

Last time

- Introduction to finite differences for transport eqn
- Stability analysis and unconditional instability for explicit Euler
- True dynamics vs FD dynamics

Today

- Revisit the trigonometric interpolant
- Stable schemes for transport
- Our first learning problem

STABILITY!

Before we talked about grid functions and interpreted finite differences in terms of how they act on individual modes. Now we will formalize that.

Define the discrete inner product

$$(u, v)_h = \sum_{j=0}^N \bar{u}_j v_j h \quad \text{which induces the norm}$$

$$\|u\|_h^2 = (u, u)_h$$

All inequalities introduced last time hold analogously

$$|(u, v)_h| \leq \|u\|_h \|v\|_h$$

$$|(u, av)_h| \leq \|a\|_\infty \|u\|_h \|v\|_h, \quad \|a\|_\infty = \max_j |a_j|$$

$$\|u+v\|_h \leq \|u\|_h + \|v\|_h$$

$$|\|u\|_h - \|v\|_h| \leq \|u-v\|_h$$

Stability Motivation

- FD demo
- $v_j^{n+1} = Q v_j^n$
 - ↑ Amplification operator
- Distinguish true evolution from discrete FD evolution
- What happens to truncation error?
- Lives in Q
- Understand $\|Q\| \rightarrow$
 - $\|Q\| = 1 \Rightarrow$ Discrete conservation
 - $\|Q\| > 1$ Growth (bad)
 - $\|Q\| < 1$ Artificial dissipation (maybe bad/good)

To understand how continuous functions act when evaluated on grid points, take $u \in C^k$ and its restriction onto the grid $u(x_j)$

$$\text{Then } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (u, v)_h = (u, v), \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|u\|_h^2 = \|u\|^2$$

We are now equipped to analyze F.D. operators. We'll come at it in 2 ways

$$\|Q\|_h := \sup_{u \neq 0} \frac{\|Qu\|_h}{\|u\|_h} = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|Qu\|_h \Rightarrow \|Qu\| \leq \|Q\|_h \|u\|_h$$

For a single difference operator

$$\|E^p u\|_h^2 = \sum_{j=0}^N |u_{j+p}|^2 h = \sum_{j=0}^N |u_j|^2 h = \|u\|_h^2$$

$$\text{Which implies } \|E^p\| = 1$$

Also

$$\|D_+ u\|_h = \frac{1}{h} \|(E - E^0)u\|_h$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{h} \|Eu\|_h + \frac{1}{h} \|Eu\|_h$$

triangle inequality

$$\leq \frac{2}{h} \|u\|_h$$

$$\|D_- u\|_h = \|E^{-1} D_+ u\|_h$$

$$\text{Recall } D_- u = E^{-1} D_+ u$$

$$\leq \|E^{-1}\|_h \|D_+ u\|_h$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{h} \|u\|_h$$

$$\|D_0 u\|_h = \frac{1}{2h} \|E - E^{-1}\|_h \leq \frac{1}{h}$$

triangle inequality

Those are actual upper bounds. But we can also bound from below. Remember the def. of the operator norm

$$\text{for any } Q, \quad \|Q_h\| := \sup_{u \neq 0} \frac{\|Qu\|_h}{\|u\|_h}$$

so for any particular $v, \neq 0$

$$\|Q_h\| \geq \frac{\|Qv\|_h}{\|v\|_h}$$

Pick $v_j = (-1)^j$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \|v\|_h^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^N (-1)^{2j} h \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^N 1 \right) h \\ &= h(N+1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_+ v\|_h^2 &= h \sum_j \left[\frac{(E - E^0)}{h} v_j \right]^2 \\ &= h^{-1} \sum_j [(-1)^{j+1} - (-1)^j]^2 \\ &= h^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^N (-1)^{2j} [-1 - 1]^2 \\ &= 4h^{-1} (N+1) \end{aligned}$$

factor out $(-1)^j$

$$\text{So } \|D_+\|_h^2 \geq \frac{\|D_+ v\|_h^2}{\|v\|_h^2} = \frac{4h^{-1}(N+1)}{h(N+1)} = \frac{4}{h^2}$$

$$\|D_+\|_h \geq \frac{2}{h}$$

Now, since $\frac{2}{h} \geq \|D_+\|_h \geq \frac{2}{h}$

$$\|D_+\|_h = \frac{2}{h}$$

"Squeeze theorem"

④

Similarly $\|D\|_h = \frac{2}{h}$

A similar trick for D_0 , picking $v_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}$

Gives $\|D_0\|_h = \frac{1}{h}$

We now have the analysis tools to talk about the first property \Rightarrow accuracy!

