Algorytmy i stuktury danych - Lista 4 Alicja Myśliwiec - gr. wtorek 7:30 import time, random import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import numpy as np Zad. 1 Zaimplementuj kolejkę przy użyciu pythonowych list w taki sposób, aby: koniec kolejki znajdował się na końcu listy, class QueueBaB(object): def init (self): self.list_of_items = [] def isEmpty(self): if not self.list_of_items: return True return False def enqueue(self, *items): #umożliwia to dodanie wielu elemntów na raz do kolejki for item in list(items): self.list_of_items.append(item) def dequeue(self): return self.list_of_items.pop(0) def size(self): return len(self.list of items) def str (self): return str(self.list of items) b = QueueBaB()b.enqueue (3) b.enqueue(4) b.enqueue(5, 7, 9, 10) b.dequeue() print(b) [4, 5, 7, 9, 10] In [4]: b = QueueBaB() b.enqueue(1) print(b.isEmpty()) b.dequeue() print(b.isEmpty()) False True koniec kolejki znajdował się na początku listy. class QueueBaE(object): def init__(self): self.list_of_items = [] def isEmpty(self): if not self.list of items: return True return False def enqueue(self, *items): for item in list(items): self.list of items.insert(0, item) def dequeue(self): return self.list_of_items.pop() def size(self): return len(self.list of items) def __str__(self): return str(self.list of items) b = QueueBaE() b.enqueue(3) b.enqueue (4) b.enqueue(5, 7, 9, 10) b.dequeue() print(b, b.size()) [10, 9, 7, 5, 4] 5 Zad. 2 Zaprojektuj i przeprowadź eksperyment porównujący wydajność obu implementacji. W poniższym zadaniu porównam metody enqueue, dequeue oraz obie jednoczesnie dla obu kolejek def enqueue times(n): BaB = QueueBaB() BaE = QueueBaE()start BaB = time.time() for i in range(0, n): BaB.enqueue(i) end BaB = time.time() time BaB = end BaB - start BaB start BaE = time.time() for i in range(0, n): BaE.enqueue(i) end BaE = time.time() time BaE = end BaE- start BaE return [time BaB, time BaE], [BaB, BaE] def dequeue_times(n): BaB = enqueue_times(n)[1][0] BaE = enqueue_times(n)[1][1] start_BaB = time.time() for i in range(0, n): BaB.dequeue() end_BaB = time.time() time_BaB = end_BaB - start_BaB start BaE = time.time() for i in range(0, n): BaE.dequeue() end_BaE = time.time() time BaE = end BaE - start BaE return [time_BaB, time_BaE] def enq and deq(n): BaB = QueueBaB() BaE = QueueBaE() start BaB = time.time() for i in range(0, n): BaB.enqueue(i) for i in range(0, n): BaB.dequeue() end BaB = time.time() time BaB = end BaB - start BaB start BaE = time.time() for i in range(0, n): BaE.enqueue(i) for i in range(0, n): BaE.dequeue() end BaE = time.time() time BaE = end BaE - start BaE return [time BaB, time BaE] Teraz, dla konkretnej liczby n wywolan, stworze funkcje stałą. Następnie sprawdzimy czy faktycznie zależność jest liniowa. In [124... def compare enqueue(n): x = np.linspace(0, 20, 21)values_BaB = enqueue_times(n)[0][0] * x values_BaE = enqueue_times(n)[0][1] * x plt.plot(x, values BaB, c="blue", label="BaB") plt.plot(x, values_BaE, c="red", label="BaE") plt.title("Comparison of enqueue methods") plt.xlabel("Constant function for n = {}".format(n)) plt.ylabel("Time") plt.legend() plt.grid() plt.show() compare_enqueue(10000) Comparision of enqueue methods 1.6 BaB BaE 1.4 1.2 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 10.0 12.5 Constant function for n = 10000def compare_dequeue(n): x = np.linspace(0, 20, 21)values_BaB = dequeue_times(n)[0] * x values_BaE = dequeue_times(n)[1] * x plt.plot(x, values_BaB, c="blue", label="BaB") plt.plot(x, values_BaE, c="red", label="BaE") plt.title("Comparison of dequeue methods") plt.xlabel("Constant function for n = {}".format(n)) plt.ylabel("Time") plt.legend() plt.grid() plt.show() compare dequeue (10000) Comparision of dequeue methods