



Proceedings of NORA's annual conference 2025

Geir Halmes¹, Anam Javaid¹, Michael Solvang¹, Stefano Nichele^{2,3}, Michael A. Riegler^{4,5}, Pankaj Pandey⁶, Jacob Hay⁷, Hamze Issa⁷, Daniele Fantin⁷, David Parkes⁸, Amber Leeson⁸, Malcom McMillan⁸, Kate Briggs⁸, Jan Wuite⁹, Thomas Nagler⁹, Kushtrim Visoka¹, Andrea Alessandro Gasparini^{1,10}, Lina Plataniti¹¹, Synnøve Rubach¹², Kari-Anne Lyng¹¹, Preben Castberg¹⁰, Klaus Johannsen¹³, Xue-Cheng Tai¹³, Gro Fonnes¹³, Junyong You¹³, Arangan Subramaniam¹⁴, Changkyu Choi¹⁵, Nils Olav Handegard¹⁶, Robert Jenssen¹⁵, Ali Ramezani-Kebrya¹⁰, Helge Fredriksen¹⁷, Felix S. Reimers², Ola Huse Ramstad³, Axel Sandvig¹⁸, Ioanna Sandvig¹⁸, Christopher Michael Skeide Vibe², Mikkel Lepperød¹⁹, Solve Sæbø²⁰, Hao Liu²¹, Raymond H. Chan²², Lingfeng Li²³, Aaron de Leyos²⁴, Alexander Johannes Stasik^{24,25}, Signe Riemer-Sørensen²⁵, Matteo Iervasi²⁶, Florenc Demrozi²⁶, Arezo Shakeri²⁶, Mina Farmanbar²⁶, Julia Kropiunig²⁷, Øystein Sørensen²⁷, Bjørn-Jostein Singstad^{28,29,30}, Semra Oztemel Sari³¹, Mathis Korseberg Stokke^{31,32,33}, Arina Surko², Hasan Oğul², Sinan Uğur Umu³⁴, Martin T. Horsch³⁵, Fadi Al Machot³⁵, Maria Bashir³⁵, Heinz A. Preisig³⁶, Shailendra Singh³⁵, Mehdi HoushmandSarkhoosh^{3,37}, Cise Midoglu³⁷, Saeed Shafiee Sabet³⁷, Tomas Kupka³⁷, Pål Halvorsen^{3,37,5}, Kjetil Indrehus¹⁰, Ali Ramezanikebrya¹⁰, Aslak Djupskås²⁴, Ryan Marinelli¹⁰, Anton Tkachenko³⁸, Benjamin Adolphi³⁸, Ibrahim Riza Hallac², Abdelaziz Qassi², Anja Stein³⁹, Waldir Leoncio Netto⁴⁰, David S. Leslie³⁹, Shakiba Sadat Mirbagheri⁴¹, Claudio Sartori⁴¹, Mehrzad Abdi Khalife⁴², Luis M. Lopez-Ramos⁵, Emilio Ruiz-Moreno⁴³, Baltasar Beferull-Lozano⁴³

¹Norwegian Artificial Intelligence Research Consortium (NORA), Norway, ²Department of Computer Science and Communication, Østfold University College, Halden, Norway, ³Department of Computer Science, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway, ⁴Department of Cyber Security, Simula Research Laboratory, Oslo, Norway, ⁵Department of Holistic Systems, Simula Metropolitan Center for Digital Engineering, Oslo, Norway, ⁶Department of Information Security and Communication Technology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Gjøvik, Norway, ⁷Science and Technology AS, Oslo, Norway, ⁸Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK, ⁹ENVEO IT GmbH, Innsbruck, Austria, ¹⁰Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ¹¹NORSUS AS, Fredrikstad, Norway, ¹²Becour AS, Fredrikstad, Norway, ¹³NORCE Norwegian Research Centre AS, Bergen, Norway, ¹⁴Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ¹⁵Department of Physics and Technology, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway, ¹⁶Norwegian Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway, ¹⁷Department of Computer Science, UiT The Arctic University of Norway, Bodø, Norway, ¹⁸Department of Neuromedicine and Movement Science, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway, ¹⁹Department of Numerical Analysis and Scientific Computing, Simula Research Laboratory, Oslo, Norway, ²⁰Faculty of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway, ²¹Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, ²²Lingnan University, Tuen Mun, Hong Kong SAR, ²³Hong Kong Centre for Cerebro-Cardiovascular Health Engineering, Hong Kong SAR, ²⁴Department of Data Science, NMBU, Ås, Norway, ²⁵Department of Mathematics and Cybernetics, SINTEF Digital, Oslo, Norway, ²⁶Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Stavanger, Stavanger, Norway, ²⁷LCBC, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ²⁸Research and Innovation Department, Vestfold Hospital Trust, Tønsberg, Norway, ²⁹Akershus University Hospital (Medical Technology and E-Health, Lørenskog, Norway, ³⁰Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ³¹Institute for Experimental Medical Research, Oslo University Hospital and University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ³²KG Jebsen Centre for Cardiac Research, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ³³Department of Cardiology, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway, ³⁴Department of Pathology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ³⁵Material Theory and Informatics Group, Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Ås, Norway, ³⁶Department of Chemical Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway, ³⁷Forzasys AS, Oslo, Norway, ³⁸Security Research Department, Promon, Oslo, Norway, ³⁹School of Mathematical Sciences, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK, ⁴⁰Oslo Centre for Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, ⁴¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy, ⁴²Ticker Bell Kft. Company, Budapest, Hungary, ⁴³Signal and Information Processing for Intelligent Systems, Simula Metropolitan Center for Digital Engineering, Oslo, Norway

