# 18.781 PROBLEM SET 9

JOHN WANG

## 1. Problem 1

**Problem 1.1.** Recall that  $x = [a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, x_n] = \frac{x_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}}{x_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}}$ . Show that  $x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{q_{n-1}(x_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2})}$ . Use this to show that the convergents  $p_n/q_n$  indeed converge to x, and even and odd-numbered convergents lie on opposite sides of x.

**Solution** We know that the following is true, using the fact that  $x = [a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, x_n]$ :

$$(1.1) x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{x_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}}{x_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}} - \frac{x_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}}{x_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}}$$

(1.1) 
$$x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{x_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}}{x_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}} - \frac{x_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}}{x_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}}$$
(1.2) 
$$= \frac{x_n q_{n-1} p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} q_{n-1} - x_n q_{n-1} p_{n-1} - p_{n-1} q_{n-2}}{(x_n q_{n-1} q_{n-2}) q_{n-1}}$$
(1.3) 
$$= \frac{p_{n-2} q_{n-1} - p_{n-1} q_{n-2}}{(x_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}) q_{n-1}}$$

$$= \frac{p_{n-2}q_{n-1} - p_{n-1}q_{n-2}}{(x_nq_{n-1} + q_{n-2})q_{n-1}}$$

Also, we know that from a theorem proven in class, that  $\frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} - \frac{p_k}{q_k} = \frac{(-1)^k}{q_{k-1}q_k}$ . This implies that  $p_{k-1}q_k - p_kq_{k-1} = (-1)^k$ . Equivalently, setting k = n-1, we see that  $p_{n-2}q_{n-1} - p_{n-1}q_{n-2} = (-1)^{n-1}$ . This shows

(1.4) 
$$x - \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{q_{n-1}(x_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2})}$$

Now recall  $q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}$ , and that  $a_n > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, since  $q_{-1}$  and  $q_{-2}$  are both non-negative, we see that  $q_n$  increases monotonically as  $n \to \infty$ . This means that the RHS of the equation goes to zero as  $n \to \infty$  because  $q_{n-1}$  and  $q_{n-2}$  both converge to  $\infty$  and  $n \to \infty$ . Moreover, we see that  $p_{n-1}/q_{n-1}$  changes signs because  $q_n > 0$  and  $x_n > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , but  $(-1)^{n-1}$  changes sign with odd and even numbered convergents.  $\square$ 

### 2. Problem 2

**Problem 2.1.** It follows from the above problem that  $|x-p_n/q_n| < 1/(q_nq_{n+1})$  and that  $|xq_n-p_n| < 1/q_{n+1}$ . Show that  $|xq_n-p_n| > 1/q_{n+2}$  and therefore that  $|x-\frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}| < |x-\frac{p_n}{q_n}|$ .

**Solution** First we note that based on the fact that  $x = [a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}, x_n]$  and  $x_{n+1} < a_{n+1} + 1$ , we can obtain the following inequalities:

(2.1) 
$$x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{(-1)^n}{q_n(x_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1})} > \frac{(-1)^n}{q_n((a_{n+1} + 1)q_n + q_{n-1})}$$

$$(2.2) xq_n - p_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{q_n + q_{n+1}}$$

The last line uses the fact that  $q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}$  using the recursive definition of  $q_n$ . Moreover, we know that  $q_n + q_{n+1} < q_{n+2}$  because  $a_{n+2} > 0$ . This implies the following:

$$|xq_n - p_n| > \frac{1}{q_{n+2}}$$

Which is the first part of what we wanted to prove. We know that  $|x - \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}| < \frac{1}{q_{n+1}q_{n+2}}$  by the first inequality mentioned in the beginning of the problem. This implies, since  $q_n < q_{n+1}$  that  $|x - \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}| < \frac{1}{q_nq_{n+2}}$ . Moreover, we have already shown that  $|xq_n - p_n| > 1/q_{n+2}$ . This implies that

$$\left| x - \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} \right| < \frac{1}{q_{n+1}q_{n+2}} < \frac{1}{q_nq_{n+2}} < \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right|$$

Which is what we wanted to show.  $\square$ 

2 JOHN WANG

#### 3. Problem 3

**Problem 3.1.** Let  $n \ge 1$ . Show that if a/b is a rational number, with a, b integers and b positive, such that  $|bx - a| < |q_n x - p_n|$ , then  $b \ge q_{n+1}$ .

