

The Moment Problem

Jiayi Guo

Shanghai University of Finance and Economics

Distributionally Robust Newsvendor

A newsvendor needs to decide on the number of units of an item to order before the actual demand is observed.

- c : unit purchase cost
- $p > c > 0$: unit revenue
- zero salvage value
- \tilde{d} : random demand which ambiguous distribution $F(\cdot)$ lie a set of possible distributions \mathcal{F} .
- Solve:

$$\max_{q \in \mathbb{R}_+} \inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left(p \mathbb{E}_F[\min(q, \tilde{d})] - cq \right)$$

- Let $\eta = 1 - c/p \in [0, 1)$ denote the critical ratio. Solve:

$$\min_{q \in \mathbb{R}_+} \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\mathbb{E}_F[\tilde{d} - q]^+ + (1 - \eta)q \right)$$

Scarf's Model

Set of demand distributions in Scarf's model (1958) is:

$$\mathcal{F}_{1,2} = \left\{ F \in \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{R}_+) : \int_0^\infty dF(w) = 1, \int_0^\infty w dF(w) = m_1, \int_0^\infty w^2 dF(w) = m_2 \right\}$$

- Given an order quantity $q > m_2/2m_1$, the worst-case demand distribution for $\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{1,2}} \mathbb{E}_F[\tilde{d} - q]_+$ is two-point:

$$\tilde{d}_q = \begin{cases} q - \sqrt{q^2 - 2m_1q + m_2}, & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{q - m_1}{\sqrt{q^2 - 2m_1q + m_2}} \right) \\ q + \sqrt{q^2 - 2m_1q + m_2}, & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{q - m_1}{\sqrt{q^2 - 2m_1q + m_2}} \right) \end{cases}$$

- Given an order quantity in the range $0 \leq q \leq m_2/2m_1$, the worst-case distribution is two-point but fixed and given by $\tilde{d}_{m_2/2m_1}$, where

$$\tilde{d}_{m_2/2m_1} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{w.p. } 1 - \frac{m_1^2}{m_2}, \\ \frac{m_2}{m_1}, & \text{w.p. } \frac{m_1^2}{m_2} \end{cases}$$

Scarf's Model

- The worst-case bound is given as:

$$\sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{1,2}} \mathbb{E}_f[\tilde{d} - q]_+ = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{q^2 - 2m_1q + m_2} - (q - m_1) \right), & \text{if } q > \frac{m_2}{2m_1} \\ m_1 - \frac{qm_1^2}{m_2}, & \text{if } 0 \leq q \leq \frac{m_2}{2m_1} \end{cases}$$

- A closed form solution for the optimal order quantity is:

$$q_\eta^{\text{scarf}} = \begin{cases} m_1 + \frac{\sqrt{m_2 - m_1^2}}{2} \frac{2\eta - 1}{\sqrt{\eta(1-\eta)}}, & \text{if } \frac{m_2 - m_1^2}{m_2} < \eta < 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq \eta < \frac{m_2 - m_1^2}{m_2} \end{cases}$$

Optimization under Distribution Uncertainty

- **Stochastic Optimization:** $\min\{E_{\xi}f(x, \xi) \mid x \in X\}$
- Distributions in practice are often not known!
- **Robustness under Distribution Uncertainty:** $\min_{x \in X} \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}} E_{\xi}f(x, \xi)$
- **Research Objective:** to solve $\max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}} E_{\xi}f(x, \xi)$ and $\min_{x \in X} \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}} E_{\xi}f(x, \xi)$?
- **Analytical form solution for $\max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}} E_{\xi}f(x, \xi)$** is useful in solving $\min_{x \in X} \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}} E_{\xi}f(x, \xi)$
- **Numerical solution for $\max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}} E_{\xi}f(x, \xi)$** can help solving $\min_{x \in X} \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}} E_{\xi}f(x, \xi)$, when x is univariate, or the function is convex or continuous in x

The Moment Problem

Let's first focus on $\max_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}} E_{\xi} f(x, \xi)$, where the distribution class \mathbb{F} has been described by expectations of functions:

$$\max\{E_{\xi} f(\xi) \mid E_{\xi} f_i(\xi) = M_i, \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

where ξ is the random variable, $f(\xi)$ is defined as $f(x, \xi)$ for the given x , and I is the index set of functions f_i .

The Moment Problem: the distribution class \mathbb{F} in $\min_{\xi \in \mathbb{F}} E_{\xi} f(x, \xi)$ is governed by the moments information:

$$\max\{E_{\xi} f(\xi) \mid E_{\xi} \xi^i = M_i, \text{ for all } i \in I\}$$

Distributional Robust as Infinite LP

Let's focus on how to reform each "for-all" type constraint for given x, t , using the f part as example:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_P^1 &= \max_{F(\cdot)} \int_y f(y) \cdot dF(y) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &\int_y 1 \cdot dF(y) = 1 \\ &\int_y f_i(y) \cdot dF(y) = M_i \text{ for all } i \in I \\ &dF(y) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Observation: This is an infinite dimensional LP! (By treating $dF(y)$ as variables with y as indices.)

