

# Course Notes for EE227C (Spring 2018): Convex Optimization and Approximation

Instructor: Moritz Hardt

Email: `hardt+ee227c@berkeley.edu`

Graduate Instructor: Max Simchowitz

Email: `msimchow+ee227c@berkeley.edu`

February 14, 2018

## 2 Lecture 2: Gradient method

In this lecture we encounter the fundamentally important *gradient method* and a few ways to analyze its convergence behavior. The goal here is to solve a problem of the form

$$\min_{x \in \Omega} f(x)$$

where we'll make some additional assumptions on the function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The technical exposition closely follows the corresponding chapter in Bubeck's text [Bub15].

### 2.1 Gradient descent

For a differentiable function  $f$ , the basic gradient method starting from an initial point  $x_0$  is defined by the iterative description

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \nabla_t f(x_t) \quad (t \geq 0)$$

where  $\eta_t$  is the so-called *step size* that may vary with  $t$ .

The first assumption that leads to a convergence analysis is that the gradients of the function aren't too big over the domain.

**Definition 2.1** (*L-Lipschitz*). A differentiable function  $f$  is said to be *L-Lipschitz* over the domain  $\Omega$  if for all  $x \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\|\nabla f(x)\| \leq L.$$

**Fact 2.2.** *If a function  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz, it implies that the difference between two points in the range is bounded,*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L\|x - y\|$$

How can we ensure that  $x_{t+1} \in \Omega$ ? One natural approach is to “project” each iterate back onto the domain  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Projection). The *projection* of a point  $x$  onto a set  $\Omega$  is defined as

$$\Pi_{\Omega}(x) = \underset{y \in \Omega}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|x - y\|$$

**Example 2.4.** A projection onto the Euclidean ball  $B_2$  is just normalization:

$$\Pi_{B_2}(x) = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$$

A crucial property of projections is that when  $x \in \Omega$ , we have for any  $y$  (possibly outside  $\Omega$ ):

$$\|\Pi_{\Omega}(y) - x\|^2 \leq \|y - x\|^2$$

That is, the projection of  $y$  onto a convex set containing  $x$  is closer to  $x$ .

**Lemma 2.5.**

$$\|\Pi_{\Omega}(y) - x\|^2 \leq \|y - x\|^2 - \|y - \Pi_{\Omega}(y)\|^2$$

*Which follows from the Pythagorean theorem. Note that this lemma implies the above property.*

### 2.1.1 Modifying gradient descent with projections

So now we can modify our original procedure to use two steps.

$$y_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t)$$

$$x_{t+1} = \Pi_{\Omega}(y_{t+1})$$

And we are guaranteed that  $x_{t+1} \in \Omega$ . Note that computing the projection may be the hardest part of your problem, as you are computing an argmin. However, there are convex sets for which we know explicitly how to compute the projection (see [Example 2.4](#)).

## 2.2 Convergence rate of gradient descent for Lipschitz functions

**Theorem 2.6** (Projected Gradient Descent for  $L$ -Lipschitz Functions). *Assume that function  $f$  is convex, differentiable, and closed with bounded gradients. Let  $L$  be the Lipschitz constant of  $f$  over the convex domain  $\Omega$ . Let  $R$  be the upper bound on the distance  $\|x_1 - x^*\|_2$*

from the initial point  $x_1$  to the optimal point  $x^* = \arg \min_{x \in \Omega} f(x)$ . Let  $t$  be the number of iterations of project gradient descent. If the learning rate  $\eta$  is set to  $\eta = \frac{R}{L\sqrt{t}}$ , then

$$f\left(\frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t x_s\right) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{RL}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

This means that the difference between the functional value of the average point during the optimization process from the optimal value is bounded above by a constant proportional to  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ .

Before proving the theorem, recall the “Fundamental Theorem of Optimization”, which is that an inner product can be written as a sum of norms:  $u^\top v = \frac{1}{2}(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2)$ . This property can be seen from  $\|u - v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 - 2u^\top v$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.6.* The proof begins by first bounding the difference in function values  $f(x_s) - f(x^*)$ .

$$f(x_s) - f(x^*) \leq \nabla f(x_s)^\top (x_s - x^*) \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} (x_s - y_{s+1})^\top (x_s - x^*) \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} (\|x_s - x^*\|^2 + \|x_s - y_{s+1}\|^2 - \|y_{s+1} - x^*\|^2) \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} (\|x_s - x^*\|^2 - \|y_{s+1} - x^*\|^2) + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\nabla f(x_s)\|^2 \quad (4)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} (\|x_s - x^*\|^2 - \|y_{s+1} - x^*\|^2) + \frac{\eta L^2}{2} \quad (5)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} (\|x_s - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{s+1} - x^*\|^2) + \frac{\eta L^2}{2} \quad (6)$$

Equation 1 comes from the definition of convexity. Equation 2 comes from the update rule for projected gradient descent. Equation 3 comes from the “Fundamental Theorem of Optimization.” Equation 4 comes from the update rule for projected gradient descent. Equation 5 is because  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz. Equation 6 comes from Lemma 2.5.