**Solution** First, we note that we can write the vector (a, b) as an integer linear combination of  $(p_n, q_n)$  and  $(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1})$ . Thus, we need to find integer coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $a = \alpha p_n + \beta p_{n+1}$  and  $b = \alpha q_n + \beta q_{n+1}$ . In other words, we must solve the following matrix equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_n & p_{n+1} \\ q_n & q_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

We know from a theorem proven in class that the determinant can be given by:

(3.2) 
$$\begin{vmatrix} p_n & p_{n+1} \\ q_n & q_{n+1} \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{n+1} \neq 0$$

This shows that we have solutions  $\alpha = (-1)^{n+1}(aq_{n+1} - bp_{n+1})$  and  $\beta = (-1)^{n+1}(bp_n - aq_n)$  to the equations. Next, we shall show that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  have differing signs. First suppose that  $\beta < 0$ . Then we know that  $b = \alpha q_n + \beta q_{n+1}$  so that  $\alpha q_n = b - \beta q_{n+1} > 0$ . Since  $q_n > 0$ , we know that  $\alpha > 0$ . Now suppose that  $\beta \ge 0$ . Then we know that  $b < q_{n+1}$  so that  $aq_n = b - \beta q_{n+1} < 0$ . This implies that  $aq_n < 0$ . Therefore, we must have opposite signs for  $aq_n < 0$ .

Moreover, we know that  $x - \frac{p_n}{q_n}$  and  $x - \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}$  must have different signs from a theorem in class, which implies that  $q_n x - p_n$  and  $q_{n+1} x - p_{n+1}$  have different signs as well. This means, due to the opposite signs, that we can write:

$$|bx - a| = |(\alpha q_n + \beta q_{n+1})x - (\alpha p_n + \beta p_{n+1})|$$

$$= |\alpha||q_n x - p_n| + |\beta||q_{n+1} x - p_{n+1}|$$

Since we know by hypothesis that  $|bx - a| < |q_n x - p_n|$ , and we know that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are integers, we must have  $\alpha = 0$ . This implies that  $0 = (-1)^{n+1}(aq_{n+1} - bp_{n+1})$  which further implies that  $aq_{n+1} = bp_{n+1}$ . Since we know that  $gcd(q_{n+1}, p_{n+1}) = 1$  by a theorem shown in class, we must have  $q_{n+1}|b$ . This implies that  $b \ge q_{n+1}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

**Problem 3.2.** Check that problem 3.1 implies that  $|x - a/b| \ge |x - p_n/q_n|$  for every  $1 \le b \le q_n$ , i.e.  $p_n/q_n$  is a best approximation to x among rational numbers with denominators less than or equal to  $q_n$ .

**Solution** We know from the above that if  $b \le q_n$ , then we must have  $|bx - a| \ge |q_n x - p_n|$ . This follows because otherwise, we would have  $|bx - a| < |q_n x - p_n|$ , which would imply  $b \ge q_{n+1}$ . This would contradiction  $b < q_n$  because  $q_n < q_{n+1}$ . This shows the following:

$$(3.5) b \left| x - \frac{a}{b} \right| \ge q_n \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right|$$

$$\left| x - \frac{a}{b} \right| \ge \frac{q_n}{b} \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right|$$

$$\left| x - \frac{a}{b} \right| \ge \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right|$$

Where the last line follows because  $b \leq q_n$  implies that  $q_n/b \geq 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

### 4. Problem 4

**Problem 4.1.** If a/b is a rational approximation to x as above (a, b integers, b positive), such that  $|x - \frac{a}{b}| < \frac{1}{2b^2}$ , then show that a/b must be a convergent of the simple continued fraction of x.

**Solution** We can suppose g = gcd(a,b) = 1, otherwise we could reqrite the problem so that  $|x - \frac{a/g}{b/g}| < \frac{1}{2(b/g)^2}$ . Now, let  $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$  be the convergents of x and suppose by contradiction that a/b is not a convergent of x. Then we know that there exists an n such that  $q_n \le b < q_{n+1}$  since the sequence  $\{q_n\}$  increases monotonically. Thus, we see from the previous theorem that  $|xb - a| \ge |xq_n - p_n|$ . Therefore, we find:

$$|xq_n - p_n| \le |xb - a| < \frac{1}{2b}$$

$$\left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{2bq_n}$$

18.781 PROBLEM SET 9

Since we know that  $\frac{a}{b} \neq \frac{p_n}{q_n}$  and that  $bp_n - aq_n$  is an integer by virtue of  $a, b, p_n, q_n$  all being integers, we find that the following is true:

$$\frac{1}{bq_n} \le \frac{|bp_n - aq_n|}{bq_n}$$

$$(4.4) \qquad \qquad = \left| \frac{p_n}{q_n} - \frac{a}{b} \right|$$

$$(4.5) \leq \left| x - \frac{a}{b} \right| + \left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right|$$

$$< \frac{1}{2bq_n} + \frac{1}{2b^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2b} \left( \frac{b + q_n}{q_n b} \right)$$

This implies that  $2b < b + q_n$  or that  $b < q_n$ . However, this is a contradiction due to the previous problem which showed that  $b \ge q_{n+1}$ .  $\square$ 

# 5. Problem 5

**Problem 5.1.** Let  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  be the golden ratio, and let  $\kappa > \sqrt{5}$ . Show that there are only finitely many rational numbers p/q such that  $|\phi - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{\kappa q^2}$ .

**Solution** We showed in class that the continued fraction expansion of  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  is given by  $[1,1,1,\ldots]$ . We see then that  $p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} = p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}$  and that  $q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2} = q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}$  are the numerator and denominator of the convergents. We can show inductively that  $q_n = p_{n-1}$ . Clearly it holds for n = 1 because  $q_1 = 1$  and  $p_0 = 1$ . Now, suppose this for all integers less than or equal to n. We see that  $q_{n+1} = q_n + q_{n-1}$  by the recurrence. Using the induction hypothesis, we find  $q_{n+1} = p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} = p_n$ , which completes the induction step. Therefore, we find:

(5.1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{q_{n-1}}{p_{n-1}} = \frac{1}{\phi} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{5}}$$

We can simplify the above expression by multiplying by the conjugate to obtain  $\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{\sqrt{5}-1} = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ . We can then obtain the expression:

(5.2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n + \frac{q_{n-1}}{q_n} = \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} = \sqrt{5}$$

This shows us that for  $\kappa > \sqrt{5}$ , we can only have  $\phi_{n+1} + q_{n+1}/q_n > \kappa$  for a finite number of values of n. We know the following as well:

(5.3) 
$$\left| \phi - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| = \frac{1}{q_n(\phi_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1})} = \frac{1}{q_n^2(\phi_{n+1} + q_{n-1}/q_n)}$$

Which uses a fact proven in problem 1 on this problem set. Thus, we see that there are only a finite number of values n such that  $|\phi - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| < \frac{1}{\kappa q_n^2}$ . Using the previous problem, we see that all rational numbers p/q satisfying the inequality  $|\phi - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{\kappa q^2}$  must be a convergent of p/q. However, we have just shown that there are finitely many convergents that satisfy this property, so therefore, there are a finite number of rational numbers that satisfy the property.  $\square$ 

#### 6. Problem 6

Let p be a prime congruent to 1 (mod 4) and suppose u is an integer such that  $u^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Problem 6.1.** Write the rational number  $u/p = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n]$  and let i be the largest integer such that  $q_i \leq \sqrt{p}$ . Show that  $|p_i/q_i - u/p| < 1/(q_i\sqrt{p})$  and therefore that  $|p_ip - uq_i| < \sqrt{p}$ .

**Solution** First notice that if  $|p_i/q_i - u/p| < 1/(q_i\sqrt{p})$ , then we can multiply both sides by  $q_i$  and p to obtain  $|p_ip - uq_i| < \sqrt{p}$ . Thus, we only need to show that  $|p_i/q_i - u/p| < 1/(q_i\sqrt{p})$ , and the proof will be complete.

