

## Acquisition Brief — bcisafety.ai



### Proposed asset

- **Primary domain name:** **bcisafety.ai** (.ai, exact-match)
- **Additional assets (optional bundle):**
  - **bcisafety.org** — neutral, non-profit / governance-oriented banner for coalitions, alliances, ethics & standards
  - **bcisafety.io** — optional technical / developer-facing asset (platform, sandbox, API docs)
- **Nature:** descriptive digital asset set designed as a **neutral banner for “BCI Safety”** – governance, ethics, risk, AI safety, compliance and auditability for Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) systems.
- **Not included:**
  - no medical, clinical or diagnostic service,
  - no BCI hardware, firmware, sensor or implant,
  - no health or brain-signal data, no patient/user datasets,
  - no certification, label, approval or notified-body status,
  - no legal, medical or regulatory advisory service.

---

## Contacts

- **Website:** <https://www.bcisafety.ai>
  - **Email:** contact@bcisafety.ai
  - **LinkedIn:** <https://www.linkedin.com/company/bcisafety-ai>
- 

### 1. Purpose of this document

This brief is designed for **C-level and governance functions** in organisations working on BCI, neurotech, medtech, AI safety and supervision:

- CEO, COO, CFO, CTO, CISO, Head of Risk, Compliance, Ethics, Data & AI,
- BCI / neurotech manufacturers, AI safety platforms, insurers & reinsurers,
- regulators, standard setters, think tanks, foundations and alliances.

It aims to help you:

- understand the nature and boundaries of the **BCISAFETY** assets (bcisafety.ai as primary, with bcisafety.org / bcisafety.io as optional bundle),
- assess their potential as **neutral banners** for BCI safety, ethics and AI governance,
- evaluate their complementarity with **BCIACCESS** (bciaccess.com / .ai / .io) for access & consent,
- determine whether securing a **BCI Safety** semantic monopoly now is strategically relevant for your roadmap (2025–2035),
- rely on a **clear, standard acquisition process** compatible with institutional practice.

This document is **not** medical, scientific, legal or regulatory advice.

---

### 2. What BCISAFETY is / is not

#### 2.1 Definition & scope

**BCISAFETY** refers to the following digital assets:

- **bcisafety.ai** – primary, C-suite-readable banner for BCI safety, AI safety and governance,
- **bcisafety.org** – optional, **non-profit / alliance-oriented** banner for governance frameworks, charters, coalitions and standards,

- **bcisafety.io** – optional technical / developer-oriented asset (testing, APIs, documentation, sandbox).

They are meant to describe and host the concept of:

#### **“BCI Safety”:**

the frameworks, processes and safeguards that ensure brain-computer interfaces are designed, deployed and monitored with robust protection of users' rights, mental privacy, integrity, security and autonomy, including the AI systems that process BCI-related signals and data.

The assets are intended to support:

- **BCI safety frameworks & guidelines,**
- **AI safety & red-teaming methodologies** applied to BCI,
- **governance & audit** portals for boards, ethics committees, regulators and insurers,
- **multi-stakeholder alliances or observatories** on BCI risks, rights and protections.

They are explicitly designed to **work in synergy** with the **BCIACCESS** family (**bciaccess.com** / **bciaccess.ai** / **bciaccess.io**) that can host **access & consent** topics, while **BCISAFETY** hosts **safety, governance & AI risk**.

#### **2.2 What BCISAFETY is not**

BCISAFETY (bcisafety.ai / .org / .io) is **not**:

- a medical device or diagnostic tool,
- a BCI hardware, firmware, implant or sensor,
- a healthcare provider, clinic or telemedicine platform,
- a lab, CRO, notified body or certification authority,
- a brain-data storage or processing service,
- a legal, medical or regulatory advisory service.

It is a **semantic and strategic asset** – a banner for information, governance and frameworks – not an operational medical or clinical platform.

---

#### **3. Context & milestones (2025–2035)**

Several trends shape the emerging **BCI Safety** agenda:

- Rapid growth in **BCI pilots** (clinical, assistive, experimental, entertainment, gaming, productivity).
- Convergence of **BCI, AI, sensors and cloud platforms**, with increasing complexity of risk surfaces.
- Intensifying debates on **mental privacy, cognitive liberty, manipulation, addiction and autonomy**.
- Heightened scrutiny from **regulators, ethics committees, insurers, NGOs and the public** regarding safety, explainability, security, bias and misuse.
- Early discussions on “**neurorights**” and fundamental rights frameworks for brain-data and neurotechnologies.

In this environment, a **neutral, clearly-named “BCI Safety” banner** can:

- give structure to fragmented safety discussions,
  - offer a single public-facing reference point for safety, AI risk and governance,
  - support multi-stakeholder work without being tied to any single manufacturer or clinical promise.
- 

#### **4. Illustrative use cases**

*(Illustrative only, non-binding.)*

##### **4.1 BCI / neurotech / medtech group – “Access & Safety” architecture**

- Use **BCIACCESS** ([bciaccess.com](http://bciaccess.com) / .ai / .io) as the hub for **access, consent and user rights**.
- Use **bcisafety.ai** as the hub for **safety, risk, AI & governance**.
- Optionally, use **bcisafety.org** as the banner for an **ethics / governance board, external advisory council or alliance**.

##### **Objective:**

Make the architecture readable:

- **Access side:** “who may access what, when, under which consent & rights”.
- **Safety side:** “what is acceptable, within which red lines, under which risk and governance conditions”.

