CS342: Organization of Prog. Languages

Topic 11: Tail Calls

- Tail Recursion
- Tail Call Example
- Tail Recursion Elimination
- Using Tail Recursion
- Scheme Do Loops as Tail Recursion
- Other Sequencing with Tail Calls

© 2000-2007 Stephen M. Watt.

Tail Recursion

- Which is better, the loop version or the recursive version of factorial?
- It turns out that programs which can be written as loops, can also be written in an efficient recursive form, using "Tail Calls", or "Tail Recursion".
- The Scheme language definition requires that tail calls have this efficient implementation

Tail Call Example

• Consider the following example:

```
(define (f n) (g (* 3 n)))
(define (g n) (+ n 1))
(f 7)
```

- Step 1. Enter f. Set f's n = 7.
- Step 2. Eval (* 3 n) to get 21.
- Step 3. Enter g. Set g's n = 21.
- Step 4. Eval (+ n 1) to get 22.
 Notice that there is absolutely g has to do with this value belfore it, itself, returns the value to f.
- Step 5. Return 22 from g to f.
 Notice that there is absolutely f has to do with this value belfore it, itself, returns.
- Step 6. Return 22 from f to f's caller.

Tail Recursion Elimination

Consider the following tail recursive C program:

```
int
my_strlen_plus(char *s, int n)
{
    if (*s == 0) return n;
    return my_strlen_plus(s + 1, n + 1);
}
int my_strlen(char *s) { return my_strlen_plus(s, 0); }
The calls to my_strlen_plus are all tail recursive.
```

• Rewrite my_strlen_plus to re-use the local environment rather than stacking a recursive call:

```
int
my_strlen_plus(char *s, int n)
{
restart_me:
    if (*s == 0) return n;
    s = s + 1;
    n = n + 1;
    goto restart_me;
}
```

- In C there is no elegant way to efficiently to handle tail calls between different functions.
- Reminder: Scheme requires that all tail-recursive calls be handled efficiently.

Using Tail Recursion

Consider the following recursive function:

```
;; General recursion
(define (my-length L)
    (if (null? L) 0 (+ 1 (my-length (cdr L)))) )
```

Note that the result of the recursive call to my-length has 1 added to it.

 Let's rewrite my-length using tail recursion so it does not accumulate stack frames.

It then can in principle be as efficient as a C loop when compiled:

Scheme Do Loops as Tail Recursion

Recall the form of a do loop in Scheme:

```
(do ((var1 init1 step1) ...) (test fini1 ...)
  body1 body2 ...)
```

- This form is equivalent to a tail recursive function application where:
 - The loop body becomes recursive function.
 - The loop control variables as parameters.

• Example:

```
Loop:
```

Equivalent tail-recursion:

Other Sequencing with Tail Calls

• Begin by considering how the control structure can be described in terms of gotos, e.g.:

```
int f(int a, int b) {
    int i, tot = 0;
    for (i = a; i <=b; i++) tot = tot + i;
    return tot;
}</pre>
```

This is equivalent to a program with gotos as:

```
int f(int a, int b) {
        int i, tot = 0;

entry: i = a;
again: if (i > b) goto done;
        tot = tot + i;
        i++;
        goto again;
done: return tot;
}
```

Other Sequencing with Tail Calls (cont'd)

- Now convert each block into a nullary function.
- The gotos become tail calls.

```
(define (f a b)
  (let ((i #f) (tot 0))
     (letrec (
         (entry (lambda ()
           (set! i a)
           (again) )) ; Tail call
         (again (lambda ()
           (if (> i b)
             (done)
                    ; Tail call
             (begin
               (set! tot (+ tot i))
               (set! i (+ i 1))
               (again) )))) ; Tail call
         (done (lambda ()
                             ; Return result
           tot )) )
       (entry)) ))
                             ; Tail call
```