4 JOHN WANG

Now, let us first make some observations. We know that the sequence  $\{q_i\}$  is increasing as  $i \to \infty$ . This means that  $q_i < q_k$  whenever i < k. Moreover, we know from problem 1 in this problem set, that the following holds where  $p_i/q_i$  are convergents to u/p:

(6.1) 
$$\left| \frac{u}{p} - \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right| = \frac{1}{q_i(a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1})}$$

Thus, in order for us to show that  $|p_i/q_i - u/p| < 1/(q_i\sqrt{p})$ , we need to show that  $a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1} > \sqrt{p}$ . However, we know that i is the largest integer such that  $q_i \leq \sqrt{p}$ . Since  $q_i$  increases as i increases, this implies that for all k > 0, we know that  $q_{i+k} > \sqrt{p}$ . Therefore, we know that  $q_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1} > \sqrt{p}$ . This completes what we wanted to show, and finishes the proof.  $\square$ 

**Problem 6.2.** Letting  $x = q_i$  and  $y = p_i p - u q_i$ , show that  $0 < x^2 + y^2 < 2p$  and that  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Conclude that  $p = x^2 + y^2$ .

**Solution** First, we know that  $x^2+y^2=q_i^2+(p_ip-uq_i)^2=q_i^2+p_i^2p^2-2p_ipuq_i+u^2q_i^2$ . Regrouping terms, we find  $x^2+y^2=q_i^2(u_i^2+1)+p_i^2p^2-2p_ipuq_i$ . Since we know that  $u^2\equiv -1\pmod{p}$ , we find that  $q_i^2(u_i^2+1)\equiv 0\pmod{p}$ . Moreover, we know that  $p(pp_i^2-2p_iuq_i)\equiv 0\pmod{p}$ . This implies that  $x^2+y^2\equiv 0\pmod{p}$ . Next, we want to show that  $0< x^2+y^2<2p$ . This follows because  $x=q_i$  so that  $x^2=q_i^2\leq (\sqrt{p})^2=p$  by the hypothesis that  $q_i\leq \sqrt{p}$ . Next, we know from the previous problem that  $|y|=|p_ip-uq_i|<\sqrt{p}$ , which implies that  $y^2<(\sqrt{p})^2=p$ . This shows that  $x^2+y^2< p+p=2p$ . Moreover, we know that x,y>0 because  $q_i\in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $x^2>0$ . Thus, we see that  $0< x^2+y^2<2p$ .

The first fact, that  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies that  $x^2 + y^2 = kp$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . However, since we know that  $0 < x^2 + y^2 < 2p$ , we know that k < 2 as well. This forces k = 1, which shows that  $p = x^2 + y^2$ , which is what we wanted.  $\square$ 

#### 7. Problem 7

**Problem 7.1.** Let d be a positive non-square integer. For which positive integers c does the quadratic irrational  $([\sqrt{d}] + \sqrt{d})/c$  have a purely periodic expansion?

**Solution** By a theorem proven in class, an irrational number x has a purely periodic expansion if and only if  $x \ge 1$  and  $-1 < \bar{x} < 0$ . For  $x = ([\sqrt{d}] + \sqrt{d})/c$ , these two conditions imply that  $[\sqrt{d}] + \sqrt{d} \ge c$  and that  $-1 < \bar{x} < 0$ . This corresponds to:

$$(7.1) -1 < \bar{x} = \frac{[\sqrt{d}] - \sqrt{d}}{c} < 0$$

Where  $\bar{x} = (\sqrt{d} - \sqrt{d})/c$  since  $\sqrt{d}/c$  is the only irrational part of x. This implies:

$$(7.2) -c < -\sqrt{d} + [\sqrt{d}] < 0$$

However, we know that  $[\sqrt{d}] \leq \sqrt{d} < [\sqrt{d}] + 1$  which implies that  $-1 < [\sqrt{d}] - \sqrt{d} < 0$ . This, the second condition shows that  $c \geq 1$  must hold. Putting the two conditions together, we find that all positive integers c such that:

$$(7.3) 1 \le c \le \lceil \sqrt{d} \rceil + \sqrt{d}$$

will allow x to have a purely periodic expansion.  $\square$ 

## 8. Problem 8

Let x be an irrational real number.

**Problem 8.1.** Given any positive integer N, show that there is a rational number p/q with  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \le q \le N$  such that  $|x - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q(N+1)}$ .

