Git for Teams of One or More

Emma Jane Westby

Twitter: emmajanehw

slides: https://github.com/emmajane/gitforteams

Hello! My Name is Emma

I have been using version control for 10+ years and had the great misfortune of teaching CVS to arts majors before distributed version control was a thing.

Warning!

This is not a talk about all the commands you can run in Git.

Resources for Commands:


- Mega Resources List o' Links
- Git Documentation
- Pro Git

My Goal for this Workshop

By the end of this session you should be able to:

- Determine a permission strategy for your project.
- Determine a branching strategy for your project.
- Create documentation which outlines how your team members will use version control.

Workshop Outcome: Personalized Documentation



this is where we want to end up by the end of today. You know where each branch lives. You know how / where a branch is closed.

github.com/emmajane/gitforteams

You'll want a copy of the slides for reference as we go through the activities. Please open this page now.

Warm-up Exercise

People and Process
Before Commands and Code

Sample (Rhetorical?) Questions

- Who has commit access?
- Why do you know your code isn't broken?
- Does your team use test-driven development?
- Do you have an idependent quality assurance team?
- Can you deploy "broken" code?

Activity: Identify Current R&R

- 1. Write down a list of all of the people/roles on your code team.
- 2. Write a list of the tasks these people/roles are responsible for code-wise.

R&R = roles and responsibilities


Activity: Sketch the Assembly Line

Sketch a timeline of how you'd like new code to be incorporated into your project. Is there a review processs? A test suite? Minimal barriers to code commits?

(You will to refine this sketch during the workshop. There are no wrong answers right now.)


Sample Activity Answer: Centralized

Everyone works in the same centralized repository. There's no peer review or testing.




Sample Activity Answer: Pre-Merge QA Team

A quality assurance team, and optional test suite, decide if your work is acceptable.



Sample Activity Answer: Cl or Post-Merge Test Suite

A testbot notifies you if your work is not acceptable (possibly after adding it to the main branch).




Part 1 Project Hosting

When you first create a Git project, you will need to decide who can commit their code to the repository.

Step 1: Identify and describe the governance for your code.

Centralized: Trust Everyone


Everyone has read-write access to the same repository on a centralized disk (e.g. subversion). This is also how you work *locally* with Git.



- Pro: Author has to deal with their own merge conflicts.
- Con: No guarantee the code works.

Forked: Trust No One

Project forks give full permissions to developers so they can do work. New work is added to the main project through a request to the upstream project.




- Pro: Forces a review process.
- Pro: Encourages experimentation.
- Con: Private repos must be duplicated per team member.
- Con: More steps to incorporate new work.

Branched: Trust the Process

Developers work in a branch of the centralized code repository.

Only the politics of the project prevent them from committing their work to the main body of work.



- Pro: Encourages clean/working master.
- Con: Must give explicit write permission to all team members.
- Pro/Con: Encourages, but does not require code review.

Your Home Work

- If you choose **BRANCHED**, you need to setup a PRIVATE repository for your code, and grant permission to all team members to push their changes to the server.
- If you choose FORKED, you need to setup PUBLIC or PRIVATE repository for your code, and ensure all team members to can create their own PUBLIC or PRIVATE copy of the project, AND submit merge requests to the main project.

Part 2

Separating Collated Code with Branching Strategies


Identify and describe how your code is collated within your repository.

Branching Strategies

- Scheduled Release: Gitflow or Simplified Gitflow
- Continuous Deployment: Branch Per Feature or GitHub Flow


Scheduled Release

- Optimized for the collation of many smaller changes into a single release.
- Typically used for a downloadable product; or web site with a scheduled release cycle (e.g. "Wednesdays").
- Incorporates human-reviews, and possibly automated tests.



Continuous Deployment

- Code is deployed faster than scheduled releases.
- Requires (trusted) test coverage.
- Typically uses a mechanical gatekeeper to check in code to the master branch.
- Often has flippers/flags for fine grained access to in-progress features.
- Fewer branches to maintain / keep updated.



if you don't need the granularity of mulitple supported versions, you can probably get away with something closer to this branching strategy. Can you get away with just tags? Do you intend to go back and work on a previous version? As soon as you have the concept of a separate security hotfix, you need to introduce a separate branch. In CD: everything is urgent, so there's not a separation of a really urgent security fix. (a deployed system)

Activity

Which best describes your current setup?

- Scheduled Release: Gitflow or Simplified Gitflow
- Continuous Deployment: Branch Per Feature or GitHub Flow

On the sketch diagram you created previously, add a CIRCLE (or a triangle, or a pony) around the collation points for code. These represent new branches. Where possible, REDUCE the number of collation points because merging out-of-date branches is a potential pain point.

Your Home Work

- If you choose **SCHEDULED RELEASE**, determine the points where code needs to be collated for release.
- If you choose **CONTINUOUS DEPLOYMENT**, codify how trust is deployed in your code.

Part 3 Commit Granularity

The Great Rebase Debate

What is a Commit

Record changes to the repository

How can we use Commits

- log
- gitk
- blame
- bisect

Sharing Work: A brief history lesson

The patch workflow and git am.

The commit message is formed by the title taken from the "Subject: ", a blank line and the body of the message up to where the patch begins.

In other words: a commit is a whole idea.

