

Lang’s Conjecture as the MP \rightarrow BISH Gate: The Decidability Hierarchy for Mixed Motives

Paul Lee

February 2026

Abstract

Paper 60 established that the decidability of $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}(0), M)$ for motives with the Northcott property is stratified by analytic rank: BISH for $r \leq 1$, MP for $r \geq 2$. We prove that an effective Lang Height Lower Bound Conjecture is the precise gate converting the rank ≥ 2 regime from MP to BISH, via inversion of Minkowski’s Second Theorem. The implication is strict: BISH-decidability does not imply Lang, because constructive computability imposes no constraint on the geometric decay rate of minimal heights. We verify the forward direction explicitly for $X_0(389)$ (rank 2). For motives lacking the Northcott property (K3 surfaces, higher K-theory), we prove the decidability requirement escalates from MP to LPO: verifying lattice completeness over an infinite bounded-height cycle space requires universal quantification equivalent to the Limited Principle of Omniscience. The full hierarchy is thus:

$$\text{BISH} \subsetneq \text{MP} \subsetneq \text{LPO}$$

with Lang’s conjecture gating $\text{MP} \rightarrow \text{BISH}$ and the Northcott property gating $\text{LPO} \rightarrow \text{MP}$. Under the Uniform Lang–Silverman Conjecture, the BISH search bound becomes a function exclusively of the L -function, establishing the L -function as a universal analytic decidability certificate.

All theorems are formally verified in Lean 4 with Mathlib. Zero `sorry`s. The axiom profile is: `propext`, `Quot.sound`, and infrastructure `Classical.choice` from Mathlib’s \mathbb{Q} library; plus one open-conjecture axiom (`UniformLang`) used only for Theorem E.

1 Background and context

Paper 60 proved that the analytic rank $r = \text{ord}_{s=s_0} L(M, s)$ stratifies the logical complexity of computing $\text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}(0), M)$:

- $r = 0$: $\text{Ext}^1 = 0$, verified by checking $L(M, s_0) \neq 0$ to finite precision. BISH.
- $r = 1$: The L -derivative determines the regulator $R(M) = \hat{h}(P)$, bounding the search space via Northcott. BISH (conditional on Bloch–Kato).
- $r \geq 2$: The L -function gives only the regulator determinant $R(M) = \det(\langle P_i, P_j \rangle)$. Minkowski’s geometry of numbers: a lattice covolume in dimension ≥ 2 does not bound individual basis vectors. MP.

The present paper asks: what converts the $r \geq 2$ regime from MP to BISH?

2 Lang’s conjecture inverts the Minkowski bound

Definition 2.1 (Effective Lang). *An effective Lang Height Lower Bound for an abelian variety A/\mathbb{Q} of dimension g is a computable constant $c = c(A) > 0$ such that*

$$\hat{h}(P) \geq c \quad \text{for all non-torsion } P \in A(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ be the Mordell–Weil lattice of rank r , equipped with the canonical height pairing. Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_r$ be the successive minima. By Minkowski’s Second Theorem:

$$\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_r \leq \gamma_r^{r/2} \cdot \sqrt{R}$$

where γ_r is the Hermite constant and $R = \det(\langle P_i, P_j \rangle)$ is the regulator.

Theorem 2.2 (Lang \Rightarrow BISH). *If Effective Lang (Definition 2.1) holds with constant c , then for any rank $r \geq 2$ Mordell–Weil lattice with regulator R :*

$$\hat{h}_{\max} := \lambda_r \leq \frac{\gamma_r^{r/2} \cdot \sqrt{R}}{c^{r-1}}.$$

Combined with Northcott’s theorem, this provides a computable finite search set for all generators. The unbounded MP search becomes bounded BISH verification.

Proof. By Lang, $\lambda_i \geq c$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. Substituting into Minkowski:

$$c^{r-1} \cdot \lambda_r \leq \gamma_r^{r/2} \cdot \sqrt{R}.$$

Dividing by $c^{r-1} > 0$ yields the bound. Since γ_r , R , and c are all computable, \hat{h}_{\max} is computable. By the Northcott property for abelian varieties, the set $\{P \in A(\mathbb{Q}) : \hat{h}(P) \leq \hat{h}_{\max}\}$ is finite and explicitly enumerable. Exhaustive search over this finite set decides the generators in bounded time. \square

3 The converse fails

Theorem 3.1 (BISH \nRightarrow Lang). *BISH-decidability of the Mordell–Weil generators does not imply Lang’s conjecture.*

Proof. BISH requires only the existence of a computable bounding function $B(A)$ for each abelian variety A . Lang’s conjecture requires a *geometrically constrained* lower bound $c(A) \geq C \cdot \Delta(A)^{-\alpha}$ for explicit constants C, α depending only on the dimension.

These are different conditions. Consider the family $c(n) = 1/(n+2)$. For each n , a BISH bounding function exists (each curve’s search is finite). But $\inf_n c(n) = 0$, violating any uniform Lang bound. The Lean proof constructs the explicit witness: for any proposed $C > 0$, taking n with $n+2 > 1/C$ yields $c(n) < C$, contradiction. \square

4 Explicit verification: $X_0(389)$

Example 4.1 ($X_0(389)$, rank 2). *The elliptic curve $E = X_0(389)$ has analytic rank 2 with:*

$$R \approx 0.15246, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{4}{3}, \quad c(E) \approx 0.0494 \text{ (Hindry–Silverman)}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.2 with $\sqrt{R} \approx 0.3904$:

$$\hat{h}_{\max} = \frac{\gamma_2 \cdot \sqrt{R}}{c(E)} \approx \frac{(4/3) \cdot 0.3904}{0.0494} \approx 10.54.$$

The known generators $P_1 = (0,0)$ and $P_2 = (-1,1)$ have canonical heights $\hat{h}(P_1) \approx 0.327$ and $\hat{h}(P_2) \approx 0.465$, both well within the bound.

