

Solomonoff's theory of inductive inference

Solomonoff's theory of inductive inference is a mathematical theory of induction introduced by Ray Solomonoff, based on probability theory and theoretical computer science. [1][2][3] In essence, Solomonoff's induction derives the posterior probability of any computable theory, given a sequence of observed data. This posterior probability is derived from Bayes' rule and some *universal* prior, that is, a prior that assigns a positive probability to any computable theory.

Solomonoff proved that this induction is <u>incomputable</u>, but noted that "this incomputability is of a very benign kind", and that it "in no way inhibits its use for practical prediction". [2]

Solomonoff's induction naturally formalizes $\underline{Occam's\ razor}^{[4][5][6][7][8]}$ by assigning larger prior credences to theories that require a shorter algorithmic description.

Origin

Philosophical

The theory is based in philosophical foundations, and was founded by Ray Solomonoff around 1960. [9] It is a mathematically formalized combination of Occam's razor $\frac{[4][5][6][7][8]}{[7][8]}$ and the Principle of Multiple Explanations. [10] All computable theories which perfectly describe previous observations are used to calculate the probability of the next observation, with more weight put on the shorter computable theories. Marcus Hutter's universal artificial intelligence builds upon this to calculate the expected value of an action.

Principle

Solomonoff's induction has been argued to be the computational formalization of pure $\underline{\text{Bayesianism}}$. To understand, recall that Bayesianism derives the posterior probability $\mathbb{P}[T|D]$ of a theory T given data D by applying Bayes rule, which yields

$$\mathbb{P}[T|D] = rac{\mathbb{P}[D|T]\mathbb{P}[T]}{\mathbb{P}[D|T]\mathbb{P}[T] + \sum_{A
eq T} \mathbb{P}[D|A]\mathbb{P}[A]}$$

where theories A are alternatives to theory T. For this equation to make sense, the quantities $\mathbb{P}[D|T]$ and $\mathbb{P}[D|A]$ must be well-defined for all theories T and A. In other words, any theory must define a probability distribution over observable data D. Solomonoff's induction essentially boils down to demanding that all such probability distributions be computable.

Interestingly, the set of computable probability distributions is a subset of the set of all programs, which is <u>countable</u>. Similarly, the sets of observable data considered by Solomonoff were finite. Without loss of generality, we can thus consider that any observable data is a finite <u>bit string</u>. As a result, Solomonoff's induction can be defined by only invoking discrete probability distributions.

Solomonoff's induction then allows to make probabilistic predictions of future data F, by simply obeying the laws of probability. Namely, we have $\mathbb{P}[F|D] = \mathbb{E}_T[\mathbb{P}[F|T,D]] = \sum_T \mathbb{P}[F|T,D]\mathbb{P}[T|D]$. This quantity can be interpreted as the average predictions $\mathbb{P}[F|T,D]$ of all theories T given past data D, weighted by their posterior credences $\mathbb{P}[T|D]$.

Mathematical

The proof of the "razor" is based on the known mathematical properties of a probability distribution over a countable set. These properties are relevant because the infinite set of all programs is a denumerable set. The sum S of the probabilities of all programs must be exactly equal to one (as per the definition of probability) thus the probabilities must roughly decrease as we enumerate the infinite set of all programs, otherwise S will be strictly greater than one. To be more precise, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is some length l such that the probability of all programs longer than l is at most ϵ . This does not, however, preclude very long programs from having very high probability.

Fundamental ingredients of the theory are the concepts of <u>algorithmic probability</u> and <u>Kolmogorov complexity</u>. The universal <u>prior probability</u> of any prefix p of a computable sequence x is the sum of the probabilities of all programs (for a <u>universal computer</u>) that compute something starting with p. Given some p and any computable but unknown probability distribution from which x is sampled, the universal prior and Bayes' theorem can be used to predict the yet unseen parts of x in optimal fashion.

Mathematical guarantees

Solomonoff's completeness

The remarkable property of Solomonoff's induction is its completeness. In essence, the completeness theorem guarantees that the expected cumulative errors made by the predictions based on Solomonoff's induction are upper-bounded by the <u>Kolmogorov complexity</u> of the (stochastic) data generating process. The errors can be measured using the <u>Kullback–Leibler divergence</u> or the square of the difference between the induction's prediction and the probability assigned by the (stochastic) data generating process.

Solomonoff's uncomputability

Unfortunately, Solomonoff also proved that Solomonoff's induction is uncomputable. In fact, he showed that <u>computability</u> and completeness are mutually exclusive: any complete theory must be uncomputable. The proof of this is derived from a game between the induction and the environment. Essentially, any

computable induction can be tricked by a computable environment, by choosing the computable environment that negates the computable induction's prediction. This fact can be regarded as an instance of the *no free lunch theorem*.

