

DAA
Assignment 2

Q1:- Write linear search pseudocode to search an element in a sorted array with minimum comparisons.

ans:- linear search pseudocode:

```
int linearSearchSorted (int array[], int n,  
                        int key) {
```

```
    int index = -1;  
    for (int i=0; i < n; i++) {  
        if (array[i] == key)
```

```
    }
```

```
    index = i;
```

```
    break;
```

```
}
```

```
    if (array[i] > target)
```

```
{
```

```
    break;
```

```
}
```

```
return index;
```

```
)
```

Q2: Write pseudocode for iterative and recursive insertion sort. Insertion sort is called online sorting why? What about other sorting algorithms that has been discussed?

Iterative insertion sort :-

```
void insertionSort ( int array[], int n )
{
    for (int i = 1; i < n; i++) {
        int key = array[i];
        int j = i - 1;
        while (j >= 0 & array[j] > key)
        {
            array[j + 1] = array[j];
            j--;
        }
        array[j + 1] = key;
    }
}
```

Recursive Insertion sort

```
void insertion ( int array[], int n )
{
```

```
if ( n >= 0 )
```

```
if ( n <= 1 )
```

```
return ;
```

```
insertion ( array , n - 1 );
```

```
int key = array [ n - 1 ];
```

```
int j = n - 2 ;
```

```
while ( j >= 0 && array [ j ] > key ) {
```

```
array [ j + 1 ] = array [ j ];
```

```
j --;
```

```
}
```

```
array [ j + 1 ] = key ;
```

```
}
```

Online sorting refers to the ability of sorting algo to dynamically sort a list as elements are presented, without requiring the entire list to be known in advance.

Insertion sort is considered online because it apparently builds a sorted list incrementally, making it suitable for real time, dynamic data input.

Date _____
Page _____

The online nature of insertion sort is beneficial in scenarios where data is continuously streaming and you need to maintain a sorted order without having the entire dataset available at the start.

Quick sort, Merge sort

- Divide and conquer algorithms.
- Need full datasets for positioning & merging.

Selection sort, Bubble sort, Heapsort

- Rely on entire array or heap for sorting.

Radix sort

- Sorts digits at different places.
- Require knowledge of entire dataset.

Bucket sort

- Can be adapted for online scenarios.
- Standard version assumes knowledge of entire value range.

Hence, all above is not called online sorting algorithm.

Count sort

- Requires full dataset.
- Not Adaptive to real time, incremental input.

Q3: Complexity of all sorting algorithms that has been discussed.

1) Bubble sort

Best case $O(n)$

Worst case $\Theta(n^2)$

Average case $O(n^2)$

Space complexity $O(1)$

2) Selection sort

Best case $O(n^2)$

Worst case $O(n^2)$

Average case $O(n^2)$

Space complexity $O(1)$

3) Merge sort

Best case $O(n \log n)$

Worst case $O(n \log n)$

Average case $O(n \log n)$

Space complexity $O(n)$

4) QUICK SORT

Best case	$O(n \log n)$
Worst case	$O(n^2)$
Average case	$O(n \log n)$
Space complexity	$O(\log n)$

5) INSERTION SORT

Best case	$O(n)$
Worst case	$O(n^2)$
Average case	$O(n^2)$
Space complexity	$O(1)$

6) COUNTING SORT

Best case	$O(n+k)$
Worst case	$O(n+k)$
Average case	$O(n+k)$
Space complexity	$O(k)$

$n \rightarrow$ no. of elements
 $k \rightarrow$ no. of b/w

max & min
value.

7) RADIX SORT

Best case	$O(nk)$
Worst case	$O(nk)$
Average case	$O(nk)$
Space complexity	$O(n+k)$

8) Heap sort

Best case	$O(n \log n)$
Worst case	$O(n \log n)$
Average case	$O(n \log n)$
Space complexity	$O(1)$

9) Bucket sort

Best case	$(n) O(n + k)$
Worst case	$(n^2) O(n^2)$
Average case	$(n^2) O(n^2)$
Space complexity	$O(n + k)$

Q4 = Divide all sorting algorithm into
inplace / stable / online sorting.

inplace sorting → sorting Algs that do not
require additional memory
proportional to the input
size; it sorts the data
with only a constant amount of
extra memory.

2) Stable sorting

A sorting Algo is stable if it maintains the relative order of equal elements in the sorted output as they appeared in input.