The Lean formalization verifies this with rational arithmetic: `generators_within_bound` confirms $\hat{h}(P_i) \leq \hat{h}_{\max}$ for $i = 1, 2$ via `norm.num`.

5 Northcott failure escalates to LPO

Theorem 5.1 (No Northcott \Rightarrow LPO). *For motives whose cycle space lacks the Northcott property (e.g., algebraic cycles on K3 surfaces, higher algebraic K-theory classes), decidability of the Ext^1 lattice generators at rank ≥ 2 requires the Limited Principle of Omniscience.*

Proof. Without Northcott, the set of cycles with canonical height $\leq B$ is infinite for any $B > 0$. Given candidate generators g_1, \dots, g_r , verifying that they generate the *full* lattice (not merely a finite-index sublattice) requires:

$$\forall z \in \{z : \hat{h}(z) \leq B\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{Z}g_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}g_r.$$

For each individual z , membership in the \mathbb{Z} -span is decidable (integer linear algebra). But the universal quantification ranges over an infinite set. This infinite conjunction is constructively equivalent to LPO: given any sequence $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, define cycle z_n to lie in the span iff $\alpha(n) = 0$. Then:

$$\forall n, z_n \in \mathbb{Z}\text{-span}(g_1, \dots, g_r) \iff \forall n, \alpha(n) = 0.$$

The Lean proof establishes the full equivalence:

$$(\forall \text{ membership} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, (\forall n, m(n) = 1) \vee (\exists n, m(n) = 0)) \iff \text{LPO}.$$

□

This extends the Paper 60 hierarchy to three tiers:

Rank	Northcott?	Logic	Gate to BISH
$r = 0$	—	BISH	—
$r = 1$	Yes	BISH	—
$r \geq 2$	Yes	MP	Lang's conjecture
$r \geq 2$	No	LPO	Northcott + Lang

6 Uniform Lang and the analytic certificate

Theorem 6.1 (Uniform Lang \Rightarrow analytic BISH). *Under the Uniform Lang–Silverman Conjecture (the lower bound c depends only on the dimension g and the number field K , not on the specific variety), the BISH search bound becomes:*

$$\hat{h}_{\max} = \frac{\gamma_r^{r/2} \cdot \sqrt{R}}{c(g, K)^{r-1}}$$

where R is computable from $L^{(r)}(M, s_0)$ via the Bloch–Kato conjecture. The bound depends exclusively on the L -function and universal constants.

Remark 6.2. *Under Uniform Lang, a single Turing machine processes the L -function of any abelian variety of dimension g over K and halts with the Mordell–Weil generators, without parsing the specific moduli, discriminant, or Faltings height. The L -function is the universal analytic decidability certificate. This is the strongest form of the DPT thesis: the motive's analytic realization natively certifies its own arithmetic decidability.*

7 Lean verification

The Lean 4 formalization verifying Theorems 2.2–6.1 is included in the accompanying Zenodo archive.

Build: 0 errors, 0 warnings, 0 sorry.

File structure:

File	Content
Basic/Decidability.lean	BISH, MP, LPO definitions; hierarchy
Basic/Heights.lean	Canonical height, Northcott property
Basic/Lattices.lean	Hermite constants, Minkowski axiom
Forward/LangToBISH.lean	Theorem A: $\text{Lang} \Rightarrow \text{BISH}$
Forward/Explicit389.lean	Theorem C: $X_0(389)$ verification
Converse/BISHNotLang.lean	Theorem B: $\text{BISH} \not\Rightarrow \text{Lang}$
Northcott/EscalationLPO.lean	Theorem D: $\text{No Northcott} \Leftrightarrow \text{LPO}$
Uniform/UniformLang.lean	Theorem E: $\text{Uniform Lang} \Rightarrow \text{analytic BISH}$
Main.lean	Root module & axiom audit

Axiom audit (#print axioms output):

Theorem	Axioms
lang_implies_bish	propext, Classical.choice, Quot.sound
bish_does_not_imply_lang	propext, Classical.choice, Quot.sound
generators_within_bound	propext, Classical.choice, Quot.sound
no_northcott_iff_lpo	propext, Quot.sound
uniform_lang_analytic_bish	propext, Classical.choice, Quot.sound, UniformLang

`Classical.choice` appears via Mathlib’s \mathbb{Q} arithmetic infrastructure (an implementation artifact, not mathematical content; see Paper 10 §Methodology). `UniformLang` is the only open-conjecture axiom, used exclusively for Theorem E.

8 Conclusion

The DPT decidability hierarchy for mixed motives is governed by two independent gates:

Gate 1: Northcott. Controls $\text{LPO} \rightarrow \text{MP}$. Abelian varieties pass (Northcott holds). K3 surfaces and higher K-theory fail (bounded height contains infinitely many cycles).

Gate 2: Lang. Controls $\text{MP} \rightarrow \text{BISH}$. Provides a computable lower bound on generator heights, converting Minkowski’s covolume inequality into an individual vector bound. The implication is strict: $\text{Lang} \Rightarrow \text{BISH}$ but $\text{BISH} \not\Rightarrow \text{Lang}$.

Under Uniform Lang, the L -function alone determines the search bound, establishing the L -function as a universal decidability certificate for the arithmetic of motives. The pure motive programme (Papers 50–59) is complete. The mixed motive programme (Papers 60–61) identifies the precise logical gates separating BISH, MP, and LPO for Ext^1 .

Acknowledgments. Computation assisted by Claude (Anthropic). Formal verification in Lean 4 with Mathlib.