Sharing Work: Today

git push

Shares an entire branch, with all your micro commits.

```
e4d406f Merge pull request #12 from resmo/master
Merge pull request #15 from 55 Make ATOM feed updated date RFC3339 valid
  Merge pull request #15 from tsphethean/atom-date
 Copyright date
                                                                                 * f48e672 added sitemap.xml
Merge pull request #14 from mavimo/patch-2

    Add atom.xml definition in pages

Merge pull request #12 from resmo/
                                                                                 ac6b40e First pass at an git log =-graphe--oneline
added sitemap.xml
 First pass at an Atom file. Thanks to @xo 11 closes #4
                                                                                 edcd486 Updated deps.
  Updated deps.
                                                                                 05af892 No need for layout anymore.
  No need for layout anymore.
  Merge pull request #11 from stevector/master--implements-spelling
                                                                                   eb85b12 Merge pull request #11 from stevector/magar--implements-spelling
 Spelling fix in 2013-02-04-highlight.md
      Merge pull request #10 from stevector/master--fixing-typo-in-readme
     Spelling fix in README
                                                                                 * 086b01e Spelling fix in 2013-02-04-highlight.md
     Merge pull request #8 from kenjis/fix_url
                                                                                      c5f46bd Merge pull request #10 from stevector/master--fixing-typo-in-readme
 Fix category and tag names in URL which are not URL encoded
      Merge pull request #9 from kenjis/fix_typo
     Fix typo in title attr
                                                                                * | 58e67f4 Spelling fix in README
   Post navigation.
   Merge pull request #7 from ciarand/feature/add-fenced-code-blocks-example

    Add missing link target in sample post

    Add a fenced code block example in sample posts


                                                                                      eebb37d Merge pull request #8 from kenjis/fix_url
  Migrate fully to sculpin.phar
  More robust errors.
  Download phar; no more installer.
                                                                               | * | 107f62d Fix category and tag names in URL which are not URL encoded
  No more assets:install
  Additional flag
  Migrate to phar.
                                                                                      0399081 Merge pull request #9 from kenjis/fix_typo
 Merge pull request #6 from Pawka/master
 Throw help message if incorrect parameter is provided.
   Fixed forced --dry-run for s3 deployment.

    Load s3.conf file on publish script.

    Updated dependencies.

Merge pull request #5 from beryllium/s3-publish
                                                                                 * a345de0 Fix typo in title attr
   Removing assets:install reference
   Fixing indentation
   Updating to include a note about s3-publish and s3cmd
                                                                               * fedb68a Post navigation.
   Adding s3-publish.sh, for deploying to Amazon S3
                                                                                    ff584da Merge pull request #7 from ciarand/feature/add-fenced-code-blocks-exampl
   Ignore the sculpin vendor directory.
   Updated deps.
   Updated to new Sculpin!
    Updated deps. php-markdown is stable! yay!
                                                                                 * 39263f4 Add missing link target in sample post
   Updated some deps.
                                                                                   da79c66 Add a fenced code block example in sample posts
    Updated dependencies, fixed some component urls.
    Favicon!
```

Compare: bzr



Problem!

Git tools are COMMIT-aware, not BRANCH-aware.

- gitk
- bisect

Solution!

git rebase

Forward-port local commits to the updated upstream head

In English: re-draw the graph for the commit history as if the rebased commits were already in the history when you did your work.

Solution!

git rebase -i

Make a list of the commits which are about to be rebased. Let the user edit that list before rebasing. This mode can also be used to split commits (see SPLITTING COMMITS below).

In English: combine, or separate, any commits previously made.

Yes, Re-write History

Because the tools used to interpret history are crude, the recommended approach is simply to fix history.

TWITCH

But this is how Git works. So there you go.

Activity

???

- Do a rebase together.
- Discuss team dynamics and which tools you'll want to use (bisect, gitk, blame, etc)

Part 4 Putting it all Together

- These examples are pulled from Drupalize.Me when I was working as their PM and sometimes front end dev.
- This is a product with no external stakeholders.
- YMMV, YOLO, etc.

these are both in the resources for the repository


Project Highlights

- Drupal 6 -> Drupal 7 upgrade
- Aiming for speed of work, not stability.
- Changes were **not** being deployed to the live server.
- No weekly demos (which you might have for client work).
- Total time: 18 months.
- Star Wars Sprintflow

Some Notes on Naming


- Use terms which resonate with your team (MVP -> LBB).
- Giving a descriptive name to projects and processes allows you to change the meaning by changing the name.
- There are a lot of Ewoks.
- There are more My Little Ponies.

The Star Wars Workflow



pre-launch: peer review with branched permission strategy; separate QA server where work is available for review, but typically devs just look at their local version of the current dev branch.

Whispering Pines Workflow



- Aiming for stability first, speed second.
- Some test coverage.
- Changes are collated weekly onto a QA server, and deployed from there.

Whispering Pines Workflow Documentation

github.com/emmajane/gitforteams

- Whispering Pines Weekly Workflow
- Release philosophy
- Deployment

Final Activity: Sketch Your Workflow

- Restructure your previous diagrams to include the infrasture where code is collated.
- Add arrows to represent the direction code travels.
- To the arrows, add the git commands which you'd use.
- Create a written narrative which describes the EXACT commands people should use to move code through the process. (See previous slide for examples.)

Resources

- Developer Workflow
- Scheduled Release: Gitflow (Cheatsheet) or Simplified Gitflow
- Continuous Deployment: Branch Per Feature or GitHub Flow

Thanks!

Feedback Welcome!

@emmajanehw

https://github.com/emmajane/gitforteams