

Department of Electrical Engineering  
Sharif University of Technology  
Foundations of Blockchain  
by: Dr. Mohammad A. Maddah-Ali  
Fall 1399(2020)

---

Problem Set 4

---

If you have any questions about the problems please contact at this email address : kasraabbaszadeh@gmail.com

**Question 1:** In Bitcoin paper, security is analyzed under a discrete model based on gambler's ruin problem.

- a) Explain the problem, it's solution and relation to bitcoin.
- b) Consider Nakamoto's private attack, calculate Error probability of k-deep confirmation rule under this attack using the results of part (a).
- c) Argue whether this model is a complete or not.

**Question 2:** Consider another attack which is Nakamoto's private attack combined with a pre-mining phase. The attack is focused on reverting a transaction TX included in the  $i$ -th block of the public chain.

**Pre-mining phase:** Starting from the genesis block, the attacker starts mining blocks in private to build a private chain. When the first honest block  $h_1$  is mined on the genesis block, the attacker does one of two things: i) If the private chain is longer than the public chain at that moment, then the adversary continues mining on the private chain; ii) if the private chain is equal or shorter than the public chain, the attacker abandons the private chain it has been mining on and starts a new private chain on  $h_1$  instead. The attacker repeats this process with all honest blocks  $h_2, h_3, \dots, h_{i-1}$ .

**Private attack phase:** After block  $h_{i-1}$  is mined, the attacker will start Nakamoto's private attack from the current private chain it is working on, whether it is off  $h_{i-1}$  or the one it has been working on before  $h_{i-1}$  depending on which is longer.

- a) Suppose fraction of adversary mining power as  $\beta < 0.5$ . What is the probability that the attacker will switch to  $h_1$  when it is mined? What is the expected depth at which the attacker is mining when  $h_1$  arrives?
- b) Let  $N_{i-1}^a$  be the depth at which the adversary is mining just before the  $(i-1)$ th honest block arrives. Let  $G_{i-1} = N_{i-1}^a - i + 1$  the advantage the adversary has over the public chain. The distribution of  $G_{i-1}$  depends on

i. Explain what happens when  $i$  is too large? (no need for mathematical proof)

c) Argue that this attack is strictly stronger than the pure Nakamoto's private attack.

**bonus)** Use Monte Carlo simulation and simulate this attack for large  $i$  and estimate the confirmation error probability for  $k = 5, 10, 15, 20$  and adversarial hash power fraction  $\beta = 0.3$ . (provide your code and results in the solution)

**Question 3:** Now, let's try another continuous model for analyzing Bitcoin. As you know mining blocks is Poisson process with  $\lambda$  rate.

a) What is a reasonable model for the distribution of blocks inter-arrival time? Explain. What is the variance of this time under your model?

b) Consider Nakamoto's private attack and let  $E_k$  be the event that the adversary mines  $k$  blocks before the honest miners mine  $k$  blocks. Based on part (a) what is the probability of  $E_k$  when  $k$  is large enough?

c) Using Chernoff bound or otherwise, give an upper bound to  $E_k$ . Explicitly give the exponent in your bound.

d) Now give an upper bound for Error probability of  $k$ -deep confirmation rule and show it exponentially decreases with  $k$ .

**Question 4:** Here we study a different confirmation rule and compare its performance with the  $k$ -deep rule under the private attack. In this confirmation rule, which we will call a  $t$ -wait rule, we confirm a block  $b$ ,  $t$  seconds after the block has been mined. The adversary starts mining a private chain from the parent of  $b$  immediately after  $b$  is mined. Let  $\lambda$  be the total mining rate, of which  $\beta$  fraction belongs to the adversary

a) Define  $P_t$  the event that the adversary mines more blocks than honest nodes in time  $t$  after starting attack. Give an exact expression for the probability  $P_t$  that the adversary has an equal or longer chain than the honest chain at confirmation. Your expression can involve an infinite summation.

