Assumptions

- Monthly data; impact lands after a learned lag (usually 2–3 months).
- Optimize for pipeline uplift; caps enforced in the partner split.
- Budget B is fixed; a small slice is reserved for exploration.

What each agent does (one-liners)

Agent	Input → Output	What it does
Lag Learner	Grants, Outcomes → L	Finds the delay (L) that best links "spend at t" to "pipeline at t+L" by correlation.
ROI Learner (campaign)	Grants aligned by L, Outcomes → posterior(ROI_campaign)	Builds a safe ROI estimate per campaign type (Gamma posterior from historical pipeline and spend).
Campaign Allocator	posterior, B → per-campaign allocation + uplift	Thompson samples ROI to get prob. of being best, allocates budget (with exploration), computes uplift = allocation × ROI.
Partner Fairness	Grants, Outcomes, Caps, B, L → top-K partners + fair split	Ranks partners by historical ROI (pipeline/spend, aligned by L), picks top-K, splits B fairly across them under caps, computes partner uplift.
Forecaster	Baseline, L, uplifts → forecast	Adds total uplift to the baseline in month m+L to show the impact.
Reviewer (LLM)	JSON summary of the above → plain-English report	Explains the decision, lag, totals, top campaigns, and the partner fairness logic in short paragraphs.

Step-by-step

1. Load data

- o Grants (month, partner, campaign, amount)
- Outcomes (month, partner, pipeline, cap/tier)
- Baseline next 3 months (month, partner, baseline_pipeline)

2. Learn lag L

- \circ Try L in {2,3}. For each, align grants at month t to outcomes at t+L and compute corr(spend, pipeline).
- o Pick the L with the highest correlation.

3. Estimate campaign ROIs (posterior)

○ Align data by lag: spend at $t \rightarrow$ pipeline at t+L.

- Aggregate by campaign type: total_pipeline and total_amount.
- Compute a Gamma posterior per campaign: post_alpha = prior_alpha + total_pipeline, post_beta = prior_beta + total_amount.
- This gives a distribution of ROI_campaign (pipeline per \$) that is stable on small data

4. Allocate by campaign (explore/exploit)

- o **Thompson sampling**: draw ROI samples from each campaign posterior.
- Probability of being best = share of draws where that campaign has the highest ROI.
- o Allocate $(1-\epsilon) \times B$ proportionally to those probabilities; allocate $\epsilon \times B$ to underobserved to learn.
- Campaign uplift = allocation × sampled ROI.
- o Table 1 (natural headers):
 - "Campaign type", "Probability", "MDF allocation", "Pipeline uplift".

5. Partner fairness layer (top-K)

- o Compute **partner ROI** = (pipeline/spend), using the same lag alignment.
- o Rank partners by ROI; pick **top-K** (K=2 by default).
- Split B fairly across those K partners (equal shares), respecting cap_monthly;
 redistribute leftovers to others under cap.
- Partner uplift = allocation × partner ROI.
- o Table 2 (natural headers):
 - "Partner", "Baseline pipeline" (at m+L), "MDF allocation", "Pipeline uplift".

6. Forecast impact

- Sum the selected uplift(s).
- o Identify impact month = current month + L.
- o Forecast (with MDF) = Baseline + Total uplift in that impact month.
- Plot: Baseline vs With-MDF lines over the next 3 months.

7. LLM report (gemma-3-27b-it)

- We pass a compact JSON: {lag, budget, impact month, total uplift, top campaigns (alloc+uplift), partner fairness split (top-K partners with alloc+uplift)}.
- The LLM writes 2–3 short paragraphs:

- What we learned (L), budget, impact month, total uplift.
- Why these campaigns got budget (probability, exploration).
- Why we split across top-K partners (high ROI + fairness + caps) and the expected uplift.

8. Deliverables

- o **PDF**: narrative + Table 1 (campaigns) + Table 2 (partners) + impact plot.
- o **CSV**: campaign allocation details.
- o Console: lag, impact month, total uplift.

Why the conclusions are credible

- Lag-aware: we attribute money at t to results at t+L.
- Bayesian: posteriors prevent overreacting to noisy small samples.
- Explore/exploit: we learn while we earn.
- **Fairness**: we avoid over-concentrating by splitting across the top performers, within policy caps.
- Explainability: natural-language report ties numbers to decisions.