BaB 0.5 BaE 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 10.0 0.0 2.5 12.5 15.0 Constant function for n = 10000 def compare both(n): x = np.linspace(0, 20, 21)values_BaB = enq_and_deq(n)[0] * x $values_BaE = enq_and_deq(n)[1] * x$ plt.plot(x, values_BaB, c="blue", label="BaB") plt.plot(x, values_BaE, c="red", label="BaE") plt.title("Comparison of enqueue and dequeue methods") plt.xlabel("Constant function for n = {}".format(n)) plt.ylabel("Time") plt.legend() plt.grid() plt.show() In [129.. compare_both(10000) Comparision of enqueue and dequeue methods BaB 1.4 BaE 1.2 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 10.0 0.0 2.5 12.5 15.0 Constant function for n = 10000 Według wykresów, w ogóle lepiej sprawuje się kolejka BaB - choć przy metodzie dequeue jest wolniejsza. osobne wyniki metod wynikają z implementacji metod wbudowanych dla klasycznej pythonowej listy. Teraz sprawdzę jak wygląda wykres sprawdzając czas dla każdego n po kolei def get enqueue times(n): single times BaB, single times BaE = [], [] x = [x for x in range(0, n, 100)]for timer in x: times = enqueue times(timer)[0] BaB times = times[0] BaE times = times[1] single times BaB.append(BaB times) single times BaE.append(BaE times) return [x, single times BaB, single times BaE] def get_dequeue_times(n): single times BaB, single times BaE = [], [] x = [x for x in range(0, n, 100)]for timer in x: times = dequeue_times(timer) BaB_times = times[0] BaE_times = times[1] single_times_BaB.append(BaB_times) single_times_BaE.append(BaE_times) return [x, single_times_BaB, single_times_BaE] In [134... def compare time(n, name): if name == "enqueue": data = get enqueue times(n) tlt = "Comparison of enqueue method" elif name == "dequeue": data = get dequeue times(n) tlt = "Comparison of dequeue method" x = data[0]values_BaB = data[1] values BaE = data[2]plt.plot(x, values BaB, c="blue", label="BaB") plt.plot(x, values_BaE, c="red", label="BaE") # wykresy liniowe xaxis = [0, x[-1]]plt.plot(xaxis, [0, values BaB[-1]]) plt.plot(xaxis, [0, values_BaE[-1]]) plt.title(tlt) plt.xlabel("Number of elements") plt.ylabel("Time") plt.legend() plt.grid() plt.show() compare time(10000, "enqueue") Comparison of enqueue method BaB BaE 0.06 0.05 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 2000 4000 6000 8000 10000 Number of elements compare time(10000, "dequeue") Comparison of dequeue method BaB 0.030 BaE 0.025 0.020 0.015 0.010 0.005 0.000 10000 2000 4000 6000 8000 Number of elements Dla większej liczby elementów wykresy się coraz bardziej pokrywają compare_time(30000, "enqueue") Comparision of enqueue method BaB BaE 0.5 Jahren War Waller Haller Halle 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000 30000 Number of elements compare_time(30000, "dequeue") Comparision of dequeue method 0.35 BaB BaE 0.30 0.25 0.20 0.15 0.10 0.05 0.00 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000 30000 0 Number of elements Można też zobrazować, w jakich przedziałach oscylują wykonania dla tej samej liczby elementów. def get both times(n): single_times_BaB, single_times_BaE = [], [] x = [x for x in range(0, n, 100)]for timer in x: times = enq_and_deq(n) BaB_times = times[0] BaE times = times[1] single_times_BaB.append(BaB_times) single_times_BaE.append(BaE_times) return [x, single_times_BaB, single_times_BaE] def compare both same times(n): data = get both times(n)x = data[0]values BaB = data[1] $values_BaE = data[2]$ plt.plot(x, values BaB, c="blue", label="BaB") plt.plot(x, values BaE, c="red", label="BaE") plt.title("Comparison of enqueue and dequeue methods") plt.xlabel("Number of executions") plt.ylabel("Time") plt.legend() plt.grid() plt.show() In [24]: compare_both_same_times(10000) Comparision of enqueue and dequeue methods 0.12 BaB