Chapter 3 - Hedging Strategies Using Futures (Hull Book OFOD)

Tyler J. Brough

Department of Finance and Economics



Section 3.0: Introduction

Section 3.1: Basic Principles

Section 3.2: Arguments For & Against Hedging

Section 3.3 - Basis Risk

Section 3.4 - Cross Hedging

Section 3.5 - Stock Index Futures

Section 3.6 - Stack & Roll

Appendix: Culp & Miller on Hedging a Flow of Commodity Deliveries with Futures

Introduction

- One kind of participant in futures markets is the **hedger**.
- Hedgers use futures markets to transfer risk.
- A perfect hedge entirely illiminates the risk faced by the hedger. These are very rare!
- We will want to think carefully about how to measure the performance of a hedge.
- In this chapter we restrict our attention to hedge-and-forget (or simply static) hedging strategies.
- At first, we will ignore daily settlement that is, we will treat futures like forward contracts.

Basic Principles

Consider the following scenario:

- A company knows that over the next three months:
 - It will gain \$10,000 for every \$0.01 increase in the price of a commodity.
 - It will lose \$10,000 for every \$0.01 decrease in the price of a commodity.
- To hedge the company should take a short futures position.
 - For every 0.01 increase in the price of the commodity:
 - ► The futures position will lead to a loss of \$10,000
 - But the position in the commodity will increase in value by precisely \$10,000 to offset the losses.
- For every 0.01 decrease in the price of the commodity:
 - The futures position will lead to a gain of \$10,000
 - But the position in the commodity will lose value by \$10,000 to offset the gains in the futures contract.

Short Hedges

- A **short hedge** is one that involves a short futures position
- It is appropriate when one has a position in the asset (and expects to sell it in the future)
- Ex: a rancher who will take cattle to auction in October would use a short hedge to transfer cattle price risk

Long Hedges

- A long hedge is one that involves a long futures position
- Long hedges are appropriate when the company knows it will be purchasing an asset at some time in the future
- Ex: An airline must purchase jet fuel and so uses a long futures position to lock-in the purchase price

Arguments in Favor of Hedging

 Companies should focus on the main business they are in and take steps to minimize risks arising from interest rates, exchange rates, and other market variables

Arguments against Hedging

- Shareholders are usually well diversified and can make their own hedging decisions
- It may increase risk to hedge when competitors do not
- Explaining a situation where there is a loss on the hedge and a gain on the underlying can be difficult
 - Question: Could the use of options change the scenario?

Are Perfect Hedges Too Good To Be True?

In practice, hedging is not so straightforward. For at least these reasons:

- 1. The asset that requires hedging differs from the one underlying the futures contract
- Uncertainty over the date when the asset will be purchased or sold
- The hedge may require the futures contract to be closed prior to the delivery date

Basis Risk

The **basis** is defined as:

 $\mathsf{Basis} = \mathsf{Spot} \; \mathsf{price} - \mathsf{Futures} \; \mathsf{price}$

- If the asset to be hedged and the underlying asset are identical then basis should be zero at expiration
- But prior to expiration basis can be positive or negative

Basis Continued

If we start with the basic forward pricing equation:


$$F_{0,T} = S_0 e^{(r-\delta)T}$$

We can then divide both sides by S_0 and take logs:

$$\ln (F_{0,T}) - \ln (S_0) = (r - \delta)T$$
$$b_t = -(r - \delta)T$$

Basis Continued

- Basis is random over time
 - An increase in basis is called a strengthening of the basis
 - A decrease in basis is called a weakening of the basis



Some Notation

To examine the nature of basis risk we will use the following notation:

- S₁: Spot price at time t₁
- S_2 : Spot price at time t_2
- F_1 : Futures price at time t_1
- F₂: Futures price at time t₂
- b_1 : Basis at time t_1 , that is $b_1 = S_1 F_1$
- b_2 : Basis at time t_2 , that is $b_2 = S_2 F_2$