Now, sum these differences from  $s = 1$  to  $s = t$ :

$$\sum_{s=1}^t f(x_s) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \sum_{s=1}^t \left( \|x_s - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{s+1} - x^*\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta L^2 t}{2} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( \|x_1 - x^*\|^2 - \|x_t - x^*\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta L^2 t}{2} \quad (8)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_1 - x^*\|^2 + \frac{\eta L^2 t}{2} \quad (9)$$

$$\leq \frac{R^2}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta L^2 t}{2} \quad (10)$$

Equation 8 is because Equation 7 is a telescoping sum. Equation 9 is because  $\|x_t - x^*\|^2 \geq 0$ . Equation 10 is by the assumption that  $\|x_1 - x^*\|^2 \leq R^2$ .

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t x_s\right) - f(x^*) &\leq \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^t f(x_s) - f(x^*) && \text{(by convexity)} \\ &\leq \frac{R^2}{2\eta t} + \frac{\eta L^2}{2} && \text{(by Equation 10)} \\ &\leq \frac{RL}{\sqrt{t}} && \text{(for } \eta = R/L\sqrt{t}.) \end{aligned}$$

■

## 2.3 Convergence rate for smooth functions

The next property we'll encounter is called *smoothness* and it often leads to stronger convergence guarantees.

**Definition 2.7** ( $\beta$ -smoothness). A continuously differentiable function  $f$  is  $\beta$  smooth if the gradient  $\nabla f$  is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz, i.e

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \leq \beta \|x - y\|$$

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $f$  be a  $\beta$ -smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , one has

$$|f(x) - f(y) - \nabla f(y)^\top (x - y)| \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|x - y\|^2$$

*Proof.* First represent  $f(x) - f(y)$  as an integral, apply Cauchy-Schwarz and then  $\beta$ -smoothness:

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(x) - f(y) - \nabla f(y)^\top (x - y)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \nabla f(y + t(x - y))^\top (x - y) dt - \nabla f(y)^\top (x - y) \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \|\nabla f(y + t(x - y)) - \nabla f(y)\| \cdot \|x - y\| dt \\
&\leq \int_0^1 \beta t \|x - y\|^2 dt \\
&= \frac{\beta}{2} \|x - y\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

■

We also need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $f$  be a  $\beta$ -smooth function, then for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , one has*

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq \nabla f(x)^\top (x - y) - \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2$$

*Proof.* Let  $z = y - \frac{1}{\beta}(\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x))$ . Then one has

$$f(x) - f(y)$$

$$= f(x) - f(z) + f(z) - f(y) \tag{11}$$

$$\leq \nabla f(x)^\top (x - z) + \nabla f(y)^\top (z - y) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|z - y\|^2 \tag{12}$$

$$= \nabla f(x)^\top (x - y) + (\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y))^\top (y - z) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2 \tag{13}$$

$$= \nabla f(x)^\top (x - y) - \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2 \tag{14}$$

■

We will show that gradient descent with the update rule

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t)$$

attains a faster rate of convergence under the smoothness condition.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $f$  be convex and  $\beta$ -smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then gradient descent with  $\eta = \frac{1}{\beta}$  satisfies

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \leq \frac{2\beta \|x_1 - x^*\|^2}{t-1}$$

To prove this we will need the following two lemmas.

*Proof.* By the update rule and lemma 2.8 we have

$$f(x_{s+1}) - f(x_s) \leq -\frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla f(x_s)\|^2$$

In particular, denoting  $\delta_s = f(x_s) - f(x^*)$  this shows

$$\delta_{s+1} \leq \delta_s - \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla f(x_s)\|^2$$

One also has by convexity

$$\delta_s \leq \nabla f(x_s)^\top (x_s - x^*) \leq \|x_s - x^*\| \cdot \|\nabla f(x_s)\|$$

We will prove that  $\|x_s - x^*\|$  is decreasing with  $s$ , which with the two above displays will imply

$$\delta_{s+1} \leq \delta_s - \frac{1}{2\beta \|x_1 - x^*\|^2} \delta_s^2$$

We solve the recurrence as follows. Let  $w = \frac{1}{2\beta \|x_1 - x^*\|^2}$ , then

$$w\delta_s^2 + \delta_{s+1} \leq \delta_s \iff w\frac{\delta_s}{\delta_{s+1}} + \frac{1}{\delta_s} \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{s+1}} \implies \frac{1}{\delta_{s+1}} - \frac{1}{\delta_s} \geq w \implies \frac{1}{\delta_t} \geq w(t-1)$$

To finish the proof it remains to show that  $\|x_s - x^*\|$  is decreasing with  $s$ . Using lemma 2.9 one immediately gets

$$(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y))^\top (x - y) \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2$$

We use this and the fact that  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$

$$\|x_{s+1} - x^*\|^2 = \|x_s - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(x_s) - x^*\|^2 \tag{15}$$

$$= \|x_s - x^*\|^2 - \frac{2}{\beta} \nabla f(x_s)^\top (x_s - x^*) + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\nabla f(x_s)\|^2 \tag{16}$$

$$\leq \|x_s - x^*\|^2 - \frac{1}{\beta^2} \|\nabla f(x_s)\|^2 \tag{17}$$

$$\leq \|x_s - x^*\|^2 \tag{18}$$

which concludes the proof. ■

## References

- [Bub15] Sébastien Bubeck. Convex optimization: Algorithms and complexity. *Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning*, 8(3-4):231–357, 2015.