

Problem Set 1 for EE227C (Spring 2018): Convex Optimization and Approximation

Instructor: Moritz Hardt

Email: `hardt+ee227c@berkeley.edu`

Graduate Instructor: Max Simchowitz

Email: `msimchow+ee227c@berkeley.edu`

January 19, 2018

Problem 1: Subgradients and Gradients

A) Let \mathcal{X} be a closed set. Prove that that given any convex function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $x \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists a nonempty set $\partial f(x)$ such that, for all $g \in \partial f(x)$, $f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle g, y - x \rangle$. Do so following the steps:

i) Define the *Epigraph* of f , $\text{Epi}(f) := \{(x, t) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq t\}$. Prove the $\text{Epi}(f)$ is convex. Let $\text{Int}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\text{Bd}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the interior and boundary of a set \mathcal{C} , respectively. Suppose that $(x, y) \in \text{Bd}(\text{Epi}(f))$. What can you say about the values of $f(x)$ and y ?

ii) Using the separating hyperplane theorem from the notes (the full version, which applies to arbitrary convex sets not just compact ones), prove the supporting hyperplane theorem. (see Background)

Theorem 1 (Supporting Hyperplane). Let \mathcal{C} be a convex set, and let $x \in \text{Bd}(\mathcal{C})$. Then, there exists a hyperplane \mathcal{H} passing through x such that $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{H} \subset \text{Bd}(\mathcal{C})$.

Hint: Consider $\text{Int}(\mathcal{C}) := \{x \in \mathcal{C} | x \notin \text{Bd}(\mathcal{C})\}$. This set is convex (you don't need to prove this). Find an appropriate other convex set to which you can apply the separating hyperplane theorem.

iii) Using part *i)* and *ii)*, prove the existence of a subgradient.

iv) Let $\{g_\alpha\}$ be a (possibly infinite, uncountable) family of convex functions, and suppose that $g_\alpha(x) < \infty$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Show that $g(x) := \sup_\alpha g_\alpha(x)$ is convex on \mathcal{X} (you may assume it's finite).

v) Using what we've proven about subgradients, prove that a function $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if it can be written as the supremum of affine functions (e.g. supremum of $g_\alpha(x) = \langle a_\alpha, x \rangle + b_\alpha$)

B) Some facts about subgradients

i) Show that the subgradient is not unique, but that the set of all subgradients is convex. We will denote this set $\partial f(x)$.

ii) Show that if f is differentiable at x , $\partial f(x) = \{\nabla f(x)\}$.

iii) Show that if $g_1 \in \partial f_1(x)$ and $g_2 \in \partial f_2(x)$, then $g_1 + g_2 \in \partial(f_1 + f_2)(x)$.

iv) Danskin's Theorem States

Theorem 2 (Danskin). Let Ω be a convex domain, and let $f(x) = \sup_\alpha g_\alpha(x)$, where g_α are convex functions and finite on Ω . Suppose f is also finite on Ω . Then for all $x \in \Omega$, $\partial f = \text{Conv}\{\partial g_\alpha(x) \mid \sup_x g_\alpha(x) = f(x)\}$

Prove one direction of the theorem, $\partial f \subseteq \text{Conv}\{\partial g_\alpha(x) \mid \sup_x g_\alpha(x) = f(x)\}$

v) (Hard) Prove the other direction of Danskin's theorem. Here you may want to work in epigraph space.

Problem 2: Computing Some Subgradients

A) Norms and Matrix Norms

i) Using the result about the suprema of convex functions, show that $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2, \|\cdot\|_\infty$ are convex functions by expressing each norm as , , ,

Problem 3: Extensions for Gradient Descent

A) Generalizations of SGD

i)) Prove the following statement:

Proposition 1. Let Ω be a convex domain of radius R , and let f be a convex function on Ω . Let $x_0 \in \Omega$, and let $x_t = \Pi_\Omega(x_{t-1} - \eta g_t)$, where $\text{Exp}[g_t | g_1, \dots, g_{t-1}] \in \partial f(x_t)$, and $\sup_t \text{Exp}[\|g_t\|^2] \leq L$. Prove that

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t\right) \leq \inf_{x \in \Omega} f(x) + \dots \quad (1)$$

You fill in the

ii) Prove the following statement:

Proposition 2. Let Ω be a convex domain of radius R , Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_T be L -Lipschitz, convex functions on Ω . Given any $x_0 \in \Omega$, let $x_t = \Pi_\Omega(x_{t-1} - \eta g_t)$, where $g_t \in \partial f_t(x_t)$, and $\eta = \frac{LR}{\sqrt{T}}$. Prove that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t\right) \leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x_t) \leq \inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x_t) + \dots \quad (2)$$

You fill in the

B) In this problem we show that in the stochastic setting, smoothness of the function f does not help. Let $\Omega = [-1, 1]$, let σ be a random variable with $\mathbb{Pr}[\sigma = 1] = \mathbb{Pr}[\sigma = -1] = 1/2$, fix an $\epsilon \in (0, 1/4)$. Let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_T be T i.i.d random variables, such that $z_i | \sigma$ are mutually independent, and

$$\mathbb{Pr}[z_i = 1 | \sigma] = 1/2 + \sigma\epsilon \text{ and } \mathbb{Pr}[z_i = -1 | \sigma] = 1/2 - \sigma\epsilon \quad (3)$$

You will need the following information

Lemma 1. Let σ and z_1, z_2, \dots, z_T be as above. Then there exists a universal constant C such that, if $T \leq C\epsilon^2$, any algorithm which returns an estimate $\hat{\sigma}$ of σ from observing z_1, z_2, \dots, z_T satisfies $\mathbb{Pr}[\hat{\sigma} \neq \sigma] \geq \frac{1}{4}$.

i) Construct a function on f_σ such that $\text{Exp}[z_i | \sigma] = \nabla f_\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. What is the optimum x_σ^* of f_σ ? What is the “smoothness” of f_σ .

ii) Show that there universal constants c such that the following hold: Fix a $T \in \mathbb{N}$, and let \mathcal{A} be an algorithm which is allowed to make T queries $x_t \in [-1, 1]$ and g_t , where \mathcal{A} decides x_t , and receives responses g_t such that $\text{Exp}[g_t] = \nabla f(x_t)$ and $|g_t| \leq 1$ a.s. Then, there is a 0-smooth, 1-Lipschitz function f and a mechanism generating responses g_t such that the iterate x_T satisfies

$$\text{Exp}[f(x_1)] - \inf_{x \in [-1, 1]} f(x) \geq c/\sqrt{T} \quad (4)$$

iii) Answer to Problem 1(B)(iii) here.

C) Answer to Problem 1(C) here.

D) Answer to Problem 1(D) here.

E) Answer to Problem 1(E) here.

Bacgkround