


Market Impact Model and Microstructure¹

Jing Guo

Strats Associate, Goldman Sachs

PhD in Financial Engineering, Columbia University

June 16, 2018

¹Reference: Maglaras (2015), Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014), C. Maglaras (n.d.) 

- 1 Execution in LOB and Market Impact
- 2 Example of Market/Price Impact Model

Algo Trading Systems: Typically Decomposed into 3 Steps

- **Trade scheduling (macro-trader):** splits parent order into ~ 5 min slices (**Lecture 2**)
 - Relevant time-scale: minutes-hours
 - Schedule follows user selected strategy (VWAP, POV, IS, ...)
 - Reflects urgency, alpha, risk/return tradeoff
 - Schedule updated during execution to reflect price/liquidity/...
- **Optimal execution of a slice (micro-trader):** further divides slice into child orders (**Lecture 3**)
 - Relevant time-scale: seconds/minutes
 - Strategy optimizes pricing and placing of orders in the LOB
 - Execution adjusts to speed of LOB dynamics, price momentum, ...
- **Order routing:** decides where to send each child order (**Lecture 4**)
 - Relevant time-scale: $\sim 1 - 50$ ms
 - Optimizes fee/rebate tradeoff, liquidity/price, latency, etc

Stylized Optimal Execution in a LOB

- **Objective:** How to buy C shares within time T at the lowest price.

Stylized Optimal Execution in a LOB

- **Objective:** How to buy C shares within time T at the lowest price.
- **Controls:**

Stylized Optimal Execution in a LOB

- **Objective:** How to buy C shares within time T at the lowest price.
- **Controls:**
 - How much, when, at what prices to trade?

Stylized Optimal Execution in a LOB

- **Objective:** How to buy C shares within time T at the lowest price.
- **Controls:**
 - How much, when, at what prices to trade?
 - Trade with *limit orders* or *market orders*?

Stylized Optimal Execution in a LOB

- **Objective:** How to buy C shares within time T at the lowest price.
- **Controls:**
 - How much, when, at what prices to trade?
 - Trade with *limit orders* or *market orders*?
 - Trade with *block trades* or *continuously submitted trades*? When to submit

Stylized Optimal Execution in a LOB

- **Objective:** How to buy C shares within time T at the lowest price.
- **Controls:**
 - How much, when, at what prices to trade?
 - Trade with *limit orders* or *market orders*?
 - Trade with *block trades* or *continuously submitted trades*? When to submit
- Notes:

Stylized Optimal Execution in a LOB

- **Objective:** How to buy C shares within time T at the lowest price.
- **Controls:**
 - How much, when, at what prices to trade?
 - Trade with *limit orders* or *market orders*?
 - Trade with *block trades* or *continuously submitted trades*? When to submit
- **Notes:**
 - T is of same order of magnitude as the queueing delays ($\approx 1 \sim 5$ mins).

Stylized Optimal Execution in a LOB

- **Objective:** How to buy C shares within time T at the lowest price.
- **Controls:**
 - How much, when, at what prices to trade?
 - Trade with *limit orders* or *market orders*?
 - Trade with *block trades* or *continuously submitted trades*? When to submit
- **Notes:**
 - T is of same order of magnitude as the queueing delays ($\approx 1 \sim 5$ mins).
 - Microstructure of LOB impacts execution and resulting costs.

Structure of Optimal Policy

- Market orders:

Structure of Optimal Policy

- Market orders:
 - Trade with a small block trade $t = 0^+$ (do not move price)

Structure of Optimal Policy

- Market orders:
 - Trade with a small block trade $t = 0^+$ (do not move price)
 - Trade continuously and slowly without moving price in $(0, T)$.

Structure of Optimal Policy

- Market orders:
 - Trade with a small block trade $t = 0^+$ (do not move price)
 - Trade continuously and slowly without moving price in $(0, T)$.
 - Market orders do not push the price until T .

Structure of Optimal Policy

- Market orders:
 - Trade with a small block trade $t = 0^+$ (do not move price)
 - Trade continuously and slowly without moving price in $(0, T)$.
 - Market orders do not push the price until T .
- Limit orders: since price will not move (up or down) in $(0, T)$,

Structure of Optimal Policy

- Market orders:
 - Trade with a small block trade $t = 0^+$ (do not move price)
 - Trade continuously and slowly without moving price in $(0, T)$.
 - Market orders do not push the price until T .
- Limit orders: since price will not move (up or down) in $(0, T)$,
 - post maximal limit order quantity that could execute taking into account queue dynamics and queue position.