BaE 0.10 0.08 0.06 0.04 0 2000 4000 6000 8000 10000 Number of elements Ponownie widać przewagę kolejki BaB Zad. 3 Rozważ sytuację z życia wziętą, np.: auta w kolejce do myjni, kasy w supermarkecie, samoloty na pasie startowym, okienko w banku. Postaw pytanie badawcze. Wykorzystując liniowe struktury danych zaprojektuj i przeprowadź symulację, która udzieli na nie odpowiedzi. Pamiętaj o określeniu wszystkich uproszczeń swojego modelu. **Bistro** Z uwagi na panujące obostrzenia, w pewnym bistro jest określona maksymalna liczba osób, która może przebywać jednocześnie w lokalu. Symulacje przeprowadzane są przy założeniu, iż restrykcje się zmieniają oraz pozostaje dysproporcja w tempie pracy poszczególnych kasjerek. Czas obsługi konkretnego klienta również jest zróżnicowany (np. nakłada większą ilość jedzenia). Z zeszłorocznych danych również wiemy, że bistro odwiedza od 8 do 15 osób na godzinę. Każdy klient, który w godzinę zamknięcia bistro stoi w kolejce poza lokalem, niestety nie może zostać obsłużony. Ile średnio osób dziennie, nie zostaje obsługiwanych? class Bistro: init (self, restriction, faster service pace): :param restriction: how many clients can wait inside, pandemic times :(:param faster service pace: client can be serviced by different cashiers True - faster, False - slower cashier! self.clients = None self.current client = None self.open hours = 360self.full queue inside = False self.restricted amount of clients = restriction self.faster_service_pace = faster_service_pace def new clients(self): Function determine how many clients will arrive in specific hour :return: True if it's a full hour, if not - False if self.open hours in [60, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360]: number = random.randint(8, 15) self.clients = number return True return False def every minute tick(self): self.open hours -= 1 def next_to_the_counter(self, new_client): next customer is served self.current client = new client In [138.. class Client: def __init__(self, spent_time): :param spent_time: Time that single client will be served self.time_remain = spent_time def waiting(self): self.time_remain -= 1 def has_been_served(self): Function determine whether client can leave the queue :return: if self.time_remain == 0: return True return False def single simulation(restrict, fsp): :return: amount of people outside bistro = Bistro(restrict, fsp) queue = QueueBaE() next client = None clients outside = 0 client time range = [4, 5, 6, 7]client_time = random.choice(client_time_range) if not bistro.faster service pace: client time += random.randint(1, 2) # slower cashier makes service time longer while bistro.open hours > 0: if bistro.new clients(): for it in range(bistro.clients): client = Client(client time) queue.enqueue(client) if queue.isEmpty(): # nobody in queue, time keep going bistro.every minute tick() continue if bistro.current client is None or next client.has been served(): next client = queue.dequeue() bistro.next_to_the_counter(next_client) if queue.size() >= bistro.restricted_amount_of_clients: bistro.full queue inside = True bistro.full queue inside = False next client.waiting() bistro.every_minute_tick() if bistro.full queue inside: clients outside = queue.size() - restrict return clients outside In [149... def interpret(restrict, n): In this function I am going to interpret _1 as calculations for faster cashier, similary _2 for the slower :param n: how many time to simulate a single day list of clients outside 1, list of clients outside 2, x = [], [], []average_amount_1, average_amount_2 = 0, 0 for i in range(n): data 1 = single simulation(restrict, True) list_of_clients_outside_1.append(data_1) data_2 = single_simulation(restrict, False) list of clients outside 2.append(data 2) x.append(i) for num in list_of_clients_outside_1: average_amount_1 += num for num in list_of_clients_outside_2: average_amount_2 += num result_1, result_2 = average_amount_1 / len(list_of_clients_outside_1), average_amount_2 / len(list_of_clients_outside_1), average_amount_2 / len(list_of_clients_outside_1) values_1 = list_of_clients_outside_1 values 2 = list of clients outside 2 plt.scatter(x, values_1, c="blue", label="Faster") plt.scatter(x, values_2, c="red", label="Slower") plt.plot(x, [result_1 for i in range(n)], label="average Faster") plt.plot(x, [result_2 for i in range(n)], label="average Slower") plt.title("Simulation") plt.xlabel("Single days") plt.ylabel("Customers outside") plt.legend() plt.grid() plt.show() interpret(6, 25) Simulation average Faster 30 average Slower Faster 25 Slower Customers outside 20 15 10 ----10 15 20 Single days interpret (10, 20) Simulation average Faster 25 average Slower Faster 20 Slower Customers outside 10 5 2.5 10.0 7.5 Single days interpret (23, 20) Simulation 6 5 Customers outside average Faster average Slower Faster Slower 2 17.5 0.0 2.5 5.0 10.0 12.5 15.0 Single days Interpretacja oczywiście nie mogła być inna - im więcej osób może przebywać w lokalu, tym więcej ich obsłużymy po zamknięciu (średnia ilość osób w kolejce poza bistro). Również tempo kasjerki sprawia, że w ciągu dnia jest mniej lub więcej 'nieobsłużonych' klientów. Zad. 4 Napisz program, który sprawdzi poprawność składni dokumentu HTML pod kątem brakujących znaczników zamykających. Program zacznę od zaimplementowania stosu In [34]: class Stack: init (self): def self.items = [] def isEmpty(self): return self.items == [] def push(self, item): self.items.append(item) def pop(self): return self.items.pop() def peek(self): return self.items[len(self.items) - 1] def size(self): return len(self.items) def __getitem__(self, item): return self.items[item] def str (self): return str(self.items) Następnie, funckja właściwa. Wydziela ona elementy zanjdujące się pomiędzy znacznikami "<" oraz ">". Jeśli jest to komentarz - usuwa go w całości (w komenarzu może być wszystko, tzn. przykładowo pootwierane znaczniki). Odpowiednio wyselekcjonowane elementy funckja kolejno lub wrzuca na stos, lub je ściąga. def checking HTML correctness(text): interesting list = [] checking_stack = Stack() while '>' in text: start = text.find("<") + len("<") end = text.find(">") end comment = text.find("-->") substring = text[start:end] **if** substring[0:3] == "!--": text = text[0:start - 1] + text[end comment + len("-->"):] continue if len(substring.split("<")) == 1:</pre> interesting list.append(substring) text = text[0:start - 1] + text[end + 1:] start2 = substring.find("<") + len("<")</pre> subsubstring = substring[start2:end] interesting list.append(subsubstring) text = text[0:start + len(substring.split("<")[0])] + text[end + 1:]</pre> for element in interesting list: helping list = element.split(" ") ele = helping list[0] if ele in ['meta', 'link', 'img', '!DOCTYPE', 'br', 'hr', 'col', 'command', 'input', 'base', 'area']: continue if not checking stack.isEmpty(): if '/' + checking_stack[-1] == ele: checking_stack.pop() else: checking_stack.push(ele) checking_stack.push(ele) if checking stack.isEmpty(): return True return False Funkcja ta dla potrzeb działania w Jupyterze została zmodyfikowana tak, aby przyjmowała string nie plik jako argument. W pliku .py jest zadana poprawnie i generuje następujące wyniki dla zadanych kodów HTML: print(checking_HTML_correctness("L4_ZAD4_sampleHTML_1.txt")) print(checking_HTML_correctness("L4_ZAD4_sampleHTML_2.txt")) print(checking_HTML_correctness("L4_ZAD4_sampleHTML_3.txt")) checking_HTML_correctness() C:\Users\Alutka\PycharmProjects\Lista4_alg\venv\Scripts\python.exe C:\Users\Alutka\PycharmProjects\Lista4_alg\L4_ZAD4.py False False Process finished with exit code M Króki przykład działania programu: Pierwszy przykład jest poprawny sample 1 = "<head> <meta> <!-- --> </head>"" checking HTML correctness(sample 1) Out[36]: True W drugim natomiast brakuje jednego domknięcia "\</div>". Dlatego otrzymujemy False sample 2 = "<footer> <div> <div> <div> <div> <div> <l-- Email ====== --><!-- Facebook ======== --> checking_HTML_correctness(sample_2) Out[37]: False Dodajmy do przykładu brakujący zamykający "\</div>". Teraz wszytsko jest w porządku. sample 2 repaired = "<footer> <div> <div> <div> <!-- Email ====== --> <!-- Facebook ======== --> checking_HTML_correctness(sample_2_repaired) Out[38]: True Ostatni przykład, w którym widać że zawartość komentarzy nie ma znaczenia. sample 3 = "<head> <a> <!-- <title> --> </head>" checking HTML correctness(sample 3) Out[39]: True Zad. 5 Dodaj brakujące metody do klasy UnorderedList prezentowanej na wykładzie. In [40]: class Node: def __init__(self, init_data): self.data = init data self.next = None def getData(self): return self.data def getNext(self): return self.next def setData(self, new_data): self.data = new_data def setNext(self, new_next): self.next = new_next

<pre>def size(self): current = self.head count = 0 while current is not None: count = count + 1 current = current.getNext() return count def search(self, item): current = self.head found = False</pre>
<pre>while current is not None and not found: if current.getData() == item: found = True else: current = current.getNext() return found def remove(self, item): current = self.head previous = None found = False</pre>
<pre>while not found: if current.getData() == item: found = True else: previous = current current = current.getNext() if previous is None: # jeśli usuwamy pierwszy element self.head = current.getNext() else: previous.setNext(current.getNext())</pre>
<pre>def append(self, item): """ Method to add an item to the end of the list. :param item: object to add """ current = self.head temp = Node(item) if self.isEmpty(): self.add(item) else:</pre>
<pre>while current.getNext() is not None:</pre>
<pre>index_pos = 0 current = self.head while current is not None: if current.getData() == item: return index_pos else: current = current.getNext() index_pos += 1 return None</pre>
<pre>def insert(self, pos, item): """ The method places a given element on the given position. Takes the position as arguments, on which to place the element and this element. :param pos: position on which to place the element :param item: element to place """ temp = Node(item) current_pos, size = self.head, 0, self.size() if -size <= pos < 0: # odczytanie indeksu ujemnego</pre>
<pre>pos += size + 1 if pos == 0: self.add(item) elif pos == size: self.append(item) elif not 0 <= pos < size: raise IndexError("Incorrect index") else: while current_pos != (pos - 1): current = current.getNext() current_pos += 1</pre>
<pre>next_for_temp = current.getNext() temp.setNext(next_for_temp) current.setNext(temp) def pop(self, pos=-1): The method removes an item from the list from the specific place. :param pos: optional; position . :return: deleted element """</pre>
<pre>current_pos, size = self.head, 0, self.size() previous = None limes = size if self.isEmpty(): raise IndexError("empty list, nothing to pop") if not abs(pos) <= limes: raise IndexError("Incorrect index") while current is not None: if current_pos == 0: if pos == -1 and size == 1:</pre>
<pre>self.head = previous return current.getData() elif pos == 0: self.head = current.getNext() return current.getData() if current_pos in [pos, pos + size]: previous.setNext(current.getNext()) return current.getData() previous = current current = current.getNext()</pre>
