

ACQUISITION BRIEF — AISystemicRisk.com / AISystemicRisk.org (EN)



Asset offered

- **Primary domain name:** AISystemicRisk.com (.com, exact-match)
- **Optional TLD on the same concept (separate negotiation / bundle):**
AISystemicRisk.org (.org — suitable banner for an alliance, observatory or foundation; *not included by default*)

Nature: descriptive digital asset(s), designed as a **neutral banner** for the concept of “AI systemic risk”:

the risk that AI systems, models, infrastructures and usage patterns could trigger or amplify **system-wide disruptions** across financial markets, critical sectors or infrastructures.

Not included

- No advisory, consulting, rating, supervisory or RegTech service.
- No software, database, proprietary model, methodology or certification.
- No regulatory mandate, licence or guarantee of recognition.

Contacts (suggested)

- Website • <https://www.aisystemicrisk.com>
 - Email • contact@aisystemicrisk.com
 - LinkedIn • <https://www.linkedin.com/company/aisystemicrisk>
-

This document — for whom, why

Decision brief for:

- **Central banks, supervisors, financial stability boards**
- **Systemic banking / insurance groups**
- **Global reinsurers, market infrastructures, systemic risk think tanks**
- **Risktech / datatech providers working at the intersection of AI, risk and financial stability**

Objective: help the reader decide whether to **secure AISystemicRisk.com** (with optional AISystemicRisk.org) as the **category-defining banner** for AI systemic risk frameworks, indices, observatories and platforms, before the term becomes widely adopted.

This is **not** a regulated financial document, nor an investment recommendation.

1) One-page decision

What AISystemicRisk.com is

- A **.com exact-match** domain for “AI Systemic Risk” — clear, board-level language.
- A neutral, vendor-agnostic surface for:
 - platforms, dashboards and indices on AI systemic risk,
 - methodologies, taxonomies and stress-testing frameworks.

What AISystemicRisk.org adds (optional)

- A **.org counterpart** suited to:
 - alliances of central banks / supervisors,
 - observatories and research centres,
 - foundations or institutes on AI systemic risk.
- Can be kept fully separate from any commercial activity, even if a .com platform exists elsewhere.

What this changes

- You give your Board, supervisors and partners a **single, explicit language**: “AI Systemic Risk”.

- You avoid fragmenting the narrative across project names, vendor brands and internal acronyms.
- You secure a **semantic monopoly** on a term that may become standard in macro-prudential debates.

What you can deploy immediately (illustrative)

- **Programme “AI Systemic Risk — Readiness & Roadmap”**
 - mapping AI use across portfolios, infrastructures and functions,
 - identifying concentration points (models, vendors, clouds),
 - defining scenarios for system-wide amplification and contagion.
- **AI Systemic Risk Index / Dashboard**
 - indicators per institution / sector / country,
 - overlays of AI adoption, third-party dependency and criticality,
 - materials for Boards, financial-stability committees and supervisory colleges.
- **AI Systemic Risk Observatory / Alliance** (especially with AISystemicRisk.org)
 - shared taxonomy and definitions,
 - coordination on indicators, data and stress-testing practices,
 - joint publications and workshops.

Why it is defensible

- “AI Systemic Risk” is already emerging in policy and research; AISystemicRisk.com is the **exact-match .com**.
 - The pair .com + .org (if acquired) mirrors standard splits between **platform/analytics (.com)** and **alliance/observatory (.org)**.
 - The name is **descriptive and neutral**, avoiding confusion with any existing brand.
-

2) Context & milestones (2025–2035)

- **AI goes macro-prudential**
 - Supervisors and international bodies increasingly analyse AI not only as model risk, but as a **potential source of systemic risk**: herding, pro-cyclicality, correlated model errors, common dependencies.

- **Critical third parties & concentration risk**
 - Cloud, data and AI providers are being mapped as **critical third parties**.
 - A small number of players concentrate infrastructure, models and services used by hundreds of institutions.
- **Cross-sector interconnections**
 - AI is embedded in finance, energy, logistics, telecoms, platforms, public services.
 - This raises questions about **cross-sector contagion** in scenarios involving AI failures, attacks or misaligned incentives.
- **Supervisory agenda 2025–2035**
 - Integration of AI into stress-testing and financial stability frameworks.
 - Exploration of AI systemic risk indicators, disclosure expectations and governance requirements.
 - Need for **shared language and neutral banners** to structure international work.

Implication: a credible, neutral “AI Systemic Risk” banner is likely to become a **reference point** in this decade.

3) Three concrete deployments (orders of magnitude)

(Illustrative scenarios, non-binding, for decision support only.)

A. Central bank / financial stability board

Usage:

- Public-interest hub “AI Systemic Risk Observatory” (possibly under .org),
- Internal / restricted dashboards and scenarios (under .com or internal).

