Problem Set 2 for EE227C (Spring 2018): Convex Optimization and Approximation

Instructor: Moritz Hardt

Email: hardt+ee227c@berkeley.edu

Graduate Instructor: Max Simchowitz

Email: msimchow+ee227c@berkeley.edu

March 1, 2018

Problem 1: Backtracking Line Search

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be an m-strongly convex, M-smooth (and thus differentiable) function with global minimum x^* . Consider the following algorithm:

Algorithm 1: Backtracking Line Search

- **(A)** Show that condition 1 holds for all $t \in (0, 1/M]$.
- **(B)** Show that $\eta_t \ge \min\{1, \beta/M\}$. Conclude that the loop in Line 4 aways terminates.

(C) Using part *b*, show that

$$f(x_t - \eta_t g_t) \leqslant f(x_t) - \alpha \min\{1, \frac{\beta}{M}\} \|\nabla f(x_t)^2\|$$
 (2)

(D) Show that there is a constant $C = C(\alpha, \beta, M, m) < 1$ such

$$f(x_t - \eta_t g_t) - f(x_*) \leqslant C(\alpha, \beta, M, m) \cdot (f(x_t) - f(x_*)) \tag{3}$$

Problem 2: Random Descent Directions

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be an m-strongly convex, M-smooth (and thus differentiable) function with global minimum x^* . Consider the following algorithm:

```
1 Input: Parameters \alpha \in (0, 1/2), \beta \in (0, 1), x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n;
2 for t = 0, 1, 2, ... do
3 | Set g_t \stackrel{\text{unif}}{\sim} \mathcal{S}^{n-1};
4 | Set \eta_t := \min_{\eta \geqslant 0} f(x_{t-1} - \eta g_t);
5 | Set x_t \leftarrow x_{t-1} - \eta g_t;
6 end
```

Algorithm 2: Random Direction Line Search

- **(A)** Prove that the above algorithm is a (non-strict) descent method; that is $f(x_t)$ is non-increasing in t. Also prove that unless $x_t = x_*$, $f(x_{t+1}) < f(x_t)$ with probability 1/2.
- **(B)** Prove that there exists a numerical constant *C* such that, if

$$t \geqslant T(\epsilon) := Cn \cdot \frac{M}{m} \log(\frac{f(x_0) - f(x^*)}{\epsilon}),$$
 (4)

then $\operatorname{Exp}[f(x_t) - f(x^*)] \leq \epsilon$.

(C) Ammend the stated algorithm to use line search instead of solving for the exactly-optimal step size. Are the rates qualitatively similar?

Problem 3: Sh*t about Quadratics

In this problem, you are going to test the sharpness of our upper and lower bounds for quadratics on a randomly generated instance. Fix n = 500. We define the distribution over PSD matrix $\mathcal{D}(\varepsilon)$:

Definition 0.1. $\mathcal{D}(\epsilon)$ is a distribution of matrix $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{\top}$, defined as follows. Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denote a matrix with i.i.d $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ entries. Generate a random vector \mathbf{u} uniformly from the unit sphere. Define the matrix $\mathbf{M} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}^{\top}) + (1 + \epsilon)\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^{\top}$.

Now, for each $\epsilon \in \mathcal{S} := \{1, .5, .2, .1, .05\}$, do the following

- (A) Conduct trials t = 1, 2, ..., 10.
- **(A.1)** Generate $\mathbf{M} \sim \mathcal{D}(\epsilon)$ as above, and a random vector \mathbf{v} uniformly on the unit sphere.
- (A.2) Set $\gamma = 2\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{W}) \lambda_2(\mathbf{W})$, and define the matrix $\mathbf{N} = \gamma I \mathbf{M}$. Definally, define the function $\mathbf{f}(x) = \min_x x^T \mathbf{N} x 2\langle \mathbf{v}, x \rangle$. What is the condition number of \mathbf{N} ?
- (A.3) Setting $x_0 = 0$, run gradient descent, a heavy-ball method or nesterov method to solve $\min_x \mathbf{f}(x)$ for a good number of iterations (use your discretion). You may compute the eigenvalues of \mathbf{N} to tune your step parameters.
- **(A.4)** For both gradient descent and heavy-ball, record for each trial iteration s, the difference between $\mathbf{f}(x_s) \min_x \mathbf{f}(x)$ for each iteration.
- (A.5) Using the step sizes, largest/smallest eigenvalues of **N**, and the initial point $x_0 = 0$, compute a worst case upper bound for $\mathbf{f}(x_s) \min_x \mathbf{f}(x)$ for each iteration s of gradient descent and the heavy ball method.
- (A.6) Run gradient descent, but this time compute the optimality gap unising "best" iterate in the Krylov space. That is, compute

$$\min_{x \in \text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_s)} \mathbf{f}(x) - \min_{x} \mathbf{f}(x)$$
 (5)

- **(A.7)** After each trial, you should have a list of 5 values for each iterate *s*: an upper bound for gradient descent, the rate actually attained by gradient descent, an upper bound for heavy ball/nesterov, the rate actually attained by heavy ball/nesterov, and the "optimal" krylov algorith,
 - **(B)** For each of the lists above, average all 10 trials and plot them on the same plot. How sharp are the upper bounds?