<pre>defstr(self): current = self.head li = [] while current is not None: li.append(current.getData()) current = current.getNext() s = ("elements in the list are [" + ', '.join(['{}'] * len(li)) + "]") return s.format(*li)</pre>
<pre>In [154 mylist = UnorderedList() mylist.add(3) mylist.add(4) print(mylist) mylist.insert(1, 15) print(mylist) print(mylist) print(mylist.pop()) print(mylist.search(15))</pre>
elements in the list are [4, 3] elements in the list are [4, 15, 3] True Zad. 6 Zaimplementuj stos przy pomocy listy jednokierunkowej. In [43]: class StackUsingUL(object): definit(self):
<pre>self.items = UnorderedList() def is_empty(self): """ A method to check if the stack is empty. """ return self.items.isEmpty() def push(self, item): """ The method places a new item on the stack. :param item: item to place</pre>
<pre>self.items.append(item) def pop(self): """ The method pops the item off the stack. :return: popped element """ if self.is_empty(): raise IndexError("Stack is empty") return self.items.pop()</pre>
<pre>def peek(self): """ The method gives the value of the item on top of the stack without taking it off. :return: the top element of the stack """ if self.is_empty(): raise IndexError("Stack is empty") current = self.items.head while current.getNext() is not None: current = current.getNext()</pre>
<pre>value = current.getData() return value def size(self): """ :return: the number of items on the stack """ return self.items.size() defstr(self): return str(self.items)</pre>
<pre>ifname == 'main': stack = StackUsingUL() stack.push(4) stack.push(54) stack.push(44) print(stack) print(stack) print(stack.peek(), stack.size()) stack.push(6) print(stack) print(stack) print(stack.pop())</pre>
<pre>print(stack) stack.pop() stack.pop() stack.pop() print(stack) stack.pop() elements in the list are [4, 54, 44] 44 3 elements in the list are [4, 54, 44, 6] 6 elements in the list are [4, 54, 44]</pre>
<pre>IndexError</pre>
Zad. 7 Zaimplementuj kolejkę dwustronną przy pomocy listy jednokierunkowej. In [45]: class DequeueUsingUL(object):
<pre>definit(self): self.items = UnorderedList() def is_empty(self): """ A method to check if the queue is empty. """ return self.items.isEmpty() def add_left(self, item): """ The method adds an item to the queue on the left. :param item: the item to be added</pre>
<pre>:param item: the item to be added """ self.items.add(item) def add_right(self, item): """ The method adds an item to the queue on the right. :param item: the item to be added """ self.items.append(item) def remove_left(self):</pre>
The method removes the element from the queue on the left. :return: removed item """ if self.is_empty(): raise IndexError("Queue is empty") return self.items.pop(0) def remove_right(self): """ The method removes the element from the queue on the left.
<pre>:return: removed item """ if self.is_empty(): raise IndexError("Queue is empty") return self.items.pop() def size(self): """ :return: the number of items in the queue """</pre>
<pre>return self.items.size() defstr(self): return str(self.items) In [46]: ifname == 'main': queue = DequeueUsingUL() queue.add_left(4) queue.add_left(54) queue.add_right(44) print(queue)</pre>
<pre>print(queue.size()) queue.remove_right() print(queue) queue.remove_left() print(queue) queue.remove_left() print(queue) queue.remove_right() elements in the list are [54, 4, 44] 3 elements in the list are [54, 4]</pre>
<pre>elements in the list are [4] elements in the list are [] IndexError</pre>
TindexError: Queue is empty Zad. 8 Zaprojektuj i przeprowadź eksperyment porównujący wydajność listy jednokierunkowej i listy wbudowanej w Pythona. W tym zadaniu będe porónywać czasy wykonania operacji append, insert oraz pop pomiędzy listą jednokierunkową i wbudowaną.