The Dual Form and Strong Duality

The dual problem is

$$\begin{aligned} Z_D^1 &= \min \quad z_0 + \sum_{i \in I} M_i z_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & h(y) := z_0 + \sum_{i \in I} z_i f_i(y) \geq f(y), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Theorem (Bertsimas and Popescu, 05)

Strong Duality holds when Slater condition holds, i.e., there exists a distribution with positive density everywhere satisfying the expectation (moment) conditions!

Complementary Slackness Condition

Suppose F is a primal feasible solution, and z is a dual feasible solution. If strong duality holds for the problem, then (F, z) is a pair of primal-dual optimal solution if and only if the complementary slackness condition holds:

$$dF(y) \left[z_0 + \sum_{i \in I} z_i f_i(y) - f(y) \right] = 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Further Analysis

Intuition: Optimal primal-dual solutions for non-degenerate linear programming problems can always be obtained at **Basic Feasible Solutions**.

Theorem (Bertsimas and Popescu,05)

Suppose strong duality holds for the problem. Therefore, optimal solution for the primal problem can be obtained at a discrete distribution with at most $k + 1$ points.

Sum of Squares: Numerical Approach for Dual Moment Problem

The dual problem (4)

$$\begin{aligned} Z_D^1 &= \min z_0 + \sum_{i \in I} M_i z_i \\ \text{s.t. } & h(y) := z_0 + \sum_{i \in I} z_i f_i(y) \geq f(y), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

- ① **SOS(Sum of Squares)**: Any univariate nonnegative polynomial function is the sum of square of two real polynomial functions.
- ② The SOS condition can be presented by **SDP constraints**.

Theorem (Sum of Squares)

Any univariate nonnegative polynomial function is the sum of squares of two real polynomial functions.

1 Fundamental Theorem of Algebra:

$$f(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-2k} (x - r_j)^{m_j} \prod_{l=1}^k [(x - z_l)^{q_l} (x - \bar{z}_l)^{q_l}]$$

2 $f(\cdot)$ is nonnegative if and only if all multipliers (m_j) for the real roots are even numbers

3 Complex terms are sum of squares: $(x - z_l)(x - \bar{z}_l) = (x - a_l)^2 + b_l^2$

4 Multiple of sum of two squares

$$(u^2 + v^2)(w^2 + y^2) = (uw + vy)^2 + (uy - vw)^2$$

SDP Representation

- 1 One can representing real function $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c_{j-1}x^{j-1}$ as $c^T v_x$, where $v_x = (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n)^T$.
- 2 Since $f(x)^2 = v_x^T c c^T v_x$, a square of order n real function can always be represented as $v_x^T S v_x$ with $S \succeq 0$.
- 3 So is the sum of squares of real function!

Theorem (SDP Representation)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n} a_i x^i \geq 0 \forall x \in R \iff \exists S \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n+1}, \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} S_{j,i+2-j} = a_i \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n.$$

Multivariate SOS Approach

The multivariate problem is related to [Hilbert's 17th problem](#):

Theorem (Arkin, 1927)

Nonnegative multivariate real polynomial function is always the sum of squares of fraction of real polynomials:

$$f(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in \Re \iff f(x) = \sum_{i=1} \left[\frac{f_i(x)}{q(x)} \right]^2$$

For numerical approach of polynomial constrained polynomial optimization problems, please refer to the SOS hierarchies designed by J. Lasserre., P. Parillo., J. Nie et. al.

Applications in OM

- ① (Delage and Ye, 10) Portfolio Selection
- ② (Du, Han and Zuluaga, 12) Newsvendor: Improved Scarf Bound
- ③ (MaK, Rong and Zhang, 14) Appointment Scheduling
- ④ (Wang and Zhang, 15) Process Flexibility
- ⑤ (Li, Jiang and Mathieu, 16) Power Control
- ⑥ (Natarajan, Sim and Uichanco, 18) Newsvendor: asymmetric distribution
- ⑦ (Das, Dhara, Natarajan, 18) Newsvendor: heavy tail demand distribution
- ⑧ (Xin and Goldberg, 20) Inventory Control – time (in)consistency

Methodologies: Closed-form solution, or Semi-definite Programming

Applications: Probability and Distributional Inequalities

- ① Markov Inequality: $P(\xi \geq a) \leq \frac{a}{E\xi}$ if $\xi \geq 0$.
- ② (one-sided) Chebyshev inequality: $P(\xi \geq E\xi + t\sigma_\xi) \leq \frac{1}{1+t^2}$.
- ③ Zelen's Inequality: $Prob(\xi \geq E\xi + t\sigma) \leq \left(1 + t^2 + \frac{(t^2 - t\kappa_3 - 1)}{\kappa_4 - \kappa_3^2 - 1}\right)^{-1}$ for $t \geq \frac{\kappa_3 + \sqrt{\kappa^2 + 4}}{2}$, where $\kappa_m = M_m/\sigma^m$.
- ④ Cantelli's Inequality: $P(|\xi - E\xi| \geq a) \leq \frac{v_{2m} - v_m^2}{v_{2m} - v_m^2 + (a^m - v_m)^2}$ for $a^m \geq \frac{v_{2m}}{v_m}$, where $v_m = E(\xi - E\xi)^m$.
- ⑤ Scarf's Bound: $E(r - \xi)_+ \leq \frac{r - \mu + \sqrt{\sigma^2 + (r - \mu)^2}}{2}$

Moment Problem Approach: Improved Inequalities

- ① (Bertismas and Popescu, 05): Tight bounds for $P(\xi \geq (1 + \delta)E\xi)$ and $P(|\xi| \geq (1 + \delta)E\xi)$ with different kinds of information.
- ② (Berge, 09): $E|\xi| \geq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{q}} \left(E\xi^2 - \frac{E\xi^4}{q} \right)$ for all $q > 0$.

