



Spring 2017

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Book

- > Michael Sipser, Introduction to the Theory of Computation (3E), Thomson
- > No handouts, its your responsibly to take notes.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » In this Theory of Computation course we will try to answer the following questions:
- » What are the mathematical properties of computer hardware and software?
- » What is a computation and what is an algorithm? Can we give rigorous mathematical definitions of these notions?
- » What are the limitations of computers? Can “everything” be computed? (As we will see, the answer to this question is “no”.)
- » **Purpose of the Theory of Computation:**

Develop formal mathematical models of computation that reflect real-world computers.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » Complexity Theory
- » The main question asked in this area is “What makes some problems computationally hard and other problems easy?”
- » Informally, a problem is called “easy”, if it is efficiently solvable. Examples of “easy” problems are
 - > Sorting a sequence of, e.g, 1,000,000 numbers,
 - > Searching for a name in a telephone directory
 - > Computing the fastest way to drive from Esenler to Beşiktaş.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Complexity Theory

» On the other hand, a problem is called “hard”, if it cannot be solved efficiently, or if we don’t know whether it can be solved efficiently. Examples of “hard” problems are

- > Time table scheduling for all courses
- > Factoring a 300-digit integer into its prime factors
- > Computing a layout for chips in VLSI.

» Central Question in Complexity Theory:

Classify problems according to their degree of “difficulty”. Give a rigorous proof that problems that seem to be “hard” are really “hard”.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » Computability Theory
- » In the 1930's, scientists discovered that some of the fundamental mathematical problems cannot be solved by a “computer”. (computers were invented in 1940s). For example: "Is an arbitrary mathematical statement true or false?"
- » To attack such a problem, we need formal definitions of the notions of
 - > computer
 - > algorithm
 - > computation

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » Computability Theory
- » The theoretical models that were proposed in order to understand solvable and unsolvable problems led to the development of real computers.
- » Central Question in Computability Theory:
Classify problems as being solvable or unsolvable.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » Automata Theory
- » Automata Theory deals with definitions and properties of different types of “computation models”. Examples :
 - > Finite Automata. These are used in text processing, compilers, and hardware design.
 - > Context-Free Grammars. These are used to define programming languages and in Artificial Intelligence.
 - > Turing Machines. These form a simple abstract model of a “real” computer, such as your PC at home.
- » Central Question in Automata Theory:

Do these models have the same power, or can one model solve more problems than the other?

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Set Theory

» A set is a collection of well-defined objects.

- > The set of **natural numbers** is $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.
- > The set of **integers** is $\mathbf{Z} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.
- > The set of **rational numbers** is $\mathbf{Q} = \{m/n : m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \neq 0\}$.
 - + What is irrational numbers?
- > The set of **real numbers** is denoted by \mathbf{R} .
- > If A and B are sets, then A is a **subset** of B, written as $A \subseteq B$, if every element of A is also an element of B.
- > If B is a set, then the **power set** $P(B)$ of B is defined to be the set of all subsets of B:
 - ❖ $P(B) = \{A : A \subseteq B\}$.
 - ❖ Observe $\phi \in P(B)$ and $B \in P(B)$.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Set Theory

> If A and B are two sets, then

+ their union is defined as

$$- A \cup B = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\},$$

+ their intersection is defined as

$$- A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\},$$

+ their difference is defined as

$$- A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\},$$

+ the Cartesian product of A and B is defined as

$$- A \times B = \{(x, y) : x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\},$$

+ the complement of A is defined as

$$- \bar{A} = \{x : x \notin A\}.$$

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Set Theory

- > A **binary relation** on two sets A and B is a subset of $A \times B$.
- > A **function** f from A to B , denoted by $f : A \rightarrow B$, is a binary relation R , having the property that for each element $a \in A$, there is exactly one ordered pair in R , whose first component is a . We will also say that $f(a) = b$, or f maps a to b , or the image of a under f is b . The set A is called the **domain** of f , and the set $\{b \in B : \text{there is an } a \in A \text{ with } f(a) = b\}$ is called the **range** of f .
- > A binary relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ is an **equivalence relation**, if it satisfies the following three conditions:
 - + R is **reflexive**: For every element in $a \in A$, we have $(a, a) \in R$.
 - + R is **symmetric**: For all a and b in A , if $(a, b) \in R$, then $(b, a) \in R$.
 - + R is **transitive**: For all a , b , and c in A , if $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$, then also $(a, c) \in R$.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » Boolean Logic
- » The Boolean values are 1 and 0, that represent true and false, respectively. The basic Boolean operations:
- » **negation** (or NOT), represented by \neg ,
- » **conjunction** (or AND), represented by \wedge ,
- » **disjunction** (or OR), represented by \vee ,
- » **exclusive-or** (or XOR), represented by \oplus ,
- » **equivalence**, represented by \leftrightarrow or \Leftrightarrow ,
- » **implication**, represented by \rightarrow or \Rightarrow . ,