An Example

Contract Size

A key factor that affects the basis risk is the choice of contract, which has two components:

- 1. The choice of the asset underlying the futures contract
 - It is rare that the underlying asset is identical to the asset being hedge
 - When it is not careful analysis is required to choose the contract
- 2. The choice of the delivery months
 - It is rare that the hedging timeline lines up with the delivery period
 - A rule of thumb is to choose a delivery month that is as close as possible, but later than, the hedging expiration

Cross Hedging

- Cross hedging is when the asset to be hedged differs from the underlying asset
- Ex: The airline which wishes to hedge jet fuel must choose a futures contract with a related underlying asset such as heating oil
- The hedge ratio is the ratio of the size of the position taken in futures to the size of the exposure
 - If the asset to be hedged is identical to the underlying asset this is often 1
 - When cross hedging this often the value that minimizes the variance of the hedged position

Calculating the Minimum Variance Hedge Ratio

The minimum variance hedge ratio depends on the relationship between changes in the spot price and changes in the futures price. Define:

- ΔS : the change in spot price S during the life of the hedge
- ullet ΔF : the change in futures price F during the life of the hedge

We will denote the minimum variance hedge as h^* . The formula for h^* is:

$$h^* = \rho \frac{\sigma_S}{\sigma_F}$$

Where:

- σ_S is the standard deviation of ΔS
- σ_F is the standard deviation of ΔF
- ullet ρ is the correlation of the two

The Optimal Number of Contracts

To calculate the number of contracts that should be used in hedging, we define the following:

- Q_A : Size of position being hedged (units)
- Q_F: Size of one futures contract (units)
- N*: Optimal number of futures contracts for hedging

The futures contracts should be on h^*Q_A units of the asset. The number of contracts is given by:

$$N^* = \frac{h^* Q_A}{Q_F}$$

Tailing the Hedge

- So far we have been treating futures as though they were forward contracts.
- When we actually use futures it's like there are a series of daily hedges.
- To reflect this we usually calculate the correlation between daily futures and spot prices

To do this we denote:

- $\hat{\rho}$: the daily correlation between spot and futures prices
- $\hat{\sigma_S}$: the daily standard deviation of the spot price
- $\hat{\sigma_F}$: the daily standard deviation of the futures price

- If *S* and *F* are the current spot and futures prices then the standard deviations of one-day prices changes are:
 - $S\hat{\sigma_S}$
 - Fσ̂_F
- The one-day hedge ratio then becomes:

$$\hat{\rho} \frac{S\hat{\sigma_S}}{F\hat{\sigma_F}}$$

The number of contracts needed to hedge over the next day is:

$$N^* = \hat{\rho} \frac{S\hat{\sigma_S}Q_A}{F\hat{\sigma_F}Q_F}$$

Using this result is called *tailing the hedge*. We can write this as:

$$N^* = \hat{h} \frac{V_A}{V_F}$$

Where:

- $V_A = SQ_A$: is the dollar value of the position being hedged
- $V_F = FQ_F$: is the dollar of one futures contract
- \hat{h} is defined similarly to h^* as:

$$\hat{h} = \hat{\rho} \frac{\hat{\sigma_S}}{\hat{\sigma_F}}$$

- It can be shown that a forward contract can be replicated by a dynamic trading strategy involving futures.
- The initial exposure from purchasing a forward contract with maturity at date T is equivalent to the exposure of $P(0,T)=e^{rT}$ futures where r is the risk-free interest rate.
- The adjustment of the hedge ratio from 1 to the lower value P(0,T) is the tailed position.
- Tailing the hedge makes more of a difference the further into the future the maturity date T is.

In theory this suggests that we should change the futures position every day to reflect the latest values of V_A and V_F . In practice, day-to-day changes in the hedge are very small and usually ignored.

Later we will explore dynamic hedging strategies. Is it possible to beat a static hedge?

