

DBMS - LAB ASSIGNMENT-6

Name :- M. Anupama

Roll No :- 19BCSI23.

① a) The 1NF would be

Primary key

<u>Id</u>	Name	Age	Location
1	sachin	22	Delhi
2	Ram	22	Jamshedpur
3.	Mike	23	chennai
4.	Sameer	21	Bengaluru
5.	Vijay	22	Mumbai

Primary key

<u>Id</u>	course
1	OS
1	DBMS
2	DAA
2	DBMS
3	ML
3	OS
4	DAA
4	ML
5	ML
5	DBMS

Answers :- ~~The~~ given

- 1). The given table is not in 1NF as the column "course" contains more than one value. But, to be in 1NF, a table must contain atomic values in rows and columns.

2). Primary key(s):- "Id"

Candidate key(s):- No candidate keys.

prime attributes:- "Id"

Non-prime attributes:- "Name", "Age", "Location",
"course".

3). In the given table, there is no transitive and partial dependency.

①. b). 1) Already in 1NF

2). Primary key:- 'Id'

candidate key:- {'Id', phone}

prime attribute:- Id, phone

Non-prime attributes:- Name, state, country.

3). There is no transitive dependency and partial dependency.

② a) The converted 2NF form for given table will be:

Primary
↓

Emp-ID	Name	Age
101	Arun	26
102	Bobby	28
103	Suresh	32
104	Sita	24

Primary key

Emp-ID	Duty-Shift-ID	Duty-Shift
101	1	Morning
102	2	Afternoon
103	3	Night
104	1	Morning

Answers:-

1). The given table is not in 2NF as it is not fully functionally dependent on the primary key as

$\text{Emp-ID} \longrightarrow \text{Name, Age}$

$\text{Emp-ID, Duty-Shift-ID} \longrightarrow \text{Duty-shift}$

So, we need to write the divide the table into two parts as shown above.

2). primary key: $\text{Emp-ID, Duty-shift-ID}$.

candidate key: $\text{Duty-shift-ID}, \{\text{Emp-ID, Duty-shift-ID}\}$

prime attribute:- $\text{Emp-ID, Duty-shift-ID}$.

Non-prime attribute:- $\text{Name, Age, Duty-shift}$.

3). Here, " Duty-shift-ID " is dependent

Emp-ID

3). There is no transitive dependency in the given table.

And, In the given table, " Duty-shift " is

depended on " Duty-shift-ID " which is a

part of primary key. So, there is a partial dependency.

② b). The converted 2NF table will be

Emp-ID	Name
123	Ajay
321	chary
546	Rajesh
765	Abhishek

Emp-ID	Project-ID	Proj-Name	No-of-hours
123	Prj-21	Speech-system	10
321	Prj-45	HR system	15
546	Prj-24	Automate Tickets	23
765	Prj-11	NLP	16

Answers :-

1). The given table is not in 2NF because it is not fully functionally dependent as :

Emp-ID \longrightarrow Name

Emp-ID, project-ID \longrightarrow Proj-Name, No-of-hours.

So, I have drawn 2 tables with the above conditions.

2). Primary key :- Emp-ID

Candidate key :- Project-ID, {Emp-ID, project-ID}

prime attribute :- project-ID, Emp-ID.

Non-prime attribute :- Name, proj-Name, No-of-hours.

3). There is no transitive dependency in the given table.

But, There is a partial dependency, as the Attributes "Proj-Name" & "No.-of-hours" are only dependent on "Project-ID" and the attribute "Name" is dependent on "Emp-ID".

③: a) The converted 3NF for the given table will be :-

Primary key
↓

Cust-ID	Cust-Name	Cust-Postcode
25	Dell	560037
45	Lenovo	560046
89	Acer	210067
90	Samsung	4500078

Primary key
↓

Cust-postcode	Cust-Address	Cust-loc
560037	whitefield	Bangalore
560046	Marathahalli	Bangalore
210067	Bandra	Mumbai
4500078	Delhi central	delhi

Answers:-

1). The given table is not in 3NF as there exists a transitive dependency.

2). Primary key :- Cust_ID

Candidate keys :- ~~Cust-postcode~~, {Cust_ID, Cust-postcode}

prime attribute :- Cust_ID, Cust-postcode

Non-prime attribute :- Cust-Name, Cust-Address, Cust-loc.

3). There is a transitive dependency as follows;

{Cust-ID} \longrightarrow {Cust-postcode}

{Cust-postcode} \longrightarrow {Cust-address, Cust-loc}

\therefore ~~is~~ ~~avoid~~ {Cust-ID} \longrightarrow {Cust-address, Cust-loc}

And,

There is a partial dependency as "Cust-Name" is depended only on "Cust-ID" and

There is a partial dependency as "Cust-address", "Cust-loc" depended only on "Cust-postcode."

③. b) The converted 3NF will be as.

Building	contractor	Builder
B-2156	Taylor	prestige
B-8765	Sandeep	Hiranandani
B-4567	Vishaka	Pata.

↓
primary key

Contractor	Fee
Taylor	2567891
Sandeep	3567356
Vishaka	4567990

primary key $\rightarrow \{ \text{contractor, Fee} \}$

Answers:-

1). The given table is not in 3NF as it contains transitive dependency.

2). Primary key:- Building

Candidate key:- $\{ \text{Building, contractor} \}$,
 $\{ \text{Building, Builder} \}$

prime attribute
key:- Building, contractor, Builder

Non-prime attribute:- Fee.

3). There is transitive dependency between the following attributes;

$\{ \text{Building} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{contractor} \}$

$\{ \text{contractor} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{Fee} \}$

And,

There is a partial dependency as the non-key attribute $\{ \text{Fee} \}$ is dependent on

only the $\{ \text{contractor} \}$ attribute, which

is ^{just} a part of candidate key $\{ \text{Building, contractor} \}$