

order	true count	pure CSP		binary XORs (individual)		binary XORs (global)		generalized XORs (individual)	
		count	time	count	time	count	time	count	time
15	2.3×10^6	2.3×10^6	10 min	$\geq 6.6 \times 10^4$	41 s	$\geq 2.6 \times 10^5$	66 s	$\geq 3.9 \times 10^5$	3 s
20	3.9×10^{10}	$\geq 3.5 \times 10^6$	1 hr	$\geq 1.1 \times 10^6$	24 s	$\geq 2.1 \times 10^6$	17 s	$\geq 6.6 \times 10^8$	13 s
25	2.2×10^{15}	$\geq 2.2 \times 10^6$	1 hr	$\geq 3.4 \times 10^7$	125 s	$\geq 3.4 \times 10^7$	101 s	$\geq 2.0 \times 10^{12}$	60 s
30		$\geq 4.1 \times 10^6$	1 hr	$\geq 5.4 \times 10^8$	155 s	$\geq 5.4 \times 10^8$	155 s	$\geq 9.2 \times 10^{15}$	196 s

Table 1: Computational results on n -Queens problems, comparing our different approaches (99% correctness confidence).

	pb-counter	Reissat+BBR	pure CSP	CSP+XORS
games120	1.1×10^6 30 min	1.4×10^6 30 min	4.3×10^8 1 hr	4.5×10^{47} 1 min
mycel15	1.1×10^6 30 min	3.6×10^{11} 30 min	9.5×10^8 1 hr	4.1×10^{17} 12 min
mud100	2.4×10^6 30 min	2.7×10^{23} 30 min	7.2×10^8 1 hr	1.0×10^{28} 1 min
4-Insektions13	9.0×10^6 30 min	4.6×10^8 30 min	1.2×10^9 1 hr	2.3×10^{14} 1 min
sb1s14			22 1 hr	591 1 min
sb1s15			112 1 hr	1,748 8 min
sb1s17				1,058 14 min

Table 2: Computational results on graph coloring problems and spatially balanced Latin square problems. The results for the XOR approach are with 99% correctness confidence.

spatially balanced Latin squares, by using the streamlined model of Smith, Gomes, & Fernández (2005). The results are reported in Table 2 (sb1s 14–17), in which we also report the solution count of pure CSP. We see, for example, that the pure CSP solver counts 112 solutions in one hour for sb1s15, while our generalized XOR approach counts 1748 solutions in 8 minutes (again, with 99% correctness confidence). Moreover, sb1s17 cannot be solved at all by the pure CSP solver in one hour, while we count 1058 solutions in 14 minutes. This reconfirms an interesting phenomenon observed earlier by Gomes, Sabharwal, & Selman (2006): for computationally challenging problems, randomly generated XOR constraints can sometimes prove to be effective domain-independent streamliners.

Conclusion

We introduced a new generic solution counting technique for constraint satisfaction problems. This approach builds upon a method recently proposed for Boolean satisfiability problems, and combines it with the structured representation of CSPs to quickly provide lower bounds on solution counts with strong correctness guarantees. We considered both “regular” XOR constraints on an equivalent binary representation of CSPs as well as generalized XOR constraints directly on the CSP variables. For both cases, we developed efficient complete domain filtering algorithms. Our experimental evaluation on a set of challenging combinatorial problems demonstrates the effectiveness of this approach.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Intelligent Information Systems Institute (IISI), Cornell University (AFOSR grant FA9550-04-1-0151) and DARPA (REAL grant FA8750-04-2-0216).

References

- Angelsmark, O., and Jonsson, P. 2003. Improved algorithms for counting solutions in constraint satisfaction problems. In *8th CP*, volume 2833 of *LNCS*, 81–95.
- Bailleux, O.; Boufkhad, Y.; and Roussel, O. 2006. A translation of pseudo Boolean constraints to SAT. *J. on Satisfiability, Boolean Modeling and Computation* 2:191–200.
- Bayardo Jr., R. J., and Pehoushek, J. D. 2000. Counting models using connected components. In *17th AAAI*, 157–162.
- De Loera, J. A.; Hemmecke, R.; Tauzer, J.; and Yoshida, R. 2004. Effective lattice point counting in rational convex polytopes. *J. Symb. Comput.* 38(4):1273–1302.
- Gomes, C. P., and Sellmann, M. 2004. Streamlined constraint reasoning. In *10th CP*, volume 3258 of *LNCS*, 274–289.
- Gomes, C. P.; Sabharwal, A.; and Selman, B. 2006. Model counting: A new strategy for obtaining good bounds. In *21st AAAI*, 54–61.
- ILOG, SA. 2006. ILOG Solver 6.3 reference manual.
- Kask, K.; Dechter, R.; and Gogate, V. 2004. Counting-based look-ahead schemes for constraint satisfaction. In *10th CP*, volume 3258 of *LNCS*, 317–331.
- Morgado, A.; Matos, P. J.; Manquinho, V. M.; and Marques-Silva, J. P. 2006. Counting models in integer domains. In *9th SAT*, volume 4121 of *LNCS*, 410–423.
- Sang, T.; Bacchus, F.; Beame, P.; Kautz, H. A.; and Pitassi, T. 2004. Combining component caching and clause learning for effective model counting. In *7th SAT*. Online Proceedings.
- Smith, C.; Gomes, C. P.; and Fernández, C. 2005. Streamlining Local Search for Spatially Balanced Latin Squares. In *IJCAI*, 1539–1540.
- Trick, M. 2003. A Dynamic Programming Approach for Consistency and Propagation for Knapsack Constraints. *Annals of Operations Research* 118:73–84.