

Expected vs Realized Income Growth in A Standard Life Cycle Model

This notebook uses the income process in [Cocco, Gomes & Maenhout \(2005\)](#) to demonstrate that estimates of a regression of expected income changes on realized income changes are sensitive to the size of transitory shocks.

We first load some tools from the [HARK](#) toolkit.

```
In [1]: from HARK.ConsumptionSaving.ConsIndShockModel import (
        IndShockConsumerType,
        init_lifecycle,
    )

    from HARK.Calibration.Income.IncomeTools import (
        parse_income_spec,
        parse_time_params,
        CGM_income,
    )

    from HARK.datasets.life_tables.us_ssa.SSATools import parse_ssa_life_table
    from HARK.datasets.SCF.WealthIncomeDist.SCFDistTools import income_wealth_dists_from_scf
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    import pandas as pd
    from copy import copy
```

We now create a population of agents with the income process of [Cocco, Gomes & Maenhout \(2005\)](#), which is implemented as a default calibration in the toolkit.

```
In [2]: birth_age = 21
        death_age = 66
        adjust_infl_to = 1992
        income_calib = CGM_income
        education = "HS"

        # Income specification
        income_params = parse_income_spec(
            age_min=birth_age,
            age_max=death_age,
            adjust_infl_to=adjust_infl_to,
            **income_calib[education],
            SabelhausSong=True
        )

        # We need survival probabilities only up to death_age-1, because survival
        # probability at death_age is 1.
        liv_prb = parse_ssa_life_table(
            female=True, cross_sec=True, year=2004, min_age=birth_age, max_age=death_age - 1
        )

        # Parameters related to the number of periods implied by the calibration
        time_params = parse_time_params(age_birth=birth_age, age_death=death_age)

        # Update all the new parameters
        params = copy(init_lifecycle)
        params.update(time_params)
        # params.update(dist_params)
        params.update(income_params)
        params.update(
            {
                "LivPrb": liv_prb,
                "pLvlInitStd": 0.0,
                "PermGroFacAgg": 1.0,
                "UnempPrb": 0.0,
                "UnempPrbRet": 0.0,
                "track_vars": ["pLvl", "t_age", "PermShk", "TranShk"],
                "AgentCount": 200,
                "T_sim": 500,
            }
        )

        Agent = IndShockConsumerType(**params)
        Agent.solve()
```

We simulate a population of agents

```
In [3]: %%capture
        # Run the simulations
        Agent.initialize_sim()
        Agent.simulate()
```

We assume a standard income process with transitory and permanent shocks: The consumer's Permanent noncapital income P grows by a predictable factor Γ and is subject to an unpredictable multiplicative shock $\mathbb{E}_t[\psi_{t+1}] = 1$,

$$P_{t+1} = P_t \Gamma_{t+1} \psi_{t+1},$$

and, if the consumer is employed, actual income Y is permanent income multiplied by a transitory shock $\mathbb{E}_t[\theta_{t+1}] = 1$,

$$Y_{t+1} = P_{t+1} \Gamma_{t+1} \theta_{t+1},$$

Define $y = \log Y$, $p = \log P$ and similarly for other variables.

Γ_t captures the predictable life cycle profile of income growth (faster when young, slower when old). See [our replication of CGM-2005](#) for a detailed account of how these objects map to CGM's notation.

Now we construct all the necessary inputs to the regressors. The main input is the expected income growth of every agent at every time period, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_t[Y_{t+1}/Y_t] &= \mathbb{E}_t\left[\left(\frac{\theta_{t+1}P_t\Gamma_{t+1}\psi_{t+1}}{\theta_t P_t}\right)\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{\Gamma_{t+1}}{\theta_t}\right) \\ \mathbb{E}_t[y_{t+1} - y_t] &= \log \Gamma_{t+1} - \log \theta_t \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

```
In [4]: from HARK.distribution import calc_expectation

exp = [
    calc_expectation(Agent.IncShkDstn[i], func=lambda x: x[0] * x[1])
    for i in range(Agent.T_cycle)
]
exp_df = pd.DataFrame(
    {
        "exp_prod": exp,
        "PermGroFac": Agent.PermGroFac,
        "Age": [x + birth_age for x in range(Agent.T_cycle)],
    }
)

raw_data = {
    "Age": Agent.history["t_age"].T.flatten() + birth_age - 1,
    "pLvl": Agent.history["pLvl"].T.flatten(),
    "PermShk": Agent.history["PermShk"].T.flatten(),
    "TranShk": Agent.history["TranShk"].T.flatten(),
}

Data = pd.DataFrame(raw_data)

# Create an individual id
Data["id"] = (Data["Age"].diff(1) < 0).cumsum()

Data["Y"] = Data.pLvl * Data.TranShk

# Find Et[Yt+1 - Yt]
Data = Data.join(exp_df.set_index("Age"), on="Age", how="left")
Data["ExpIncChange"] = Data["pLvl"] * (
    Data["PermGroFac"] * Data["exp_prod"] - Data["TranShk"]
)

Data["Y_change"] = Data.groupby("id")["Y"].diff(1)
```

A corresponding version of this relationship can be estimated in simulated data:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[\Delta y_{i,t+1}] = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \Delta y_{i,t} + f_i + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

