Training Data	Metrics	PMF	SoRec	Trust	STE	LRSDP (w/o ρ )	LRSDP (w/o L)	LRSDP
80%	RMSE	1.1826	1.1530	1.2140	1.1346	1.4998	1.1502	1.1304
	MAE	0.8951	0.8638	0.9221	0.8594	1.1730	0.8830	0.8557
90%	RMSE	1.1575	1.1333	1.1959	1.1109	1.5677	1.1292	1.1095
	MAE	0.8676	0.8442	0.9054	0.8377	1.2219	0.8593	0.8338

more efficient than the STE method (Ma, King, and Lyu 2009). Specifically, LRSDP only requires no more than 7% of the training time for STE. This is because the LRSDP approach employs an efficient quasi-Newton optimization algorithm while the STE method involves with the time-consuming step to directly fuse the social trust information into the high dimensional user-item matrix. Additionally, we can see that the computational time for the presented LRSDP method increases along with the total number of ratings in the user-item matrix.

Table 5: Comparisons of time cost on Epinions dataset

STE (90%)	LRSDP (80%)	LRSDP (90%)	
133min	7.5min	8.3min	

## 6 Conclusions

It is clear that our novel low-rank semidefinite program approach to social recommendation is powerful and effective. It offers several distinct advantages over the conventional approaches. First, we introduce the graph Laplacian to effectively regularize the user-specific latent space and capture the underlying relationships among the different users. Second, the presented social recommendation with the graph Laplacian regularization problem is directly formulated into the low-rank semidefinite programming, which can be efficiently solved by the quasi-Newton algorithm. Finally, the mapping function for the normalization is carefully addressed in our formulation. Our approach has been tested on the Epinions dataset with over half million ratings. The encouraging experimental results show that our presented method is both effective and promising.

In the future, we will investigate the relationship among the items by taking into account of the category information. Moreover, we will explore the recommendation problem in the multimedia domain, in which the content information in music and videos can be used to estimate the similarity between the different items.

## Acknowledgments

The work was fully supported by Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-09-0685) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

## References

Boyd, S., and Vandenberghe, L. 2004. *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press.

Breese, J. S.; Heckerman, D.; and Kadie, C. 1998. Empirical analysis of predictive algorithms for collaborative filtering. In *Proc. of UAI '98*.

Burer, S., and Choi, C. 2006. Computational enhancements in low-rank semidefinite programming. *Optimization Methods and Software* 21:493–512.

Burer, S., and Monteiro, R. D. 2003. A nonlinear programming algorithm for solving semidefinite programs via low-rank factorization. *Mathematical Programming* 95:329–357.

Cvetkovic, D. M.; Doob, M.; and Sachs, H. 1998. *Spectra of graphs: theory and application*. New York: Wiley.

Hofmann, T. 2003. Collaborative filtering via gaussian probabilistic latent semantic analysis. In *Proc. of SIGIR '03*, 259–266. New York, NY, USA: ACM.

Jamali, M., and Ester, M. 2010. A matrix factorization technique with trust propagation for recommendation in social networks. In *Proceedings of the fourth ACM conference on Recommender systems*, RecSys '10, 135–142.

Jin, R.; Chai, J. Y.; and Si, L. 2004. An automatic weighting scheme for collaborative filtering. In *Proc. of SIGIR '04*, 337–344. New York, NY, USA: ACM.

Li, W.-J., and Yeung, D.-Y. 2009. Relation regularized matrix factorization. In *Proceedings of the 21st international jont conference on Artifical intelligence*, 1126–1131.

Linden, G.; Smith, B.; and York, J. 2003. Amazon.com recommendations: Item-to-item collaborative filtering. *IEEE Internet Computing* 76–80.

Ma, H.; Yang, H.; Lyu, M. R.; and King, I. 2008. Sorec: social recommendation using probabilistic matrix factorization. In *Proceeding of the 17th ACM conference on Information and knowledge management*, CIKM '08, 931–940.

Ma, H.; King, I.; and Lyu, M. R. 2009. Learning to recommend with social trust ensemble. In *Proceedings of the 32nd international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*, SIGIR '09, 203–210.

Mitra, K.; Sheorey, S.; and Chellappa, R. 2010. Large-scale matrix factorization with missing data under additional constraints. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 23, 1642–1650.

Salakhutdinov, R., and Mnih, A. 2008. Probabilistic matrix factorization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 20.

Si, L., and Jin, R. 2003. Flexible mixture model for collaborative filtering. In *Proc. of ICML '03*.

Srebro, N.; Rennie, J. D. M.; and Jaakkola, T. S. 2005. Maximum-margin matrix factorization. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 17:1329–1336.

Zhang, Y., and Koren, J. 2007. Efficient bayesian hierarchical user modeling for recommendation system. In *Proc. of SIGIR '07*, 47–54. New York, NY, USA: ACM.