Mid Term Questions:

from final 2011 :

** Question 3:

In the answer to this question, you may wish to use some of the notation used in Question 1.

 a) It has been argued that the multi-agent systems paradigm emerged from five ongoing trends in computing. Explain what you understand these trends to be.
 ✓
 [5 marks]

** answer:

- 1. Ubiquity: lower cost of computing resources make it economic and allow it's existence in our life to increase more and more.
- 2. Delegation : now we delegate the computer to perform our tasks .. also in critical tasks .
- 3. interconnection : now computers are connected together in large distributes systems , no stand alone computer .
- 4. human orientation : programming languages continously updated to be so close to human languages .
- 5. intellegence : complexity of tasks that computer can do increase more and more .
- b) Explain what is meant by a predicate task specification, and how such a specification relates to utility functions over runs.
 [5 marks]
- predicate task specification : special case of utility function that assign run to 0 or 1, 0 mean run will fail, 1 mean run will success.
- c) Explain what is meant by an achievement goal.
 [5 marks]
- Give agent good states that try to achieve while run .

- d) Explain what is meant by a maintenance goal.
 [5 marks]
- · give agent bad states which avoid while run .
- e) Two key problems that arise in deductive/symbolic agent architectures are transduction
 and representation/reasoning. Explain what you understand by these problems.
 [5 marks]

answer:

- 1. transduction problem : problem to convert real world to symbols to understand it
- 2. representation / reasoning : how can we symbolically represent complex real world .
- b) Shoham's Agent Oriented Programming paradigm, as exemplified in the Agent0 language, was a first attempt to program computers using the intentional stance. Figure 1 shows a

fragment of Agent0 code. With reference to this code, describe:

Mid Term Questions:

```
(defagent plane
 :timegrain 10
 :beliefs '(
      (1 (at 100 100))
      (1 (max-speed 5))
       (1 (CMT plane plane
            (INFORM 2 world (2 (plane p1 100 100))))))
 :commit-rules
 ′ (
 ( (control REQUEST (DO ?time (be-at ?qx ?qy)))
      () ;; no mental conditions
      control
      (DO now (cap-check ?time 'be-at ?gx ?gy)) )
 ( (control UNREQUEST (DO ?time (be-at ?gx ?gy)))
      (CMT control (DO ?time2 (be-at ?gx ?gy)))
      plane
      (DO now (uncommit ?time2 'be-at ?gx ?gy)) )
 ( () ;; no message condition
       (and (B (now (lowfuel)))
            (CMT ?agent (DO ?time2 (be-at ?z1 ?z2))))
      plane
      (REQUEST now control (UNREQUEST now plane
           (DO ?time2 (be-at ?z1 ?z2))))))
[...]
           ; ends commitment rules
 )
     ; ends defagent
```

a. how programs in Agent0 are constructed 🗸

Agent has 4 main things in Agent0 program:

- 1. beliefs
- 2. intentions it's own motivations .
- 3. commitments that try to achieve.
- 4. messages that communicate via .
- b. the overall control loop for Agent0
- c. how agents in Agent0 communicate 🗸
 - agents in Agent0 are communicating via sending messages which is one of 3 types:

- 1. Request to commit an action .
- 2. un-Request refrain from action .
- 3. informs pass information .
- a. The following pseudo-code characterises the action selection process of a logic-based agent.

```
for each \alpha \in Ac do

if \Delta \vdash_{\rho} Do(\alpha) then

return \alpha

end-if

end-for

for each \alpha \in Ac do

if \Delta \nvdash_{\rho} \neg Do(\alpha) then

return \alpha

end-if

end-for

return \alpha

return \alpha
```

Describe how this loop works, explaining in particular the role of ρ , Δ , ρ , and $Do(\alpha)$, and the limitations of such an approach for run-time decision-making.

- at first loop agent try to get optimal action "explicit prescribed action".
- if agent didn't find that optimal action , then it will enter second loop which it try to get not excluded action .
- $\rho \to$ the theory of agent "set of rules" that we choose optimal action depending on .
- $\Delta \rightarrow$ agent logical database that contain all agent belifes .
- `ρ -> prove that Do alpha excluded from agent beliefes .
- $Do(\alpha) \rightarrow perform \ action \ alpha \ "\alpha"$.
- limititions:
- 1. how can we convert real world seeing to logical representation .
- 2. decision making using first order logic is undecidable.

c) With particular reference to the outline architecture above, explain what you understand

by the term calculative rationality. 🔽

- · decision making assume static environment .
- d) Explain what you understand by bounded optimality.
 - some agent can't be implemented on the computer because they need more resources, so we replaces optimal agent equation to another equation called bounded optimal agent equation.
- a) Briefly define and explain, with examples where appropriate, the properties you would

expect an intelligent agent to exhibit. [10 marks]

- 1. Autonomy: agent ability to achieve it's goals independently.
- 2. Reactive: agent ability to deal with all changes in environment.
- 3. Pro-active:
- 4. social ability: Agent ability to communicate, cooperate and negotiate with other agents.
- b) Briefly explain what you understand by the intentional stance and an intentional system,

and explain the role that the intentional stance plays in the agent-oriented programming

paradigm, as typified by the AGENT0 programming language.

[5 marks]

c) The issue of telling an agent what to do (without telling it how to do it) is a central problem

in multiagent systems. A number of approaches to this problem have been proposed, chief

among them being the following:

utility functions over states;

Mid Term Questions:

- : calculate utility of each state in environment individually
- adv : give utility number for each env state
- dis adv : short term and future states not depend on current value .
- utility functions over runs
- · calculate utility of run .
- adv : is long term approach
- dis adv : from where we get these numbers and it's hard to formulate these terms .
- predicates over runs;
- assign one of 2 values for run 0 or 1 . 0 mean this run will fail , 1 mean this run will success .
- adv : easier to assign values to run (0,1) .
- achievement tasks:
- Give agent good states that try to achieve while run .
- maintenance tasks.
- Give agent bad states that avoid while run .
- Explain what you understand by each of these approaches \checkmark , making clear the relative advantages and disadvantages of each \checkmark and how these approaches relate to one-another. \checkmark
- how these approaches relate to one-another :
- 1. first we need to tell the agent what to do with it telling him how to do
- 2. we used states utility function, but we found that it was short term.
- 3. then we used run utility function, it okay was a long term but it cause another problems as from where we get the numbers and how will we formulate these tasks into these terms.
- 4. the we get special case of utility function, it was task predicate specification, that assign 0 or 1 to the run., which make the process easier.
- 5. then we get Achievement task which provide set of runs that agent try to achieve to success

- 6. and also Maintainance task that provide set or bad runs that agent must avoid to avoid fallure .
- Illustrate your answer with examples as appropriate.
- مش مهم .. هو عايزك تكتب المعادلات بس مفيش وقت تحفظ القرف دا •
- a. The issue of telling an agent what to do (without telling it how to do it) is a central problem
 in multiagent systems. A number of approaches to this problem have been proposed, chief
- utility functions over states;

among them being the following:

- utility functions over runs;
- predicates over runs;
- achievement tasks;
- maintenance tasks.
- Explain what you understand by each of these approaches, making clear the
 relative advantages and disadvantages of each and how these approaches
 relate to one-another. Illustrate
 your answer with examples as appropriate.
 [5 marks]
- نفس اجابه اللي فوقه •
- b. Some researchers have argued that the notion of bounded optimality is a more appropriate measure of optimality than that of simply maximising expected utility. Present these

arguments as you understand them, and formally define the notion of a bounded optimal agent.