is naughtier still $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$ it is a blessed, blessed comfort that they

happen, that chance, coincidence and all the rest will simply not explain $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

it all away, that your new vision of life is not a dream, but part and

parcel of Experience for evermore, a real as any other manifestation of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

Reality through sense such as is common to all men.

And this brings us $\operatorname{---}$ it has been a long way round $\operatorname{---}$ from the suggestion of

your visit to the question (hitherto unanswered) in your letter.

You raise so vast and razor-edged a question when you write of the supposed

antinomy of "soul" and "sense" that it seemed better to withhold comment

until this later letter; much meditation was most needful to compress

the answer within reasonable limits; even to give it form at all is no

easy matter. For this is probably the symptom of the earliest stirring of

the mind of the cave-man to reflection, thereunto moved by other symptoms $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$

those of the morning after following upon the night before. It is $\operatorname{---}$ have

we not already dealt with that matter after a fashion? --- evidence of disease

when an organ become aware of its own modes of motion. Certainly the mere

fact of questioning Life bears witness to some interruption of its flow,

just as a ripple on an even stream tells of a rock submerged. The fiercer

the torrent and the bigger the obstacle, the greater the disturbance to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

the surface --- have I not seen them in the Bralduh eight feet high?

Lethargic folk with no wild impulse of Will may get through Life in bovine

apathy; we may well note that (in a sense) the rage of the water seems to

our perturbed imagining actually to increase and multiply the obstructions;

there is a critical point beyond which the ripples fight each other!

That, in short, is a picture of you!

You have mistaken the flurry of passing over some actual snag for a snag

in itself! You put the blame on to your own quite rational attempts to

overcome difficulties. The secret of the trick of getting past the rocks $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

is elasticity; yet it is that very quality with which you reproach yourself!

We even, at the worst, reach the state for which ${\tt Buddhism},$ in the ${\tt East}$

presents most ably the case: as in the West, does James Thomson (B.V.) in

The City of Dreadful Night; we come to wish for --- or, more truly to

think that we wish for "blest Nirvana's sinless stainless Peace" (or some

23

such twaddle --- thank God I can't recall Arnold's mawkish and unmanly

phrase!) and B.V.'s "Dateless oblivion and divine repose."

I insist on the "think that you wish," because, if the real You did really

wish the real That, you could never have come to exist at all! ("But $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

don't exist." --- "I know --- let's get on!")

Note, please, how sophistically unconvincing are the Buddhist theories of

how we ever got into this mess. First cause: Ignorance. Way out, then,

knowledge. O.K., that implies a knower, a thing known --- and so on and so

forth, thought all the Three Waste Paper Baskets of the Law; analysed, it

turns out to be nonsense all dolled up to look like thinking. And there

is no genuine explanation of the origin of the Will to be.

How different, how simple, how self-evident, is the doctrine of The Book of the Law!

There are any number of passages dealing with this matter in my writings:

let's forget them, and keep to the Text!

Cap. I, v. 26 ". . my ecstasy, the consciousness of the
continuity of
existence, the omnipresence of my body."

V.~30~ "This is the creation of the world, that the pain of division is

as nothing, and the joy of dissolution all." (There is a Qabalistic inner

meaning in this text; "the pain," for instance, {Greek caps:
OmicronAlphaLambdaGammaOmicronSigma}, may be read

 $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mathtt{XVII}}}$ x 22 "the expression of Star-love," and so on: all too complicated

for this time and place!)

V.~32. "Then the joys of my love" (i.e. the fulfillment of all possible

experiences) "will redeem ye from all pain." V. 58. "I give unimaginable joys on earth: certainty, not faith, while in life, upon death; peace5 unutterable, rest, ecstasy; . . . " Cap. II, v. 9 "Remember all ye that existence is pure joy; that all the sorrows are but as shadows; they pass & are done; but there is that which remains." (The continuation is amusing! vv. 10 and 11 read: "O prophet! thou hast ill will to learn this writing. I see thee hate the hand & the pen; but I am stronger." At that time I was a hard-shell Buddhist, sent out a New Year's Card "wishing you a speedy termination of existence!" And this as a young man, with the world at my feet. It only goes to show) Vv. 19, 20. "Is a God to live in a dog? No! but the highest are of us. . . . Beauty and strength, leaping laughter and delicious languor, force and fire, are of us." This chapter returns over and over again to this theme in one form or 5* "Peace": the glow of satisfaction at achievement. It is not "eternal," rather, it whets the appetite for another adventure. (Peace, {GK: H. EIPHNH} $189 = 7 \times 9 \times 13$ 'the Venusian plus Lunar form of Unity.) 24 another. What is really more significant is the hidden, the unexpressed, soul of the Book; the way in which it leaps into wild spate of rhapsody on any excuse or no excuse.

This is surely more convincing than some dreary thesis plodding along doggedly with the "proof" (!) that "God is good," every sentence creaking with your chalk-stones and squeaking with the twinges of your toe! Yet just because I proclaim a doctrine of joy in the language of joy, people -- dull camels --- say I am not "serious." Yet I have found pleasure in harnessing the winged horses of the Sun to the ploughshare of Reason, in showing the validity of this doctrine in detail. It satisfies my sense of rhythm and of symmetry to explain that every experience, no matter what, must of necessity be a gain of grandeur, of grip, of comprehension and enjoyment ever growing as complexity and simplicity succeed each other in sublime systole and diastole, in strophe and antistrope chanting against each other to the stars of the Night and of the Morning! Of course it is easy as pie to knock all this to pieces by "lunatic logic," saying: "Then toothache is really as pleasant as strawberry shortcake:" You are hereby referred to Eight Lectures of Yoga. None of the terms I am using have been, or can be defined. All my propositions amount to no more than tautology: A. is A. You may even quote The Book of the Law itself: "Now a curse upon Because and his kin! Enough of Because! Be he damned for a dog!" (AL II, 28-33). These things Ignoratio Elenchi, or something painfully like it: as sort of slipping up a cog, of "confusing the planes" of willfully misunderstanding the gist of an argument. (All magicians, by the way, ought to be grounded solidly in Formal Logic.) Never forget, at the least, how simple it is to make a maniac's hell-broth of any proposition, however plain to common sense. All the above, now: --- Buddhism refuted. Yet it is a possibility and therefore one facet of Truth. "Rest" is an idea: so immobility is one

of the moving states. A certain state of mind is (almost by definition)
"eternal," yet it most assuredly begins and ends.

And so on for ever --- I fear it would be nugatory, pleonastic (and oh!

several other lovely long adjectives!) to try to guard you from these $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$

hydra-headed and protean booby-traps; you must tackle them yourself as

they arise, and deal with them as best you can: always remembering that

often enough you cannot tell which is you and which is the Monkey \mbox{Puzzle} ,

or who has won. ("Everybody's won; so everybody must have a prize" $\,$

applies beautifully). And none of it all matters a row of haricots verts $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

saut,s; for the conclusion must always be Doubt (see that beastly Book of

Lies again $\operatorname{---}$ there's a gorgeous chapter about it) and the practical moral

is this: these contradictions don't occur (or don't matter) in Neschamah.

Also, it might help you quite a lot (by encouraging you when depressed, or

amusing you when you want to relax) to read Sir Palamede the Saracen;

Supplement to The Equinox, Vol. I, No. 4. I expect quite a few of his

tragi-comic misadventures will be already familiar to you in one disguise or another.

25

And if the above remarks should embolden you to exclaim: "Perhaps a little drink would do me no great harm" I shall feel that I have deserved well of my country!

For --- see Liber Aleph, after Rabelais --- the Word of the Last Oracle is TRINC.

. . . .

This plaint of yours tails off --- and perks up in so doing --- with

confession

of Ambition, and considerations of what you must leave over to your next

life. Very right! but all that is covered by your general programme. It

is proper to assimilate these ideas with the fundamental structure of your $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

mind: "Perhaps I had better leave 'The Life and opinion of Battling Bill,

the Ballarat Bruiser' till, shall we say, six incarnations ahead" --- But

perhaps you have acquired that already.

No, better still, concentrate on the Next Step! After all, it is the only $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\} =\left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,n\right\} =\left\{$

one you can take, isn't it! Without lust of result, please!

And I shall leave anything else to the next letter.

Love is the law, love under will.

Yours fraternally,

666

P.S. "Next letter," yes, they are running into one another more than some-

what; it is better so, for life is like that. And we have the bold bad editor to sort them out.

CHAPTER XXIV

NECROMANCY AND SPIRITISM

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Really, you make me ashamed of You! To write to ignorant me to wise you

up about necromancy, when you have at your elbow the one supreme classic ---

L, vi's Chapter XIII in the Dogme et Rituel!6"

What sublimity of approach! What ingenuity of "considerations!" With

what fatally sure steps marches his preparation! With what superb tech-

nique does he carry out his energized enthusiasm! And, finally, with

what exact judicial righteousness does he sum the results of his great $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

Evocation of Apollonius of Tyana!

Contrast with this elaborate care, rightness of every detail, earnestness and intentness upon the goal --- contrast, I say, the modern Spiritist in the dingy squalor of her foul back street in her suburban slum, the room

musty, smelling of stale food, the hideous prints, the cheap and rickety $\,$

166

furniture, calling up any one required from Jesus Christ to Queen Victoria,

6* Dogme et Rituel de la Haute Magie, by Eliphas L, vi.

26

all at a bob-a-nob!

Faugh! Let us return to clean air, and analyse L,vi's experiment; I

believe that by the application of the principles set forth in my other

letters on Death and Reincarnation, it will be simple to explain his par-

tial failure to evoke Apollonius. You had better read them over again,

to have the matter clear and fresh in your mind.

