Assignment #2

Student #:

Name: Zaid Albirawi

UWO email: zalbiraw@uwo.ca

1. Use the laws of propositional calculus to find a formula in disjunctive normal for tautologically equivalent to the formula:

$$(p \rightarrow q \land \neg r) \rightarrow (p \lor r \rightarrow \neg q \land r)$$

State all laws of propositional calculus that you use. Simplify your result as must as possible.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (p \rightarrow q \ \wedge \neg r) \rightarrow (p \vee r \rightarrow \neg q \wedge r) & \text{Elimination of implication} \\ \neg (p \rightarrow (q \ \wedge \neg r)) \vee ((p \vee r) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)) & \text{Elimination of implication} \\ \neg (\neg p \vee (q \ \wedge \neg r)) \vee (\neg (p \vee r) \vee (\neg q \wedge r)) & \text{Elimination of implication} \\ (p \wedge \neg (q \ \wedge \neg r)) \vee ((\neg p \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg q \wedge r)) & \text{Double-negation law} \\ (p \wedge (\neg q \ \vee r)) \vee ((\neg p \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg q \wedge r)) & \text{Distributive law} \\ (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (p \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg q \wedge r) & \text{Distributive law} \\ \end{array}$$

Therefore, $(p \to q \land \neg r) \to (p \lor r \to \neg q \land r)$ is equivalent to the disjunctive formula $(p \land \neg q) \lor (p \land r) \lor (\neg p \land \neg r) \lor (\neg q \land r)$

2. Use the laws of propositional calculus to find a formula in conjunctive normal for tautologically equivalent to the formula:

$$((\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \lor C) \land (\neg B \land C)$$

State all laws of propositional calculus that you use. Simplify your result as must as possible.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \left((\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \lor C \right) \land (\neg B \land C) & \text{Elimination of implication} \\ \left(((\neg A \to B) \land (\neg A \leftarrow B)) \lor C \right) \land (\neg B \land C) & \text{Distributive law} \\ \left(((\neg A \to B) \lor C) \land ((\neg A \leftarrow B) \lor C) \right) \land (\neg B \land C) & \text{Elimination of implication} \\ \left((\neg A \to B) \lor C \right) \land ((B \to \neg A) \lor C) \land (\neg B \land C) & \text{Elimination of implication} \\ \left((A \lor B) \lor C \right) \land ((\neg B \lor \neg A) \lor C) \land (\neg B \land C) & \text{Associative law} \\ \left((A \lor B \lor C) \land (\neg B \lor \neg A \lor C) \land \neg B \land C & \text{Commutative law} \\ \left((A \lor B \lor C) \land C \right) \land ((\neg A \lor \neg B \lor C) \land C) \land \neg B \land C & \text{Idempotent law} \\ C \land C \neg B \land C & \text{Absorption law} \\ \neg B \land C & \text{Idempotent law} \\ \end{array}$$

Therefore, $((\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \lor C) \land (\neg B \land C)$ is equivalent to the conjunctive formula $\neg B \land C$

3. Use the truth table method to find the disjunctive normal for of the formula in **question 1**, and the conjunctive normal form of the formula in **question 2**. How do the resulting formula compare to the ones you obtained in **questions 1** and **2**? Justify your answer.

$$(p \rightarrow q \land \neg r) \rightarrow (p \lor r \rightarrow \neg q \land r)$$

p	q	r	$\neg q$	$\neg r$	$q \land \neg r$	$p \rightarrow q \land \neg r$	p v r	$\neg q \wedge r$	$p \lor r \to \neg q \land r$	$(p \to q \land \neg r) \to (p \lor r \to \neg q \land r)$
0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

Therefore, since $(p \to q \land \neg r) \to (p \lor r \to \neg q \land r)$ is true when the following values are true,

$$\neg p, \neg q, \neg r$$

$$\neg p, \neg q, r$$

$$\neg p, q, \neg r$$

$$p, \neg q, \neg r$$

$$p, \neg q, r$$

We can conclude that the disjunctive formula is,

$$(\neg p \land \neg q \land \neg r) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q \land r) \lor (\neg p \land q \land \neg r) \lor (p \land \neg q \land \neg r) \lor (p \land \neg q \land r) \lor (p \land q \land r)$$

$$((\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \lor C) \land (\neg B \land C)$$

Α	В	С	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$\neg A \leftrightarrow B$	$(\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \lor C$	$\neg B \wedge C$	$((\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \lor C) \land (\neg B \land C)$
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0

Therefore, since $((\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \lor C) \land (\neg B \land C)$ is false when the following values are true,

$$\neg A, \neg B, \neg C$$

 $\neg A, B, \neg C$
 $\neg A, B, C$
 $A, \neg B, \neg C$
 $A, B, \neg C$
 A, B, C

We can conclude that the disjunctive formula for $\neg((\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \lor C) \land (\neg B \land C)$ is,

$$(\neg A \land \neg B \land \neg C) \lor (\neg A \land B \land \neg C) \lor (\neg A \land B \land C) \lor (A \land \neg B \land \neg C) \lor (A \land B \land \neg C) \lor (A \land B \land C)$$

and that the conjunctive formula for $((\neg A \leftrightarrow B) \lor C) \land (\neg B \land C)$ is,

$$\neg (\neg A \land \neg B \land \neg C) \lor (\neg A \land B \land \neg C) \lor (\neg A \land B \land C) \lor (A \land \neg B \land \neg C) \lor (A \land B \land \neg C) \lor (A \land B \land C)$$

$$(A \lor B \lor C) \land (A \lor \neg B \lor C) \land (A \lor \neg B \lor \neg C) \land (\neg A \lor B \lor C) \land (\neg A \lor \neg B \lor C) \land (\neg A \lor \neg B \lor \neg C)$$

The resulting formulas compare the formulas in questions 1 and 2 by the fact that they are equal. Furthermore, the formulas in question 3 include every case produced by the truth table, but the results in questions 1 and 2 include a more compressed version of these results, thanks to the propositional laws of calculus.