Stock Index Futures

- We can use stock index futures to hedge exposures to equity prices.
- A **stock index** tracks changes in the value of a hypothetical portfolio of stocks.
- Weights for particular stocks in the portfolio equal the proportion in the hypothetical index.

Stock Indices

Table 3.3 shows futures prices for contracts on 3 different stock Indices as of May 14, 2013.

	Open	High	Low	Prior settlement	Last trade	Change	Volume
Mini Dow	Jones Industr	rial Average	, \$5 time i	ndex			
Jun-13	15055	15159	15013	15057	15152	95	88,510
Sep-13	14982	15089	14947	14989	15081	92	34
Mini S&P	500, \$50 time	index					
Jun-13	1630.75	1647.50	1626.50	1630.75	1646.00	15.25	1,397,446
Sep-13	1625.00	1641.50	1620.50	1625.00	1640.00	15.00	4,360
Dec-13	1619.75	1635.00	1615.75	1618.50	1633.75	15.25	143
Mini NASI	DAQ-100m \$2	0 times ind	ex				
Jun-13	2981.25	3005.00	2971.25	2981.00	2998.00	17.00	126,821
Sep-13	2979.50	2998.00	2968.00	2975.00	2993.00	17.50	337

Stock Indices Continued

- The *Dow Jones Industrial Average* is based on a portfolio consisting of 30 blue-chip stocks.
 - Weights are proportional to prices
 - CME trades two contracts based on the index:
 - one that is \$10 times the index
 - one that is \$5 time the index (the Mini)
- The Standard and Poor's 500 Index (SP500) is based on a portfolio of 500
 - 400 Industrials
 - 40 utilities
 - 20 transportation Companies
 - 40 financial institutions
 - Two contracts trade on the SP500:
 - one that is \$250 times the index
 - one that is \$50 times the index (the Mini)

Stock Indices Continued

- The Nasdaq-100 is based on 100 stocks using the NASDAQ
 - Two contracts traded:
 - one that is \$100 times the index
 - one that is \$20 times the index (the Mini)

As mentioned in chapter 2, futures contracts on stock indices are cash settled.

- Contracts are marked-to-market either on the opening or closing price of the index on the last trading day
 - Ex: the SP500 contracts are closed out at the opening price of the index on 3rd Friday of the delivery month.

Hedging and Equity Portfolio

Stock index futures can be used to hedge well-diversified equity portfolios that tracks one of the indices.

Define:

- ullet V_A : the current value of the portfolios
- V_F : the current value of one futures contract (the futures price times the contract size)

Hedging and Equity Portfolio Continued

If we assume the hedge ratio to be 1.0 then the number of futures contracts to be shorted is:

$$N^* = \frac{V_A}{V_F}$$

Suppose that a portfolio valued at \$5,050,000 tracks the SP500. Also:

- The index is 1,010 and each futures is on \$250 times the index
- $V_A = 5,050,000$
- $V_F = 250 \times 1010 = 252,500$
- This means we need 20 futures contracts (short) to hedge the exposure

- When the portfolio does not track the index we can use the CAPM (see appendix to chapter 3).
- The key parameter from the CAPM is the β :
 - When $\beta=1.0$ the portfolio tracks the index
 - When $\beta=$ 2.0 the return on the portfolio tends to be twice the index
 - When $\beta=0.5$ the return on the portfolio tends to be half the index
- A portfolio with $\beta=2.0$ is twice as sensitive to movements in the index as a portfolio with $\beta=1.0$
 - So we need to use twice as many futures contracts, etc

Using the CAPM β the new hedge ratio becomes:

$$N^* = \beta \frac{V_A}{V_F}$$

• This assumes that $\hat{h} = \beta$, unsurprisingly

Assume the following:

- The value of the SP500 index is = 1,000
- The nearby SP500 futures price is = 1,010
- The value of the portfolio = \$5,050,000
- The risk-free rate is r = 4% per annum
- ullet The dividend yield on the index is =1% per annum
- The portfolio beta is $\beta = 1.5$
- One contract is for \$250 times the index