Now then, let me call you attention to the extreme care which \mathbf{L} , $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{i}$ took

to construct a proper Magical Link between himself and the $\mbox{\tt Ancient Master.}$

Alas! It was rather a case of building with bricks made without straw;

he had not at his command any fresh and vital object pertaining intimately

to Apollonius. A "relic" would have been immensely helpful, especially if

it had been consecrated and re-consecrated through the centuries by devout $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

veneration. This, incidentally, is the great advantage that one may often $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

obtain when invoking Gods; their images, constantly revered, nourished by

continual sacrifice, serve as a receptacle for the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Prana}}$ driven into them

by thousands or millions of worshippers. In fact, such idols are often

already consecrated talismans; and their possession and daily use is at

least two-thirds of the battle.

Apollonius was indeed as refractory a subject as ${\tt L}, {\tt vi}$ could possibly have

chosen. All the cards were against him.

Why? Let me remind you of the sublimity of the man's genius, and the

extent of his attainment. Apollonius must certainly have made the closest

links between his Ruach and his Supernal Triad, and this would have gone

seeking a new incarnation elsewhere. All the available Ruach left float-

ing around in the Akasha must have been comparatively worthless odds and

ends, true Qlippoth or "Shells of the Dead" --- just those
parts of him, in

a word, which Apollonius would have deliberately discarded at his death.

So what use would they be to L,vi? Even if there were among them a few

such elements as would serve his purpose, they would have been devitalized $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

and frittered away by the mere lapse of the centuries, since they had lost

connection with the reality of the Sage. Alternatively, they might have

been caught up and adopted by some wandering Entity, quite probably some malignant demon.

Qlipoth --- Shells of the Dead --- Obsessing Spirits! Here we are back in

the pestilent purlieus of Walham Green, and the frowsty atmosphere of the

frowsy "medium" and the squalid s,ance. "Look! but do not speak to them!" as Virgil warned Dante.

So let us look.

No! Let us first congratulate ourselves that this subject of Necromancy is

so admirably documented. As to the real Art, we have not only Eliphas

 ${\tt L}, {\tt vi},$ but the sublimely simple account in the Old Testament of the Witch

of Endor, her conjuring up of the apparition of Samuel to King Saul. A

third classic must not be neglected: I have heard or read the story else-

where $\operatorname{---}$ for the moment I cannot place it. But it is so brilliantly told

in I Write as I Please by Walter Duranty that nothing could be happier $\,$

than to quote him verbatim.

revolutionary work in

"It was the story of a Bolshevik who conversed with a corpse. He told it to me himself, and undoubtedly believed it, although he was an average tough Bolshevik who naturally disbelieved in Heaven and Hell and a Life beyond the Grave. This man was doing 'underground'

27

St. Petersburg when the War broke out; but he was caught by the police $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

and exiled to the far north of Siberia. In the second winter of the $\mbox{\it War}$

he escaped from his prison camp and reached an Eskimo village where they

gave him shelter until the spring. They lived, he said, in beastly condi-

tions, and the only one whom he could talk to was the Shaman, or medicine

 $\mbox{\ensuremath{\text{man}}}\xspace, \mbox{\ensuremath{\text{who}}}\xspace \mbox{\ensuremath{\text{knew}}}\xspace \mbox{\ensuremath{\text{a}}}\xspace \mbox{\ensuremath{\text{little}}}\xspace \mbox{\ensuremath{\text{Russian}}\xspace}.$ The Shaman once boasted that he could

foretell the future, which my Bolshevik friend ridiculed.

The next day

the Shaman took him to a cave in the side of a hill in which there was a

big transparent block of ice enclosing the naked body of a man $\ensuremath{\mathsf{---}}$ a white

man, not a native --- apparently about thirty years of age
with no sign of

a wound anywhere. The man's head, which was clean-shaven, was outside

the block of ice; the eyes were closed and the features were European.

The shaman then lit a fire and burnt some leaves, threw powder on them

muttering incantations, and there was a heavy aromatic smoke. He said $\,$

in Russian to the bolshevik, 'Ask what you want to know.' The Bolshevik $\,$

spoke in German; he was sure that the Shaman knew no German, but he was

equally sure he saw the lips move and heard it answer, clearly, in German.

He asked what would happen to Russia, and what would happen to $\mathop{\text{\rm him}}\nolimits.$ From

the moving lips of the corpse came the reply that Russia would be defeated

in war and that there would be a revolution; the Tzar would be captured

by his enemies and killed on the eve of rescue; he, the Bolshevik, would

fight in the Revolution but would suffer no harm; later, he would be

wounded fighting a foreign enemy, but would recover and live long."

"The Bolshevik did not really believe what he had seen although he was

certain that he had seen it. I mean that he explained it by $\ensuremath{\mathsf{hypnotism}}$

or auto-suggestion or something of the kind; but it was true, he said,

that he passed unscathed through the Revolution and the Civil War and $\,$

was wounded in the Polish War when the Red Army recovered Kiev."

So also we are most fortunate in possessing the account almost beyond

Heart's desire of Spiritism, in Robert Browning's Mr. Sludge the Medium.