4. Connectives.

a. Show that the set of connectives $\{\Lambda, \leftrightarrow, \oplus\}$ is adequate, where \oplus is define the truth table:

p	q	$p \oplus q$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

During the class discussion we conclude that any set of connectives is adequate if it can generate a negation and has one of the following connectives $\{\land,\lor,\rightarrow\}$

Therefore, we use a truth table to find an expression that is equivalent to a negation.

p	$\neg p$	$(p \oplus p) \leftrightarrow p$
0	1	1
1	0	0

Therefore, since $p \oplus p \leftrightarrow p$ is logically equivalent to $\neg p$. We can conclude that this set $\{\land, \leftrightarrow, \oplus\}$, is an adequate set, because the set $\{\land, \neg\}$ is an adequate set that can be extracted from $\{\land, \leftrightarrow, \oplus\}$.

b. Show that the set of connectives $\{\Lambda, \leftrightarrow\}$ is not adequate.

To prove that this set is an inadequate set, the set must be able to generate a formula that only uses one variable and is able to equal the negation of that variable. If we look at the truth tables of $\{\Lambda, \leftrightarrow\}$ we find that there does not exist a function that uses one variable and is able to generate a negation of that variable. This is because the \leftrightarrow will always return a true whenever its variables are the same, and if the Λ will return 1 if its values are 1 and 0 otherwise. Any combination of these two connectives will either result in a constant value of 1, or 0, or the same value, p.

However, the formula $0 \leftrightarrow p$ is a valid formula. This formula is logically equivalent to the negation of p. In this case the set of connectives $\{\Lambda, \leftrightarrow\}$ is not adequate.

- 5. Give formal proofs that the following arguments are valid using only the 11 rules of formal deduction and the theorems proved in class. State each rule you use.
 - a. Argument:

$$(A \lor B) \land \neg C$$

$$\neg C \to (D \land \neg A)$$

$$B \to (A \lor E)$$

$$Con: E \lor F$$

$$(A \lor B) \land \neg C, \neg C \to (D \land \neg A), B \to (A \lor E) \vdash E \lor F$$

$$Let (A \lor B) \land \neg C, \neg C \to (D \land \neg A), B \to (A \lor E) = \Sigma$$

Proof: $\sum \vdash E \lor F$

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1. \sum \vdash \neg \mathcal{C} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D} \land \neg \mathcal{A}) & (\in) \\ 2. \sum, \neg \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathcal{D} \land \neg \mathcal{A} & (-\rightarrow, 1) \\ 3. \sum, \neg \mathcal{C} \vdash \neg \mathcal{A} & (-\land, 2) \\ 4. \sum, \neg \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{E}) & (\in) \\ 5. \sum, \neg \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{E} & (-\rightarrow, 4) \\ 6. \sum, \neg \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B} \vdash \mathcal{E} & (Disjunctive solly., 5, 3) \\ 7. \sum, \neg \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathcal{B} & (-) \\ 8. \sum, \neg \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{B} & (+\lor, 7) \\ 9. \sum \vdash \neg \mathcal{C} & (-) \\ 10. \sum \vdash (\mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{B}) \land \neg \mathcal{C}, & (+\land, 9, 8) \\ 11. \sum \vdash \mathcal{E} \lor \mathcal{F} & (+\lor, 6) \end{array}$$

Therefore, proven.

b. Argument:

$$(O \land G) \rightarrow V$$

$$V \rightarrow \neg M$$

$$\neg J \rightarrow M$$

$$Con: G \rightarrow (O \rightarrow J)$$

$$(O \land G) \rightarrow V, V \rightarrow \neg M, \neg J \rightarrow M \vdash G \rightarrow (O \rightarrow J)$$

$$Let (O \land G) \rightarrow V, V \rightarrow \neg M, \neg J \rightarrow M = \Sigma$$

Proof: $\sum G, G \vdash G \rightarrow (O \rightarrow J)$

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1. \ \Sigma, G, O \vdash O \land G & (\land +) \\ 2. \ \Sigma, G, O \vdash (O \land G) \rightarrow V & (\in) \\ 3. \ \Sigma, G, O \vdash V & (\rightarrow -, \land -) \\ 4. \ \Sigma, G, O \vdash V \rightarrow \neg M & (\in) \\ 5. \ \Sigma, G, O \vdash \neg M & (3, \rightarrow -) \\ 6. \ \Sigma, G, O \vdash \neg J \rightarrow M & (\in) \\ 7. \ \Sigma, G, O \vdash J & (Modus Tollens, 5, 6) \\ 8. \ \Sigma, G \vdash O \rightarrow J & (\rightarrow +) \\ 9. \ \Sigma \vdash G \rightarrow (O \rightarrow J) & (\rightarrow +) \end{array}$$

Therefore $\Sigma \vdash G \rightarrow (O \rightarrow J)$.