Impact (qualitative):

- Faster alignment between financial stability, digital risk and supervisory teams.
- Clear entry point for peer institutions and international partners.

B. Systemic banking / insurance group

Usage:

- Group-wide “AI Systemic Risk Framework”: mapping AI use, third-party dependencies, concentration risk.
- Board-level dashboard and crisis-simulation materials.

Impact (qualitative):

- Time saved on internal explanation and branding (one neutral banner instead of ad-hoc project names).
- Easier dialogue with supervisors and rating agencies around a clear, recognised term.

C. Global reinsurer / risktech provider

Usage:

- Branded family of indices or stress-testing tools “AI Systemic Risk Index / Suite”.
- Reports and analytics for clients, supervisors and investors.

Impact (qualitative):

- Category positioning: recognised as a **reference name** for AI systemic risk analytics.
- Re-use of the same banner across products, partnerships and thought-leadership.

4) Strategic advantage of the pack

- **Board-readable language**
 - “AI Systemic Risk” is self-explanatory for mixed boards (Risk, Finance, Tech, Supervision).
- **Clear separation of roles (.com / .org)**
 - .com can remain focused on **platforms, analytics, indices, tooling**.
 - .org can remain a **coalition / observatory / foundation** banner.
- **Defensive positioning**
 - Owning the exact-match .com (and optionally .org) gives a robust **narrative edge** if the term is widely adopted.

5) Market size & pressure

- Growing CAPEX/OPEX on **AI, data, digital risk and compliance** in systemic institutions.
- Need for cohesive narratives at the intersection of:
 - AI adoption and governance,
 - critical-third-party risk,
 - financial stability and macro-prudential policy.
- Several actors (central banks, supervisors, reinsurers, large groups, risktechs) will need a **visible, neutral banner** for AI systemic risk in the 2025–2035 window.

AISystemicRisk.com offers that banner globally; AISystemicRisk.org (if used) complements it for alliances and public-interest initiatives.

6) CFO anchors (qualitative)

- **Alternative cost — creating a narrative from scratch**
 - Inventing and pushing a proprietary brand or acronym for AI systemic risk would require:
 - naming exercises,
 - campaigns, events, content,
 - years of repetition before adoption.
 - A descriptive name drastically reduces explanation costs.
- **Cost of delay**
 - If another actor publicly occupies the term “AI Systemic Risk” with a strong domain, your organisation becomes the **follower** in narrative terms, even with better methodologies.
- **Reusable synergies**
 - Under one banner (AISystemicRisk.com/.org), each euro spent on content, tools, governance frameworks and relationships reinforces the same asset over time.

7) Investment logic (no public price)

- **Absolute scarcity:** there is only one AISystemicRisk.com, and only one AISystemicRisk.org.
 - **Long-term narrative asset:** as AI systemic risk becomes a standard topic, the need for a clear, neutral label will persist.
 - **Option value:** securing the domain(s) early can cost far less than future branding, re-naming or acquisition campaigns.
-

8) Related assets / optional bundle (≤2)

(Mentioned for context; not included by default. Any bundle would be negotiated separately.)

- **Pack TLD (addition):** AISystemicRisk.org — .org banner suitable for alliances, observatories and foundations focused on AI systemic risk.
 - **Solvency & Systemic bundle (addition):** PlanetarySolvency.com as a macro-umbrella domain, potentially combined with selected names from a “Solvency & Digital Systemic Risk” stack (e.g. ClimateSolvency.com, NatureSolvency.com, BiosphereSolvency.com, OceanSolvency.com, EnergySolvency.com, ComputeSolvency.com, NeuralSolvency.com, AutomationSovereignty.com, ComputeSovereignty.com, BciSafety.ai, NeuralAccess.ai).
-

9) Legal frame & responsibilities

- Nature of the asset(s):
 - Descriptive domain name(s) for the concept of AI systemic risk.
 - Not a brand registration, regulatory licence, rating agency, or official standard.
- No technical or regulatory promises:
 - This brief does not sell performance, analytical quality, supervisory acceptance or scientific validity.
- No affiliation:
 - No link is claimed with any specific central bank, supervisor, institution, company, think tank or existing initiative.

The future acquirer is solely responsible for:

- the design of any frameworks, indices, dashboards, services or alliances under these names;
 - compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and supervisory expectations;
 - all communications and representations made under AISystemicRisk.com and/or AISystemicRisk.org.
-

10) Acquisition process (Legal / Finance) — indicative

- Possible use of an **escrow service** for domain names to secure payment + transfer.
- Technical transfer via the relevant registrar(s), with fast DNS switch to the acquirer's infrastructure.
- Optional NDA and asset audit (whois history, DNS, basic checks) before final decision.
- Public communication (if any) to be fully controlled by the acquirer.