Income project evaluation

Leaderboard

Squad number	Number of properly reported bugs (gives 10%)	Quality of bugs (gives 45%)	Quality of testing documentation (gives 45%)	Total score
Squad #1 TOP2	25	4.9	4.9	4.64
Squad #2	22	4.5	4.6	4.32
Squad #3	49	3.8	4.3	4.15
Squad #4	30	4.2	4.7	4.29
Squad #5	39	4.0	4.5	4.20
Squad #6 TOP1	38	4.9	4.8	4.75
Squad #7	43	4.5	4.7	4.58

Mentor's feedback

Squad	Feedback for Month 3	Feedback for Month 4

Squad #6	**Bugs**	E2E AI-1 LO API 1 - JSON provided is false to execute (response = 422 Unprocessable Entity) - probably this is because of dates that are not matching for now to execute them.

<table>
<tr>
<td>Squad #6</td>
<td>

Bugs

Nice consistent structure of the bugs. All neccesary information is there (except a few bugs without screenshots INC2-232)
INC-302 and INC-294 are duplicating each other
Although INC2-232 and INC2-179 are reported for different versions of the product, the root cause is most probably the same, so you can easily merge them together and mention, that feature should also work for EST version

Usability

Quite clean report with a lot of practical recomendations from own experience.Good job!
Test cases
Account - clean and nicely formatted test cases. Good coverage.
Filters, Invest - good coverage, clean and understadable test cases. I suggest to add a few more test cases for the set of values. In real life it happens quite often, that independetly components work, but stop working when you start combining those. It's impossible and not neccesary to cover all the combinations, but jus think of a few, most important and realistic (in real life you might ask your team to provide this information).
Manual Investing - nice format, nothing excessive is used. Nice consistent test cases.
Report

Nice and clean formatting. Results are easily understandable. Good coverage - all squad members tested all test cases, Erkki covered two devices.

</td>
<td>

E2E AI-1 LO API 1 - JSON provided is false to execute (response = 422 Unprocessable Entity) - probably this is because of dates that are not matching for now to execute them.
E2E AI-1 LO API 2 - The user is logged in under precondition section, so no need to have that in a test steps.
E2E AI-1 LO API 3 - login in precondition can be removed since this test case continue testing after previous one, so it is expected that the user is logged in.
E2E AI-1 LO API 4 - Expected result - "2. The new automated investing strategy is saved" could be removed since in previous test case there was a check for creating new strategy (that it's saved).

E2E AI-2 LO API 1 - In my case I've got 6 years term instead of 1.
E2E AI-2 LO API 4 - Expected result - "2. The new automated investing strategy is saved" could be removed since in previous test case there was a check for creating new strategy (that it's saved).

E2E AI-3 LO API 1 - if several loans needs to be created, I would recommend to use "repeat three times steps 8 and 9 with different issue date = 3, 4 and 5", otherwise unexperienced test engineer could make only one loan; Test step 8 - would be good to have number of line to modify (or exact parameter name)
E2E AI-3 LO API 4 - Expected result - "2. The new automated investing strategy is saved" could be removed since in previous test case there was a check for creating new strategy (that it's saved).

Test cases made with really detailed and good explanation. There are some minor things, but anyway the test cases are in a good shape. Any kind of test engineer would be able to execute them. Bugs also reported with all necessary information that could help to reproduce the issue as well as test the fix later. The only missing things are user ID and date of executing.

</td>
</tr>
</table>