Table 1. Mean training time in seconds and mean classification accuracy when the number of dimensions is chosen by cross-validation. Bold font highlights methods that were statistically the best or not statistically different from the best with 95% confidence. Symbol legend: "-" method did not converge in under three hours per training/test split, "nc" not computable.

	Orio	Train	LDC		DCA		EDA		LEDA		TTMT		NCA	
	Ong	D.							LIFE		L I KIL		IVA	
	Dim -	E X	acc	time	acc	time	acc	time	acc	time	acc	time	acc	time
Diabeter	TQ.	KRS	71 2		65 0	7-7	79 7	71	60 9		60 7	40	70.7	135
Diapeter		000	07 7		01.0		24.1		00.2		04.1	120	10.1	100
Wine	15	125	97.7	1	95.8	- 1	98.5	<1	98.5	- 1	97.2	54	97.9	
Imaga Coa	10	1417	06 5	Th	00 5	III3	06.2	du	OF O		OF 6	1 20	OF A	4641
Image Seg	TO	TOTI	00.0	13	02.0	18	00.2	M	00.0	12	00.0	TO	OK OF	10,11
Ger <del>man</del> —	20	700	71.1		68.9		71.4		71.0		-69.5	15	71.1	-1015
CONTRACTOR	00	2000	86 0		QF Q	191	7 ()	100	QF Q		20 6	00	Q1 7	0110
Ring <mark>norm</mark>	Ze	<b>3000</b>	86.5	12	86.8		(E-222	1	85 . 8	1	86.0	본역	86.1	91 13
Dem	<b>9</b> 9	216	20 2		09 5		0	1	00 5		02 4	120	OF E	221
Dom	00	200	00.2		02.0		0-10	1	02.0		00.3	100	90.0	901
lon	34	246	86.2	<b>-</b>	85.2	<1	83.2	<1	83.1		84.3	10	89.1	-2.22
Commence of the Commence of th	56	2000	00 1	10	00 1	n e	000	T T	00 0		00 0	202	1	
Statlog	<u>Ju</u>	<u> </u>	36.1	I	30.1	II.	00.0	12	00.0	Ī	00.0	202	i i	
USPS —	256	3600	93.5	24	92.0	10	90.9		-92.6		96.8	4886		
7 7	6 17	2000	07 0	0/4	75 1	1 2	00 0	FIG.	00 2	01	1	1000		
Isc <del>let</del>	O L I	3606	51.3	<u>5</u> 2	10.1	T 2	86.5	<u>H</u> č	30.2	21	-	- 1		
MNICT	701	2000	00 1		OF F	15	70 5	70	20 7	5/4				
	FOI	<u> </u>	08.1	50	01.0	ΠO	10.2	IIO	04.1	5	ū	4	Į.	Į.
$\operatorname{Gis}$	5000	3000	95.5	466	96.7	63	55	1983	49.8	<b>23</b> 13	_	_	<del></del>	
	E 400	200	00 0	2000	6/0	B	500	1046	40 0	2002	Ti .	i ii	i i	i i
Mutants —	DUPE	200	90.0	2300	04.0	18	04	1910	10.0	2000	, iii	Ŭ.	-	
Arcene -	1017	70	76.0	E 70	61 9	75	F. 7	20		- 10				
	IUI	T C	70.0	o re	01.0	TO	00.1	99	ПС	по	4	4		
De <del>xter —</del>	$20\mathrm{K}$	210	84.0	4365	-58.1	2	He	ne	nc	116		<del>-  </del>		
				1						l e				

imizes the k-NN leave-one-out cross-validation error at dimensionality equal to the number of classes plus five. We have found that, in general, a few more dimensions than the number of classes present in the data is a good dimensionality at which to choose  $\gamma$ . In the case of ties, we select the largest value of  $\gamma$ . MRMR requires that we discretize the ITML features for feature selection, and we do so by thresholding at the mean, as recommended in the authors' code.

We perform experiments on fifteen datasets, and for each we average the accuracy over ten random 70/30 splits of the training and test data (up to a maximum of 3000 training samples). The datasets that we use can be found either at the UCI Machine Learning Repository or the Machine Learning Dataset Repository. The P53-Mutants dataset contained a large degree of class asymmetry. Therefore, we randomly sampled 143 of the *inactive* class samples and discarded the rest in order to make a 50/50 split between *inactive* and *active* class data (as opposed to the 1% vs 99% split in the original dataset).

Figure 1 and Table 1 show that for small datasets, LDG is comparable to other state-of-the-art methods. However, LDG provides a clear advantage on the datasets with the largest feature dimensionality.

NCA and ITML failed to converge in under three hours per training/test split on a standard 2.8 GHz PC for the datasets marked with "-" in Table 1, and results for these datasets are not plotted in Figure 1. Figure 1

also shows that ITML has difficulty with the Ringnorm dataset which has some features that are only noise.

LDG also outperforms FDA and LFDA on some of the datasets. FDA can provide dimensionality only up to one fewer than the number of classes, which limits its performance on the Ionoshpere and Ringnorm datasets. Furthermore, FDA and LFDA exhibit numerical instability in some of the datasets with large feature dimensionality due to the fact that the within-class covariance matrix is underdetermined. Thus, the generalized eigenvalue decomposition that these algorithms solve fails to find discriminative dimensions. LFDA returns complex eigenvalues for the Arcene and Dexter datasets, and FDA does the same on the Dexter dataset; thus, the LFDA and FDA results are not computable for these datasets.

In Table 1, we show the average classification accuracy when the dimensionality is chosen by leave-one-out cross-validation. We do this by increasing the dimensionality until the cross-validation accuracy decreases by adding another dimension. The run-time numbers measure the mean time it takes, in seconds, for the method to produce the dimensions shown in Figure 1 and to select the best dimensionality.

## 5. LDG for Transfer Learning

In this section, we apply LDG dimensionality reduction to transfer learning. In transfer learning, we wish