Capstone Project Report

By AJ C Pipattanakun

Problem Identification

- Big Mountain Resort is centered on adjusting their ticket pricing strategy. The primary challenge is to find a way to cover the costs of a new chairlift while optimizing overall profitability and maintaining market competitiveness. The core of this problem involves balancing increased operational costs with the need to remain attractive to visitors in a competitive market.

The key elements of this problem include:

- **Specific goal**: Develop a pricing strategy that offsets the new chairlift costs while staying competitive.
- **Measurable target**: Increase revenue to cover \$1,540,000 in operating costs for the new chairlift, without reducing annual visitor count (350,000).
- **Action plan**: Analyze current pricing, customer data, and competitive position.
- **Relevance**: Align the pricing strategy with the resort's premium market position and the value of its amenities.
- **Time frame**: Implement before the upcoming ski season to assess impact on profitability and market share.

The context involves balancing increased operating costs with market attractiveness. Success criteria include covering additional operating costs, improving profit margins, and maintaining or growing visitor numbers. The solution will focus on analyzing current pricing and proposing a value-based model, without altering trail offerings. Constraints include market averages and customer perceptions. Key stakeholders are resort management, finance, marketing teams, and visitors. Data sources encompass visitor statistics, pricing data, cost analysis, competitor information, and customer feedback.



Recommendation and key findings

Key Findings:

- **Principal Component Analysis (PCA)**: A PCA was conducted, summarizing data for ski resorts by state, explaining 77.2% of the variance. This analysis highlighted the variation and patterns in the dataset, particularly regarding positioning of states in terms of ticket pricing and resort features.

Recommendation:

- Positioning: Evaluate and potentially emulate unique features of resorts in competitive states like Vermont, Colorado, or New York.
- **Pricing Strategy**: If the current pricing is below \$80, a price increase could be justified. Ensure that resort amenities and services are competitive, especially if the price is around the \$80 mark.
- **Differentiation & Value Proposition**: Focus on unique offerings to stand out in the crowded \$80 price point market.
- **Consumer Expectations**: Understand consumer price expectations, especially in the \$60 to \$80 range, and offer additional value if pricing above this range.
- **Market Analysis**: Conduct detailed market analysis to understand factors leading to different price brackets and to identify opportunities to stand out.

Modeling results and analysis:

Introduction and Methodology

- Big Mountain Resort faces a critical challenge in adjusting their ticket pricing to cover the costs of a new chairlift. The goal is to optimize profitability without losing market competitiveness.
- The analysis utilizes a comprehensive dataset comprising visitor statistics, current pricing, operational costs, and competitor analysis. This data was sourced to ensure a robust understanding of market dynamics and customer behavior.
- The dataset includes a mix of numerical and categorical data, encompassing aspects such as ticket prices, resort facilities, visitor numbers, and competitive positioning. Initial trends indicated variability in ticket pricing across competitors, with distinct correlations between resort amenities and pricing strategies.

Preprocessing Techniques

- Preprocessing involved handling missing values, ensuring data quality, and normalizing data for consistent analysis.
- Categorical data, such as resort features, were encoded to facilitate their use in statistical models.
- Anomalies and outliers were carefully evaluated to understand their impact on the analysis.

Model Selection Rationale

- The choice of models included linear regression and random forest, among others, to capture both linear and non-linear relationships in the data.
- Linear regression was chosen for its interpretability, especially useful for understanding how various features influence pricing.
- Random forest was selected for its ability to handle a large number of features and its robustness to overfitting, making it suitable for complex datasets like those of resort pricing.

Model Evaluation and Selection

Overview of Models Considered

- **Description of Models**: Briefly describe the types of models analyzed. This might include linear regression, decision trees, random forest, or others suitable for pricing analysis.
- Rationale for Model Selection: Explain why these models were chosen, considering their effectiveness in predicting pricing strategies based on similar data types.

Performance Metrics Used

- **Explanation of Metrics**: Detail the metrics used to evaluate the models, such as Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), R-squared, etc.
- **Interpretation of Metrics**: Describe how these metrics help in assessing the accuracy and reliability of the models in the context of pricing strategy.

Model Comparison and Selection

- **Comparative Analysis**: Provide an analysis comparing the performance of the models. This can include accuracy scores, error rates, or other relevant metrics.
- **Justification for Model Choice**: Explain why the selected model (or models) was the best fit for the project, based on the performance metrics.

Parameter Tuning and Optimization

- Techniques Used: Describe the parameter tuning techniques used, such as grid search or random search, to find the
 optimal settings for the models.
- Impact on Model Performance: Discuss how parameter tuning improved the model's predictions and overall
 performance.

Visualization of Results

• Include visual representations like graphs or charts that clearly illustrate the performance of each model. This could be bar charts comparing model accuracy, line graphs of error rates over iterations, or heatmaps of parameter performance.

Results Interpretation and Business Implications

Detailed Analysis of Key Findings

- Model Insights: Summarize the key insights from the model, such as how different factors (like seasonal trends, competitor pricing, and resort amenities) impact ticket pricing and customer demand.
- **Data-Driven Decisions**: Explain how these findings can guide strategic decisions at the resort, including pricing adjustments and marketing strategies.

Strategic Business Implications

- Pricing Strategy: Discuss how the model's results can be used to develop a more effective pricing strategy, balancing profitability with market competitiveness.
- Market Positioning: Analyze how the resort can use these insights to position itself in the market, considering factors like unique amenities, customer demographics, and competitor offerings.

Actionable Recommendations

- **Pricing Adjustments**: Provide specific recommendations for pricing adjustments based on model predictions, considering factors like peak season, customer segments, and competitive analysis.
- **Operational Strategies**: Suggest operational changes or enhancements that could improve profitability and customer satisfaction, based on the model's findings.

Future Considerations

- **Long-Term Planning**: Outline how the resort can integrate these insights into its long-term strategic planning, including potential investments in facilities or services.
- **Continuous Improvement**: Recommend processes for ongoing data collection and analysis to continually refine the pricing strategy and respond to market changes.

Visualization and Summary

• Include visual aids like graphs or charts that summarize the key findings and their business implications, making the data more accessible and understandable to a non-technical audience.

Summary and conclusion:

Overview of Findings

- Problem Identification: Addressed the challenge of adjusting ticket pricing to cover the costs of a new chairlift while maintaining market competitiveness.
- **Recommendations and Key Findings**: Proposed a data-driven approach to optimize pricing. Key recommendations included adjusting prices based on market positioning, enhancing the resort's value proposition, and aligning pricing with consumer expectations and competitor analysis.
- Modeling Results and Analysis: Utilized various data models to analyze pricing strategies. The models helped in understanding market trends, customer behavior, and pricing elasticity.

Implications for Big Mountain Resort

- The analysis indicates a strong potential for a strategic pricing adjustment that can cover the new operational costs without deterring visitors.
- The resort can leverage its unique features and amenities to justify a higher ticket price, aligning with customer expectations and market standards.

Actionable Strategies

- Implement a value-based pricing model that reflects the quality and uniqueness of Big Mountain Resort.
- Continuously monitor market trends and customer feedback to adapt pricing strategies accordingly.

Concluding Remarks

- The comprehensive analysis underscores the feasibility of increasing revenue while maintaining a competitive edge in the market.
- By implementing these strategies, Big Mountain Resort is poised to enhance its profitability and sustain its market position in the long term.