# CookBook rubrics mapping to Linux Kernel Best Practices

Shreyash Mohatta shreyashm12@gmail.com Karan Kajani karan.kajani98@gmail.com Priyanka Ambawane dearpriyankasa@gmail.com

Asrita Kuchibholta asritakuchibhotla@gmail.com

Vinit Desai vinit.desai98@gmail.com

### **ABSTRACT**

CookBook is being built as a one stop platform for looking up recipes based on ingredients you have in your fridge. Being college students, we know how much of a pain it is to decide what to cook next. The monotony of same dishes turning up in our heads and subsequently onto our dining tables. We are here trying to help out people going through the same things. CookBook is built on top of a data set of >200,000 recipes and planned to be expanded further through a general purpose web scraper. It shows all the recipe information on a concise page with important macros right in front of you so that you can make an informed decision and enjoy a delicious meal!

#### **ACM Reference Format:**

### 1 SHORT RELEASE CYCLES

Shorter release cycles ensure less frustration for the user and the developer. It reduces the chances of merging and pushing inefficient and unstable code as shorter cycles ensure regular testing and update knowledge of the system architecture. This method also helps to bring fast and positive changes which result in the end user being satisfied. For these reasons, we integrate new code in short cycles. The rubrics mentioned in table can be mapped to this practice

Issues reports: there are many

Issues are being closed

Tests that can be run after your software has been built or deployed to show whether the build or deployment has been successful

Automated test suite for your software

Framework to periodically (e.g. nightly) run your tests on the latest version of the source code

Using continuous integration, automatically running tests whenever changes are made to your source code

Test cases are routinely executed

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

## 2 DISTRIBUTED DEVELOPMENT MODEL

A distributed Development model is the best way to develop any software. Sharing different functionalities of the software to different individuals, based on their familiarity with the area ensures seamless code review and integration with very minimal chances of blow-up. For this reason, Distributed Development Model has been followed. The following rubrics can be mapped to this practice

Number of commits

Workload is spread over the whole team

Number of commits: by different people

evidence that the whole team is using the same tools

E-mails to our support e-mail ad- dress are received by more than one person

Listing the important partners and collaborators on our website

Do we accept contributions from people who are not part of your project?

Do you have a contributions policy

Is your contributions' policy publicly available?

Evidence that the members of the team are working across multiple places in the code base

### 2.1 CONSENSUS-ORIENTED MODEL

Integration to the code base need to be agreed upon by all and especially by people who have implemented some functionality and the new code block directly works with that. This ensures not tampering with the stable versions of code. The following rubrics can be mapped to this practice

Chat channel: exists

issues are discussed before they are closed

Project has an e-mail address or forum that is solely for supporting users

### 2.2 THE NO-REGRESSIONS RULE

The No-regression rule is an important design decision as once the interface with the model gets pushed and is in public use, we should not alter that syntax. This ensures harmony in terms of user calls and less frustrations. We have ensured that we don't take away existing functionality but add to it. The following rubrics can be mapped to this practice

Use of version control tools

Evidence that the members of the team are working across multiple places in the code base

There is a branch of the repository that is always stable

### 3 ZERO INTERNAL BOUNDARIES

We understand that access to the entire view of the project is important. Even though individuals are working on different functionalities, it does not stop them from making changes in other parts of the code. This results in problems being solved at the source rather than having multiple paths to go through before making actual changes. The following rubrics can be mapped to this practice

whole team is using the same tools

Source code publicly available to download, either as a download- able bundle or via access to a source code repository

E-mails to our support e-mail ad- dress are received by more than one person

Project have a ticketing system to manage bug reports and feature requests