• $V_F = 252,500$ as before

Using the CAPM we get the following optimal hedge ratio:

$$1.5 \times \frac{5,050,000}{252,500} = 30$$

Suppose the index turns out to be 900 in 3 months, and the futures price is 902. The gain from the short futures position is then:

$$30 \times (1010 - 902) \times 250 = \$810,000$$

- The loss on the index is 10%
- The index pays a dividend of 0.25% per 3 months
- Thus an investor would have earned a return of -9.75%

By the CAPM we see that

$$1.0 + [1.5 \times (-9.75 - 1.0)] = -15.125$$

The expected value of the portfolio at the end of 3 months is:

$$5,050,000 \times (1-0.15125) = 4,286,187$$

The expected value of the hedged position is then:

$$4,286,187 + 10,000 = 5,096,187$$

Changing the beta of the Portfolio

In this example above the β of the portfolio was changed to $\beta=0.0$. In general, to change from a portfolio beta of β to β^* , where $\beta>\beta^{ast}$, a short position in:

$$(\beta - \beta^*) \frac{V_A}{V_Q}$$

is required. When $\beta^* > \beta$, a long position is required:

$$(\beta^* - \beta) \frac{V_A}{V_Q}$$

The Stack and Roll

- Sometimes the expiration date of a hedge is later than the delivery dates of available futures contracts
- The hedger must then roll the hedge forward by closing out the original position and taking a new one
- Hedges can be rolled forward many times in a procedure known as the stack and roll

The Stack and Roll

- Time t₁: Short futures contract 1
- Time t₂: Close out futures position 1, short futures contract 2
- Time t_3 : Close out futures position 2, short futures contract 3
- Time t_{n-1} : Close out futures position n-1, short futures contract n
- Time *t_n*: Close out futures contract *n*

Culp & Miller - Hedging a Flow of Commodity Deliveries with Futures

- Beginning in Decemember of 1991 MGRM offered long-term delivery contracts on petroleum products
- These contracts obligated MGRM to make monthly delieveries on heating oil and gasoline for up to 10 years at fixed prices.
- MGRM was thus operating as a commodity swap dealer offering fixed-for-floating contracts.
 - MGRM offered fixed prices, but faced floating prices
 - This exposed MGRM to the risk that oil prices would rise
- MGRM hedged part of their price risk with futures contracts.
- In 1993 MGRM prematurely liquidated its hedge position leading to a reported loss of \$1.33 billion.

Managing the Market Risk of a Commodity Flow

- By Nov. 1993 MGRM had committed to deliver at fixed prices the equivalent of 160 million bbls. of crude oil (in the form of refined products)
- MGRM chose to hedge at least part of their price risk with short-term futures contracts traded on the NYMEX exchange
 - NYMEX is not owned and operated by the CME Group.
 - See cmegroup.com/company/nymex.html
- Most of MGRM's positions were in one-month futures based on unleaded gasoline nad No. 2 heating oil.
- Some were in West Texas Intermediate (WTI) crude oil.


MGRM's Stack-and-Roll Strategy

- It turns out that a firm can hedge its long-term exposure (MGRM's were up to 10 years) to oil price increases using short-dated futures contracts of only one month to maturity.
- This strategy is known as stack-and-roll.
- The strategy calls for purchasing futures equivalent to the entire remaining obligation on its flow contracts.

MGRM's Stack-and-Roll Strategy Continued

- On the first delivery date (first month of the contract) it buys for delivery in the spot market
- Offsets all of its maturing contracts, and re-establishes a long position in the next front-month futures contracts
- This new position is reduced by the amount of the commodity that has just been delivered
- The hedger procedes stacking and rolling its hedge in this matter for the life of the long-term contract.

Example of a Simple Stack-and-Roll Hedge



Example of a Simple Strip Hedge

