



DAY 28 — Model Evaluation & Validation

Goal :

Learn to answer **professional ML questions**:

- *Is my model good or just lucky?*
 - *Will it perform on unseen data?*
 - *Is it overfitting or underfitting?*
 - *Which model should I deploy?*
-

1 Why Accuracy Alone Is Dangerous

Accuracy hides problems.

Example:

- 95% data = class 0
- Model predicts always 0
- Accuracy = 95% but useless

We need **better metrics**.

2 Confusion Matrix

A table showing **what the model got right/wrong**.

	Predicted 0	Predicted 1
Actual 0	TN	FP
Actual 1	FN	TP

Why it matters

- Shows error types
 - Critical for ML decisions
-

3 Precision, Recall, F1-Score

Precision

Of predicted positives, how many were correct?

$$\text{Precision} = \text{TP} / (\text{TP} + \text{FP})$$

Important when **false positives are costly**

F1 Score

Balance between precision and recall

$$F1 = 2 * (\text{precision} * \text{recall}) / (\text{precision} + \text{recall})$$

Best for **imbalanced datasets**.

4 Classification Report

Provides:

- Precision
- Recall
- F1-score
- Support

One line of code gives everything.

5 ROC Curve & AUC

ROC Curve

- True Positive Rate vs False Positive Rate

AUC

- Area under curve
- Higher = better

AUC	Meaning
0.5	Random

0.7+	Acceptable
0.9+	Excellent

6 Cross-Validation

Instead of 1 train-test split, use **multiple splits**.

K-Fold CV:

- Split data into K parts
- Train K times
- Average performance

Reduces randomness

More reliable evaluation

7 Bias vs Variance

Problem	Meaning
High Bias	Underfitting
High Variance	Overfitting

Symptoms

- High train score + low test score → Overfitting
- Low train & test score → Underfitting

8 Validation Set vs Test Set

Dataset	Purpose
Train	Learn
Validation	Tune
Test	Final evaluation

Test set must be **touched once only**.

9 When to Use Which Metric?

Use case	Metric
Balanced data	Accuracy
Medical	Recall
Fraud	Precision
General ML	F1
Model comparison	Cross-val score