Hint: the moment-generating function of a Poisson random variable

$$M_{(\lambda)}(\gamma) = \exp(\lambda(\exp(\gamma) - 1))$$

b) Using Chernoff bound or otherwise, give an upper bound to  $P_t$  to show that it decreases exponentially with  $t$ . Explicitly give the exponent in your bound.

c) The confirmation latency of this rule is obviously  $t$  seconds, a deterministic quantity. The latency of the  $k$ -deep confirmation rule is however random. What is the expected value of the latency? By matching this expected value to  $t$ , compare the performance of the two confirmation rules, in terms of the rate of exponential decrease in confirmation error probability.

**Question 5:** Consider Bitcoin-NG protocol and suppose there exists a miner whose mining power ratio out of all mining power in the system is  $\beta$ . Denote by  $r_{leader}$  the revenue of the leader from a transaction, leaving  $1 - r_{leader}$  for the next miner. assume  $r_{leader} = 40\%$ .

a) The adversary can potentially improve his revenue to earn 100% of the fee by withholding his microblocks. Explain the scenario and achieve  $\beta^*$  under this attack and compare it with  $\beta^*$  in nakamoto's consensus.

b) Also there is another way to increase revenue. a miner could avoid the transaction's microblock and mine on a previous block to include transactions in his own blocks and earn 100% of the fee. Again achieve the  $\beta^*$  and compare it with the last attack.

**Question 6:** Consider GHOST protocol under the balance attack. adversary is trying to divide the chain into  $k$  sub-trees. Let  $\lambda_c$  the rate of mining blocks in each sub-tree and  $\lambda_a$  the rate of mining adversary blocks.

a) Define  $X_i$  as length of sub-tree  $i$  and  $D := \max |X_i - X_j| \forall i, j = 1, \dots, k$ . Using Chernoff bound or otherwise, give an lower bound for  $Pr(D < \delta \lambda_c)$  in terms of  $\delta$ .

(Hint :  $Pr(X > (1+\delta)\mu) < \exp(-(\delta^2/3)\mu)$ ,  $Pr(X < (1-\delta)\mu) < \exp(-(\delta^2/2)\mu)$ )

b) Let  $\delta = (\lambda_a - 1)/(2\lambda_c)$  and show that the expected number of adversary blocks attacker can mine is strictly greater than what he needs to keep chains balance, with high probability.

**Question 7:** In Prism protocol we have 3 types of blocks : transaction block, proposer block, voter block. let  $q_k$  be the probability that there is a "reversal error" with Voter Block at level  $k$ . Reversal error is the exact same as a  $k$ -deep confirmation error in the longest chain protocol. We define effective vote for a Proposer Block from Voter chain  $i$  at time  $t$  as  $v_i(t) = 1 - q_{ki}(t)$  and the number of votes a proposer block has at time  $t$  as  $H(t) = \sum v_i(t)$ .

a) Let  $m$  be the number of voter chains, and  $\lambda_h$  be the rate at which honest nodes mine blocks on each voter chain. Suppose the public proposer block arrives at time 0. At time  $t > 0$ , compute the expected fraction of voter chains that have voted on this block when  $m$  is large enough.

b) Compute the expected number of voter chains for which the vote is  $k$ -deep at time  $t > 0$ , in terms of  $k$ .

c) Using the earlier parts or otherwise, give an expression for  $H(t)$ , valid asymptotically for large  $m$ , in terms of the reversal probabilities  $q_k$ .

## References

- [1] S. Nakamoto. *A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System* , 2008.
- [2] I. Eyal, A. Efe Gencer, E. Gun Sirer, R. van Renesse. *Bitcoin-NG: A Scalable Blockchain Protocol* , 2015.
- [3] Y. Sompolinsky and A. Zohar. *Secure High-Rate Transaction Processing in Bitcoin* , 2015.
- [4] V. Bagaria, S. Kannan, D. Tse, G. Fanti, P. Viswanath. *Deconstructing the Blockchain to Approach Physical Limits* , 2015.