3) Online sorting :-

A sorting Algo is considered online if it can handle data elements as they arrive, updating the sorted structure dynamically without needing the entire dataset at once.

4) External sorting :-

sorting algo designed to handle massive datasets that do not fit into computer's main memory, often involving the use of external storage like disks.

Algo.	<u>In place</u>	<u>On</u>		
		<u>Stable</u>	<u>Online</u>	<u>External</u>
Bubble	✓	✓	✗	✗
Selection	✓	✗	✗	✗
Merge	✗	✓	✗	✗ ✓
Quick	✓	✗	✗	✗ ✓
Insertion	✓	✓	✓	✗
Counting	✗	✓	✗	✗
Radix	✗	✓	✗	✗
Heap	✓	✗	✗	✗
Bucket	✗	✓	✗	✗

Q5) Write recursive / iterative pseudocode for binary search . What is time and space complexity of linear and binary search (Recursive and Iterative)

Recursive binary search

```

int binarysearch (int arr[], int key, int low, int high)
{
    if (low <= high)
    {
        int mid = (low + high) / 2;
        if (arr[mid] == key)
            return mid;
        else if (arr[mid] < key)
            return binarysearch (array, key, mid+1, high);
        else
            return binarysearch (array, key, low, mid-1);
    }
    else
        return -1;
}

```

Iterative binary search

int binarysearch (int array[], int key, int n)

```
{  
    int low = 0;  
    int high = n - 1;  
    while (low <= high)
```

```
{  
    int mid = (low + high) / 2;  
    if (array[mid] == key)  
        return mid;  
    else if (array[mid] < key) {  
        low = mid + 1;  
    } else  
    {  
        high = mid - 1;  
    }  
}
```

Binary search

Time complexity

worst case $\rightarrow O(\log n)$

space complexity $\rightarrow O(\log n) \rightarrow$ recursive

due to recursive call stack.

$O(1) \rightarrow$ iterative

Linear search

time complexity (worst) $\rightarrow O(n)$

space $\rightarrow O(1)$

Q6) Write recursive relation for binary recursive search.

Recursive relation

↳ is used to determine the relationship b/w time complexity of problem and its subproblems.

```
(n) → bool binarySearch [int arr[], int l, int r, int key]
{
    if (l > r)
        return false;
    int mid = l + (r - l) / 2;
    if (arr[mid] == key) → O(1)
        return true;
    else if (arr[mid] < key) → T(n/2)
        return binarySearch (arr, mid+1, r, key);
    else
        return binarySearch (arr, l, mid-1, key);
}
```

$T(n/2)$

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1)$$

$$\boxed{T(n) = T(n/2) + 1}$$

maximum rule

finding complexity using master theorem.

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + 1$$

$$a=1 \quad b=2$$

$$c > \log_2^a$$

$$c > \log_2^1$$

$$= 1 > 1$$

$$= 0$$

$$\boxed{c = n^0}$$

$$n^c = f(n)$$

$$n^0 = 1$$

$$(1 \cdot 1)$$

$$O(n \log n) \rightarrow O(n^0 \log n)$$

$$= O(n^0 \log n)$$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{O(\log n)} \quad \text{Ans}$$

Q2:- find two indexes such that $A[i] + A[j] = K$ in minimum time complexity.

Using hash table:

```
void pairsum(int arr[], int n, int K) {  
    int seen[100000] = {0};  
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
        int complement = K - arr[i];  
        if (seen[complement]) {
```

printf("pair found at indices %d and %d:
%d + %d = %d\n", i, complement);

```
        seen[complement] = 1, i, arr[seen[complement]]  
        = 1], arr[i], K);
```

return;

```

seen[ans[i]] = i+1;
}
printf("No fair found in");
}

```

```

int main() {
int arr[] = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5};
int n = sizeof(arr) / sizeof(arr[0]);
int K = 8;
fairandsum(arr, n, K);
return 0;
}

```

Q3:- What/which sorting is best for practical uses? Explain.

choice of best sorting algo for practical uses dependent on various factors such as size of dataset, the distribution of data, memory constraints etc.

Quick sort

Advantages :-

fast average case performance, in-place sorting, widely used in practice.

→ frequently used in practice for general purpose sorting

consideration :-

can have poor performance on already sorted data, not stable.

2) Merge sort :-

Advantages :-

stable, consistent performance across different uses, suitable for linked list.
commonly used for general purpose sorting when

consideration:-

stability & consistency
over imp.

Requires additional space (non-in place)
may not be as fast as quick sort.