<pre>def append_times(n): python_list = [] un_list = UnorderedList() start_python = time.time() for i in range(0, n): python_list.append(n) end_python = time.time() time_python = end_python - start_python start_un = time.time() for i in range(0, n):</pre>
<pre>un_list.append(i) end_un = time.time() time_un = end_un - start_un return [time_python, time_un] In [48]: for i in range(5): check = append_times(2000) print("Time for python list: {}".format(check[0])+"\n"+"Time for unredered list: {}". format(check[1])+"\n"</pre>
Time for python list: 0.0011544227600097656 Time for unrdered list: 1.3184809684753418 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unrdered list: 1.3462212085723877 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unrdered list: 1.4015278816223145 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unrdered list: 1.3925375938415527 Time for python list: 0.0
<pre>In [52]: def insert_times(n): python_list = [] un_list = UnorderedList() start_python = time.time() for i in range(0, n): python_list.insert(0, i)</pre>
<pre>end_python = time.time() time_python = end_python - start_python start_un = time.time() for i in range(0, n): un_list.insert(0, i) end_un = time.time() time_un = end_un - start_un return [time_python, time_un]</pre>
<pre>In [53]: for i in range(5): check = insert_times(2000) print("Time for python list: {}".format(check[0])+"\n"+"Time for unrdered list: {}". format(check[1])+"\n" Time for python list: 0.0019936561584472656 Time for unrdered list: 0.8534011840820312 Time for python list: 0.004990100860595703 Time for unrdered list: 0.8193018436431885 Time for python list: 0.003987550735473633 Time for unrdered list: 1.0464789867401123</pre>
<pre>Time for unrdered list: 1.0464789867401123 Time for python list: 0.0019931793212890625 Time for unrdered list: 0.9790396690368652 Time for python list: 0.0032656192779541016 Time for unrdered list: 0.8619673252105713</pre> In [57]: def pop_times(n): python list = [0 for i in range(0, n)]
<pre>un_list = UnorderedList() for i in range(0, n): un_list.add(i) start_python = time.time() for i in range(n): python_list.pop() end_python = time.time() time_python = end_python - start_python start_un = time.time() for i in range(n):</pre>
<pre>un_list.pop() end_un = time.time() time_un = end_un - start_un return [time_python, time_un]</pre>
<pre>for i in range(5): check = pop_times(2000) print("Time for python list: {}".format(check[0])+"\n"+"Time for unrdered list: {}". format(check[1])+"\n"</pre>
check = pop_times(2000)
check = pop_times(2000) print("Time for python list: {}".format(check[0])+"\n"+"Time for unrdered list: {}". format(check[1])+"\n" Time for python list: 0.0009975433349609375 Time for unrdered list: 2.442253828048706 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unrdered list: 2.7217960357666016 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unrdered list: 2.795039653778076 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unrdered list: 2.5997231006622314 Time for python list: 0.000997304916381836 Time for unrdered list: 2.5144569873809814 Z powyższych symulacji dla aż 2000 wywołań, widać że lista wbudowana jest dużo wydajniejsza. Co do listy jednokierunkowej najlepiej jednak spiśuje się metoda insert - czas schodzi poniżej sekundy. Najsłabiej za to wypada metoda pop, której wywołanie dla n=2000 dochodzi do 3s. Wizualizacja: In [75]: def compare(n, name):
