Map.prototype extensions

map, filter, and more

Design choices

Directly on Map.prototype (see the <u>spec</u>)

Design choices

Directly on Map.prototype (see the <u>spec</u>)

On generic "map-like" %
 CollectionPrototype%

Design choices

Directly on Map.prototype (see the <u>spec</u>)

On generic "map-like" %
 CollectionPrototype%

Binding:: operator and itertools? (not a proposal yet)

1. Simple value map:

```
new Map([['x', 10], ['y', 20]]) \underline{map}((v, k, m) => v * 2);
```

=> new map: x: 20, y: 40 (keys are preserved)

2. Entries map:

```
new Map([['x', 10], ['y', 20]])
 .\underline{mapEntries}((v, k, m) => \{
  if (k == 'x') return ['z', v];
  return [k, v * 2];
 });
=> new map: z: 10, y: 40
(fully transformed map)
```

1. Filter map:

```
new Map([['x', 10], ['y', 20]]) \underline{filter}((v, k, m) => v > 10);
```

=> new map: y: **20**

Note:

The *map.map(...)* and *map.filter(...)* API is the same from user perspective in both case:

- Map.prototype storage
- %CollectionPrototype%

(storage is an implementation detail)

Protocol design choices

 Internal methods call to generate a new map (see the <u>spec</u>). Works on maps and objects that implement internal map slots.

 Call explicit user-level methods like set, and get (see this <u>comment</u>). Can be generic for any "map-like" object.

Explicit methods:

```
Object.defineProperty(%CollectionPrototype%, 'map', {
   value: function (fun) {
      let result = new this.constructor();
     for (let [key, val] of this) { // implies iterable
        result.set(key, val); // calls user-level "set"
      return result:
   configurable: true,
   enumerable: false,
   writable: true
});
```

- -

- import { map } from "itertools";
- \mathbf{var} newMap = oldMap:: $\underline{map}(([k, v]) \Rightarrow [k + 1, v + 1]);$

(see this **comment**)

- Not a proposal yet
- Can it even be considered potentially?

Overall

 To correlate with map.forEach better to be map.map and map.filter, not map:: map

Direct Map.prototype or %
 CollectionPrototype% - to be discussed (doesn't affect user-level).