You see that I write "Spiritism" not "Spiritualism." To use the latter

word in this connection is vulgar ignorance; it denotes a system of

philosophy which flourished (more or less) is the Middle Ages --- read

your Erdmann if you want the gruesome details. But why should you?

The model for Mr. Sludge was David Dunbar (? Douglas) Home, who was really

quite a distinguished person in his way, and succeeded in pulling some

remarkably instructed and blue-blooded legs. Personally, I believe him

to have been genuine, getting real results through pacts with elementals,

demons or what not; for when he was in Paris, arrangements were made

for him to meet Eliphas L,vi; forthwith "he abandoned the unequal

contest, and fled in terror from the accursed spot."

What annoyed Browning was that he had added to his collection of "Femora

I have pulled", those appendages of Elizabeth Barrett; and where ${\tt R.B.}$

was there was no room for anyone else --- as in the case of Allah!

R.B. was accordingly as spiteful as he could be, and that was not a little.

It is not fair to tar all mediums with the Sludge brush; there are many

everything to conscious fraud.

who could advance quite sincerely some of the apologia of Sludge. Why should a medium be immune to self-deception spurred by the Wish-Fiend? While there are people walking about outside the Bug-house who can find Mrs. Simpson and Generals de Gaulle, Franco, Allenby, Montgomery and who else in the "Centuries" of Nostradamus, we should be stupid to assign

28

In that case what about poor Tiny Aleister? Do please allow me the happy young Eagles of the Old Testament; what clearer prophecy of psychoanalysis, it's only the English for Freud and Jung and Adler!

No, by no means always fraud. Yet at any s,ance the "investigators" take no magical precautions soever --- against, say, the impersonation of Iophiel by Hismael, or the Doves of Venus by the A'arab Zareq. All they attempt especially at "demonstrations" and "materializations," is to guard with great elaboration and (as a rule) complete futility against the deceptions of the common conjuror. They are not expecting any genuine manifestation of the "Spirit World;" and this fact makes clear their true subconscious attitude.

As for those mediums who possess magical ability, they almost always come from the most ignorant classes --- Celts are an exception to this rule --- and have no knowledge whatever of the technique of the business. Worse, they are usually of the type that delights in the secret dirty affinities, and so naturally and gladly attract entities of the Qliphothic world to their magical circle. Hence tricksters, of the lowest elemental orders, at the

best, come and vitalize odds and ends of the Ruach of people recently $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

deceased, and perform astonishing impersonations. The hollow shells glow

with infernal fire. Also, of course, they soak up vitality from the $\,$

sitters, and from the medium herself.

Altogether, a most poisonous performance. And what do they get out of

it? Even when the "Spirits" are really spirits, they only stuff the party up with a lot of trashy lies.

To this summary the Laws of Probability insist that there shall be occasional exceptions.

Love is the law, love under will.

Yours fraternally,

666

CHAPTER XXV

FASCINATIONS, INVISIBILITY, LEVITATION, TRANSMUTATIONS, KINKS IN TIME

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

Dear me! dear me! The world's indeed gone topsy-turvy if you have to ask

me for the secrets of Fascination! Altogether tohu-bohu and the Temurah Thash rag!

So much for a display of Old-World Courtly Manners; actually rubbish,

for you might very well be fascinating without knowing how you worked the

trick. In fact, I think that is the case ninety-nine times in a hundred.

Besides, I read your letter carelessly; I overlooked the phrase in which

you mention that you use the word as L, vi did; i.e. to cover all those

types of "miracle" which depend on distracting the attention of, or other-

wise composing, the miraclee --- I invent a rather useful word, yes?

So let us see what sort of miracles those are.

29

To start with, I doubt if we can. Many of such thaumaturgic phenomena contain elements of illusion in greater or less degree; if the maraclee's mind is 100% responsible, I think the business becomes a mere conjuring trick. My dictionary defines the verb: "to charm, to enchant; to act on by some irresistible influence; to captivate; to excite and allure irresistibly or powerfully." For the noun it gets even deeper into technical Magic {sic}: "the act or power of fascinating or spell binding, often to one's harm; a mysterious, irresistible, alluring influence." (Personally, I have always used, or heard, it much less seriously: "attractive" hardly more). Skeat, surprisingly, is almost dumb: p. part. of "to enchant" and "from L. fascinum, a spell." Yes, surprisingly; for the word is one of the many that means the Phallus. The implication is that there is some sexual element in the exciting and alluring quality, which lifts it altogether above mere "pleasing." To my mind the implication is that there is some quality inherent which is cognate to that too totally irrational quasimagnetic force which has been responsible not only for innumerable personal tragedies --- and comedies --- but for the fall of dynasties and even the wreck of Empires. "Christ" is reported as having said: "If I be lifted up from the earth, I will draw all men unto me." Interpret this in the light of the Cross as a Phallic emblem, and --- how lurid a flash!

Compare AL II, 26. "I am the secret Serpent coiled about to spring: in

my coiling there is joy. If I lift up my head, I and my Nuit are one.

