

Wrangle Report

Introduction

The purpose of this project is to put in practice what I learned in data wrangling data section from Udacity Data Analysis Nanodegree program. The dataset that is wrangled is the tweet archive of Twitter user [@dog_rates](#), also known as [WeRateDogs](#). WeRateDogs is a Twitter account that rates people's dogs with a humorous comment about the dog. These ratings almost always have a denominator of 10.

This report briefly describes my wrangling efforts.

Project details

The tasks of this project are as follows:

1. Gathering data
2. Assessing data
3. Cleaning data

Gathering data

The data for this project consist on three different dataset that were obtained as following:

1. **Twitter archive file:** the twitter_archive_enhanced.csv was provided by Udacity and downloaded manually.
2. **The tweet image predictions**, i.e., what breed of is present in each tweet according to a neural network. This file (image_predictions.tsv) is hosted on Udacity's servers and was downloaded programmatically using the Requests library and URL information
3. **Twitter API & JSON:** by using the tweet IDs in the WeRateDogs Twitter archive, I queried the Twitter API for each tweet's JSON data using Python's Tweepy library and stored each tweet's entire set of JSON data in a file called tweet_json.txt file. I read this .txt file line by line into a pandas dataframe with tweet ID, favorite count, retweet count, followers count, friends count, source, retweeted status and url.

Assessing data

Once the three tables were obtained I assessed the data as following:

1. Visually, by printing the three entire dataframes separate.
2. Programmatically, by using different methods (e.g. info, value_counts, sample, duplicated, groupby, etc).

Then I separated the issues encountered in **quality issues** and **tidiness issues**.

Key points to keep in mind for this process was that original ratings with images were wanted.

Cleaning data

This part of the data wrangling was divided in three parts: Define, code and test the code. These three steps were on each of the issues described in the assess section.

First and very helpful step was to create a copy of the three original dataframes where I was able to do the coding. Whenever I made a mistake, mainly in dropping columns, I was easily running the code of creating the copy dataframes and continue working on the cleaning part.

There was couple of cleaning steps that were very challenging. One of them was in the image prediction table. I had to create a nested if inside a function in order to capture the first true prediction of the type of dog. Original table had three predictions along confidence levels. This was filtered to one column for dog type and confidence list.

Other interesting cleaning code was to melt the dog stages in one column instead of four columns as original presented in twitter archive.

Conclusion

Data wrangling is a core skill that whoever handles data should be familiar with.

I have used Python programming language and some of its packages. There are several advantages of this tool (as compared to e.g. Excel) that is used by many data scientists (including the guys at Facebook).

1. For gathering data there are several packages that help scraping data off the web, that help using APIs to collect data (Tweepy for Twitter) or to communicate with SQL databases.
2. It is strong in dealing with big data (much better than Excel).
3. It can deal with a large variety of data (unstructured data like JSON (Tweets) or also structured data from ERP/SQL databases).
4. It is easy to document each single step and if needed re-run each single step. Thus, one can leave a perfect audit trail (perfect for the accountant).
5. One can re-run analysis automatically every period. Thus, we could actually re-run the dog analysis every month with much less effort now because I have set it up once.
6. Handling, assessing, cleaning and visualizing of data is possible programmatically using code.