18.781 PROBLEM SET3

JOHN WANG

1. Problem 1

Problem 1.1. Solve the congruence $x^3 - 9x^2 + 23x - 15 \equiv 0 \pmod{143}$.

Solution First, we note that the prime factorization of 143 = (11)(13). Thus, we can take the congruence modulo 11 and 13 to create two new congruences, since 11 and 13 are prime. This yields:

$$(1.1) x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$$

$$(1.2) x^3 + 4x^2 + 10x + 11 \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$$

In the first equation, we can check all $x \in \{0, 1, ..., 10\}$, and we find that the congruence holds for x = 1, 3, 5. We can do the same in the second equation, and we see that the congruence also holds for x = 1, 3, 5. Thus, we want to find solutions to the simultaneous equations $x \equiv a \pmod{11}$ and $x \equiv b \pmod{13}$ where $a \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ and $b \in \{1, 3, 5\}$. Now we can use the Chinese Remainder Thereom to determine solutions x(a, b) to each of these simultaneous equations. We know that the solution is $x = N_a H_a a + N_b H_b b$ where $N_a = m_b$, $N_b = m_a$, H_a is the multiplicative inverse of $N_a \pmod{a}$, and H_b is the multiplicative inverse of $N_b \pmod{b}$. Therefore, we know that $N_a = 11$, $N_b = 13$, $H_a = 6$, and $H_b = 6$. This means that $x(a, b) = 66a + 78b \pmod{143}$. We can list the solutions then:

Thus we have the following solutions: $x = 1, 14, 27, 133, 3, 15, 122, 135, 5 \pmod{143}$. \square

2. Problem 2

Problem 2.1. What are the last two digits of 2^{100} and 3^{100} ?

Solution First notice that we want to find 2^{100} (mod 100) in order to obtain the last two digits of 2^{100} . Also notice that the sequence 2^k (mod 100) repeats itself in a cycle of length 20. We see that $2^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{100}$ and $2^{22} \equiv 4 \pmod{100}$. Therefore, it is clear that $2^{22+3*20} \equiv 2^{82} \equiv 4 \pmod{100}$. We also know that $2^{100} = 2^{82}2^{18} = 2^{82}2^{10}2^8 \equiv (4)(24)(56) \pmod{100} \equiv 76 \pmod{100}$. Therefore, the last two digits in 2^{100} are 76.

To find the last two digits in 3^{100} , we want to obtain 3^{100} (mod 100). We will use a similar process. As it turns out, the sequence 3^k (mod 100) repeats itself in a cycle of length 20, just as it does for the powers of 2. One can see that $3^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{100}$ and that $3^{22} \equiv 9 \pmod{100}$. Therefore, we see that $3^{22+3*20} \equiv 3^2 \pmod{100}$. We can also write $3^{100} = 3^{82}3^{10}3^8 \equiv (9)(49)(61) \pmod{100}$ since $3^{10} = 59049$ and $3^8 = 6561$. Thus we see that $3^{100} \equiv 1 \pmod{100}$. This the last two digits of 3^{100} are 01. \square

1

2 JOHN WANG

3. Problem 3

Problem 3.1. Find the number of solutions of $x^2 \equiv x \pmod{m}$ for any positive integer m.

Solution First, we know that the $x^2 \equiv x \pmod{m}$ is equivalent to $x^2 - x = x(x-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. First, if m is prime, then we know there are less than or equal to 2 solutions. However, we can choose x=0and x=1 which will satisfy the congruence. Thus, if m is prime, there will be two solutions.

Now, suppose m is composite. Then it can be decomposed into its prime factors: $m = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_r^{e_r}$. If x satisfies $x(x-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ then m|x(x-1) so that $p_i^{e_i}|x(x-1)$. This means that $x(x-1) \equiv 0$ $\pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$. Moreover, if x_i is a solution of $x(x-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, then there exists a unique $x \pmod{m}$ such that:

$$(3.1) x \equiv x_1 \pmod{p_1^{e_1}}$$

$$(3.2) \vdots x \equiv x_r \pmod{p_r^{e_r}}$$

$$(3.2)$$

$$(3.3) x \equiv x_r \pmod{p_r^{e_r}}$$

This follows since we know that $p_1^{e_1}, p_2^{e_2}, \ldots, p_r^{e_r}$ are relatively prime in pairs and we can therefore apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Now x satisfies $x(x-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$. This shows there exists a bijection where the number of solutions to $x^2 \equiv x \pmod{m}$ is equal to the number of solutions to product of the number of solutions to $x^2 \equiv x \pmod{p_i^{e_i}}$ for all i. Thus, if we denote N(m) as the number of solutions x(x-1) modulo m. Thus, we see that $N(m) = N(p_1^{e_1}) \dots N(p_r^{e_r})$.