3) Heap sort :-

Advantages :-

In place sorting, worst case $O(n \log n)$, no worst case scenario data dependency.

consideration :-

slower in place on average compared to quicksort and mergesort.

practical use :-

Used in scenarios where in place sorting & worst case time complexity are critical.

4) Inversion sort

Advantages :-

simple, efficient for small datasets,
adaptive (performs well on partially
ordered data).

Considerations :-

inefficient for large datasets, not
suitable for highly unsorted data.

5) Radix sort

Advantages :-

linear time complexity, stable, efficient
for size fixed integer.

Consideration :-

requires additional space, limited to
specific types of data.

6) Bucket sort

Advantages :-

linear time complexity, efficient for
uniformly distributed data.

Consideration

Requires knowledge of data distribution,
additional space.

7) Counting sort:

Advantages: Linear time complexity, stable.

Disadvantages:- Limited to specific type of
data, requires additional space.

Practical use:- Efficient for sorting integers when
the range of values is limited.

8) Selection sort:

Advantages:- Simple & easy to implement

Disadvantages:- Inefficient for large dataset.

Practical use:- for limited to small
dataset or as an educational tool.

9) Bubblesort

Advantage :- Simple implementation

Disadvantage :- Inefficient for large datasets.

Pactical Use :- Limited to small datasets or educational purpose.

Q 9 :- What do you mean by no. of inversions in an array? Count the no. of inversions in Array arr[] = { 7, 2, 1, 3, 1, 8, 10, 1, 20, 6, 4, 5 } using merge sort.

An inversion in an array occurs when 2 elements are out of their natural or usual order.

More formally, for an array 'arr', if there are indices 'i' and 'j' such that $i < j$ and $arr[i] > arr[j]$, then pair of elements $[arr[i], arr[j]]$ form an inversion.

int mergeandcountinv (int arr[], int temp[], int left, int right)

```

{
    int i = left, j = mid + 1, k = right;
    int invCount = 0;
    while (i <= mid && j <= right) {
        if (arr[i] <= arr[j])
            {
                temp[k] = arr[i];
                i++;
            }
        else
            {
                temp[k] = arr[j];
                j++;
                invCount = invCount + (mid - i + 1);
            }
    }
    while (i <= mid)
        temp[k] = arr[i];
    while (j <= right)
        temp[k] = arr[j];
    for (i = left; i <= right; i++)
        arr[i] = temp[i];
    return invCount;
}

```

int mergesort_andcountinvocation (int arr[], int temp[],
int left, int right),

{

int inv_count = 0;

if (left < right)

{

int mid = (l) + (r - l) / 2;

int lcount = inv_count + mergesort_andcountinv
(arr, temp, left, mid);

int rcount = inv_count + mergesort_andcountinv
(arr, temp, mid + 1, right);

int tcount = inv_count + mergesort_andcountinv

(arr, temp, left, mid,
mid + 1, right);

}

return inv_count;

}

int count_inversions (int arr[], int n) {

int temp[n];

return mergesort_andcountinv (arr, temp, 0,
n - 1);

}

```
int main () {  
    int arr [] = { 7, 21, 31, 8, 10, 1, 20, 6, 4, 5 };  
    int n = size_of (arr) / size_of (arr [0]);  
    int temp [n];  
    int inversion; count_inversion (arr, n);  
    return ;  
}
```

no. of inversions = 27

(Q) In which case Quick sort will give best and worst case time complexity?

⇒ Best Case

In best case, the array is partitioned into 2 roughly equal halves at each level of recursion. This leads to balanced recursive tree,

time complexity $O(n \log n)$

⇒ Worst Case

In worst case, array is consistently partitioned into one significantly smaller and one significantly larger subarray. This results in an unbalanced recursive tree,

resulting in a linear structure,

time complexity $O(n^2)$

Average case

Time complexity $\rightarrow O(n \log n)$

This assumes that pivot value is chosen randomly leading to balanced partitioning most of the time.

- 11) Write Recurrence relation of Merge Sort

Quick sort in best & worst case? What are the similarities & diff b/w complexities of 2 algorithm & why?

Merge sortRecurrence Relation

$$\text{Best case } T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$

$$\text{Worst case } T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$

Quick sortRecurrence Relation

$$\text{Best } T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n)$$

$$\text{Worst } T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n)$$

Similarities :-

- 1) Both Merge & Quick sort have - divide & conquer approach.
- 2) In best case scenario, both algo have a time complexity of $O(n \log n)$.

