

Title of Paper

A. N. AuthorOne¹, A. N. AuthorTwo²

¹Institution, contact postal address
Telephone: (international codes)
Fax: (international codes)
Email:

²Institution, contact postal address
Telephone: (international codes)
Fax: (international codes)
Email:

1. Introduction

The purpose of providing these notes is to standardise the format of abstracts submitted to Geocomputation 2011. These notes are reworked from the author guidelines for Geocomputation 2009, which in turn were reworked from those for the GISRUK 2007 conference.

Each section of the paper should be numbered, as shown in this example. The section heading should be left-aligned with bold type. Times New Roman 12pt font should be used for the main text and Arial font for the title and section headings (16pt for the title and 14pt for the section headings).

The main text should just be justified, as in this example. The first line of the first paragraph in each section should not be indented. The rest of the paragraphs should be indented by 0.5cm, as in this example.

Abstracts should be written in English, using UK spelling. Authors are requested to keep to the limit of 1500 words. Submissions which fail to follow the specified format and prescribed length will not be considered for inclusion.

1.1 Sub-sections

Sub-sections should be numbered as shown in this example. The sub-section heading should be left-aligned with bold Arial font (size 12pt). The use of sub-sub-sections is discouraged.

2. Equations, Figures and Tables

Equations should be centred on the page and numbered consecutively in the right-hand margin as (1), (2), etc. They should be referred to in the text as, for example, equation 1.

Tables should be in the style shown below or as close as possible and should be referenced as table 1, table 2, etc. Figures should be presented at relevant locations in the text and not at the end of the paper. They should be referred to as fig. 1, fig. 2, etc. Colour figures are acceptable, since contributions will be published exclusively in electronic form. The authors should however ensure that they are of a sufficient quality: 200dpi for photographic images and 300dpi for line drawings. Example placements for tables and figures can be found below.

Year	Location	Country
1996	Leeds	UK
1997	Otago	New Zealand
1998	Bristol	UK
1999	Fredericksburg	USA
2000	Greenwich	UK
2001	Brisbane	Australia
2003	Southampton	UK
2005	Ann Arbor	USA
2007	Maynooth	Ireland
2009	Sydney	Australia
2011	London	UK

Table 1. Venues of Geocomputation conferences 1996-2011.



Figure 1. The logo of University College London.

3. References and Citations

A list of references cited should be provided at the end of the paper. Citations of these within the text should follow the Harvard system of referencing as follows - there are several good papers in this area (Authority 1973, Learned and Expert 1982), although the work by Fudgit et al. (1997) is an exception.

The reference list should be formatted as in this example, using 10pt font and 1cm hanging paragraphs for each reference.

4. File format

Abstracts should be submitted as files compatible with Microsoft Word (e.g. Word document, Rich Text format) or Acrobat (pdf). Files larger than 1MB should be sent as zipped archives. Abstracts should be submitted as email attachments and sent to: geocomputation2011@cege.ucl.ac.uk. The email should contain the following contact information for the corresponding author: name, institution, postal address, telephone number, email address.

5. Deadline

The submission deadline is 28 January 2011. Authors will be notified whether they have been accepted for paper presentation or poster presentation by the end of February 2011. Those accepted as poster presentations may be given the opportunity, at a later date, of presenting in a paper should some presenters withdraw.

Any questions regarding the submission and publication process should be addressed to geocomputation2011@cege.ucl.ac.uk.

6. Acknowledgements

Acknowledgement should be made of any funding bodies who have supported the work reported in the paper, of those who have given permission for their work to be reproduced, or of individuals whose particular assistance is due recognition.

7. References

- Authority F, 1973, Stating the obvious: an interdisciplinary approach. *Journal of Entirely Predictable Results*, 63(2):1037-1068.
- Fudgit B, Publish HWP and Writer AB, 1997, *Looming deadlines and how to deal with them*. Partridge & Co, Norwich, UK.
- Learned C and Expert M, 1982, Reworking previous publications for fun and profit. In: Doctor K and Professor B (eds), *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Something You Thought Was Relevant But Isn't Really*, Los Angeles, USA, 120-149.