##### **4.2 AI safety / red-teaming platform for BCI systems**

- Position **bcisafety.ai** as the flagship for:

- **testing, red-teaming, monitoring and defending** BCI-related stacks,
  - documenting **AI safety methodologies** for models that interpret BCI signals,
  - structuring **disclosure & dialogue** with manufacturers, labs and regulators.
- Use **bcisafety.io** as the technical / developer-facing endpoint (API docs, sandboxes, test harnesses).
- Use **bcisafety.org** for a **non-profit research programme or alliance** on BCI safety standards.

#### **4.3 “BCI Safety & Governance” alliance / observatory**

- Use **bcisafety.org** to host a **multi-stakeholder alliance or think-tank** (industry, academia, NGOs, insurers, ethicists).
- Use **bcisafety.ai** as the **public-facing hub** for frameworks, position papers, dashboards and technical notes.
- Use **bcisafety.io** for open tools, datasets and test suites (where legally and ethically appropriate).

#### **Deliverables:**

- charters and codes of conduct,
- practical guidelines for manufacturers and developers,
- case studies, incident taxonomies, risk pattern libraries,
- BCI safety index or dashboard.

#### **5. Why an exact-match, multi-extension “BCI Safety” asset?**

Like other strategic assets (e.g. **BCIACCESS**, **ComputeSovereignty**, etc.), the **BCISAFETY** set combines:

- **Clear category language:** “BCI Safety” is intuitive once neurotech and BCI become mainstream concerns.
- **C-suite readability:** “BCI” + “Safety” are immediately intelligible to boards, regulators and insurers.
- **Neutrality:** the assets are not named after any product, brand or country – they can serve as **institutional or coalition banners**.

- **Multi-extension strategy:**
  - .ai for AI, technology and platform positioning,
  - .org for governance, ethics, alliances, standards and non-profit initiatives,
  - .io for technical / developer communities and APIs.

Together, they allow a buyer to:

- **lock the semantic space** of “BCI Safety”,
  - distinguish **governance & ethics** from purely commercial brands,
  - create a **coherent architecture** for BCI access, consent and safety.
- 

## 6. Strategic advantage & complementarity with BCIACCESS

BCIACCESS and BCISAFETY form a **structural duo**:

- **BCIACCESS (bciaccess.com / .ai / .io)**  
“Who may access what, when, under which consent and rights?”
- **BCISAFETY (bcisafety.ai / .org / .io)**  
“What can be done, how far, under which red lines, safeguards, risk frameworks and governance duties?”

**Combined, they:**

- provide a **complete narrative** for responsible BCI,
  - separate the **operational access layer** from the **normative & risk layer**,
  - offer regulators, insurers and investors a **readable architecture** of how you treat user rights and safety,
  - create a **defensible strategic position** in a space where terminology and governance frameworks are still emerging.
- 

## 7. Market pressure & narrative risk

- Investments in BCI / neurotech / AI are accelerating, including non-invasive and consumer-oriented devices.
- Public and media attention increasingly focus on **risks and worst-case scenarios**: mental privacy breaches, manipulation, hacking, behavioural nudging, addiction.

- Regulators, insurers and ethics committees expect **structured, documented safety frameworks**, not ad-hoc responses.
- Organisations without a **clear “BCI Safety” narrative and governance hub** risk being perceived as immature or opaque.

BCISAFETY.AI (with .org / .io if selected) offers a **simple, neutral, high-signal banner** for this narrative – without claiming clinical authority.

---

## 8. CFO anchors & investment logic

### 8.1 Alternative cost – building your own “BCI Safety” banner

Without a neutral, exact-match “BCI Safety” asset, an organisation typically needs to:

- create and consolidate an internal brand for safety,
- design and run a dedicated communication and awareness campaign,
- explain repeatedly to regulators, insurers and partners how safety is structured,
- educate multiple internal teams (R&D, AI, Security, Legal, Ethics committees) around a **home-made label**.

#### Order of magnitude:

the equivalent of **€0.4–1.2 million** over 12–24 months in fragmented internal time, content production, branding and external communications.

### 8.2 Cost of delay

Postponing a clear “BCI Safety” narrative means:

- letting competitors, NGOs or media define the framing of BCI risks,
- increasing the risk of mistrust at market entry,
- complicating insurance discussions for BCI-related exposures,
- missing early opportunities to shape standards and frameworks.

### 8.3 Investment logic (no public price)

- **Category keyword:** “BCI Safety” is a **natural label** once neurotechnologies become regulated and mainstream.
  - **Scarcity:** very few **neutral, C-level-readable, AI-aligned assets** on this niche.
  - **Bundle logic:** ability to align **BCIACCESS + BCISAFETY** into a coherent, board-level architecture for **Access, Consent & Safety**.
-

## **9. Scope of transfer & legal framework**

### **Scope**

- **Base case:** transfer of **bcisafety.ai** alone (standalone acquisition).
- **Optional bundle:** bcisafety.ai + bcisafety.org + bcisafety.io, and/or combination with **BCIACCESS** assets, subject to separate agreement.

### **Transaction**

- escrow-based transaction (recommended),
- digital-asset transfer agreement,
- invoice (EU-compatible),
- possibility of staged payments (e.g. 40-30-30) subject to negotiation.

### **Legal notice**

- Name built from descriptive terms (“BCI”, “Safety”).
- No affiliation with any public body, regulator, health authority or existing BCI brand.
- No medical, diagnostic, therapeutic, clinical, legal or regulatory service is offered in this brief or on the domains as-is.
- No collection, storage or processing of health or brain-signal data by the seller.

The buyer remains solely responsible for:

- scientific and technical work,
- compliance with medical device, health, data protection and AI regulations,
- ethics, governance frameworks and risk management.

---

## **10. Contacts**

- **Email:** contact@bcisafety.ai
- **Website:** <https://www.bcisafety.ai>
- **LinkedIn:** <https://www.linkedin.com/company/bcisafety-ai>