If I droop down mine head, and shoot forth venom, then is rapture of the $\,$

earth, and I and the earth are one."

This versicle is deep, devilish deep; and it is chock-a-block with the

mysteries of Fascination. Dig into this, dear sister! dig with your $\,$

Qabalistic trowel; don't blame me if you don't get a Mandrake with the very first thrust!

But most certainly I shall say nothing here. Yes, indeed, nothing was

ever more sternly forbidden than prattle on subjects like this! Look!

It goes right on: "There is great danger in me; for who doth not understand

these runes shall make a great miss. He shall fall down into the

pit called Because, and there he shall perish with the dogs of Reason." $\,$

(v. 27) The pit is of course the Abyss: see The Vision and the Voice,

Xth Aethyr. A very sticky --- or rather, unstuck! finish; so 'ware Hawk!

To business! Fascination No! Invisibility, is obviously penny plain S.A.

This is notably an affair of the subconscious; it often masters open

dislike and distaste; it never yields to reason. It destroys all sense

of values. Its origin is usually obscure. The least irrational base of

it is the sense of smell. It was, if I remember rightly, the Comte de

St. Germain who advised Loise de la ValliŠre to fix her exquisitely

broidered kerchief in such wise that it protected her from contact with

her saddle, and then, after a morning's hard gallop, to find an excuse

for using it to wipe the brows of the perspiring king. It took $\ensuremath{\mathsf{him}}$ years

to recover! The story is well known, and the plan widely adopted with

30

remarkably unvarying success. But be careful not to overdo it; for if

the source of the perfume is recognized the consciousness takes charge,

and the result is antipathy.

Many years ago I composed a scent based on similar principles, which $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

intended to market under the title "Potted Sex Appeal." We tried it out

with the assistance of a certain noble Marquess, whose consequent mis-

adventures --- won't he laugh when he reads this!

But there are other senses: "l'amour de l'oreille" may refer not only to

Othello's way of snaring Desdemona, but subtleties of timbre in the voice...

Yes, yes, you say impatiently, but there isn't any miracle about all this

in the ordinary sense of the word.

True, but why the devil do you want me, so long as you're getting what you

need? Just being childlike, I suppose! No? Merely that you can explain

such matters to yourself well enough. All right; on to No. 2. Shall we

look at levitation for a change?

This power $\operatorname{---}$ if it be one $\operatorname{---}$ is very curious indeed. It connects more

directly with magnetism than almost any other. The first thing we think $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

of when someone says "magnet" is picking up iron filings as a child.

Age before honesty! Let Father Poulain S.J. speak first! He is obliged

to admit the phenomenon, because the Church has done so. But precisely

similar accounts of the levitation of pagans and heretics must be accord-

ing to him, lies, or Works of the Devil. As for the method, $"God\ employs"$

the angels to raise the saint, so as to avoid the necessity of intervening

Himself." Lazy old parishioner!

Now for a douche of common sense. Hatha-Yoga is quite clear and simple,

even logical, about it. The method is plain Pranayama. Didn't I tell

you onetime of the Four Stages of Success? 1. Perspiration $\operatorname{\mathsf{---}}$ of a very

special kind. 2. Sukshma-Khumbakam: automatic rigidity. One stiffens

like a dog in a bell-jar when you pump in Carbon Dioxide (is it?) 3. The

Bhuchari-Siddhi, "jumping about like a frog." One is wafted, without one's

Asana being disturbed, about the floor, rather as fragments of paper, or

dry leaves, might be in a slight draught under the door. 4. If one is

quite perfectly balanced one cannot be moved sideways; so one rises.

And there you are!

Personally, I reached the Bhuchari-Siddhi quite a number of times; but I $\,$

never observed No. 4. On several occasions other people have seen me levi- $\,$

tated, though never to a height of more than a foot or so. Here is the $\,$

best account of such an incident, of those at my immediate disposal.

"Nearly midnight. At this moment we stopped dictating, and began to con-

verse. Then Fra. P. said: "Oh, if I could only dictate a book like the

Tao Teh King!" Then he close his eyes as if meditating. Just before $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

had noticed a change in his face, most extraordinary, as if he were no

longer the same person; in fact, in the ten minutes we were talking he

seemed to be any number of different people. I especially noticed the

pupils of his eyes were so enlarged that the entire eye seemed black.

(I tremble so and have such a quaking feeling inside, simply in thinking

of last night, that I can't form letters). Then quite slowly the entire

room filled with a thick yellow light (deep golden, but not brilliant.

I mean not dazzling, but soft.) Fra. P. Looked like a person I had never

seen but seemed to know quite well --- his face, clothes and all were of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

the same yellow. I was so disturbed that I looked up to the ceiling to

see what caused the light, but could only see the candles. Then the chair

on which he sat seemed to rise; it was like a throne, and he seemed to

rise; it was like a throne, and he seemed to be either dead or sleeping;

but it was certainly no longer Fra. P. This frightened me, and I tried $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

to understand by looking round the room; when I looked back the chair

was raised, and he was still the same. I realized I was alone; and $\[$

thinking he was dead or gone --- or some other terrible thing --- I lost consciousness."