**Solution** Let us examine the fractional parts of the rational numbers ix as i ranges from 0 through N. Let  $\{0, \{1x\}, \{2x\}, \dots, \{Nx\}, 1\}$  be the set of fractional parts of numbers, where  $\{kx\} = kx - [kx]$  denotes the fractional part of kx. Note we have also included 0 and 1 in the above set.

Now, we see that at least one of the N+1 intervals [k/(N+1),(k+1)/(N+1)) in [0,1] has two elements from the above set using the pigeonhole principle, since there are N+2 elements for N+1 intervals. If 1 or 0 is one of these two elements, then  $\{kx\}$  is the other element and we can choose  $p \leq N$  and q = k. This would give  $|kx-p| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$ .

Otherwise, we must have  $0 \le |\{kx\} - \{lx\}| \le \frac{1}{N+1}$ . Writing this out, we obtain:

(8.1) 
$$\frac{1}{N+1} \ge |kx - [kx] - lx + [lx]|$$

$$(8.2) = |x(k-l) + [lx] - [kx]|$$

Now set k-l=q and [lx]-[kx]=p and we obtain  $|xq-p|<\frac{1}{N+1}$  which implies that  $|x-\frac{p}{q}|<\frac{1}{q(N+1)}$ , which is what we wanted to show.  $\square$ 

**Problem 8.2.** Use part (a) to show that there are infinitely many rational numbers p/q such that  $|x-p/q| < 1/q^2$ .

Solution Suppose not by contradiction and let  $S = \{p_1/q_1, p_2/q_2, \dots, p_r/q_r\}$  be the set of all rational numbers that satisfy  $|x - p_i/q_i| < 1/q_i^2$ . Since the set is finite, we can choose N large enough so that  $\frac{1}{q_i(N+1)} < |x - p_i/q_i|$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots r\}$ . We know from the previous part that there exists a rational number p/q with  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \le q \le N$  such that  $|x - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q(N+1)}$ . This shows that  $|x - \frac{p}{q}| < \frac{1}{q(N+1)} < \frac{1}{q^2}$  because q < N+1. Yet, we know that  $p/q \notin S$  because we have shown that  $\frac{1}{q_i(N+1)} < |x - p_i/q_i|$  for all  $p_i/q_i \in S$ . This is a contradiction, so there must be infinitely many rational numbers that satisfy  $|x - p/q| < 1/q^2$ .  $\square$ 

#### 9. Problem 9

Let m be a positive integer and let x have continued fraction  $[m, m, m, \ldots]$ .

**Problem 9.1.** Compute the value of x.

**Solution** We know that x can be expanded out to  $m + \frac{1}{x_1}$  where  $x_1 = [m, m, m, \ldots]$ . Thus, we see that  $x_1 = x$ . This means that we can write the expression  $x = m + \frac{1}{x}$ . We obtain the quadratic equation  $x^2 - mx - 1 = 0$ . Solving for x, we find:

(9.1) 
$$x = \frac{m \pm \sqrt{m^2 + 4}}{2}$$

However, since  $m - \sqrt{m^2 + 4} < 0$  for all  $m \ge 1$ , we know that  $x = (m - \sqrt{m^2 + 4})/2$  will be negative. If we restrict ourselves to positive values of x, we find that we must have  $x = \frac{m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4}}{2}$ .  $\square$ 

**Problem 9.2.** Let  $p_n/q_n$  be the nth convergent to x. Write down and solve a linear reucrence with constant coefficients for  $p_n$  and  $q_n$ , and thereby calculate an explicit formula for  $p_n/q_n$ .

**Solution** We know from the recurrences for the convergents that  $p_n = mp_{n-1} + p_{n-2}$ . This means we have the recurrence  $p_n - mp_{n-1} - p_{n-2} = 0$  with the characteristic polynomial of  $\lambda^2 - m\lambda - 1 = 0$ . The roots of the polynomial are given by:

$$\lambda = \frac{m \pm \sqrt{m^2 + 4}}{2}$$

The recurrence for  $q_n$  is the same, so we find that we have the following expressions for  $p_n$  and  $q_n$ :

(9.3) 
$$p_n = c_1 \left( \frac{m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4}}{2} \right)^n + c_2 \left( \frac{m - \sqrt{m^2 + 4}}{2} \right)^2$$

$$(9.4) q_n = c_3 \left(\frac{m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4}}{2}\right)^n + c_4 \left(\frac{m - \sqrt{m^2 + 4}}{2}\right)^2$$

