

Consider the following grammar: $E \rightarrow T + E \mid T$ $T \rightarrow F * T \mid F$ F -> (E) | id The following input string can be parsed using II(1) parsing: ... id + id * id The first token of the input string is "id". The parse rule that has "id" as its left-hand side is "F -> id". This rule is applied to the input string, resulting in the following parse tree: • • • • Ε \

Τ

\

F

\

id

• • • •

The input string is now "id + id * id". The first token of the input string is "+". The parse rule that has "+" as its left-hand side is "E -> T + E". This rule is applied to the input string, resulting in the following parse tree:

...

Ε

\

Т

\

F

\

id

/

+

\

Е

\

Т

\

F

\

id

. . .

The input string is now "id * id". The first token of the input string is "*". The parse rule that has "*" as its left-hand side is "T -> F * T". This rule is applied to the input string, resulting in the following parse tree:

Ε

\

Т

\

F

\

id

1

+

\

Е

\

Т

\

F

\

id

/

*

١

Т

```
F
\
id
```

The input string is now "id". The first token of the input string is "id". The parse rule that has "id" as its left-hand side is "F -> id". This rule is applied to the input string, resulting in the following parse tree:

E

T

F

id

/

Ε

\

Т

\

F

```
\
id
/
*

T
\
F
\
id
/
id
/
id
/
id
```

The input string is now empty. The parse tree is complete.