This discourse has been thus left unfinished: but it is only necessary

to add that the capacity to extract such spiritual honey from these un-

promising flowers is the mark of an adept who has perfected his Magick

Cup. This method of Qabalistic exegesis is one of he best ways of

exalting the reason to the higher consciousness. Evidently it started $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

Fra. P. so that in a moment he become completely concentrated and entranced.

Note that this has nothing at all to do with any Pranayama. It seems a

matter of ecstatic concentration, which chose this mode of expression

instead of bringing on Samadhi --- though that, too, occurred in some of the cases.

By the way, there is a fairly full account of the whole business; I have

just remembered --- it is in my Autohagiography.

"Pranayama produced, firstly, a peculiar kind of perspiration; secondly,

an automatic rigidity of the muscles; and thirdly, the very curious

phenomenon of causing the body, while still absolutely rigid, to take

little hops in various directions. It seems as if one were somehow raised,

possibly an inch from the ground, and deposited very gently a short distance away.

I saw a very striking case of this at Kandy. When Allan was meditating,

it was my duty to bring his food very quietly (from time to time) into

the room adjoining that where he was working. One day he missed two

successive meals, and I thought I ought to look into his room to see if

all was well. I must explain that I have known only two European women

and three European men who could sit in the attitude called Padmasana,

which is that usually seen in seated images of the Buddha. Of these men,

Allan was one. He could knot his legs so well that, putting his hands

on the ground, he could swing his body to and fro in the air between them.

When I looked into his room I found him not seated on his meditation mat,

which was in the centre of the room at the end farthest from the window,

but in a distant corner ten or twelve feet off, still in his knotted

position, resting on his head and right shoulder, exactly like an image

overturned. I set him right way up, and he came out of his trance. He

was quite unconscious that anything unusual had happened. But he had

evidently been thrown there by the mysterious forces generated by Pranayama.

"There is no doubt whatever about this phenomenon; it is quite common.

But the Yogis claim that the lateral motion is due to lack of balance, and

that if one were in perfect spiritual equilibrium one would rise directly $\ensuremath{\mathsf{c}}$

in the air. I have never seen any case of levitation, and hesitate to say $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

that it has happened to me, thought I have actually been seen by others, on

several occasions, apparently poised in the air. For the first three

phenomena I have found no difficulty in devising quite simple physiologi-

cal explanations. But I can form no theory as to how the practice could counteract the force of gravitation, and I am unregenerate enough to allow this to make me sceptical about the occurrence of levitation. Yet, after all, the stars are suspended in space. There is no ... priori reason why the forces which prevent them rushing together should not come into operation in respect of the earth and the body." The Allan part of this is the best evidence at my disposal. He couldn't have got where he did by hopping, and he couldn't have got into that position intentionally; he must have been levitated, lost balance, and dropped upside down. In any case, there is no trace of fascination about it, as there may have been in Soror Virakam's observation. About invisibility, now? Of this I have so much experience that the merest outline could take us far beyond the limits of a letter. In Mexico D.F., I worked at acquiring the power by means of ritual. I worked desperately hard. I got to the point where my image in a pierglass flickered, rather like the very earliest films did. Possibly more work, after more skill had come to me, might have done the whole trick. But persist when I found out how to do it by fascination. (Here we are at last!) Roughly, this is how to do it. If one is concentrated to the point when what you are thinking of is the only reality in the

Universe, when you

lose all awareness of who and where you are and what you are doing, it

seems as though that unconsciousness were in some way contagious. The

people around you just can't see anybody.

At one time, in Sicily, this happened nearly every day. Our party, strolling

down to our bathing bay --- the loveliest spot of its kind that I have ever

seen --- over a hillside where there wasn't cover for a rabbit, would lose

sight of me, look, and fail to find me, though I was walking in their midst.

At first, astonishment, bewilderment; at last, so normal had it become:

"He's invisible again."

One incident I remember very vividly indeed; an old friend and I were $\,$

sitting opposite each other in armchairs in front of a large fire, smoking

our pipes. Suddenly he lost sight of me, and actually cried out in alarm.

I said: "What's wrong?" That broke the spell; there I was, all present and correct.

Did I hear you mutter "Transmutations? Werwolves? Golden Hawks?" Likely

enough; it's time we touched on that.

In certain types of animal there appears, if tradition have any weight, to

be a curious quality of --- sympathy? I doubt if that be the word, but can

think of none better --- which enables them to assume at times the human

body of literature about this. Then come wolves, hyaenas, large dogs of

the hunting type; occasionally leopards. Tales of cats and serpents are $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

usually the other way round; it is the human (nearly always female) that

assumes these shapes by witchcraft. But in ancient Egypt they literally

doted on this sort of thing. The papyri are full of formulas for operating

such transmutations. But I think that this was mostly to afford some relaxa- $\,$

tion for the spirit of the dead man; he nipped out of his sarcophagus,

and painted the town all the colours of the rainbow in one animal shape or another.

