

# Mathematical Biostatistics Boot Camp 2: Lecture

Brian Caffo

Department of Biostatistics  
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health  
Johns Hopkins University

October 3, 2013

# Table of contents

## ① Multiplicity

## ② Bonferroni

## ③ FDR

# Multiplicity

- After rejecting a  $\chi^2$  omnibus test you do all pairwise comparisons
- You conducted a study with 20 outcomes and 30 different combinations of covariates. You consider significance at all combinations.
- You compare diseased tissue versus normal tissue expression levels for  $20k$  genes
- You compare rest versus active at  $300k$  voxels in an fMRI study

## Multiplicity

- Performing two  $\alpha$ -level tests:  
 $H_0^1$  versus  $H_a^1$  and  $H_0^2$  versus  $H_a^2$   
 $E_1$  Reject  $H_0^1$  and  $E_2$  Reject  $H_0^2$

$$\begin{aligned} FWE &= P(\text{one or more false rej} \mid H_0^1, H_0^2) \\ &= P(E_1 \cup E_2 \mid H_0^1, H_0^2) \\ &= P(E_1 \mid H_0^1, H_0^2) + P(E_2 \mid H_0^1, H_0^2) \\ &\quad - P(E_1 \cap E_2 \mid H_0^1, H_0^2) \\ &\leq P(E_1 \mid H_0^1, H_0^2) + P(E_2 \mid H_0^1, H_0^2) \\ &= 2 \times \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Result : The **familywise error rate** for  $k$  hypotheses tested at level  $\alpha$  is bounded by  $k\alpha$

$E_i$  - false rejection for test  $i$

All probabilities are conditional on all of the nulls being true

$$\begin{aligned} FWE &= P(\text{one or more false rej}) \\ &= P(\cup_{i=1}^k E_i) \\ &= P\left\{E_1 \cup (\cup_{i=2}^k E_i)\right\} \\ &\leq P(E_1) + P(\cup_{i=2}^k E_i) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq P(E_1) + P(E_2) + \dots + P(E_k) \\ &= k\alpha \end{aligned}$$

## Other direction

- The *FWE* is no larger than  $k\alpha$  where  $k$  is the number of tests
- The *FWE* is no smaller than  $\alpha$

$$P(\cup_{i=1}^k E_i) \geq P(E_1) = \alpha$$

- The lower bound is obtained when the  $E_i$  are identical  $E_1 = E_2 = \dots = E_k$
- **Bonferroni's** tests each individual hypothesis at level  $\alpha^* = \alpha/k$ 
  - The *FWE* is no larger than  $k\alpha^* = k\alpha/k = \alpha$
  - The *FWE* is no smaller than  $\alpha/k$

## Bonferroni's procedure

If  $\alpha^*$  is small and the tests are independent, then the upper bound on the *FWE* is nearly obtained

$$\begin{aligned} FWE &= P(\text{one or more false rej}) \\ &= 1 - P(\text{no false rej}) \\ &= 1 - P(\cap_{i=1}^k \bar{E}_i) \\ &= 1 - (1 - \alpha^*)^k \\ &\approx 1 - (1 - k\alpha^*) \\ &= k\alpha^* = \alpha \end{aligned}$$

## Scratch work

Recall the approximation for  $\alpha^*$  near 0

$$\frac{f(\alpha^*) - f(0)}{\alpha^* - 0} \approx f'(0)$$

hence

$$f(\alpha^*) \approx f(0) + \alpha^* f'(0)$$

In our case  $f(\alpha^*) = (1 - \alpha^*)^k$  so  $f(0) = 1$

$$f'(\alpha^*) = -k(1 - \alpha^*)^{k-1} \text{ so } f'(0) = -k$$

Therefore  $(1 - \alpha^*)^k \approx 1 - k\alpha^*$



- For Bonferroni's procedure  $\alpha^* = \alpha/k$  so will be close to 0 for a large number of tests
- When there are lots of tests that are (close to) independent, the upper bound on the *FWE* used is appropriate
- When the test are closely related, then the *FWE* will be closer to the lower bound, and Bonferroni's procedure is conservative
- Is the familywise error rate always the most appropriate quantity to control for?

- The **false discovery rate** is the proportion of tests that are falsely declared significant
- Controlling the FDR is less conservative than controlling the FWE rate
- Introduced by Benjamini and Hochberg

## Benjamini and Hochberg procedure

- 1 Order your  $k$  p-values, say  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$
- 2 Define  $q_i = kp_i/i$
- 3 Define  $F_i = \min(q_i, \dots, q_k)$
- 4 Reject for all  $i$  so that  $F_i$  is less than the desired FDR

Note that the  $F_i$  are increasing, so you only need to find the largest one so that  $F_i < FDR$

## Example

1st 10 of 50 SNPs (Rosner page 581)

Gene	$i$	$p_i$	$q_i = kp_i/i$	$F_i$
30	1	<.0001	.0035	.0035
20	2	.011	.28	.16
48	3	.017	.28	.16
50	4	.017	.22	.16
4	5	.018	.18	.16
40	6	.019	.16	.16
7	7	.026	.18	.18
14	8	.034	.21	.21
26	9	.042	.23	.23
47	10	.048	.24	.24

## Example

- Bonferroni cutoff  $.05/50 = .001$ ; only the first Gene is significant
- For a FDR of 0 – 15%; only the first Gene would be declared significant
- For a FDR of 16 – 20%, the first 7 would be significant