

## Bretagnolle - Huber Inequality and KL Divergence.

Sources: 1. Chap 14, Bandit Algorithms, textbook  
2. Intro. to non-parametric estimation, A. L. Tsybakov,  
Springer 2008

Defn: (KL Divergence / Relative Entropy):

$P, Q$  prob. measures over a sample space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Suppose  $\exists$   $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\lambda$  s.t.  $P, Q$  are both absolutely continuous wrt  $\lambda$ , i.e., for any  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\lambda(B) = 0 \Rightarrow P(B) = Q(B) = 0$ .

Let  $p = \frac{dP}{d\lambda}$ ,  $q = \frac{dQ}{d\lambda}$

Radon-Nikodym derivative

Then,  $D(P, Q) = KL(P, Q) = \int p \ln\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) d\lambda$ .

Special Cases:

①  $P, Q$  over common finite alphabet  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ .

$$D(P, Q) = \sum_i P_i \ln \left( \frac{P_i}{q_i} \right),$$

where  $P_i = P(Z = x_i)$ ,  $q_i = Q(Z = x_i)$ ,  
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

②  $P$  abs. cont. wrt  $Q$ :

$$D(P, Q) = \int \ln \left( \frac{dP}{dQ}(\omega) \right) dP(\omega)$$

③  $D(N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2), N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2))$

$$= \frac{\sigma_1^2 + (\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} + \ln \left( \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \right) - \frac{1}{2}$$

④  $D(\text{Bernoulli}(p), \text{Bernoulli}(q))$ ,  $p, q \in [0, 1]$ .

$$= p \ln \left( \frac{p}{q} \right) + (1-p) \ln \left( \frac{1-p}{1-q} \right)$$

Thm (14.2 in text) Bretagnolle - Huber Inequality:

$P, Q$  prob measures over  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . Further, let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  be an event. Then:

$$P(A) + Q(A^c) \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-\min\{D(P, Q), D(Q, P)\}}$$

Note: We will prove  $P(A) + Q(A^c) \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-D(P, Q)}$ , for any  $P, Q, A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus,

$$Q(B) + P(B^c) \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-D(Q, P)}. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Choose} \\ B = A^c. \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A) + Q(A^c) \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-D(Q, P)}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A) + Q(A^c) \geq \frac{1}{2} e^{-\min\{D(P, Q), D(Q, P)\}}.$$

Proof: Recall  $D(P, Q) = \int p \ln\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) d\lambda$ , where

$$p = \frac{dP}{d\lambda}, \quad q = \frac{dQ}{d\lambda}$$

↪ e.g. density functions if  $\lambda = \text{Borel measure}$ .

notation:  $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$

$a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$

$$\textcircled{A}: P(A) + Q(A^c) \geq \int_{\Omega} p \wedge q$$

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} p \wedge q &= \int_A p \wedge q + \int_{A^c} p \wedge q \\ &\leq \int_A p + \int_{A^c} q = P(A) + Q(A^c) \end{aligned}$$

□

$$\textcircled{B}: \text{Le Cam's inequality: } \int_{\Omega} p \wedge q \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{pq} \right)^2$$

Pf:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{pq} \right)^2 &= \left( \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{(p \wedge q)(p \vee q)} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} (p \wedge q) \right) \left( \int_{\Omega} (p \vee q) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Cauchy-Schwartz  
ineq.

$$= \left( \int (p \wedge q) \right) \left( \int p + q - (p \wedge q) \right)$$

$$(p \wedge q) + (p \vee q) = p + q$$

$$\int_{p=1} \int_{q=1} = \left( \int (p \wedge q) \right) \left( 2 - \underbrace{\int (p \wedge q)}_{\geq 0} \right)$$

$$\leq 2 \int (p \wedge q).$$

□

$$(c) \quad \left( \int \sqrt{pq} \right)^2 \geq e^{-D(p, q)}$$

PF:

$$\left( \int \sqrt{pq} \right)^2 = e^{2 \ln \int \sqrt{pq}}$$

$$= e^{2 \ln \int p \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}}}$$

Jensen's inequality.

$$\geq e^{2 \int p \ln(\sqrt{q/p})}$$

use abs. cont.  
(read text,  
sec. 14.2)

$$= e^{-\int p \ln(p/q)}$$

$$= e^{-D(p, q)}$$

□

Why is this useful?

(see Chap 15 in textbook for formal arguments)

Recall our running example:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

System 1 =  $v$

arm 1	arm 2
•	•
$N(\Delta, 1)$	$N(0, 1)$

System 2 =  $v'$

arm 1	arm 2
•	•
$N(\Delta, 1)$	$N(2\Delta, 1)$

Fix some policy  $\pi$ , and let  $P$  be the probability measure induced by  $(\pi, v)$  on the sequence  $(A_1, X_1, A_2, X_2, \dots, A_n, X_n)$ .

Similarly, let  $Q$  be the prob. measure induced by  $(\pi, v')$  on  $(A_1, X_1, \dots, A_n, X_n)$ .

Since  $v \approx v'$ , it is plausible that  $P \approx Q$ , specifically, we will see later that:

$$D(P, Q) = \underbrace{E_v[T_2(n)]}_{\leq n} \left( \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \right) \leq \frac{n \Delta^2}{2}$$

Here:  $E_{\pi}[T_2(n)]$  = Expected number of times arm 2 is played under system 1, i.e., under  $(\pi, v)$ .

Let  $A = \chi_{\{T_1(n) \leq \frac{n}{2}\}}$   
↓  
# of arm 1 plays.

A is a bad event under System 1  
(good arm not played enough number of times)

$$A^c = \chi_{\{T_1(n) > \frac{n}{2}\}}$$

↘ bad event under System 2 because arm 1 (which is bad in system 2) is played too many times.

B-H Inequality:  $P(A) + Q(A^c) \geq e^{-D(P, Q)}$

$$\Rightarrow \max \{P(A), Q(A^c)\} \geq \frac{e^{-D(P,Q)}}{2}.$$

$\Rightarrow$  Under at least one of the systems, we play the wrong arm for at least half the time.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Regret that scales} \geq \frac{\Delta \cdot n}{2} = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$$

occurs for at least of the systems with prob.  $\geq e^{-D(P,Q)} / 2$ .

$$\text{But } e^{-D(P,Q)} \geq e^{-\frac{\Delta^2}{2} \underbrace{E_v[T_2(n)]}_{\leq n}}.$$

$$\geq e^{-n\Delta^2/2} = e^{-1/2} = \Theta(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \max \{R_n(\pi, v), R_n(\pi, v')\} \geq \Theta(\sqrt{n}) \cdot \Theta(1)$$

$$= \Theta(\sqrt{n}) \quad \square$$

Formal Argument in Chap 15 in textbook; next lecture.