ART internals

Adrian Johnstone

a.johnstone@rhul.ac.uk

August 19, 2025

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	ART development history	3
3	Characters and strings; codepoints and glyphs	5
4	Bootstranning and how to undate the ART script language	7

List of Figures

List of Tables

Introduction

This document is a guide to the internal structure of ART and its various algorithms. It is primarily aimed at researchers in programming language specification and implementation, but will also be of interest to those wishing to extend ART or to use it as an API adjunct to their own application code. Readers are expected to already be competent users of ART and to be familiar with the contents the ART user and laboratory manuals.

Most of the content takes the form of independent notes on aspects of ART. At some point in the future these notes will be smoothed into a coherent single narrative

ART is a tool for processing textual inputs according to rules that specify the set of acceptable inputs and the effects to be generated by those inputs. We specify the acceptable inputs using *syntax rules* and the corresponding effects using *semantic rules*.

ART is bootstrapped by which we mean that it is self-specified: the ART specification language is specified by a script written in that language, and ART's internal algorithms (lexers, parsers, term rewriters and attribute evaluators) are used to generate ART's effects. Technical details of the bootstrapping mechanism, along with instructions for updating the ART script language will be found in Chapter ??

ART development history

V1 constituted initial experiments in C with the GLL algorithm using core code from the GTB tool.

V2 was the first standalone GLL parser generator, written in C.

V3 began as a port to Java, subsequently massively extended. Most of our research work on parsing has been implemented in V3.

The approach to GLL in V1–3 is as we describe in the papers, that is a parser is written out as a separate piece of code which is then compiled and run. An attribute-action interpreter is included with the parser code which allows L-attributed actions to be executed, in a similar style to RDP, except that the actions are specified in Java, not C.

The core data structure in V1–3 is the representation of a grammar as a tree composed over specialised nodes which correspond to the elements of EBNF grammars such as alternation, sequencing and closures. Derivations are represented by a parallel family of tree node types. These representations turn out to be verbose and rather unweildy.

V4 contains an efficient implementation of immutable terms in the style of CWI's A-terms. Derivations are represented by these terms, and grammars are represented by derivations in the ART scripting language grammar, augmented by maps representing GLL attributes. This approach has proved to be easier to reason about and extend than the V1–V3 style.

V4 also contains a term rewriter, a term based value system and front-end syntax for specifying SOS-style rewrite rules. The value system's implementation is naïve and thus inefficient: it needs to be improved in some future version.

Our UG students have been using V3 to construct attribute-action interpreters, and V4 to construct SOS interpreters. In detail, they use must also use V3 to generate the GLL parsers that front-end the SOS interpreters.

V5 provides interpreted implementations of GLL, that is parsers that run inplace without needing to generate a separately compiled parser (although they can do that as well, in both Java and C). The resulting derivations are directly supplied to the rewriter and to the attribute-action interpreter.

In V5, actions are expressions over the value system, not embedded Java or C

fragments; the goal being to ensure that interpreted semantics and compiled semantics in Java and in C show the same behaviour. V5 also comes with an integrated development environment (IDE).

Characters and strings; codepoints and glyphs

Bootstrapping, and how to update the ART script language