33

The only experience I have of anything of this sort was when I was in Pacific $\,$

waters, mostly at Honolulu or in Nippon. I was practising Astral projection.

A sister of the Order who lived in Hong Kong helped me. I was to visit her, and the token of perfect success was to be that I should knock a vase off the mantel-piece. We appointed certain days and hours --with some awkwardness, as my time-distance from her was constantly growing shorter --- for me to pay my visit. We got some remarkable results; our records of the interview used to tally with surprising accuracy; but the vase remained intact! This is not one of my notorious digressions; and this is how transmutation comes into it. I found that by first taking the shape of a golden hawk, and resuming my own form after landing in her "temple" --- a room she had fitted ad hoc --- the whole operation became incomparably easier. I shall not indulge in hypotheses of why this should have been the case. A little over four years later --- in the meantime we had met and worked at Magick together --- we resumed these experiments in a somewhat different form. The success was much greater; but though I could move her, and even any objects which she was touching, I could make no impression on inanimate objects at a distance from her. The behaviour of her dogs, and of her cat, was very curious and interesting. Strangest of all, there appeared those "kinks in Time" which profane science is just beginning to discuss. Example: on one occasion our records of an "interview" agreed with quite extraordinary precision; but, on comparing notes, it was found that owing to some stupid miscalculation of mine, it was all over in Hong Kong some hours before I had started from Honolulu! Again,

Talking of kinks in Time, I shall now maintain my aforesaid evil notor-

iety $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$ the story is totally asynartete from fascinations of whatever

variety --- by recounting what is by far the most inexplicable set of facts that ever came my way.

don't ask me why, or how, or anything!

In the summer of 1910 e.v. I was living at 125 Victoria Street, in a studio converted into a Temple by means of a Circle, an Altar and the rest. West of the Altar was a big fireplace with a fender settee; the East wall was covered with bookshelves. Enter the late Theodor Reuss, O.H.O. and Frater Superior of the O.T.O. He wanted me to join that Order. I recommended him, in politer language to repeat the Novocastrian Experiment. Undeterred, he insisted: "But you must." (Now we go back, or forward, I know not which, to a night when I found myself stranded in London. I asked hospitality of a stranger; it was readily afforded. Some hours later my hostess fell asleep; I could not do so; something was nagging me. I suddenly took my notebook, and wrote a certain passage in a certain book, since published.) "Must, my foot!" He persisted: "You have published the secret of the nth degree of O.T.O., and you must take the corresponding oaths." "I have done nothing of the sort. I don't know the secret. I don't want to know it. I don't . . . " He interrupted me; he strode across the room; he plucked a book from the shelves; he opened it; he thrust it under my nose; he pointed out a passage with a minatory index. I began to stammer. "Yes, I wrote that. I don't know what it means; I don't like it; I only put it in because it was written in rather curious circumstances, and I was too lazy --- or perhaps a little afraid --- to reject it and write what I wanted." He fastened on one point: "You don't know what it means?" I repeated that I did not, even now that he had claimed

34

it as important. He explained it to me, as to a child. I was merely

surprised; it didn't sound possible. (Sister, all this
while I've been

lying to you like an Archbishop; it is connected wit fascinations;

indeed, it has very little to do with anything else!)

Finally, he won me over, I went down to his G.H.Q., took the Oaths, was

installed in the Throne of the $\text{X} \varnothing$ of O.T.O. as National Sovereign Grand

Master General, and began to establish the Order as a going concern.

Well, you say, that is a very simple story, nothing specially hard to believe in it.

True, but consider the dates.

That scene in Victoria Street, is as clear and vivid in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ $\ensuremath{\mathsf{mind}},$ in every

detail, as if it were yesterday. That secret is published only in that

passage of that book. And $\ensuremath{\text{---}}$ the book was not published until three

years later, and from an address of which in 1910 I had not so much as $\,$

thought of. The date of my adhesion to the O.T.O. (which, by the way,

upset every principle and plan that I had ever held) is equally certain $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

by virtue of subsequent published writings.

Now go away and explain that!

Well I've given you a fair account of some of the principal fascinations;

as to the rest, bewitchments, sorceries, inhibitions and all that lot, it

is enough if I say that they follow the regular Laws of Magick; in some,

fascination proper plays a prominent part; in others, it is barely more

than walking on to say "My lord, the carriage waits!" But --- even that

can be done well or ill, and a small mistake may work a mighty mischief. $\,$

Love is the law, love under will.

Yours fraternally,

666

CHAPTER XXVI

MENTAL PROCESSES --- TWO ONLY ARE POSSIBLE

Cara Soror,

Do what thou wilt shall be the whole of the Law.

"Occult" science is the most difficult of them all. For one thing, its

subject-matter includes the whole of philosophy, from ontology and

metaphysics down to natural history. More, the most rarefied and recon-

dite of these has a direct bearing upon the conduct of life in its most

material details, and the simplest study of such apparently earthbound

matters as botany and mineralogy leads to the most abstruse calculations $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

of the imponderables.