Differences :-

- 1) Worst Case :-

Mergesort :- $O(n \log n)$ in all cases.

Quicksort :- $O(n^2)$ in worst case.

- a) Stability :-

Mergesort :- stable - Equal elements maintain their relative order.

Quicksort :- Not stable - Equal elements might change their selection order.

- b) Space Complexity

Mergesort → Requires additional space for merging hence inplace.

Quicksort → generally inplace, but may require additional space for receiving all stuff.

why:-

- ↪ Worst case time complexity of quick sort is $O(n^2)$ when poorly chosen pivot lead to imbalanced partitions.
- ↪ Mergesort, on other hand consistently maintains $O(n \log n)$ time complexity in both best and worst case.
- ↪ Quick sort tends to perform well in practice due to its smaller constant factor & cache efficiency.
- ↪ Merge sort is preferred when stability & predictable performance are too crucial & additional space is not a concern.

Quick sort

```
void swap (int arr[], int a, int b) {
    int temp = arr[a];
    arr[a] = arr[b];
    arr[b] = temp;
}
```

```
int position (int arr[], int low, int high) {
    int pivot = arr[high];
    int i = low - 1;

    for (int j = low; j < high; j++) {
        if (arr[j] <= pivot)
            i++;
        swap (arr, i, j);
    }
    swap (arr, i + 1, high);
    return i + 1;
}
```

```
void quicksort (int arr[], int low, int high) {
    if (low < high) {
        int pivotindex = partition (arr, low, high);
        quicksort (arr, low, pivotindex - 1);
        quicksort (arr, pivotindex + 1, high);
    }
}
```

partition (arr, 0, n-1);

Q12: Selection sort is not stable by default but can you write a version of stable selection sort?

Selection sort is inherently not stable because it performs in-place swaps without considering the original order of equal elements.

However, we can modify the selection sort Algo to make it stable by performing a stable selection within inner loop.

```
void swap( int arr[], int a, int b ) {
    int temp = arr[a];
    arr[a] = arr[b];
    arr[b] = temp;
}
```

```
void stable_selectionsort( int arr[], int n ) {
    for( int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++ ) {
        int min = i;
        for( int j = i + 1; j < n; j++ ) {
            if( arr[j] < arr[min] ) {
                min = j;
            }
        }
        swap( arr, i, min );
    }
}
```

```

int minval = arr[min];
while (min > i) {
    arr[minIndex] = arr[minIndex - 1];
    minIndex--;
}
arr[i] = minvalue;
}
}

```

Q13: Bubble sort scans whole array even when array is sorted. Can you modify the bubble sort so that it doesn't scan the whole array once it is sorted.

```

void swap (int arr[], int a, int b) {
    int temp = arr[a];
    arr[a] = arr[b];
    arr[b] = temp;
}

void bubble (int arr[], int n) {
    for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++) {
        int swapped = 0;
        for (int j = 0; j < n - i - 1; j++) {
            if (arr[j] > arr[j + 1]) {
                swap (arr[j], arr[j + 1]) (arr, j, j + 1);
                swapped = 1;
            }
        }
        if (swapped == 0) break;
    }
}

```

2) Unwinded 220

break;

}

)

- Q:- Your computer has a RAM (Physical memory) of 2 GB and you are given an array of 4 GB for sorting. What algo are you going to use for this purpose and why?
- Now explain concept of External and Internal sorting?

Internal sorting

- Sorting data that easily fits into our computer's memory (RAM).
- Algos like Quick sort, Merge sort can be used because they operate on data that's all in RAM.

External sorting:-

1) Memory limitation:-

When data to be stored is too large to fit into our complete memory.

↳ Requires dividing the data into smaller chunks that can fit into memory.

1) Sorting in Pictures

→ Each chunk is sorted individually.

→ Common algo for external sorting includes Merge sort, External quick sort.

3) Merging sorted parts

→ After sorting, sorted chunks are merged to produce a final sorted result.

→ This merging process is what makes Merge sort particularly useful for external sorting.

Choosing Merge sort for External sorting

1) Stable sorting

Merge sort maintains the order of equal elements, which is good for keeping things in order.

2) Memory efficiency

Merge sort's approach of sorting and merging in chunks works well when you can't fit everything into memory at once.

3) Predictable Reading / Writing

When dealing with external storage like a hard disk, Mergesort has a pattern of reading & writing data that is easier to manage.