Since we know the starting conditions for the recurrences are  $p_0 = m$ ,  $p_1 = m^2 + 1$  and  $q_0 = 1$ ,  $q_1 = m$ , we can solve for  $c_1, c_2, c_3$ , and  $c_4$  by substituting for n = 0 and n = 1. We find that the constants are given by:

(9.5) 
$$c_1, c_2 = \frac{m}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{m^4 + 4m^2 + 4}{m^2 + 4}}$$

$$(9.6) c_3, c_4 = \frac{m^2 \pm m\sqrt{m^2 + 4} + 4}{2(m^2 + 4)}$$

To obtain a closed form expression for the convergents, simply take  $p_n/q_n$  where the formulas for  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  are given as above.  $\square$ 

6 JOHN WANG

#### 10. Problem 10

Recall the AM-GM inequality:  $\frac{r_1+r_2+\ldots+r_n}{n} \geq \sqrt[n]{r_1\ldots r_n}$  for positive real numbers  $r_1,\ldots,r_n$ . We proved it for n=2.

**Problem 10.1.** Prove the inequality for  $n = 2^k$  any power of 2.

Solution We will proceed by induction. First we have shown that the AM-GM inequality holds when n=2 by a proof given in class. Now suppose that it holds for all powers of 2 such up to  $n=2^k$ . We shall show it holds for  $n=2^{k+1}$ . We shall group the elements  $r_1,\ldots,r_n$  into two groups:

(10.1) 
$$\frac{r_1 + r_2 + \ldots + r_{2^{k+1}}}{2^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{r_1 + \ldots + r_{2^k}}{2^k} + \frac{r_{2^k+1} + \ldots + r_{2^{k+1}}}{2^k} \right)$$

$$(10.2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt[2^k]{r_1 \dots r_{2^k}} + \sqrt[2^k]{r_{2^k+1} \dots r_{2^{k+1}}} \right)$$

We can again use the AM-GM inequality, this time for the case of n=2 and we find:

$$\frac{r_1 + r_2 + \ldots + r_{2^{k+1}}}{2^{k+1}} \ge \sqrt{\sqrt[2^k]{r_1 \ldots r_{2^k}}} \sqrt[2^k]{r_{2^k+1} \ldots r_{2^{k+1}}}$$

$$= \sqrt[2^{k+1}]{r_1 r_2 \dots r_{2^{k+1}}}$$

This completes the induction step and finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Problem 10.2.** Prove the inequality for any n, by choosing a k such that  $2^{k-1} < n \le 2^k$  and applying the inequality from part (a) to the  $2^k$  numbers  $r_1, \ldots, r_n, r, r, \ldots, r$  where r is chosen appropriately.

**Solution** Let us set  $r = \frac{r_1 + \dots + r_n}{n}$  and  $2^k = m$  where  $2^{k-1} < n \le 2^k$ . Then know the following is true:

(10.5) 
$$r = \frac{r_1 + \ldots + r_n}{n} = \frac{(r_1 + \ldots + r_n) \frac{m}{n}}{m}$$

(10.6) 
$$= \frac{(r_1 + \ldots + r_n)\frac{m-n}{n} + (r_1 + \ldots + r_n)}{m}$$

(10.7) 
$$= \frac{(m-n)r + (r_1 + \ldots + r_n)}{m}$$

$$= \frac{r_1 + \ldots + r_n + r + r + r}{m}$$

$$(10.9) \qquad \geq \sqrt[m]{r^{m-n}r_1 \ldots r_n}$$

$$(10.8) \qquad = \frac{r_1 + \ldots + r_n + r \ldots + r}{r_n + r \ldots + r}$$

$$(10.9) \geq \sqrt[m]{r^{m-n}r_1\dots r_n}$$

Where we have used the AM-GM inequality for  $m=2^k$  in the last line. Now, we see that  $r\geq \sqrt[m]{r_1\dots r_n}r^{1-n/m}$ . This expression simplifies to  $r^{n/m}\geq \sqrt[m]{r_1\dots r_n}$ . Exponentiating both sides to the mth power, then taking the nth root of both sides, we find that  $r \geq \sqrt[n]{r_1 \dots r_n}$  which is what we wanted to