A Declarative Alternative to toMethod

Allen Wirfs-Brock

See https://github.com/allenwb/ESideas/blob/master/

ES7MetaProps.md

The Semantics of ES6 super clashes with ad hoc extenion methods

```
let aPusher = new Pusher();
Object.assign(aPusher, {
 push(...args) {
   console.log("aPusher mixin");
   super.push(...args);
});
```

Does not do what the programmer intended Wrong super binding

toMethod was problematic

```
aPusher.push =
  function pusher(...args) {
    console.log("aPusher mixin");
    super.push(...args);
  }.toMethod(aPusher)
```

toMethod was unintuitive, complex, and error-prone.

toMethod was problematic

```
aPusher.push =
   {pusher(...args) {
      console.log("aPusher mixin");
      super.push(...args);
  }.pusher.toMethod(aPusher)
 toMethod was unintuitive, complex, and error-prone.
```

Issues with toMethod

- Exposes internal super binding mechanism
- Required copying
- Had the "deep clone" problem
- Low lever imperative manipulation of internal function state

Declarative Object literals and Class Declarations Handle super Just Fine

- Doesn't expose internal super binding mechanism
- Doesn't require method cloning
- Method created in the correct object context
- Automatically binds super to the correct object
- Programmers don't need to think about or even be aware of the internals of super

Let's make mixing in methods as simple as

```
Object.assign(aPusher, {
   push(...args) {
     console.log("aPusher mixin");
     super.push(...args);
   }
});
```

Let's make mixing in methods even simpler

```
Object.assign(aPusher, mixin {
   push(...args) {
     console.log("aPusher mixin");
     super.push(...args);
   }
};
```

The mixin operator

- mixin is a contextual keyword
- first token of a high precedence postfix operator.
- The second part of a mixin operator has the syntax of an object literal
 - all of the normal property definition forms are allowed within it except for `__proto___:`
 - the "object literal" is a integral part of the `mixin` operator, not a separate sub-expression
- Properties created using [[DefineOwnProperty]
 with property attributes just like an ObjectLiteral.

Mixin BNF

PostfixExpression:

MixinExpression
LeftHandSideExpression [no LineTerminator here] ++
LeftHandSideExpression [no LineTerminator here] --

MixinExpression:

LeftHandSideExpression
MixinExpression [no LineTerminator here] mixin ObjectLiteral

What about abstracting a mixin?

```
let PusherMixins = {
 push(...args) {
   console.log("aPusher mixin");
   super.push(...args);
} );
Object.assign(aPusher, PusherMixins);
```

Use functional abstraction

```
let PusherMixins = obj => obj mixin {
 push(...args) {
   console.log("aPusher mixin");
   super.push(...args);
} };
Object.assign(aPusher, PusherMixins);
PusherMixins(aPusher);
```

But, some issues with class

class MyPusher extends Pusher {...}

But, some issues with class

```
class MyPusher extends Pusher {...}
MyPusher mixin {
  push(...args) {
    console.log("aPusher mixin");
    super.push(...args);
};
```

But, some issues with class

```
class MyPusher extends Pusher {...}
MyPusher mixin {
 push(...args) {
   console.log("aPusher mixin");
   super.push(...args);
 };
       Adds 'push' as a static method.
       Probably not the programmer's intent
```

Need to direct mixin properties to class prototype

```
class MyPusher extends Pusher {...}
MyPusher.prototype mixin {
  push(...args) {
    console.log("aPusher mixin");
    super.push(...args);
};
```

Another Issue

 Class methods defined in this manner using mixin { } will be enumerable, because ObjectLiteral property semantics are used

Solution

Add a second from of the

```
MixinExpression:

LeftHandSideExpression

MixinExpression [no LineTerminator here] mixin ObjectLiteral

MixinExpression [no LineTerminator here] mixin class

{ ClassBody }
```

Using mixin class

```
class MyPusher extends Pusher {...}
MyPusher mixin class {
 push(...args) {
   console.log("aPusher mixin");
   super.push(...args);
 static get hasPushMixin() {return true}
};
```

mixin class { ClassBody } semantics

- Can only be applied to constructor functions
 - Does an IsConstructor test of LHS, throw if false
- Properties from the ClassBody are installed on the constructor using normal class definition rules.
 - Methods are non-enumerable
- Only restriction on ClassBody is that it must not include a constructor method.
 - Some post ES6 class features might also be restricted

Example, using mixin in a constructor

```
Class Foo extends Bar {
 constructor(a,b,c) {
   super();
   this :={
       a, b, c,
     hash: a ^ B ^ c,
     ownMethod() {)
   SomeMixins(Foo);
```