




PHYS 3070 Section 2: The lithosphere and what lies below it.



In which we think about elastic deformation of the lithosphere and worry about the influence of the figure of the Earth on the length of day, climate and so on.

Overview





- Seamount loads

- Plate bending at subduction zones

- Passive margins



Gravity & topography - elastic v. isostatic




crustal thickening,

impact craters

tharsis rise


volcanic edifices.

Some deformation modes of the lithosphere




Flexure v. isostatic compensation

Decreasing elastic thickness ↓



When the size of the load and the region compensating it become equal this becomes the Airy isostasy model; the load subsides until it is “floating”

Isostasy & elastic support



The wavelength-dependence of the elastic response means that short wavelength (~narrow) loads on thick lithosphere are more likely to be supported by elastic strength than long-wavelength (~wide) loads.

The exact transition between elastic and isostatic support depends upon the elastic thickness of the lithosphere (and the time allowed for the loads to equilibrate)

Plate flexure

We can imagine the lithosphere to be an elastic skin floating on a low-viscosity mantle.

Engineers know very well how to deal with plates and beams.

This is the kind of thing that is used routinely in building structures and machines.

In 2D, the general equation for the vertical displacement $w(x)$ of an (infinite) elastic plate which has vertical loads $q(x)$ and end loads (P)

$$D \frac{d^4 w}{dx^4} + P \frac{d^2 w}{dx^2} + \Delta \rho g w(x) = q(x)$$

$$D = \frac{ET_e^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}$$



NB

HEAVY LOAD



Elastic bending

Viscous support





Plate flexure — solutions

A simple load (q) we could consider would be a sinusoidal variation with a single wavelength

$$q(x) = \rho_c g h_0 \sin kx$$



We can assume one or two things, **including the form of the solution**, and that $P = 0 \dots$


$$w = w_0 \sin kx$$

which makes everything a lot simpler - now we just substitute into the equation.


$$w_0 = \frac{h_0}{(\rho_m/\rho_c - 1) + \frac{D}{\rho_c g} k^4}$$

Short wavelength loads ($k \gg (D/(\rho_c g))^{1/4}$) produce almost no deflection of the lithosphere.

Plate flexure — solutions



Changing wavelength



Changing Rigidity


Example: Chain of seamounts

A chain of seamounts trailing behind a hotspot look like a line-load on the 3D plate. A cross section can be solved in 2D

$$w = \frac{V_0 \alpha^3}{8D} e^{-x/\alpha} (\cos x/\alpha + \sin x/\alpha) \quad (x \geq 0)$$

Where $\alpha = (4D/(\rho_m - \rho_w)g)^{1/4}$.


Which looks like this:



Example: Chain of seamounts


Perspective image (looking towards the west) of the free-air gravity anomaly along the Hawaiian-Emperor seamount chain. Hawaii Island is in the foreground. The gravity expression of the flexural moats (blue/purple region) and bulges (yellow-green region) that flank the islands and seamounts along the chain are clearly visible.

From Tony Watts using satellite-derived data of D. T. Sandwell and W. H. F. Smith (offshore) and G. P. Woollard and colleagues (onshore)





Example: Chain of seamounts

(See exercise from computer lab / homework)




$$w = \frac{V_0 \alpha^3}{8D} e^{-x/\alpha} (\cos x/\alpha + \sin x/\alpha) \quad (x \geq 0)$$

Example: Chain of seamounts





Example: End load on a plate

If the plate actually breaks under the load, or is physically flexed into the mantle, another, similar, solution is found.




The solution is more complicated, but this does mean that we can tell by the shape of the flexed plate whether it has broken underneath the load

Example: End load on a plate




Aleutian trench




Topography in the Japan-Mariana area

Example: End load on a plate





Two plates meet at a subduction zone. The upper plate may lock and the other plate then supplies a bending moment to the end.





This can be detected by uplift measurements

Example: End load on a plate



Example



$x_b - x_0 = \frac{\pi}{4} \alpha \rightarrow$ For the Mariana trench, the maximum deflection is ~ 0.5 km, and is located 55 km from x_0 .

We deduce that $\alpha = 70$ km

$$\alpha = \left[\frac{4D}{(\rho_m - \rho_w)g} \right]^{1/4} \rightarrow$$


With $\rho_m - \rho_w = 2300 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$ and $g = 10 \text{ m.s}^{-2}$, we deduce that $D = 1.4 \times 10^{23} \text{ N.m}$

$$D = \frac{Eh^3}{12(1 - \nu^2)} \rightarrow$$


With $E = 70 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa}$ and $\nu = 0.25$ we find that the elastic lithosphere thickness is **28 km**

Elastic thickness in the oceans

- Oceanic lithosphere changes thickness with time as it cools
- "Thickness" is loosely defined in terms of the progression of a fuzzy cooling front
- Cooling of a plate with a pre-existing load ?




T_e (Oceans) vs Plate Age



Strength v. age


Identical seamount load



Oceanic sea floor thickens as it cools; it simultaneously gains elastic strength. The pattern of flexure due to a load depends mostly on the elastic strength when the load was emplaced.

This seamount is older — it was emplaced on the seafloor when it was younger and weaker

Identical seafloor age




Strength of passive margins


Ultimate behaviour of passive margin depends on the history of stretching, thermal events, magmatism and loading.

Example from W. Africa shows that the evolution is not unique even for a single margin ...

- Sedimentation
- Magmatism / underplating
- Flexure
- Isostasy
- Elastic strength changes during the margin's evolution



Elastic Thickness determination




The gravity signal associated with a load dropped on a strong plate is quite distinct ... ***no compensating masses at depth*** therefore strong gravity for amplitude of topography.

But the same plate is not able to support longer wavelength loads as well as it can short ones. ***Long wavelength loads are compensated*** and have weaker gravity signal

Therefore the gravity signal / topography signal should change from this can be predicted from the flexure equations to give an elastic thickness (considers amplitude of gravity / topography)

Elastic Thickness determination





We can also assume that a strong plate has a random set of loads top and bottom which are not correlated with each other in anything like the same way as they are for a weak plate.


Strength is wavelength dependent so at the wavelength where the plate appears to become weak gives an indication of elastic thickness

The topography is 100% determined by the top loads, gravity is a mix of top and bottom loads. The **coherence** (measure alignment of peaks/troughs) of gravity / topography will suddenly decrease at "short" wavelength in a way which allows elastic thickness to be measured (considers phase of topography / gravity)

Elastic thickness determination — coherence




The Australian example
(Simons et al)



Elastic thickness


- Lithosphere response is very wavelength dependent
- Amplitude is also very dependent on lithospheric thickness
- Amplitude is also very dependent on lithospheric physical properties
- Flexure is like a filter that removes short wavelengths
- T_e is determined experimentally from the solutions to equation, not necessarily a unique physical property

T_e (Oceans) vs Plate Age



Lithospheric deformation & Mantle flow

The elastic and isostatic models say little about how long it takes for the lithosphere to deform once a load is emplaced or removed.



If the loading is fast, then the time taken for the mantle to flow to the new shape of the lithosphere can be the rate-limiting step

$$w = w_m \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_r}\right)$$



$$\tau_r = \frac{4\pi\eta}{\lambda\rho g}$$

Ice loading and unloading

Ice loads come and go very quickly by geological standards.




Relaxation rate is dependent upon the absolute value of mantle viscosity — one of the few observations sensitive to this value.

$$\tau_r = \frac{4\pi\eta}{\lambda\rho g}$$



Post-glacial rebound - observations


Rebound is still evident in N. America, N. Europe after ice retreat from recent ice-age



Viscosity layering & dynamics

This figure shows how sea level should be changing in response to the removal of the ice-age ice-load from the Earth.

The models are dependent upon the viscosity layering assumed for the Earth. These are used to constrain models of viscosity layering.



Viscosity layering in the Earth





Fig. 6. 1-D viscosity model from Forte et al. [13]. This forward model provides good fits to geoid and plate velocities. It compares well with Figures 3, 4 and 5. The viscosities in this plot are scaled by a characteristic mantle viscosity ($\eta = 10^{21} \text{ Pa s}$).

Radial viscosity structure – many possible models depending on data used but some things in common





Viscosity layering & dynamics

This plot shows the influence of the viscosity layering on the way rotation rate influences sea level change.

This indicates the strength of the response of the equatorial bulge of the solid Earth.

Internal strength variations influence how the Earth responds to changes in rotation — let us look in more detail at changes in rotation

Effect of Rotation on Rate of change of Sealevel



Earth's spin — detectable causes of wobble


There are many components of the wobble that have been detected at the scale of 1/1000 of a second of arc.

- ❖· Seasonal, airmass, ice and water shifts — *annual period*
- ❖· Chandler Wobble: natural resonance excited by random impulses (unknown origin) that are damped by earth's elasticity — *14 months*
- ❖· Electromagnetic coupling of the core/mantle boundary — *decade*
- ❖· Variations in sea level — *century*
- ❖· Tidal friction — *thousand years*
- ❖· Continental Drift — *Million years*

Amplitudes run from 1/1000 of a second of arc to tens of degrees.

May have detectable signatures in climate patterns.