Now, to evaluate $N(p_i^{e_i})$, we must find the solutions to $x(x-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}^{e_i}$. However, we know that for any integer x > 1, we must have (x, x - 1) = 1. Therefore, it must be true that if p|x then $p \nmid x - 1$ and conversely if p|x-1 then $p\nmid x$. Therefore, either p|x or p|x-1. Moreover, we know that there are at most 2 solutions because $x^2 - x$ is of degree 2 and $p_i^{e_i}$ is a multiple of a prime. Moreover, since $x = 0, 1 \pmod{p_i}^{e_i}$ always work, we know there are exactly 2 solutions. Thus, if r is the number of unique prime factors of m, there will be 2^r solutions to $x^2 \equiv x \pmod{m}$. Since this works when m is prime and when is composite, we are done and there are 2^r solutions. \square

4. Problem 4

Problem 4.1. Show that the number $n = 561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ satisfies the property P: for any a coprime to n, we have $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Solution We must show that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ or equivalently that $a^n \equiv a \pmod{n}$. First, we know that 3, 11, 17 are all primes so that they are pairwise coprime. This means that $a^{\phi(p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, for any $p \in \{3, 11, 17\}$ by Euler's Theorem. We know that for a prime $\phi(p) = p - 1$, so that $\phi(3) = 2$, $\phi(11) = 10$, and $\phi(17) = 16$. Moreover, we see that (a,3) = (a,11) = (a,17) = 1 since 3,11,17 are prime. Writing this out, we have:

$$(4.1) a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$a^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$$

$$a^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$$

Since $a^{560} = (a^2)^{280} = (a^{10})^{56} = (a^{16})^{35}$, we see that $a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, and $a^{560} \equiv 1$ (mod 17). However, since 3, 11, 17 are coprime, the system has a unique solution with modulus $3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 = 561$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Therefore, we see that $a^{560} \equiv 1 \mod 561$, which is what we wanted.

Problem 4.2. Let n be a squarefree composite number satisfying P. Show that n has at least 3 prime factors.

Solution First we will prove a small lemma which will allow us to reach a contradiction.

Lemma 4.4. If n is a squarefree composite number satisfying P and p is a prime factor of n, then p-1|n-1.

Proof. Since n is a squarefree composite number satisfying P, we know that $n|a^n-a$ for all a such that (a,n)=1. This means that $p|a^n-a$ since p is a prime factor of n. However, we know that $p\nmid a$ since (a,p)=1 so that $p|a(a^{n-1}-1)$ implies $p|a^{n-1}-1$. This shows that $a^{n-1}\equiv 1\pmod p$, which means that n-1 must be a multiple of $\phi(p)=p-1$ by Fermat's Little Theorem. Thus, we see that p-1|n-1.

Now the we have established this lemma, we go back to our problem. If n is a squarefree composite number, then there are at least 2 prime factors. Let us assume by contradiction that there exist only 2 18.781 PROBLEM SET3

prime factors, let them be p and q (we cannot have $n = p^{e_p}q^{e_q}$ where $e_p, e_q > 1$ because we assumed they are squarefree. Assume without loss of generality that p > q. Then we use the above lemma to see that

Since clearly $p-1 \nmid p$, we must have p-1|q-1. This is a contradiction because p-1 > q-1 and p,q are prime. This completes the proof \square

Problem 4.3. Write down a sufficient condition for n = pqr where p, q, r are primes to satisfy property P. Then write a qp program to generate a list of ten such numbers n.

Solution We will show that if p = 6m + 1, q = 12m + 1, and r = 18m + 1 are all prime, then n = pqr satisfies property P. First, we know that if p, q, r are all prime, then $a^{\phi(p)} = a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ so that we have the following:

```
(4.8) a^{6m} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}

(4.9) a^{12tm} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}

(4.10) a^{18m} \equiv 1 \pmod{r}
```

We know that p,q,r are pairwise coprime because they themselves are primes. Thus, if we can show that 6|n-1, 12|n-1, and 18|n-1, then we can show that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \mod p, q, r$ and so that the system has a unique solution modulus n=pqr by the Chinese Remainder theorem. This would show $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod n$ and complete the proof. Thus, we must show that 6,12,18|n-1=(6m+1)(12m+1)(18m+1)-1. Expanding out n-1, we have $n-1=1296m^3+396m^2+36m+1-1=1296m^3+396m^2+36m$. It is clear by inspection that lcm(6,12,18)=36 so that 6|36,12|36, and 18|36. Since 36|396 and 36|1296, we see that 6m,12m, and 18m all divide n-1. This completes the proof.