Now we discuss the discrete Fourier transform, which lets us jump from grid functions to modes. We'll skip some proofs.

Thm There exists a unique ^{trigonometric} polynomial

$$\text{Int}_N(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{w=-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}} \tilde{u}(w) e^{iwx}$$

interpolating u , i.e.

$$u_j = \text{Int}_N(u_j) \quad \text{for } j=0, \dots, N$$

This needs a discrete orthogonality result

Lemma e^{ivx} for $v=0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm \frac{N}{2}$ are orthogonal w.r.t. the discrete inner product

$$(e^{ivx}, e^{imx})_h = \begin{cases} 2\pi & v=m \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Pf (G.K.P.) if $v=m$

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{ivx}, e^{ivx})_h &= \sum_j h \exp(2ivx_j) \\ &= \sum_j h \\ &= (N+1)h \\ &= 2\pi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{iKx} &= \cos Kx + i \sin Kx \\ &= 1 \text{ if } Kx_j = N\pi \\ &\text{for integer } N. \\ x_j &= \frac{N\pi}{N} \\ \text{Pick } N &= N \end{aligned}$$

Remember
 $h = \frac{2\pi}{N+1}$

⑥

Thm The interpolation problem has the unique solution

$$\tilde{u}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (e^{i\omega x}, u)_h \quad |\omega| \leq N/2$$

Pf Assume we can interpolate, so that

$$u_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{\omega=-N/2}^{N/2} \tilde{u}(\omega) e^{i\omega x_j}$$

Mult both sides by $e^{-i\nu x} h$ and sum

$$\sum_j e^{i\nu x} u_j h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{\omega} (e^{i\nu x}, e^{i\omega x})_h \tilde{u}(\omega)$$

by discrete orthogonality

$$(e^{i\nu x}, u)_h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{\omega} \delta_{\nu\omega} 2\pi \tilde{u}(\omega)$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi} \tilde{u}(\nu)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{u}(\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (e^{i\nu x}, u)_h \quad \blacksquare$$

Discrete Parseval

Thm Given $\text{Int}_N u_1, \text{Int}_N u_2$

$$(u_1, u_2)_h = \sum_{w=-\frac{w}{2}}^{w/2} \overline{u_1(w)} u_2(w)$$

We can relate the derivatives of an interpolant to the finite difference operator on grid functions

Thm Let $\text{Int}_N u$ interp. u

Then $\|\text{Int}_N u\|^2 = \|u\|_h^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_+ u\|_h^2 &\leq \left\| \frac{d}{dx} \text{Int}_N u \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{4} \|D_+ u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Bridge between
continuous integrals
and discrete

For those interested, Gustafson § 1.3 - 1.4 has more analysis, but proofs need some trig/complex wrangling

Fundamental Theorem of Finite Differences (Lax theorem)

Consider the abstract finite difference operator

$$v_j^{n+1} = Q v_j^n \quad Q = \sum_{\nu} A_{\nu}(K, h) E^{\nu}$$
$$v_j^0 = f_j$$

If ① $\|f\|^2 < \infty$ (finite initial data)

② There exists a ~~constant~~ constant K_s independent of h, K so that

$$\sup |\hat{Q}^n| \leq K_s \quad (\text{stability})$$

③ For any w

$$\lim_{K, h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t_n \leq T} |\hat{Q}^n(\xi) - e^{i w t_n}| = 0 \quad (\text{consistency})$$

Then the FD scheme converges

$$\lim_{K, h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t_n \leq T} \|u(\cdot, t_n) - \text{Int}_N(v_j^n)\| = 0$$

skip proof, discuss implications for code development

⑨