Abstract

NORA - The Norwegian Artificial Intelligence Research Consortium - works to strengthen Norwegian research, education

and innovation within the fields of AI, machine learning and robotics. NORA is a collaboration between 8 universities, 5 university colleges and 4 research institutes. The current arti-

all three models.

We discovered that the performance of Monte Carlo Dropout is highly dependent on the architectural choices and training configurations. Lastly, MCD results in unjustified high confidence in both interpolation and extrapolation, unlike the GP and BNN.

23. SAIL-K Framework For Secure AI Applications.

Ryan Marinelli¹.

¹Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

In this work, a new framework, SAIL-K for engaging with AI systems is proposed. The goal of this framework is to augment social blueprints to orient the focus of developers to create more robust and secure AI systems. Key issues are identified per layer with a sketch of solutions to prime intervention.

24. Deconstructing Obfuscation: A Four-Dimensional Framework for LLMs in Assembly Code Deobfuscation.

Anton Tkachenko¹, Dmitrij Suskevic¹, Benjamin Adolphi¹.

¹Security Research Department, Promon, Oslo, Norway.

This research systematically evaluates eight state-of-the-art commercial Large Language Models (LLMs) on their ability to analyze and deobfuscate assembly code from obfuscated binaries. Using a known C program obfuscated with Obfuscator-LLVM, we tested GPT-3o Mini High, GPT-4o, GPT-4.5, O1 Pro Mode, DeepSeekR1, Grok3, Grok2, and Claude 3.7 Sonnet against four obfuscation techniques: bogus control flow, instruction substitution, control flow flattening, and combined techniques. We quantified human intervention required for successful deobfuscation on a six-level scale, from fully autonomous (Level 0) to beyond expert correction (Level 5).

Our findings reveal significant performance variations across techniques and models. While several LLMs successfully deobfuscated individual techniques with minimal guidance, all models universally failed against combined obfuscation. We introduce a novel four-dimensional theoretical framework—Reasoning Depth, Pattern Recognition, Noise Filtering, and Context Integration—that systematically explains these variations and pinpoints specific capability limitations in current AI systems.

We identified and classified five recurring error patterns: predicate misinterpretation, structural mapping errors, control flow misinterpretation, arithmetic transformation errors, and constant propagation errors. These patterns reveal fundamental limitations in how LLMs process obfuscated code, particularly when mathematical reasoning and complex pattern recognition are required simultaneously.

This research has dual implications for cybersecurity: it highlights significant vulnerabilities in legitimate software protection mechanisms while informing potential defensive applications in malware analysis. Our empirically-derived three-tier resistance model provides actionable insights for developing both more resilient obfuscation techniques and improved automated analysis tools in an increasingly AI-augmented cybersecurity landscape.

25. Detecting AI Influence in Student Writing: Toward Reliable and Interpretable Classifiers.

Ibrahim Riza Hallac¹, Abdelaziz Qassi¹, Hasan Ogul¹.

¹Department of Computer Science and Communication (Østfold University College, Halden 1757, Norway).

Large language models are now widely used in student writing, raising new challenges for academic integrity, authorship, and fairness. This work develops reliable classifiers to detect AI influence in English-language student essays, distinguishing between texts written fully by students, edited with AI, or generated mostly by AI. We augment publicly available student writing datasets with no known AI involvement by generating AI-edited and AI-generated versions using controlled prompting with large language models. This process yields an augmented dataset for detection studies.

We train transformer-based language models for three-way classification and compare their accuracy and inference-time efficiency with open-source baselines and commercial detectors such as GPTZero. Teachers review a small set of challenging cases to enhance model interpretability and guide alignment. Active learning is used to prioritize uncertain predictions for human feedback, and preference-based tuning helps adjust model outputs to reflect teacher judgments. This work contributes an augmented dataset for detection studies and a comparative evaluation of detection strategies, offering insights into detection effectiveness, model generalization, and the trade-offs involved in educational deployment.

26. Sequential Preference Learning with the Bayesian Mallows Model.

Øystein Sørensen¹, Anja Stein², Waldir Leoncio Netto³, David S. Leslie².

¹Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

²School of Mathematical Sciences, Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom.

³Oslo Centre for Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

Data in the form of rankings or preferences, such as click data, consumer preferences, social hierarchies, and voting records, are common across various applications, and are challenging to analyze due to their discrete nature.

The Bayesian Mallows model is a flexible tool for analyzing data in the form of complete or partial rankings,