Probability Bound with 4-th Order Moment

Information

$$\begin{aligned} Z_P^1 &= \max \int_{x \geq 0} dF(x) \\ \text{s.t. } &\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} dF(x) = 1, \\ &\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} x^i dF(x) = M_i, i = 1, 2, 4. \\ &dF(x) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_D^1 &= \min y_0 + y_1 M_1 + y_2 M_2 + y_4 M_4 \\ \text{s.t. } &g(x) \doteq y_0 + y_1 x + y_2 x^2 + y_4 x^4 \geq 1_{x \geq 0} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Closed Form Dual Feasible Solution and Upper Bound

Observing from the dual constraint, the optimal distribution is of at most 3 point. By constructing the primal-dual optimal pair, we obtain the following:

Theorem (He, Zhang and Zhang,09)

$$P(\xi \geq 0) \leq 1 - \frac{4}{9}(2\sqrt{3} - 3) \max_{v>0} \left\{ \left(-\frac{2E\xi}{v} + \frac{3E\xi^2}{v^2} - \frac{E\xi^4}{v^4} \right) \right\}.$$

$$P(\xi \geq E\xi) \leq 1 - (2\sqrt{3} - 3) \frac{1}{\kappa_4}, \text{ where } \kappa_4 = \frac{E(\xi - E\xi)^4}{\sigma_\xi^4}$$

The Tight Result

THEOREM 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Prob}\{X \geq 0\} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{M_1^2}{M_2}, & \text{if } \frac{M_4}{M_2^2} \geq \frac{M_2}{M_1^2} \text{ and } M_1 < 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \frac{M_4}{M_2^2} \geq \frac{M_2}{M_1^2} \text{ and } M_1 > 0, \\ 1 - \frac{4(2\sqrt{3}-3)}{9} \sup_{v>0} \left(-\frac{2M_1}{v} + 3\frac{M_2}{v^2} - \frac{M_4}{v^4} \right), & \text{if } \frac{M_4}{M_2^2} < \frac{M_2}{M_1^2} \text{ and } \alpha \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2} V_{\min}, \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha + 2M_1}{\sqrt{4M_2 + \alpha^2 + 4M_1\alpha}}, & \text{if } \frac{M_4}{M_2^2} < \frac{M_2}{M_1^2} \text{ and } \alpha < \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2} V_{\min}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha \triangleq \sqrt{(M_4 - M_2^2)/(M_2 - M_1^2)}$, $V_{\min} \triangleq \sqrt{(\sqrt{3}-1)M_2 + ((7-4\sqrt{3})/4)M_1^2 + ((2-\sqrt{3})/2)M_1}$. Furthermore, the bound is tight; i.e., there exists an X such that the inequality (13) holds as an equality.

Bivariate Moment Problem

$$v_P(\theta; q) = \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{F}(\theta)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} [(X_1 + X_2 - q)_+]$$

where the ambiguity set is

$$\mathcal{F}(\theta) = \left\{ \mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^2) \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X_1] = \mu_1, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X_2] = \mu_2, \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X_1^2] = a\mu_1^2, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X_2^2] = b\mu_2^2, \\ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[X_1 X_2] = c\mu_1 \mu_2 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Assumption

We assume $a > 1$, $b > 1$, $c \geq 0$ and $(a - 1)(b - 1) \geq (c - 1)^2$.

Closed-form Optimal Value

Theorem

Given a non-empty ambiguity set $\mathcal{F}(\theta)$ and $q > 0$, the optimal value $v_P(q; \theta)$ can be characterized as

$$v_P(q; \theta) = \begin{cases} \mu_1 + \mu_2 - q \cdot \frac{a + b - 2c}{ab - c^2} & \text{if Condition 1 holds;} \\ \frac{b-1}{2b} \left((q + Q_b) - \frac{b-c}{b-1} \mu_1 \right) + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - q & \text{if Condition 2 holds;} \\ \frac{a-1}{2a} \left((q + Q_a) - \frac{a-c}{2a} \mu_2 \right) + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - q & \text{if Condition 3 holds;} \\ \frac{b-1}{2b} \left(\frac{b-c}{b-1} \mu_1 - (q - Q_b) \right) & \text{if Condition 4 holds;} \\ \frac{a-1}{2a} \left(\frac{a-c}{a-1} \mu_2 - (q - Q_a) \right) & \text{if Condition 5 holds;} \\ \frac{1}{2} (Q_c - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2) & \text{if Condition 6 holds.} \end{cases}$$