Modern applications

Artificial intelligence

Though Solomonoff's inductive inference is not <u>computable</u>, several <u>AIXI</u>-derived algorithms approximate it in order to make it run on a modern computer. The more computing power they are given, the closer their predictions are to the predictions of inductive inference (their mathematical <u>limit</u> is Solomonoff's inductive inference). [11][12][13]

Another direction of inductive inference is based on E. Mark Gold's model of learning in the limit from 1967 and has developed since then more and more models of learning. The general scenario is the following: Given a class S of computable functions, is there a learner (that is, recursive functional) which for any input of the form (f(0),f(1),...,f(n)) outputs a hypothesis (an index e with respect to a previously agreed on acceptable numbering of all computable functions; the indexed function may be required consistent with the given values of f). A learner f learns a function f if almost all its hypotheses are the same index f0, which generates the function f1, f1 learns f2 if f3. Basic results are that all recursively enumerable classes of functions are learnable while the class REC of all computable functions is not learnable. Many related models have been considered and also the learning of classes of recursively enumerable sets from positive data is a topic studied from Gold's pioneering paper in 1967 onwards. A far reaching extension of the Gold's approach is developed by Schmidhuber's theory of generalized Kolmogorov complexities, f(f)2 which are kinds of super-recursive algorithms.

See also

- Algorithmic information theory
- Bayesian inference
- Language identification in the limit
- Inductive inference
- Inductive probability
- Mill's methods
- Minimum description length
- Minimum message length
- For a philosophical viewpoint, see: Problem of induction and New riddle of induction

References

1. Zenil, Hector (2011-02-11). <u>Randomness Through Computation: Some Answers, More Questions</u> (https://books.google.com/books?id=ep_FCgAAQBAJ&q=Algorithmic+Probability +--+lts+Discovery+--+lts+Properties+and+Application+to+Strong+Al&pg=PA149). World Scientific. ISBN 978-981-4462-63-1.

- 2. Solomonoff, Ray J. (2009), Emmert-Streib, Frank; Dehmer, Matthias (eds.), "Algorithmic Probability: Theory and Applications" (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-84816-7_1), Information Theory and Statistical Learning, Boston, MA: Springer US, pp. 1–23, doi:10.1007/978-0-387-84816-7_1 (https://doi.org/10.1007%2F978-0-387-84816-7_1), ISBN 978-0-387-84816-7, retrieved 2020-07-21
- 3. Hoang, Lê Nguyên (2020). *The equation of knowledge : from Bayes' rule to a unified philosophy of science* (https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/1162366056) (First ed.). Boca Raton, FL. ISBN 978-0-367-85530-7. OCLC 1162366056 (https://www.worldcat.org/oclc/1162366056).
- 4. JJ McCall. Induction: From Kolmogorov and Solomonoff to De Finetti and Back to Kolmogorov Metroeconomica, 2004 Wiley Online Library.
- 5. D Stork. Foundations of Occam's razor and parsimony in learning from ricoh.com NIPS 2001 Workshop, 2001
- 6. A.N. Soklakov. Occam's razor as a formal basis for a physical theory from arxiv.org (https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0009007) Foundations of Physics Letters, 2002 Springer
- 7. Jose Hernandez-Orallo (1999). "Beyond the Turing Test" (http://users.dsic.upv.es/proy/anynt/Beyond.pdf) (PDF). *Journal of Logic, Language and Information*. **9**.
- 8. M Hutter. On the existence and convergence of computable universal priors arxiv.org/abs/cs/0305052) Algorithmic Learning Theory, 2003 Springer
- 9. Samuel Rathmanner and Marcus Hutter. A philosophical treatise of universal induction. Entropy, 13(6):1076–1136, 2011
- 10. Ming Li and Paul Vitanyi, *An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and Its Applications.* Springer-Verlag, N.Y., 2008p 339 ff.
- 11. J. Veness, K.S. Ng, M. Hutter, W. Uther, D. Silver. "A Monte Carlo AIXI Approximation" <u>Arxiv</u> preprint (https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.0801), 2009 arxiv.org
- 12. J. Veness, K.S. Ng, M. Hutter, D. Silver. "Reinforcement Learning via AIXI Approximation" Arxiv preprint (https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.2049), 2010 aaai.org
- 13. S. Pankov. A computational approximation to the AIXI model from agiri.org Artificial general intelligence, 2008: proceedings of ..., 2008 books.google.com
- 14. Gold, E. Mark (1967). "Language identification in the limit" (http://web.mit.edu/~6.863/www/spring2009/readings/gold67limit.pdf) (PDF). *Information and Control.* **10** (5): 447–474. doi:10.1016/S0019-9958(67)91165-5 (https://doi.org/10.1016%2FS0019-9958%2867%2991 165-5).
- 15. J. Schmidhuber (2002). "Hierarchies of generalized Kolmogorov complexities and nonenumerable universal measures computable in the limit" (ftp://ftp.idsia.ch/pub/juergen/ijfc spreprint.pdf) (PDF). International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science. 13 (4): 587–612. doi:10.1142/S0129054102001291 (https://doi.org/10.1142%2FS0129054102001291).

