Expectated vs Realized Income Growth in A Standard Life Cycle Model This notebook uses the income process in Cocco, Gomes & Maenhout (2005) to demonstrate that estimates of a regression of expected income changes on realized income changes are sensitive to the size of transitory shocks. We first load some tools from the HARK toolkit.

IndShockConsumerType, init lifecycle,

Income specification

"AgentCount": 200, "T sim": 500,

Agent = IndShockConsumerType(**params)

Define $y = \log Y$, $p = \log P$ and similarly for other variables.

from HARK.distribution import calc_expectation

"PermGroFac": Agent.PermGroFac,

"pLvl": Agent.history["pLvl"].T.flatten(),

Data["id"] = (Data["Age"].diff(1) < 0).cumsum()</pre>

Data["Y change"] = Data.groupby("id")["Y"].diff(1)

We now estimate an analogous regression in our simulated population.

from linearmodels.panel.model import PanelOLS

Data.loc[Data["ExpIncChange"] > 0, "ExpBin"] = 1 Data.loc[Data["ExpIncChange"] < 0, "ExpBin"] = -1</pre>

Data.loc[Data["Y change"] > 0, "ChangeBin"] = 1 Data.loc[Data["Y change"] < 0, "ChangeBin"] = -1</pre>

mod = PanelOLS(Data.ExpBin, sm.add constant(Data.ChangeBin), entity effects=True)

PanelOLS Estimation Summary

Dep. Variable: ExpBin R-squared: 0.1928
Estimator: PanelOLS R-squared (Between): -0.0671
No. Observations: 100000 R-squared (Within): 0.1928
Date: Tue, Feb 22 2022 R-squared (Overall): 0.1863
Time: 22:48:03 Log-likelihood -1.282e+05

2334 P-value

2.0000

46 2173.9

1857.0

2339.0

transitory fluctuations is a stronger force than persistent trends in income age-profiles.

shocks, simulating another population of agents, and re-running the regression.

"TranShkStd": [0.0] * len(params["TranShkStd"])

calc expectation(Agent nt.IncShkDstn[i], func=lambda x: x[0] * x[1])

"Age": [x + birth_age for x in range(Agent.T_cycle)],

"Age": Agent_nt.history["t_age"].T.flatten() + birth_age - 1,

Agent nt = IndShockConsumerType(**params no transitory)

Parameter Estimates ______

 const
 0.1249
 0.0028
 44.751
 0.0000
 0.1195
 0.1304

 ChangeBin
 -0.4336
 0.0028
 -152.72
 0.0000
 -0.4392
 -0.4281

42.845 Distribution:

P-value

Parameter Std. Err. T-stat P-value Lower CI Upper CI

import statsmodels.api as sm

Data["ExpBin"] = 0

Data["ChangeBin"] = 0

fe res = mod.fit() print(fe res)

Entities:

Time periods:

F-test for Poolability: 1.2382

params no transitory = copy(params)

for i in range(Agent_nt.T_cycle)

"PermGroFac": Agent_nt.PermGroFac,

"pLvl": Agent_nt.history["pLvl"].T.flatten(),

Data["id"] = (Data["Age"].diff(1) < 0).cumsum()</pre>

Data["Y change"] = Data.groupby("id")["Y"].diff(1)

Data.loc[Data["ExpIncChange"] > 0, "ExpBin"] = 1 Data.loc[Data["ExpIncChange"] < 0, "ExpBin"] = -1</pre>

Data.loc[Data["Y change"] > 0, "ChangeBin"] = 1 Data.loc[Data["Y change"] < 0, "ChangeBin"] = -1</pre>

Data = Data.set index(["id", "Age"])

Time: 22:48:07
Cov. Estimator: Unadjusted

F-test for Poolability: 0.9781

The estimated $\hat{\gamma}_1$ when there are no transitory shocks is positive.

Distribution: F(2333,97665)

Included effects: Entity

"PermShk": Agent_nt.history["PermShk"].T.flatten(), "TranShk": Agent_nt.history["TranShk"].T.flatten(),

Data = Data.join(exp_df.set_index("Age"), on="Age", how="left")

Data["PermGroFac"] * Data["exp_prod"] - Data["TranShk"]

mod = PanelOLS(Data.ExpBin, sm.add constant(Data.ChangeBin), entity effects=True)

Estimator: PanelOLS R-squared (Between): -4.494e-05
No. Observations: 100000 R-squared (Within): 0.0072
Date: Tue, Feb 22 2022 R-squared (Overall): 0.0076
Time: 22:48:07 Log-likelihood -1.389e+05

2334 P-value

42.845 Distribution:

P-value

Parameter Std. Err. T-stat P-value Lower CI Upper CI

F-statistic:

Distribution:

47.000 F-statistic (robust):

710.03

0.0000

710.03

0.0000

F(1,97665)

F(1,97665)

PanelOLS Estimation Summary

ExpBin R-squared:

2.0000

2173.9

1857.0

2339.0

Parameter Estimates ______

 const
 0.1083
 0.0031
 34.819
 0.0000
 0.1022
 0.1144

 ChangeBin
 0.0848
 0.0032
 26.646
 0.0000
 0.0786
 0.0911

params no transitory.update(

Distribution: F(2333,97665)

Included effects: Entity

Avg Obs: Min Obs:

P-value: 0.0000

%%capture

Create agent

exp = [

{

raw data = {

Agent nt.solve() # Run the simulations Agent nt.initialize sim()

Agent nt.simulate()

exp_df = pd.DataFrame(

"exp prod": exp,

Data = pd.DataFrame(raw_data)

Data["Y"] = Data.pLvl * Data.TranShk

Data["ExpIncChange"] = Data["pLvl"] * (

Create an individual id

Find Et[Yt+1 - Yt]

Create variables Data["ExpBin"] = 0

Data["ChangeBin"] = 0

fe res = mod.fit() print(fe res)

Dep. Variable:

Entities:

Avg Obs: Min Obs:

Max Obs:

Avg Obs:

Min Obs:

Max Obs:

Time periods:

P-value: 0.7692

In [6]:

In [7]:

In [8]:

Avg Obs:

Min Obs:

Max Obs:

Max Obs:

Data = Data.set index(["id", "Age"])

Create the variables they actually use

Cov. Estimator: Unadjusted

"PermShk": Agent.history["PermShk"].T.flatten(), "TranShk": Agent.history["TranShk"].T.flatten(),

for i in range(Agent.T cycle)

"exp prod": exp,

Data = pd.DataFrame(raw data)

Data["Y"] = Data.pLvl * Data.TranShk

Data["ExpIncChange"] = Data["pLvl"] * (

Create an individual id

Find Et[Yt+1 - Yt]

exp df = pd.DataFrame(

raw data = {

for a detailed account of how these objects map to CGM's notation.

Agent.solve()

%%capture

In [3]:

In [4]:

In [5]:

We simulate a population of agents

Run the simulations Agent.initialize sim()

Agent.simulate()

period, which is given by

In [1]: from HARK.ConsumptionSaving.ConsIndShockModel import (from HARK.Calibration.Income.IncomeTools import (parse income spec, parse time params, CGM income,

from HARK.datasets.life tables.us ssa.SSATools import parse ssa life table from HARK.datasets.SCF.WealthIncomeDist.SCFDistTools import income wealth dists from scf import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import pandas as pd

from copy import copy We now create a population of agents with the income process of Cocco, Gomes & Maenhout (2005), which is implemented as a default calibration in the toolkit.

In [2]: birth age = 21 death age = 66 adjust infl to = 1992income calib = CGM income education = "HS"

income params = parse income spec(age min=birth age, age max=death age, adjust infl to=adjust infl to, **income calib[education], SabelhausSong=True # We need survival probabilities only up to death age-1, because survival # probability at death age is 1. liv prb = parse ssa life table(female=True, cross sec=True, year=2004, min age=birth age, max age=death age - 1 # Parameters related to the number of periods implied by the calibration

time params = parse time params(age birth=birth age, age death=death age) # Update all the new parameters params = copy(init lifecycle)

params.update(time params)

params.update(dist params)

params.update(income params) params.update(

"LivPrb": liv prb,

"pLvlInitStd": 0.0,

"PermGroFacAgg": 1.0,

"UnempPrb": 0.0, "UnempPrbRet": 0.0,

We assume a standard income process with transitory and permanent shocks: The consumer's Permanent noncapital income P grows by

 $P_{t+1} = P_t \Gamma_{t+1} \psi_{t+1},$

 $Y_{t+1} = P_{t+1}\Gamma_{t+1}\theta_{t+1},$

 Γ_t captures the predictable life cycle profile of income growth (faster when young, slower when old). See our replication of CGM-2005

Now we construct all the necessary inputs to the regressors. The main input is the expected income growth of every agent at every time

 $\mathbb{E}_t[Y_{t+1}/Y_t] = \mathbb{E}_t[\left(rac{ heta_{t+1}P_t\Gamma_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}}{ heta_tP_t}
ight)]$

 $\mathbb{E}_t[\Delta y_{i,t+1}] = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \Delta y_{i,t} + f_i + \epsilon_{i,t}$

F-statistic:

46 Distribution:

The estimated $\hat{\gamma}_1$ is negative because in usual life-cycle calibrations, transitory shocks are volatile enough that mean reversion of

However, with less volatile transitory shocks, the regression coefficient would be positive. We demonstrate this by shutting off transitory

47.000 F-statistic (robust): 2.332e+04
P-value 0.0000

2.332e+04

F(1,97665)

F(1,97665)

0.0000

0.0000

 $\mathbb{E}_t[y_{t+1}-y_t] = \log \Gamma_{t+1} - \log heta_t$

 $=\left(rac{\Gamma_{t+1}}{ heta_t}
ight)$

(1)

and, if the consumer is employed, actual income Y is permanent income multiplied by a transitory shock $\mathbb{E}_t[\theta_{t+1}]=1$,

"track vars": ["pLvl", "t age", "PermShk", "TranShk"],

a predictable factor Γ and is subject to an unpredictable multiplicative shock $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}] = 1$,

calc expectation(Agent.IncShkDstn[i], func=lambda x: x[0] * x[1])

"Age": [x + birth age for x in range(Agent.T_cycle)],

"Age": Agent.history["t_age"].T.flatten() + birth_age - 1,

Data = Data.join(exp df.set index("Age"), on="Age", how="left")

Data["PermGroFac"] * Data["exp prod"] - Data["TranShk"]

A corresponding version of this relationship can be estimated in simulated data: