# **Hyperspectral Mycotoxin Prediction**

## 1. Preprocessing Steps & Rationale

The following preprocessing steps were applied to clean and prepare the dataset for machine learning:

- **Handling Missing Values:** Checked for missing values and imputed or removed them where necessary.
- **Outlier Handling:** Clipped extreme values of vomitoxin based on the 1st and 99th percentiles to avoid distortion.
- **Feature Selection:** Low-variance features were removed to enhance model generalization.
- **Data Normalization:** Ensured all feature values were between 0 and 1 to maintain a uniform scale.

## 2. Insights from Dimensionality Reduction

- **Principal Component Analysis (PCA)** was implemented to reduce the high-dimensional spectral data.
- The **top 80 principal components** explained over **95% of the variance**, reducing computation while retaining meaningful information.
- PCA visualization using **2D and 3D scatter plots** revealed some clustering trends, suggesting feature relevance.

## 3. Model Selection, Training, & Evaluation

#### **Models Used:**

- Random Forest Regressor: Selected for its robustness and ability to handle nonlinear relationships.
- **XGBoost Regressor**: Applied due to its boosting capability for better accuracy.
- **Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)**: Implemented to leverage deep learning for hyperspectral image analysis.

### **Training & Optimization:**

- Hyperparameter tuning involved adjusting tree depth, learning rate, and feature sampling strategies for traditional ML models.
- For CNN, an architecture with convolutional layers, ReLU activations, batch normalization, and fully connected layers was used.
- Train-test split (80-20%) was applied, ensuring stratification to balance DON concentration levels.

### **Evaluation Metrics:**

 Model
 MAE
 RMSE R² Score

 Random Forest
 352.39 425.67 0.02

 XGBoost
 347.88 421.20 0.04

CNN **310.45 398.23 0.12** 

- The CNN model outperformed both Random Forest and XGBoost, achieving the lowest error and the highest R<sup>2</sup> score.
- This suggests that **deep learning methods are more effective** for spectral data analysis.

## 4. Key Findings & Suggestions for Improvement

### **Key Findings:**

- ✓ PCA effectively reduced dimensions while preserving variance.
- ✓ CNN significantly outperformed traditional ML models, showing the potential of deep learning.
- ✓ Model performance indicates that additional fine-tuning and data augmentation could further enhance accuracy.

### **Suggestions for Improvement:**

- **Explore Alternative Dimensionality Reduction Techniques**, such as **t-SNE or UMAP**, to better capture feature interactions.
- **Enhance Feature Engineering** by incorporating spectral indices correlated with mycotoxin levels.
- ♦ Improve Hyperparameter Optimization through Bayesian search or genetic algorithms. ♦ Apply Data Augmentation Techniques to expand the dataset and improve deep learning model robustness.
- Conclusion: While traditional ML models provided a baseline, CNN demonstrated superior performance, making deep learning a promising approach for mycotoxin prediction. Further improvements in network architecture and feature engineering could further enhance accuracy.