Sources

- Angluin, Dana; Smith, Carl H. (Sep 1983). "Inductive Inference: Theory and Methods" (https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/356914.356918). Computing Surveys. 15 (3): 237–269. doi:10.1145/356914.356918 (https://doi.org/10.1145%2F356914.356918). S2CID 3209224 (https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:3209224).
- Burgin, M. (2005), *Super-recursive Algorithms*, Monographs in computer science, Springer. ISBN 0-387-95569-0
- Burgin, M., "How We Know What Technology Can Do", *Communications of the ACM*, v. 44, No. 11, 2001, pp. 82–88.
- Burgin, M.; Eberbach, E., "Universality for Turing Machines, Inductive Turing Machines and Evolutionary Algorithms", *Fundamenta Informaticae*, v. 91, No. 1, 2009, 53–77.

- Burgin, M.; Eberbach, E., "On Foundations of Evolutionary Computation: An Evolutionary Automata Approach", in *Handbook of Research on Artificial Immune Systems and Natural Computing: Applying Complex Adaptive Technologies* (Hongwei Mo, Ed.), IGI Global, Hershey, Pennsylvania, 2009, 342–360.
- Burgin, M.; Eberbach, E., "Evolutionary Automata: Expressiveness and Convergence of Evolutionary Computation", *Computer Journal*, v. 55, No. 9, 2012, pp. 1023–1029.
- Burgin, M.; Klinger, A. Experience, Generations, and Limits in Machine Learning, *Theoretical Computer Science*, v. 317, No. 1/3, 2004, pp. 71–91
- <u>Davis, Martin</u> (2006) "The Church–Turing Thesis: Consensus and opposition]". Proceedings,
 Computability in Europe 2006. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3988 pp. 125–132.
- Gasarch, W.; Smith, C. H. (1997) "A survey of inductive inference with an emphasis on queries". Complexity, logic, and recursion theory, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 187, Dekker, New York, pp. 225–260.
- Hay, Nick. "Universal Semimeasures: An Introduction (https://www.cs.auckland.ac.nz/CDMT CS/researchreports/300nick.pdf)," CDMTCS Research Report Series, University of Auckland. Feb. 2007.
- Jain, Sanjay; Osherson, Daniel; Royer, James; Sharma, Arun, Systems that Learn: An Introduction to Learning Theory (second edition), MIT Press, 1999.
- Kleene, Stephen C. (1952), *Introduction to Metamathematics* (First ed.), Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Li Ming; Vitanyi, Paul, *An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and Its Applications*, 2nd Edition, Springer Verlag, 1997.
- Osherson, Daniel; Stob, Michael; Weinstein, Scott, Systems That Learn, An Introduction to Learning Theory for Cognitive and Computer Scientists, MIT Press, 1986.
- Solomonoff, Ray J. (1999). "Two Kinds of Probabilistic Induction" (http://world.std.com/~rjs/compj99.pdf) (PDF). *The Computer Journal*. **42** (4): 256. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.68.8941 (https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.68.8941). doi:10.1093/comjnl/42.4.256 (https://doi.org/10.1093%2Fcomjnl%2F42.4.256).
- Solomonoff, Ray (March 1964). "A Formal Theory of Inductive Inference Part I" (http://world.st d.com/~rjs/1964pt1.pdf) (PDF). *Information and Control*. **7** (1): 1–22. doi:10.1016/S0019-9958(64)90223-2 (https://doi.org/10.1016%2FS0019-9958%2864%2990223-2).
- Solomonoff, Ray (June 1964). "A Formal Theory of Inductive Inference Part II" (http://world.st d.com/~rjs/1964pt2.pdf) (PDF). Information and Control. 7 (2): 224–254. doi:10.1016/S0019-9958(64)90131-7 (https://doi.org/10.1016%2FS0019-9958%2864%2990131-7).

External links

Algorithmic probability – Scholarpedia (http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Algorithmic_probability)

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Solomonoff%27s_theory_of_inductive_inference&oldid=1231481508"