	$\alpha = 0.2$		$\alpha = 0.3$		$\alpha = 0.4$		$\alpha = 0.5$		$\alpha = 0.6$		$\alpha = 0.7$		$\alpha = 0.8$	
	exp1	exp2												
Top1	13.46	13.32	13.79	13.61	11.04	12.70	11.65	10.93	10.83	11.25	9.62	10.63	8.73	10.18
Top5	21.58	19.59	23.27	20.17	19.69	18.28	21.07	17.25	22.05	16.84	17.90	16.26	17.38	15.34
Top10	27.39	22.71	28.41	24.73	26.52	22.93	26.83	21.81	27.26	20.39	24.38	21.20	25.42	18.20
Top20	35.23	34.88	35.94	29.49	37.81	31.57	38.59	33.04	36.52	31.72	35.25	29.75	34.65	27.62
Top50	43.91	40.63	43.75	40.85	46.22	41.46	48.72	42.79	45.48	40.49	41.57	39.94	42.81	38.07
Top100	53.76	48.47	54.38	52.04	59.28	53.15	57.36	53.46	55.19	51.83	55.63	49.52	53.41	47.15

Table 4. Parameters Experiment

rank. Through the revision module, we get both higher recall and higher precision than statistical transliteration model when at most 5 results are returned.

We also use the average rank and average reciprocal rank (ARR) [Voorhees and Tice, 2000] to evaluate the improvement. ARR is calculated as

$$ARR = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1}{R(i)} \tag{8}$$

where R(i) is the rank of the answer of *i*th test word. M is the size of test set. The higher of ARR, the better the performance is.

The results are shown as Table 6.

	Statis mo			ision dule	Re-rank Module		
	close	open	close	open	close	open	
Average rank	37.63	70.94	24.52	58.09	16.71	43.87	
ARR	0.3815	0.1206	0.3783	0.1648	0.6519	0.4492	

Table 6. ARR and AR evaluation

The ARR after revision phase is lower than the statistical model. Because the goal of revision module is to improve the recall as possible as we can, some noisy words will be introduced in. The noisy words will be pruned in re-ranking module. That is why we get the highest ARR value at last. So we can conclude that the revision module improves recall and re-ranking module improves precision, which help us get a better performance than pure statistical transliteration model

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we present a new approach which can revise the results generated from statistical transliteration model with the assistance of monolingual web resource. Through the revision process, the recall of transliteration results has been improved from 72.52% to 85.78% in the close test set and from 41.73% to 59.28% in open test set, respectively. We improve the precision in re-ranking phase, the top-5 precision can be improved to 76.35% in close test and 52.19% in open test. The

promising results show that our approach works pretty well in the task of backward transliteration.

In the future, we will try to improve the similarity measurement in the revision phase. And we also wish to develop a new approach using the transliteration candidates to search for their right answer more directly and effectively.

Acknowledgments

The work is supported by the National High Technology Development 863 Program of China under Grants no. 2006AA01Z144, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 60673042, the Natural Science Foundation of Beijing under Grants no. 4073043.

References

Yaser Al-Onaizan and Kevin Knight. 2002. Translating named entities using monolingual and bilingual resources. In Proc. of ACL-02.

Kevin Knight and Jonathan Graehl. 1998. Machine Transliteration. Computational Linguistics 24(4).

Wei-Hao Lin and Hsin-His Chen. 2002 Backward Machine Transliteration by Learning Phonetic Similarity. In Proc. Of the 6th CoNLL

Donghui Feng, Yajuan Lv, and Ming Zhou. 2004. A New Approach for English-Chinese Named Entity Alignment. In Proc. of EMNLP-2004.

Long Jiang, Ming Zhou, Lee-Feng Chien, and Cheng Niu, 2007. Named Entity Translation with Web Mining and Transliteration. In Proc. of IJCAI-2007.

Wei Gao. 2004. Phoneme-based Statistical Transliteration of Foreign Name for OOV Problem. A thesis of Master. The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

Ying Zhang, Fei Huang, Stephan Vogel. 2005. Mining translations of OOV terms from the web through cross-lingual query expansion. SIGIR 2005.

Pu-Jen Cheng, Wen-Hsiang Lu, Jer-Wen Teng, and Lee-Feng Chien. 2004 Creating Multilingual Translation Lexicons with Regional Variations Using Web Corpora. In Proc. of ACL-04

Masaaki Nagata, Teruka Saito, and Kenji Suzuki. 2001. Using the Web as a Bilingual Dictionary. In Proc. of ACL 2001 Workshop on Data-driven Methods in Machine Translation.