With what weapons, then, are we to attack so formidable a fortress?

The first essential is clear thinking.

In a previous letter I have dealt to some extent with this subject;

but it is so important that you must forgive me if I return to it, and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

35

that at length, from the outset, and in detail.

Let us begin but having our own minds clear of all ambiguities, ignoring for the purpose of this argument all metaphysical

subtleties.7 I want to confine it to the outlook of the "plain man."

What do we do when we "think?"

There are two operations, and only two, possible to thought. However

complex a statement may appear, it can always be reduced to a series of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

one or other of these. If not, it is a sham statement; nonsense mas-

querading as sense in the cloak of verbiage and verbosity.

Analysis, and Synthesis; or,

Subtraction, and Addition.

1. You can examine A, and find that it is composed of B and C. A = B + C.

2. You can find out what happens to B when you add C to it. B + C = A.

As you notice, the two are identical, after all; but the process is different.

Example: Raise Copper Oxide to a very high temperature; you obtain metallic copper and oxygen gas. Heat copper in a stream of

oxygen; you
obtain copper oxide.

You can complicate such experiments indefinitely, as when one analyzes

coal-tar, or synthesizes complex products like quinine from
its elements;

but one can always describe what happens as a series of simple operations, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

either of the analytical or the synthetic type.

(I wonder if you remember a delightful passage in $\mbox{\tt Anatole}$ France where

he interprets an "exalted" mystical statement, first by giving the words

their meaning as concrete images, when he gets a magnificent $\ensuremath{\mathsf{hymn}}$, like

a passage from the Rig-Veda; secondly, by digging down to the original $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

meaning, with an effect comical and even a little ribald. I fear I have $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular}$

no idea where to find it; in one of the "odds and ends" compilations

This has been put in a sort of text, because the first stumbling-block

to study is the one never has any certainty as to what the author means, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

or thinks he means, or is trying to persuade one that he means.

Try something simple: "The soul is part of God." Now then, when he

writes "soul" does he mean Atma, or Buddhi, or the Higher Manas, or

Purusha, or Yechidah, or Neschamah, or Nepheshch, or Nous, or Psyche, or

Phren, or Ba, or Khu, or Ka, or Animus, or Anima, or Seele, or what?

As everybody will he nill he, creates "God" in his own image, it is perfectly useless to inquire what he may happen to mean by that. But even this very plain word "part". Does he mean to imply a quantitative assertion, as when one says sixpence is part of a pound, or a factor 7* I mean criticisms such as "Definition is impossible;" "All arguments are circular; " "All propositions are tautological." These are true, but one is obliged to ignore them in all practical discussions. 36 indispensable, as when one says "A wheel is part of a motorcar", or . . . (Part actually means "a share, that which is provided," according to Skeat; and I am closer to the place where Moses was when the candle went out than I was before!) The fact is that very few of us know what words mean; fewer still take the trouble to enquire. We calmly, we carelessly assume that our minds are identical with that of the writer, at least on that point; and then we wonder that there should be misunderstandings! The fact is (again!) that usually we don't really want to know; it is so very much easier to drift down the river of discourse, "lazily, lazily, drowsily, drowsily, In the noonday sun". Why is this so satisfactory? Because although we may not know what a word means, most words have a pleasant or unpleasant connotation, each for himself, either because of the ideas or images thus begotten, of hopes or memories stirred up, or merely for the sound of the word itself. (I have gone a month's journey out of my way to visit a town, just because

I liked the sound of the name!)

Then there are devices: style --- rhythm, cadence, rime, ornamentation of a thousand kinds. I think one may take it that the good writer makes use of such artifice to make his meaning clear; the bad writer to obscure it, or to conceal the fact that he has none. One of the best items of the education system at the Abbey in Cefal- was the weekly Essay. Everyone, including children of five or six, had to write on "The Housing Problem," "Why Athens Decayed," "The System," "Buddhist Ethics" and the like; the subject didn't matter much; the point was that one had to discover, arrange and condense one's ideas about it, so as to present it in a given number of words, 93 or 156, or 418 as like as not, that number, neither more nor less. A superb discipline for any writer. I had a marvellous lesson myself some years earlier. I had cut down a certain ritual of initiation to what I thought were the very barest bones, chiefly to make it easy to commit to memory. Then came a candidate who was deaf --- not merely "a little hard of hearing;" his tympana were ruptured --- and the question was How? All right for most of it; one could show him the words typed on slips. But during part of the ceremony he was hoodwinked; one was reduced to the deaf-and-dumb alphabet devised for such occasions. I am as clumsy and stupid at that as I am at most things, and lazy, infernally lazy, on top of that. Well, when it came to the point, the communication of the words became abominably, intolerably tedious. And then! Then I found that about two-thirds of my "absolutely essential" ritual was not necesasary at all! That larned 'im.

Love is the law, love under will.

Fraternally,

666