

Table 1: Running time (in seconds) for kernel computation between two strings on real data

	long				
		protein	dua.	tex	music
- Company	protein				
To the second	36672	116	570	242	68 <mark>92</mark>
1	66	64	Ti.	20024	1024
	20	20		29.224	1024
(5,1)-tric -	1.6268	0.0212	0.0260	20398	525.8
(5 1) 00	0 1007	0.0050	0.0054	0.0179	0.0221
(3, 3-30	0.1707	0.0002	0.0055	0.0179	100001
time racio	- 6	-	- 6		16,000
V5 O tuis	21 4510	0.2019	0.4000		
(3,2)-tric	21.001	0.2710	U. 1000		
(5,2)-ss -	0.2957	0.0067	0.0064	0.0549	0.0941
THE RESERVE	1.00				
uline ratio	100	111	1-1	1	T I

Figure 1: Relative running time T_{trie}/T_{ss} (in logarithmic scale) of the mismatch-trie and mismatch-ss as a function of the alphabet size (mismatch(5,1) kernel, $n=10^5$)

the semi-supervised setting for neighborhood mismatch kernels; for example, computing a smaller neighborhood mismatch(5,2) kernel matrix for the *labeled sequences* only (2862-by-2862 matrix) using the Swiss-Prot unlabeled dataset takes 1,480 seconds with our algorithm, whereas performing the same task with the trie-based algorithm takes about 5 days.

6.2 Empirical performance analysis

In this section we show predictive performance results for several sequence analysis tasks using our new algorithms. We consider the tasks of the multi-class music genre classification [16], with results in Table 2, and the protein remote homology (superfamily) prediction [9, 2, 18] in Table 3. We also include preliminary results for multi-class fold prediction [14, 15] in Table 4.

On the music classification task, we observe significant improvements in accuracy for larger number of mismatches. The obtained error rate (35.6%) on this dataset compares well with the state-of-theart results based on the same signal representation in [16]. The remote protein homology detection, as evident from Table 3, clearly benefits from larger number of allowed mismatches because the remotely related proteins are likely to be separated by multiple mutations or insertions/deletions. For example, we observe improvement in the average ROC-50 score from 41.92 to 52.00 under a fully-supervised setting, and similar significant improvements in the semi-supervised settings. In particular, the result on the Swiss-Prot dataset for the (7,3)-mismatch kernel is very promising and compares well with the best results of the state-of-the-art, but computationally more demanding, profile kernels [2]. The neighborhood kernels proposed by Weston et al. have already shown very promising results in [7], though slightly worse than the profile kernel. However, using our new algorithm that significantly improves the speed of the neighborhood kernels, we show that with larger number of allowed mismatches the neighborhood can perform even better than the stateof-the-art profile kernel: the (7,3)-mismatch neighborhood achieves the average ROC-50 score of 86.32, compared to 84.00 of the profile kernel on the Swiss-Prot dataset. This is an important result that addresses a main drawback of the neighborhood kernels, the running time [7, 2].

Table 2: Classification per- Table 3: Classification performance on protein remote homology formance on music genre prediction

Tormance on music genic prediction								
classification (multi-class)	dataset	mismatch (5,1) mismatch (5,2) mismatch (7,3						
Method Error		ROC ROC50	ROC ROC50	ROC RO	C50			
Mismatch (5,1) 42.6±6.34	SCOP (supervised)	87.75 41.92	90.67 49.09	91.31 5	2.00			
Mismatch (5,2) 35.6 ± 4.99	SCOP (unlabeled)	90.93 67.20	91.42 69.35	92.27 7	3.29			
	SCOP (PDB)	97.06 80.39	97.24 81.35	97.93 8	4.56			
	SCOP (Swiss-Prot)	96.73 81.05	97.05 82.25	97.78 8	6.32			

For multi-class protein fold recognition (Table 4), we similarly observe improvements in performance for larger numbers of allowed mismatches. The balanced error of 25% for the (7,3)-mismatch neighborhood kernel using Swiss-Prot compares well with the best error rate of 26.5% for the state-