Earth's spin — length of day variations



Wavelet analysis of length of day variations - amplitude $\sim 0.1\text{ms}$

Precession of the equinoxes

NOAA





Figure 3.3. Origin of the precessional torque. The gravitational action of the Sun (and Moon) on the Earth's equatorial bulge exerts a torque that tends to pull the bulge into alignment with the instantaneous Earth-Sun (or Earth-Moon) axis. The torque vanishes when the Sun (or Moon) crosses the equatorial plane, but appears with the same sign for both halves of the orbit, causing a net average precessional torque.

The Earth's spin axis precesses in space due to solar / lunar drag on the equatorial bulge. This interacts with the (changing) eccentricity of the elliptical orbit to produce N/S variations in strength of seasons & ice ages.

- Changes in obliquity have a 42 kyr period
- Changes in eccentricity have a 100 - 400 kyr period
- Precession has a 22 kyr period

Understanding the wobbling Earth

More subtle variations in day/year length and axis orientation are possible due to gravitational pulls on the non-tidal mass distribution.

To understand how the Earth responds to orbital forcing, we need to understand how spinning planets behave

 Moment of inertia

 Distribution of mass


 Internal dynamics

What is moment of inertia ?

The definition of the moment of inertia about a particular axis is

$$I = \int \rho r^2 dr$$

Obviously the value changes depending on the axis we choose.
In the cuboid there are 3 obvious principle axes / values (A,B,C)




In general the inertia tensor is defined by the relationship between angular momentum and the rotation vector

$$L_i = I_{ij}\omega_j$$


What does it tell us, how do we measure it ?

Moment of inertia of equal mass objects with same surface morphology

- Is larger if mass is concentrated near the outside
- Can be detected by wobbles in spin



Different moment of inertia



How do we know that planets have differentiated ?



How do we know the size of the core ?

Moment of inertia & symmetry

Higher symmetry —



more degeneracy in choice
of principle axes.

- 🐟 *Sphere: A=B=C*
- 🐟 *Disc/ellipsoid: C > B = A*
- 🐟 *Cuboid: C > B > A*



For an ellipsoid rotation about axis with max moment of inertia is preferred.

(C-A)/A is an important ratio



Moment of Inertia and stable spin


Bodies can spin in a stable state about the principle rotation axis with

- Maximum moment of inertia

- Minimum moment of inertia

But the spin about the axis with the intermediate moment of inertia is not stable — the body starts to spin about other axes too.

You can't spin this block
about this axis




Unconvincing demonstration



Try it !


True polar wander

What does this big new volcano mean for a planet's spin ?




True polar wander

Which of these is stable / unstable ?



True polar wander

Which of these possibilities do you expect to see



Rotation axis of the Earth

The value of (C-A) for the Earth is determined by the equatorial bulge, but the bulge is *caused by rotation* so the axis must actually be determined by some other mass anomalies.

The bulge can be calculated assuming that the Earth is a rotating fluid.


Once established, the bulge takes time to relax in response to changes in the rotation — this relaxation time stabilizes the mantle w.r.t. the rotation axis

but a significant change in the underlying density pattern will cause the mantle to migrate to a new orientation w.r.t. the rotation axis

Relationship to Geoid / Gravity

MacCullagh's formula relates geoid and moments of inertia

$$U(P) = \int \frac{dm}{r}$$



$$U(P) = -\frac{GM}{R} - \frac{G}{2R^3} (A + B + C - 3I_{OP}) + O(S^3/R^3)$$

Ellipsoid: $A = B < C$, β is colatitude

$$U = -\frac{GM}{R} - \frac{G}{2R^3}(C - A)(3 \sin^2 \beta - 1)$$

Relationship to Geoid / Gravity

So, if we know the broad-scale geoid or gravity field of a planet, we can calculate principle moments of inertia.

- Radial distribution of mass
- Stable axes of spin


Alternatively, if we know how the planet's spin is changing we can tell how the mass distribution is shifting

- Satellite orbits are exquisitely sensitive to the gravitational potential and are measured with great precision through time

If we know the evolving density distribution we can predict the influence on spin / orbit characteristics

Relationship to Geoid / Gravity

We do know the present geoid very accurately. It is a combination of the effect of the equatorial bulge and the internal density distribution.



Non-hydrostatic geoid: equatorial bulge removed

Density Distribution in the Earth


Magnitude of equatorial bulge, and hence the magnitude of (C-A) depends on layered structure of the Earth — density layering, strength layering, phase boundaries, size of the core etc.

Non-hydrostatic geoid shape is not dependent upon radial mass distributions, but Earth's layering is vital in determining this part of the geoid !

- Influences convection pattern
- Changes pattern of subduction / slab dynamics
- Different response to ice-loading

Density distribution in the Earth

Seismology tells us how the density varies on a very broad scale in the very deep Earth. This instantaneous picture implies a dynamic response that we see in surface motions, dynamic topography and the geoid.



The time-dependence (we see a finite velocity field due to the density variations that we image in the deep Earth) means that this density field has to evolve with time.