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Truth Table (0=false, 1=true)

NOT	AND	OR	XOR	equivalence	implication
$\neg 0 = 1$	$0 \wedge 0 = 0$	$0 \vee 0 = 0$	$0 \oplus 0 = 0$	$0 \Leftrightarrow 0 = 1$	$0 \Rightarrow 0 = 1$
$\neg 1 = 0$	$0 \wedge 1 = 0$	$0 \vee 1 = 1$	$0 \oplus 1 = 1$	$0 \Leftrightarrow 1 = 0$	$0 \Rightarrow 1 = 1$
	$1 \wedge 0 = 0$	$1 \vee 0 = 1$	$1 \oplus 0 = 1$	$1 \Leftrightarrow 0 = 0$	$1 \Rightarrow 0 = 0$
	$1 \wedge 1 = 1$	$1 \vee 1 = 1$	$1 \oplus 1 = 0$	$1 \Leftrightarrow 1 = 1$	$1 \Rightarrow 1 = 1$

» Implication (if ... then ...)

> antecedent (condition)->consequence (promise)

» E.g.

> p: "you take out the trash".

> q: "you get a dollar"

> $p \Rightarrow q$ is false only if you take out the trash but don't get a dolar.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Proof Techniques

» In mathematics, a **theorem** is a statement that is true. A **proof** is a sequence of mathematical statements that form an argument to show that a theorem is true.

> **Axioms:** assumptions about the underlying mathematical structures

> **Hypotheses:** a supposition or proposed explanation made based on limited evidence as a starting point for further investigation.

> **Theorem:** described above

> **Lemmas:** previously proved theorems

> **Corollaries:** Special cases of theorem

» There is no specified way of producing a proof, but there are some generic strategies that could be of help.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Proof Techniques

» Tips:

- > **Read** and completely understand the statement of the theorem to be proved. Most often this is the hardest part. **Rewrite** the statement in your own words.
- > Sometimes, theorems contain theorems inside them. For example, “Property A if and only if property B”, requires showing **two statements**:
 - + (a) If property A is true, then property B is true ($A \rightarrow B$).
 - + (b) If property B is true, then property A is true ($B \rightarrow A$).
- > Another example is the theorem “Set A equals set B.” To prove this, we need to prove that $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$. That is, we need to show that each element of set A is in set B, and that each element of set B is in set A.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Proof Techniques

» Tips:

- > Try to **work out a few simple cases** of the theorem just to get a grip on it (i.e., crack a few simple cases first).
- > Try to **write down the proof** once you have it. This is to ensure the correctness of your proof. Often, mistakes are found at the time of writing.
- > Finding proofs takes time, we do not come prewired to produce proofs. **Be patient**, think, express and write clearly and try to be precise as much as possible.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Proof Techniques

- > Direct Proofs or Constructive Proofs or Proof by Construction
- > Nonconstructive Proofs
- > Proofs by Contradiction
- > Proofs by Induction
- > Pigeon Principle

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Proof Techniques - **Direct Proofs**

- » As the name suggests, in a direct proof of a theorem, we just approach the theorem directly.
- » **Theorem:** If n is an odd positive integer, then n^2 is odd as well.
- » **Proof:** An odd positive integer n can be written as: $n = 2k + 1$, for some integer $k \geq 0$. Then:
 - ❖ $n^2 = (2k + 1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$.
 - ❖ Since $2(2k^2 + 2k)$ is even, and “even plus one is odd”, we can conclude that
 - ❖ n^2 is odd.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Proof Techniques - Constructive Proofs

(Proof By Construction)

- » Many theorems state that a particular type of object exists
- » One way to prove is to find a way to construct one such object
- » This technique is called proof by construction
- » **Theorem:** There exists a rational number p which can be expressed as a^b , with a and b both irrational.

A constructive proof of the above theorem on irrational powers of irrationals would give an actual example, such as:

$$a = \sqrt{2}, \quad b = \log_2 9, \quad a^b = 3.$$

The square root of 2 is irrational, and 3 is rational. $\log_2 9$ is also irrational: if it were equal to $\frac{m}{n}$, then, by the properties of

logarithms, 9^n would be equal to 2^m , but the former is odd, and the latter is even.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » Proof Techniques - **Proof by Contradiction**
- » The proof by contradiction is grounded in the fact that **any proposition must be either true or false, but not both true and false at the same time.**
- » One common way to prove a theorem is to assume that the theorem is false, and then show that this assumption leads to an obviously false consequence (also called a contradiction)
- » This type of reasoning is used frequently in everyday life.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Proof Techniques - **Proof by Contradiction**