The following gp code returns a list of the first ten such numbers:

The resulting output is:

5. Problem 5

Problem 5.1. Do there exist arbitrarily long sequences of consecutive integers, none of which are squarefree?

Solution We will show that these arbitrarily long sequences do exist. Assume by contradiction that there exists some k such that all sequences $n, n+1, \ldots, n+k$ contain a squarefree number for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it is clear that at least every kth integer must be squarefree. Now choose the first m primes p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m

JOHN WANG

such that $p_1p_2...p_m + k < p_1...p_{m-1}p_{m+1}$. We shall show that for any k, we can always select an m such that this holds.

This is because for any k, we can always choose m such that $k < (p_{m+1} - p_m)(p_1 \dots p_{m-1})$ since there are infinitely many primes, and $(p_1 \dots p_{m-1})$ can be made arbitrarily large. This means that the following holds:

(5.1)
$$\frac{k}{p_1 \dots p_{m-1}} < p_{m+1} - p_m$$
(5.2)
$$p_m + \frac{k}{p_1 \dots p_{m-1}} < p_{m+1}$$

$$(5.2) p_m + \frac{\kappa}{n_1 \dots n_{m-1}} < p_{m+1}$$

$$(5.3) p_1 p_2 \dots p_{m-1} p_m + k < p_1 \dots p_{m-1} p_{m+1}$$

Now let us fix m so that $p_1 \dots p_m + k < p_1 \dots p_{m-1} p_{m+1}$. First, we know that $n = p_1 \dots p_m$ must be a squarefree integer. Thus, as we have shown before, there must be another squarefree integer within the next k integers. Thus, we see that $\exists x$ for which $n < x \le n + k$ such that x is squarefree. However, we also know that in order for x to be squarefree, it must have a prime factorization without any exponents. Moreover, we know that x > n. The smallest possible option for x is then $x = p_1 \dots p_{m-1} p_{m+1}$ because we must replace x_{m+1} with one of the prime factors of n (so as to not repeat a prime factor). However, we have just shown that $p_1 \dots p_m + k = n + k < p_1 \dots p_{m+1} p_{m+1} \le x$. Thus, n + k < x, which is a contradiction of the fact that $n < x \le n + k$. This completes the proof. \square

6. Problem 6

Problem 6.1. Let $f(x) = x^3 - 2$. Write a gp program to calculate the set S of primes p less than 10000 such that f has a solution modulo p. Make a conjeture about the density of such primes.

Solution The gp code is given below. We used the first 1229 primes, since the number of primes below 10000 is 1229. This can be calculated in gp by using primepi(10000) = 1229.

```
numprimes = 1229;
checkprimes = primes(numprimes);
solution_count = 0;
for(i=1, numprimes,
    cprime = checkprimes[i];
    for (j=1, cprime,
        if (Mod(j^3 - 2, cprime) == Mod(0, cprime),
            solution_count ++;
            break;
        );
    );
print("Density: ", solution_count/numprimes);
```

We obtained 818 primes in the set S out of the 1229 possible primes. The resulting density was 0.665 for the first 1229 primes. Using the first 3000 primes, we see that the density was 0.668. Thus, I conjecture that the actual density approaches 2/3 in the limit as $p \to \infty$. \square

Problem 6.2. Now do the same exercise for $f(x) = x^3 - 3x - 1$.

Solution The only thing that needs to be changed in the previous code is in the if statement. Instead of using $Mod(j^2-2, cprime)$, we will now use $Mod(j^3-3j-1, cprime)$. Running the same gp code with this change shows that there are 405 primes less than 10000 for which there exists a solution to $f(x) = x^3 - 3x - 1$ \pmod{p} . Thus the density is 0.329 for the first 1229 primes. Of the first 3000 primes, 1005 had solutions to $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus, I conjecture that the density approaches 1/3 in the limit as $p \to \infty$. \square

Problem 6.3. What qualitative feature of f differentiates these cases?

Solution The polynomial $x^3 - 3x - 1$ can be factored into $x(x^2 - 3) - 1$ while $x^3 - 2$ cannot be factorized further. Thus, the first case has equivalent form of $x(x^2-3) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ while the second case only has the form $x^3 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$. Thus, one can have $x \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or $x^2 - 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ in the first polynomial, but only $x^3 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ in the second polynomial. \square