check = pop_times(2000) print("Time for python list: ()".format(check[0])+"\n"+"Time for unridered list: {}". format(check[1])+"\n" fine for python list: 0.000975433349609375 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unridered list: 2.7217960357666016 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unridered list: 2.795039653778076 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unridered list: 2.795039653778076 Time for python list: 0.00 Time for unridered list: 2.5997231006622314 Time for python list: 0.000997304916381836 Time for unridered list: 2.5144569873809814 Z powyższych symulacji dla aż 2000 wywołań, widać że lista wbudowana jest dużo wydajniejsza. Co do listy jednokierunkowej najlepiej jednak spisuje się metoda insert - czas schodzi poniżej sekundy. Najsłabiej za to wypada metoda pop, której wywołanie dla n=2000 dochodzi do 3s. Wizualizacja: In [75]: def compare(n, name): x = [i for i in range(n)] values_python, values_un = [], [] for timer in range(n);
check = pop_cines(2000) print("Time for python list: {}".format(check[0])+"\n"+"Time for unridered list: {}". format(check[1])+"\ Time for python list: 0.003075433349603075 Time for unridered list: 7.432735078080706 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unridered list: 7.7277960357666016 Time for python list: 0.0 Time for unridered list: 7.795039633778076 Time for unridered list: 7.795039633778076 Time for unridered list: 7.595039633778076 Time for unridered list: 7.595039633778076 Time for unridered list: 7.595039633778076 Time for unridered list: 7.5194568878808914 Z powyžszych symulacji dla aż 2000 wywołań, widać że lista wbudowana jest dużo wydajniejsza. Co do listy jednokierunkowej najlepiej jednak spisuje się metoda insert - czas schodzi poniżej sekundy. Najsłabiej za to wypada metoda pop, której wywołanie dla n=2000 dochodzi do 3s. Wizualizacja: In [73]: def compare(n, name): x = [i for i in range(n):
these super_times(1000) print([Witten for python lists ()".formeh(check())="\n"e"Witten for unrefered lists ()".formet(check())="\n"e"Witten for unrefered lists ()".formet(check())="\n"e"Witten for unrefered lists ().0009973431344609373 Time for python lists ().00 Time for unrefered lists ().10 Time for python lists ().00039730491638.836 Time for python lists ().00039730491639.836 Wizualizadja: In [721: daf comparion(, nome):
print ("time for python list: 10.010375(333)6030375 Time for python list: 0.010375(333)6030375 Time for python list: 0.0010375(333)6030375 Time for python list: 0.0010375(333)6030376 Time for python list: 0.0010375(333)6030376 Time for python list: 0.0010376(333)6030376 Z powyższych symulacji dla aż 2000 wywołań, widać że lista wbudowana jest dużo wydajniejsza. Co do listy jednokierunkowej najlepiej jednak spisuje się metoda insert - czas schodzi poniżej sekundy. Najsłabiej za to wypada metoda pop. której wywołanie dla n=2000 dochodzi do 3s. Wizualizacja: To [10] daf nomparatu, name): x = [1 for i in range(n] value python, valume un = [1], [1] for time in canon, jednosti (1) dosia nomparatu, name): alif name = "hout"; dosia nomparatu, name): tota = incorre; inmosici value python, openos (dotte time nechoda) value python, openos (dotte time nechoda) value python, openos (dotte time nechoda) tota = incorre; inmosici tota = incorre; inmosici ple scholario (v. valume python, oerbino", labele*python*) ple scholario (v. valume python, oerbino", labele*python*) ple scholario (v. valume python, oerbino") comparatura (v. valume python, oerbino") ple scholario (v. valume
They for oystun. List: 0.0039979333399803779 They for oystun. List: 0.0039979333399803779078 They for oystun. List: 0.0039797939797979 They for oystun. List: 0.0039797939797979 They for oystun. List: 0.003979799793797979 They for oystun. List: 0.0039797997979797979797979797979797979797
The complete control of the control
Decided State Total Part State (1) Secret (1
content programs and the content of
decident of the control of the contr
The provided in the provided and a control of the provided and a c
money of the protection of the
The control of the co
The control of the co
Port of the control o
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
Control of the contro
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co
The control of the co