- » Let us define a number is rational if it can be expressed as p/q where p and q are integers; if it cannot, then the number is called irrational.
- » **Theorem:** $\sqrt{2}$ (the square root of 2) is irrational.
- » **Proof:** Assume that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then, it can be written as p/q for some positive integers p and q such that **p and q does not have a common factor.**
- » Then, we have $p^2/q^2 = 2$, or $2q^2 = p^2$
- » (continued in next page)

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Proof Techniques - **Proof by Contradiction**

- » Since $2q^2$ is an even number, p^2 is also an even number
- » This implies that **p is an even number** (why?)
- » So, $p = 2r$ for some integer r , and so, $2q^2 = p^2 = (2r)^2 = 4r^2$
- » This implies $2r^2 = q^2$
- » So, **q is an even number**
- » Something wrong happens!.. We now have:
- » “p and q does not have common factor”
- » AND
- » “p and q have common factor”
- » **This is a contradiction**
- » Thus, the assumption is wrong, so that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » Proof Techniques - **Proof by Induction**
- » For each positive integer n , let $P(n)$ be a mathematical statement that depends on n . Assume we wish to prove that $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers n . A proof by induction of such a statement is carried out as follows:
 - » **Basis:** Prove that $P(1)$ is true.
 - » **Induction step:** Prove that for all $n \geq 1$, the following holds: If $P(n)$ is true, then $P(n + 1)$ is also true.
 - » In the induction step, we choose an arbitrary integer n and assume that $P(n)$ is true; this is called the induction hypothesis. Then we prove that $P(n + 1)$ is also true.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

» Proof Techniques - **Proof by Induction**

» **Theorem:** For all positive integers n , we have

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = n(n + 1)/2$$

» **Proof:** Start with the basis of the induction. If $n = 1$, then the left-hand side is equal to 1, and so is the right-hand side. So the theorem is true for $n = 1$.

» For the induction step, let $n \geq 1$ and assume that the theorem is true for n , i.e., assume that



$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = n(n + 1)/2$$

» We have to prove that the theorem is true for $n + 1$




BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » Proof Techniques – **Pigeonhole Principle**
- » If $n + 1$ or more objects are placed into n boxes, then there is at least one box containing two or more objects.
- » In other words, if A and B are two sets such that $|A| > |B|$, then there is no one-to-one function from A to B .




pigeons



pigeonholes



A pigeonhole must
contain at least two pigeons




- » Proof techniques: Pigeonhole Principle.
- » Example: Prove that if seven distinct numbers are selected from $\{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$, then some two of these numbers sum to 12
- » All numbers from 1 to 11 can be put into following **6 pigeonholes**: $\{1, 11\}, \{2, 10\}, \{3, 9\}, \{4, 8\}, \{5, 7\}, \{6\}$.
- » We select **7** distinct numbers (**pigeons**). First 6 pigeon can be put to different pigeonholes. But after that we have to put to an existing pigeonhole. The pigeonhole of 6 can hold only one pigeon 😊.

BLM2502 Theory of Computation

- » Proof Techniques – Pigeonhole Principle
- » **Theorem:** Let n be a positive integer. Every sequence of $n^2 + 1$ distinct natural numbers contains a subsequence of length $n + 1$ that is either increasing or decreasing.
- » **Proof:** For example consider the sequence
(8,11,9,1,4,6,12,10,5,7)
of $10 = 3^2 + 1$ numbers. This sequence contains a decreasing subsequence of length $4 = 3 + 1$, shown. There are other subsequences of length 4, too.

Proof: Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n^2+1}$ be a sequence of $n^2 + 1$ distinct real numbers. Associate an ordered pair with each term of the sequence, namely, associate (i_k, d_k) to the term a_k , where i_k is the length of the longest increasing subsequence starting at a_k , and d_k is the length of the longest decreasing subsequence starting at a_k .

Suppose that there are no increasing or decreasing subsequences of length $n + 1$. Then i_k and d_k are both positive integers less than or equal to n , for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n^2 + 1$. Hence, by the product rule there are n^2 possible ordered pairs for (i_k, d_k) . By the pigeonhole principle, two of these $n^2 + 1$ ordered pairs are equal. In other words, there exist terms a_s and a_t , with $s < t$ such that $i_s = i_t$ and $d_s = d_t$. We will show that this is impossible. Because the terms of the sequence are distinct, either $a_s < a_t$ or $a_s > a_t$. If $a_s < a_t$, then, because $i_s = i_t$, an increasing subsequence of length $i_t + 1$ can be built starting at a_s , by taking a_s followed by an increasing subsequence of length i_t beginning at a_t . This is a contradiction. Similarly, if $a_s > a_t$, the same reasoning shows that d_s must be greater than d_t , which is a contradiction. 

Sequence=(8,11,9,1,4,6,12,10,5,7)

If there are no sequences of length $n + 1$, there are only pairs. Then since we have $n^2 + 1$ distinct numbers. At least 2 pairs are equal by pigeonhole principle. But this is not possible as numbers are **distinct**.