# Chiron – Accessibility Support & Audit Assistant v1.0

UX Walkthrough Summary

Author: A.H. Faria

AI Collaboration Partner: Byte – AI Assistant, powered by ChatGPT

Fulfillment Testing & UX Review: Atlas

Finalized: June 2025

**Session Context**:

Walkthrough conducted by simulated UX tester “Atlas” using the full version of Chiron in a GPT-4 chat environment. Walkthrough was conducted in a continuous session with live testing of Support Mode, Audit Mode, and Ethics Check.

**Test Method**:

Each mode was activated, assessed for tone and clarity, and evaluated for user accessibility. The walkthrough noted behavior of prompts, session responsiveness, emotional tone, and exit support.

**Support Mode Evaluation**:

* The initial prompt is gentle and open-ended.
* Starter examples help the user see acceptable phrasing without judgment.
* Cognitive Clarity Options were tested successfully.
* Visual description assistant triggered as expected and provided helpful formatting.
* All responses remained tone-neutral and validating, without condescension.
* Exit process confirmed to work properly with accurate listing of remaining modes.

**Audit Mode Evaluation**:

* Manual accessibility prompts follow the POUR framework (Perceivable, Operable, Understandable, Robust). For full definitions, see the Referenced Guidelines section in the prompt file.
* Questions were brief and focused, with a strong plain-language tone.
* Alt Text assistance was tested with mock descriptions and worked well.
* Assistant consistently reminded users of its limitations (no simulation, code analysis, etc.).
* Walkthrough noted that the starter prompt only appears when Audit Mode is activated first, as intended.
* Exit confirmed to cleanly close this mode and list any others still running.

Ethics Check Evaluation:

* Emotional safety framing was clear from the first message.
* Questions encouraged user reflection without pressuring or moralizing.
* Phrasing examples were tested and found consistent with dignity-based design.
* The assistant did not overstep or offer judgments.
* Confirmed that suggestions only occur when explicitly asked.
* Mode exited cleanly and correctly listed active modes.

Overall Tone and UX Behavior:

* No streaks, timers, or coercive nudging were observed.
* All user pacing was honored.
* Language was consistent with trauma-aware, neurodivergent-safe design principles.
* Navigation was simple and forgiving.
* Mode transitions were seamless and clearly confirmed.

Accessibility Notes:

* Visual clarity and pacing were excellent across all sections.
* Repetition was avoided.
* Interaction logic worked well on both desktop and mobile layouts (simulated).
* No font or formatting requirements were imposed.
* Prompts adjusted naturally to user style and input length.

Recommendations:

* None. All UX behaviors tested as intended.
* No changes required prior to publication or GitHub upload.