Structure of Optimal Policy

- Market orders:
 - Trade with a small block trade $t = 0^+$ (do not move price)
 - Trade continuously and slowly without moving price in $(0, T)$.
 - Market orders do not push the price until T .
- Limit orders: since price will not move (up or down) in $(0, T)$,
 - post maximal limit order quantity that could execute taking into account queue dynamics and queue position.
- Block trade: submit at T , if needed, to complete target quantity C .

Practical Consideration

- Avoid *clean up*² trades, especially if this is a slice of a longer trade.

²Purchase/sale of all the remaining supply of stock, or the last piece of a block, in a trade-leaving a net zero position.

Practical Consideration

- Avoid *clean up*² trades, especially if this is a slice of a longer trade.
- Often times micro-trader does not have to complete C by T .

²Purchase/sale of all the remaining supply of stock, or the last piece of a block, in a trade-leaving a net zero position.

Practical Consideration

- Avoid *clean up*² trades, especially if this is a slice of a longer trade.
- Often times micro-trader does not have to complete C by T .
- Account of multiple exchanges in deciding how much and where to post.

²Purchase/sale of all the remaining supply of stock, or the last piece of a block, in a trade-leaving a net zero position.

Practical Consideration

- Avoid *clean up*² trades, especially if this is a slice of a longer trade.
- Often times micro-trader does not have to complete C by T .
- Account of multiple exchanges in deciding how much and where to post.
- Do not post all limit order quantity in one block to

²Purchase/sale of all the remaining supply of stock, or the last piece of a block, in a trade-leaving a net zero position.

Practical Consideration

- Avoid *clean up*² trades, especially if this is a slice of a longer trade.
- Often times micro-trader does not have to complete C by T .
- Account of multiple exchanges in deciding how much and where to post.
- Do not post all limit order quantity in one block to
 - Avoid information leakage.

²Purchase/sale of all the remaining supply of stock, or the last piece of a block, in a trade-leaving a net zero position.

Practical Consideration

- Avoid *clean up*² trades, especially if this is a slice of a longer trade.
- Often times micro-trader does not have to complete C by T .
- Account of multiple exchanges in deciding how much and where to post.
- Do not post all limit order quantity in one block to
 - Avoid information leakage.
 - Avoid adverse selection (block trade fills most of the order $t \approx 0$).

²Purchase/sale of all the remaining supply of stock, or the last piece of a block, in a trade-leaving a net zero position.

Practical Consideration

- Avoid *clean up*² trades, especially if this is a slice of a longer trade.
- Often times micro-trader does not have to complete C by T .
- Account of multiple exchanges in deciding how much and where to post.
- Do not post all limit order quantity in one block to
 - Avoid information leakage.
 - Avoid adverse selection (block trade fills most of the order $t \approx 0$).
 - Spread limit orders (accounting for queueing) to "trade uniformly over $[0, T]$ ".

²Purchase/sale of all the remaining supply of stock, or the last piece of a block, in a trade-leaving a net zero position.

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)
- Key considerations:

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)
- Key considerations:
 - Transient costs: impact of current trading decisions on price

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)
- Key considerations:
 - Transient costs: impact of current trading decisions on price
 - Decay of transient costs: instantaneous? impact decays over time?

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)
- Key considerations:
 - Transient costs: impact of current trading decisions on price
 - Decay of transient costs: instantaneous? impact decays over time?
 - Permanent costs: is there a permanent cost (information content)?

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)
- Key considerations:
 - Transient costs: impact of current trading decisions on price
 - Decay of transient costs: instantaneous? impact decays over time?
 - Permanent costs: is there a permanent cost (information content)?
 - Time-scales: interpretation of transient, decay, permanent

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)
- Key considerations:
 - Transient costs: impact of current trading decisions on price
 - Decay of transient costs: instantaneous? impact decays over time?
 - Permanent costs: is there a permanent cost (information content)?
 - Time-scales: interpretation of transient, decay, permanent
- Calibration

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)
- Key considerations:
 - Transient costs: impact of current trading decisions on price
 - Decay of transient costs: instantaneous? impact decays over time?
 - Permanent costs: is there a permanent cost (information content)?
 - Time-scales: interpretation of transient, decay, permanent
- Calibration
 - How to model? functional forms? (depends on relevant time-scale)

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)
- Key considerations:
 - Transient costs: impact of current trading decisions on price
 - Decay of transient costs: instantaneous? impact decays over time?
 - Permanent costs: is there a permanent cost (information content)?
 - Time-scales: interpretation of transient, decay, permanent
- Calibration
 - How to model? functional forms? (depends on relevant time-scale)
 - What data is needed?

