

O

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CONSUMERINFO.COM, INC. dba  
EXPERIAN CONSUMER  
SERVICES,

Plaintiff,

v.

ESTEBAN LEON; and DOES 1  
through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

**Case No. 8:16-cv-1261 DOC (KESx)**

**ORDER GRANTING  
CONSUMERINFO.COM, INC. dba  
EXPERIAN CONSUMER  
SERVICES' APPLICATION FOR A  
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

1           On July 13, 2016, the Court issued a Temporary Restraining Order (“TRO”)  
2 and Order to Show Cause Re Preliminary Injunction that was set to expire on July  
3 25, 2016 based on Plaintiff ConsumerInfo.com, Inc. dba Experian Information  
4 Solutions’ (“Experian” or “Plaintiff”) *Ex Parte* Application for a TRO (Dkt. 10).

5           At the July 25, 2016, hearing, and pursuant to stipulation by Experian and  
6 Defendant Esteban Leon (“Leon” or “Defendant”), the TRO was extended until  
7 August 4, 2016 at 5:00 PM with a hearing on the Order to Show Cause Re  
8 Preliminary Injunction set for August 4, 2016 at 10:00 AM. *See Minutes* (Dkt. 30).

9           On August 4, 2016, Experian and Leon came before the Court for a hearing  
10 on the TRO and Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction Should Not  
11 Issue. *See Minutes* (Dkt. 37). Upon consideration of the briefing in support of the  
12 preliminary injunction and opposition thereto, testimony of witnesses and oral  
13 argument of counsel, the Court finds good cause to enter a preliminary injunction.

14           A preliminary injunction is warranted because Experian has established a  
15 likelihood of success on the merits of at least one cause of action contained in the  
16 complaint, a likelihood of irreparable harm if Defendant Leon is not enjoined from  
17 use of Experian’s source code, a balance of hardships that tips in favor of Experian,  
18 and that public interest favors an injunction to foreclose Defendant Leon from  
19 violating state and federal law and his confidentiality agreements. The preliminary  
20 injunction is based on the findings of fact and conclusions of law as stated on the  
21 record at the hearing on the matter.

22           **THUS, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

23           1. Defendant Leon, his agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and  
24 all persons who are in active concert or participation with him are enjoined from the  
25

1 unauthorized use, duplication, or distribution of Experian's JavaScript code,  
2 Experian's source code, Experian's utilities code; and the copying and facilitating  
3 or encouraging the copying of Experian JavaScript code, Experian's source code or  
4 Experian's utilities code, including that Defendant Leon is specifically prohibited  
5 from:

6  
7 (a) uploading or posting any Experian JavaScript code, Experian's  
8 source code, or Experian's utilities code on any website, server, database, or  
9 domain, whether public or private;

10 (b) disclosing any Experian JavaScript code, Experian's source  
11 code, or Experian's utilities code to any other person or entity, including but not  
12 limited to, his current employer SPIREON;

13 (c) using or attempting to use any Experian JavaScript code,  
14 Experian's source code, Experian's utilities code in any way for any purpose,  
15 commercial or otherwise; and

16 (d) disclosing, using, or attempting to use the Experian Amazon  
17 Web Services access keys assigned to him during his employment with Tallan and  
18 assignment to Experian.  
19

20 2. Defendant Leon must preserve all relevant evidence—including  
21 documents, communications, emails, computer data, and records of any type—that  
22 is or may be relevant to this dispute. Among other things, Defendant Leon must  
23 take steps to preserve all documents and communications that relate in any way to  
24 Experian's JavaScript code or source code for Project Corvette. Defendant Leon  
25 must also ensure that all document destruction programs are suspended with respect  
26  
27  
28

1 to any documents, files, or data maintained on any server or computer related in any  
2 way to his conduct in this dispute.  
3

4 3. The Court finds that no bond is required.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED

6 Dated: August 5, 2016



7  
8 DAVID O. CARTER  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28