We now estimate an analogous regression in our simulated population.

```
In [5]: from linearmodels.panel.model import PanelOLS
        import statsmodels.api as sm

        Data = Data.set_index(["id", "Age"])

        # Create the variables they actually use
        Data["ExpBin"] = 0
        Data.loc[Data["ExpIncChange"] > 0, "ExpBin"] = 1
        Data.loc[Data["ExpIncChange"] < 0, "ExpBin"] = -1

        Data["ChangeBin"] = 0
        Data.loc[Data["Y_change"] > 0, "ChangeBin"] = 1
        Data.loc[Data["Y_change"] < 0, "ChangeBin"] = -1

        mod = PanelOLS(Data.ExpBin, sm.add_constant(Data.ChangeBin), entity_effects=True)
        fe_res = mod.fit()
        print(fe_res)
```

```
PanelOLS Estimation Summary
=====
Dep. Variable:          ExpBin      R-squared:                0.1928
Estimator:              PanelOLS    R-squared (Between):      -0.0671
No. Observations:       100000      R-squared (Within):       0.1928
Date:                   Tue, Feb 22 2022  Log-likelihood          0.1863
Time:                   14:48:42      Log-likelihood           -1.282e+05
Cov. Estimator:         Unadjusted

Entities:                2334        F-statistic:              2.332e+04
Avg Obs:                 42.845      P-value                  0.0000
Min Obs:                 2.0000      Distribution:             F(1,97665)
Max Obs:                 47.000      F-statistic (robust):     2.332e+04
                                      P-value                  0.0000
Time periods:            46          Distribution:             F(1,97665)
Avg Obs:                 2173.9
Min Obs:                 1857.0
Max Obs:                 2339.0

Parameter Estimates
=====
Parameter  Std. Err.    T-stat    P-value    Lower CI    Upper CI
-----
const      0.1249      0.0028    44.751    0.0000    0.1195    0.1304
ChangeBin  -0.4336      0.0028   -152.72    0.0000    -0.4392    -0.4281
=====

F-test for Poolability: 1.2382
P-value: 0.0000
Distribution: F(2333,97665)

Included effects: Entity
```

The estimated $\hat{\gamma}_1$ is negative because in usual life-cycle calibrations, transitory shocks are volatile enough that mean reversion of transitory fluctuations is a stronger force than persistent trends in income age-profiles.

However, with less volatile transitory shocks, the regression coefficient would be positive. We demonstrate this by shutting off transitory shocks, simulating another population of agents, and re-running the regression.

```
In [6]: %%capture
        params_no_transitory = copy(params)
        params_no_transitory.update(
            {
                "TranShkStd": [0.0] * len(params["TranShkStd"])
            }
        )

        # Create agent
        Agent_nt = IndShockConsumerType(**params_no_transitory)
        Agent_nt.solve()
        # Run the simulations
        Agent_nt.initialize_sim()
        Agent_nt.simulate()
```

```
In [7]: exp = [
        calc_expectation(Agent_nt.IncShkDstn[i], func=lambda x: x[0] * x[1])
        for i in range(Agent_nt.T_cycle)
    ]
    exp_df = pd.DataFrame(
        {
            "exp_prod": exp,
            "PermGroFac": Agent_nt.PermGroFac,
            "Age": [x + birth_age for x in range(Agent.T_cycle)],
        }
    )

    raw_data = {
        "Age": Agent_nt.history["t_age"].T.flatten() + birth_age - 1,
        "pLvl": Agent_nt.history["pLvl"].T.flatten(),
        "PermShk": Agent_nt.history["PermShk"].T.flatten(),
        "TranShk": Agent_nt.history["TranShk"].T.flatten(),
    }

    Data = pd.DataFrame(raw_data)

    # Create an individual id
    Data["id"] = (Data["Age"].diff(1) < 0).cumsum()

    Data["Y"] = Data.pLvl * Data.TranShk

    # Find Et[Yt+1 - Yt]
    Data = Data.join(exp_df.set_index("Age"), on="Age", how="left")
    Data["ExpIncChange"] = Data["pLvl"] * (
        Data["PermGroFac"] * Data["exp_prod"] - Data["TranShk"]
    )

    Data["Y_change"] = Data.groupby("id")["Y"].diff(1)
```

```
In [8]: # Create variables
        Data["ExpBin"] = 0
        Data.loc[Data["ExpIncChange"] > 0, "ExpBin"] = 1
        Data.loc[Data["ExpIncChange"] < 0, "ExpBin"] = -1

        Data["ChangeBin"] = 0
        Data.loc[Data["Y_change"] > 0, "ChangeBin"] = 1
        Data.loc[Data["Y_change"] < 0, "ChangeBin"] = -1

        Data = Data.set_index(["id", "Age"])
        mod = PanelOLS(Data.ExpBin, sm.add_constant(Data.ChangeBin), entity_effects=True)
        fe_res = mod.fit()
        print(fe_res)
```

```
PanelOLS Estimation Summary
=====
Dep. Variable:          ExpBin      R-squared:                0.0072
Estimator:              PanelOLS    R-squared (Between):      -4.494e-05
No. Observations:       100000      R-squared (Within):       0.0072
Date:                   Tue, Feb 22 2022  Log-likelihood          0.0076
Time:                   14:48:46      Log-likelihood           -1.389e+05
Cov. Estimator:         Unadjusted

Entities:                2334        F-statistic:              710.03
Avg Obs:                 42.845      P-value                  0.0000
Min Obs:                 2.0000      Distribution:             F(1,97665)
Max Obs:                 47.000      F-statistic (robust):     710.03
                                      P-value                  0.0000
Time periods:            46          Distribution:             F(1,97665)
Avg Obs:                 2173.9
Min Obs:                 1857.0
Max Obs:                 2339.0

Parameter Estimates
=====
Parameter  Std. Err.    T-stat    P-value    Lower CI    Upper CI
-----
const      0.1083      0.0031    34.819    0.0000    0.1022    0.1144
ChangeBin  0.0848      0.0032    26.646    0.0000    0.0786    0.0911
=====

F-test for Poolability: 0.9781
P-value: 0.7692
Distribution: F(2333,97665)

Included effects: Entity
```

The estimated $\hat{\gamma}_1$ when there are no transitory shocks is positive.