Essential Building Block: Market Impact Model

- Optimizing the trade schedule, i.e., how to split a large trade over smaller waves to be executed over time, requires a cost function for:
 - Immediate costs due to current trading decisions (e.g., next 3 min)
 - Impact of current decisions on future prices (and future trades)
- Key considerations:
 - Transient costs: impact of current trading decisions on price
 - Decay of transient costs: instantaneous? impact decays over time?
 - Permanent costs: is there a permanent cost (information content)?
 - Time-scales: interpretation of transient, decay, permanent
- Calibration
 - How to model? functional forms? (depends on relevant time-scale)
 - What data is needed?
 - Stock segmentation

What Causes Market Impact

- Short-term: institutional trading shifts buy/sell short-term imbalance.

What Causes Market Impact

- Short-term: institutional trading shifts buy/sell short-term imbalance.
 - Market makers detect imbalance and move price to source more liquidity.

What Causes Market Impact

- Short-term: institutional trading shifts buy/sell short-term imbalance.
 - Market makers detect imbalance and move price to source more liquidity.
 - Institutional trading "stimulates" other trading strategies.

What Causes Market Impact

- Short-term: institutional trading shifts buy/sell short-term imbalance.
 - Market makers detect imbalance and move price to source more liquidity.
 - Institutional trading "stimulates" other trading strategies.
 - e.g., price momentum or volume sensitive.

What Causes Market Impact

- Short-term: institutional trading shifts buy/sell short-term imbalance.
 - Market makers detect imbalance and move price to source more liquidity.
 - Institutional trading "stimulates" other trading strategies.
 - e.g., price momentum or volume sensitive.
 - What happens when our order ends? It depends...

What Causes Market Impact

- Short-term: institutional trading shifts buy/sell short-term imbalance.
 - Market makers detect imbalance and move price to source more liquidity.
 - Institutional trading "stimulates" other trading strategies.
 - e.g., price momentum or volume sensitive.
 - What happens when our order ends? It depends...
- Information: institutional trading carries information about our beliefs about price.

What Causes Market Impact

- Short-term: institutional trading shifts buy/sell short-term imbalance.
 - Market makers detect imbalance and move price to source more liquidity.
 - Institutional trading "stimulates" other trading strategies.
 - e.g., price momentum or volume sensitive.
 - What happens when our order ends? It depends...
- Information: institutional trading carries information about our beliefs about price.
 - How does this get incorporated into price?

What Causes Market Impact

- Short-term: institutional trading shifts buy/sell short-term imbalance.
 - Market makers detect imbalance and move price to source more liquidity.
 - Institutional trading "stimulates" other trading strategies.
 - e.g., price momentum or volume sensitive.
 - What happens when our order ends? It depends...
- Information: institutional trading carries information about our beliefs about price.
 - How does this get incorporated into price?
 - What happens after trade ends?

Market Impact Modeling

- Relevant time scales of *market impact*:

Market Impact Modeling

- Relevant time scales of *market impact*:
 - Permanent

Market Impact Modeling

- Relevant time scales of *market impact*:
 - Permanent
 - Instantaneous (recover quickly)

Market Impact Modeling

- Relevant time scales of *market impact*:
 - Permanent
 - Instantaneous (recover quickly)
 - Transient (decay over time)

Market Impact Modeling

- Relevant time scales of *market impact*:
 - Permanent
 - Instantaneous (recover quickly)
 - Transient (decay over time)
 - Short-term costs

Market Impact Modeling

- Relevant time scales of *market impact*:
 - Permanent
 - Instantaneous (recover quickly)
 - Transient (decay over time)
 - Short-term costs
 - Intraday characteristics of volume, spread, tick size, volatility.

Market Impact Modeling

- Relevant time scales of *market impact*:
 - Permanent
 - Instantaneous (recover quickly)
 - Transient (decay over time)
 - Short-term costs
 - Intraday characteristics of volume, spread, tick size, volatility.
 - With respect to participation rate.

Market Impact Modeling

- Relevant time scales of *market impact*:
 - Permanent
 - Instantaneous (recover quickly)
 - Transient (decay over time)
 - Short-term costs
 - Intraday characteristics of volume, spread, tick size, volatility.
 - With respect to participation rate.
 - With respect to microstructure variables.

Market Impact Modeling

- Relevant time scales of *market impact*:
 - Permanent
 - Instantaneous (recover quickly)
 - Transient (decay over time)
 - Short-term costs
 - Intraday characteristics of volume, spread, tick size, volatility.
 - With respect to participation rate.
 - With respect to microstructure variables.
- Tactical trading decisions.

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at top-of-book:

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at top-of-book:
 - Queue length (ask side)

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at top-of-book:
 - Queue length (ask side)
 - Trading volume (ask side)

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at top-of-book:
 - Queue length (ask side)
 - Trading volume (ask side)
 - Arrival rate of limit orders at top-of-book (ask side)

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at top-of-book:
 - Queue length (ask side)
 - Trading volume (ask side)
 - Arrival rate of limit orders at top-of-book (ask side)
 - Quote resiliency

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at top-of-book:
 - Queue length (ask side)
 - Trading volume (ask side)
 - Arrival rate of limit orders at top-of-book (ask side)
 - Quote resiliency
 - Short-term alpha signal

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at top-of-book:
 - Queue length (ask side)
 - Trading volume (ask side)
 - Arrival rate of limit orders at top-of-book (ask side)
 - Quote resiliency
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at higher (when buying) price levels:

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at top-of-book:
 - Queue length (ask side)
 - Trading volume (ask side)
 - Arrival rate of limit orders at top-of-book (ask side)
 - Quote resiliency
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at higher (when buying) price levels:
 - Tick size

Drivers of Short-Term Execution Cost

- Percentage of order traded via limit orders:
 - Queue length (bid side)
 - Trading volume (bid side)
 - Cancellation behavior
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at top-of-book:
 - Queue length (ask side)
 - Trading volume (ask side)
 - Arrival rate of limit orders at top-of-book (ask side)
 - Quote resiliency
 - Short-term alpha signal
- Percentage of order traded via market orders at higher (when buying) price levels:
 - Tick size
 - Depth of book

Executed Algorithmic Orders

realized trade stats: 5min slices for 2013/7-2013/9, > 1,800 securities traded

| | JUL 2013 | AUG 2013 | SEP 2013 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Sample Size | | | |
| 5min Slices | 27,760 | 30,054 | 29,226 |
| Parent Orders | 3,396 | 3,607 | 3,882 |
| Distinct Securities | 988 | 896 | 885 |
| Characteristics | | | |
| Average Daily Volume (shares) | 3,014,000 | 2,595,000 | 2,509,000 |
| Size of 5min Slices (shares) | 1,294 | 1,043 | 849 |
| Average Queue Length | 10,280 | 21,730 | 17,750 |
| Realized Participation Rate | 9.60% | 9.40% | 8.39% |
| Price (\$) | 46.80 | 38.16 | 41.41 |
| Spread (\$) | 0.031 | 0.025 | 0.025 |
| Daily Volatility | 2.23% | 1.90% | 1.94% |
| Implementation Shortfall (bps) | 3.04 | 3.09 | 3.48 |

3

³Only slices that correspond to VWAP, TWAP, and POV strategies are reported.

- 1 Execution in LOB and Market Impact
- 2 Example of Market/Price Impact Model

Market Impact Model: Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014)

- Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014) proposed a regression model for price change due to order imbalance:

$$\Delta P_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i OFI_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where:

Market Impact Model: Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014)

- Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014) proposed a regression model for price change due to order imbalance:

$$\Delta P_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i OFI_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where:

- ΔP_i is the difference of the first and last bid-ask mid price in interval $[T_{i-1}, T_i]$,

Market Impact Model: Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014)

- Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014) proposed a regression model for price change due to order imbalance:

$$\Delta P_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i OFI_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where:

- ΔP_i is the difference of the first and last bid-ask mid price in interval $[T_{i-1}, T_i]$,
- Order flow imbalance $OFI_i := \sum_{t \in [T_{i-1}, T_i]} e_t$,

Market Impact Model: Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014)

- Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014) proposed a regression model for price change due to order imbalance:

$$\Delta P_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i OFI_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where:

- ΔP_i is the difference of the first and last bid-ask mid price in interval $[T_{i-1}, T_i]$,
- Order flow imbalance $OFI_i := \sum_{t \in [T_{i-1}, T_i]} e_t$,
- where

$$\begin{aligned} e_n = & q_n^b \mathbb{1}_{\{P_n^b \geq P_{n-1}^b\}} - q_{n-1}^b \mathbb{1}_{\{P_n^b \leq P_{n-1}^b\}} - q_n^s \mathbb{1}_{\{P_n^s \geq P_{n-1}^s\}} \\ & + q_{n-1}^s \mathbb{1}_{\{P_n^s \geq P_{n-1}^s\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_n^b(P_n^s)$ is n -th bid (ask) price, and $q_n^b(q_n^s)$ is n -th bid (ask) volume.

Market Impact Model: Rama Cont and Stoikov (2014)

(Cont'd)

| Ticker | Order flow imbalance | | | |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----|
| | R^2 | $t(\hat{\beta}_i)$ | $\{\beta_i \neq 0\}$ | F |
| AMD | 64% | 11.10 | 100% | 382 |
| APOL | 63% | 10.74 | 96% | 396 |
| AXP | 69% | 14.12 | 100% | 449 |
| AZO | 47% | 7.02 | 99% | 179 |
| BAC | 79% | 19.08 | 100% | 774 |
| BDX | 63% | 10.77 | 100% | 362 |
| BK | 74% | 15.56 | 100% | 610 |
| BSX | 58% | 7.55 | 88% | 338 |
| BTU | 72% | 14.75 | 100% | 527 |
| CAT | 71% | 14.80 | 100% | 498 |
| CB | 64% | 12.61 | 100% | 378 |
| CCL | 70% | 14.16 | 100% | 478 |
| CINF | 70% | 11.66 | 99% | 552 |
| CME | 35% | 5.46 | 96% | 112 |
| COH | 69% | 13.13 | 100% | 457 |
| COP | 68% | 12.79 | 100% | 450 |
| CVH | 65% | 11.74 | 99% | 418 |
| DNR | 69% | 13.78 | 99% | 471 |
| DVN | 65% | 12.11 | 100% | 414 |

4 5

⁴Features are constructed in every $T_t - T_{t-1} = \Delta T = 10$ seconds.

⁵Regression is renewed in every 30 minutes.

Market Impact Model: C. Maglaras (n.d.)

- C. Maglaras (n.d.) proposed a linear regression for *implementation shortfall* (IS, **execution cost**):

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot s^* + \beta_2 \cdot (R^L s^*) + \beta_3 \cdot (R^M \delta^*) + \beta_4 \cdot \delta^*,$$

where:

⁶A tick size is the minimum price movement of a trading instrument. It is estimated by daily volatility in this paper.

Market Impact Model: C. Maglaras (n.d.)

- C. Maglaras (n.d.) proposed a linear regression for *implementation shortfall* (IS, **execution cost**):

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot s^* + \beta_2 \cdot (R^L s^*) + \beta_3 \cdot (R^M \delta^*) + \beta_4 \cdot \delta^*,$$

where:

- $s^* := \frac{s}{p}$ is bid-ask spread relative to stock price,

⁶A tick size is the minimum price movement of a trading instrument. It is estimated by daily volatility in this paper.

Market Impact Model: C. Maglaras (n.d.)

- C. Maglaras (n.d.) proposed a linear regression for *implementation shortfall* (IS, **execution cost**):

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot s^* + \beta_2 \cdot (R^L s^*) + \beta_3 \cdot (R^M \delta^*) + \beta_4 \cdot \delta^*,$$

where:

- $s^* := \frac{s}{p}$ is bid-ask spread relative to stock price,
- $\delta^* := \frac{\delta}{p}$ is tick size⁶ relative to stock price,

⁶A tick size is the minimum price movement of a trading instrument. It is estimated by daily volatility in this paper.

Market Impact Model: C. Maglaras (n.d.)

- C. Maglaras (n.d.) proposed a linear regression for *implementation shortfall* (IS, **execution cost**):

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot s^* + \beta_2 \cdot (R^L s^*) + \beta_3 \cdot (R^M \delta^*) + \beta_4 \cdot \delta^*,$$

where:

- $s^* := \frac{s}{p}$ is bid-ask spread relative to stock price,
- $\delta^* := \frac{\delta}{p}$ is tick size⁶ relative to stock price,
- $R^L \leq 1$ is price adjustment due to limit order (limit orders may reduce spread),

⁶A tick size is the minimum price movement of a trading instrument. It is estimated by daily volatility in this paper.

Market Impact Model: C. Maglaras (n.d.)

- C. Maglaras (n.d.) proposed a linear regression for *implementation shortfall* (IS, **execution cost**):

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot s^* + \beta_2 \cdot (R^L s^*) + \beta_3 \cdot (R^M \delta^*) + \beta_4 \cdot \delta^*,$$

where:

- $s^* := \frac{s}{p}$ is bid-ask spread relative to stock price,
- $\delta^* := \frac{\delta}{p}$ is tick size⁶ relative to stock price,
- $R^L \leq 1$ is price adjustment due to limit order (limit orders may reduce spread),
- R^M is price adjustment due to market order.

⁶A tick size is the minimum price movement of a trading instrument. It is estimated by daily volatility in this paper.

In-sample Regressions ($ADV \geq 300,000$ shares; $POV \in (1\%, 30\%)$)

Monthly linear regression results for microstructure market impact model

| | JUL 2013 | AUG 2013 | SEP 2013 |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| (intercept) | | | |
| coefficient | -0.6888*** | -0.6941*** | -0.5832** |
| std. error | 0.1232 | 0.1140 | 0.1076 |
| spread (bps): s^* | | | |
| coefficient | 0.3187*** | 0.3905*** | 0.3950*** |
| std. error | 0.0069 | 0.0077 | 0.0070 |
| limit order: $R^L s^*$ | | | |
| coefficient | -0.3027*** | -0.3415*** | -0.3658*** |
| std. error | 0.0107 | 0.0100 | 0.0099 |
| add. tick to pay: $R^M \sigma^*$ | | | |
| coefficients | 0.0991*** | 0.1480*** | 0.1486*** |
| std. error | 0.0234 | 0.0225 | 0.0348 |
| tick size: σ^* | | | |
| coefficients | 2.3238*** | 1.8508*** | 2.4290*** |
| std. error | 0.1098 | 0.0997 | 0.0996 |
| R-squared | 9.91% | 10.62% | 13.48% |

Significance: *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$

7

⁷Daily volatility σ^* is used a proxy of effective tick size δ^* .

Cross-Validation

- Cross-Validation

- Cross-Validation
 - C. Maglaras (n.d.) "micro" model:

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot s^* + \beta_2 \cdot (R^L s^*) + \beta_3 \cdot (R^M \delta^*) + \beta_4 \cdot \delta^*.$$

- Cross-Validation

- C. Maglaras (n.d.) "micro" model:

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot s^* + \beta_2 \cdot (R^L s^*) + \beta_3 \cdot (R^M \delta^*) + \beta_4 \cdot \delta^*.$$

- Benchmark "macro" model

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot (\text{Percent of Market Vol.})^\alpha \sigma^* + \beta_2 \cdot \sigma^*.$$

- Cross-Validation

- C. Maglaras (n.d.) "micro" model:

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot s^* + \beta_2 \cdot (R^L s^*) + \beta_3 \cdot (R^M \delta^*) + \beta_4 \cdot \delta^*.$$

- Benchmark "macro" model

$$IS = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot (\text{Percent of Market Vol.})^\alpha \sigma^* + \beta_2 \cdot \sigma^*.$$

- Out-of-sample R^2 : C. Maglaras (n.d.) model 11% VS. benchmark models 3%.

| | Our Model | Linear | Square Root |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| avg. out-of-sample R^2 | 11.03% | 3.11% | 3.12% |
| relative improvement | 0.00% | 255% | 254% |

- C. Maglaras, C. C. Moallemi, H. Z. (n.d.). Optimal execution in a limit order book and an associated microstructure market impact model.
- Maglaras, C. (2015). Limit order book markets: a queueing systems perspective.
<https://www0.gsb.columbia.edu/faculty/cmaglaras/papers/IC-Lectures-2015.pdf>.
- Rama Cont, A. K. and Stoikov, S. (2014). The price impact of order book events, *J. Finan. Econometrics* **12**(1): 47–88.