

# Gödel's Ontological Proof of God's Existence

Bruno Woltzenlogel Paleo, Annika Siders

June 6, 2013

“There is a scientific (exact) philosophy and theology, which deals with concepts of the highest abstractness; and this is also most highly fruitful for science. [...] Religions are, for the most part, bad; but religion is not.” - Kurt Gödel

## 1 Possible witnessing of positive properties

**Axioms:**

- (1) Properties necessarily entailed by *positive* properties are also positive:

$$\forall\varphi.\forall\psi.[(P(\varphi) \wedge \Box\forall x.[\varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x)]) \rightarrow P(\psi)]$$

- (2) A property's negation is positive iff the property is not positive:

$$\forall\varphi.[P(\neg\varphi) \leftrightarrow \neg P(\varphi)]$$

**Theorem 1:** Positive properties possibly have a witness:

$$\forall\varphi.[P(\varphi) \rightarrow \Diamond\exists x.\varphi(x)]$$

**Formal proof:**

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\forall\varphi.\forall\psi.[(P(\varphi) \wedge \Box\forall x.[\varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x)]) \rightarrow P(\psi)]}{\forall\psi.[(P(\varphi') \wedge \Box\forall x.[\varphi'(x) \rightarrow \psi(x)]) \rightarrow P(\psi)]}{(P(\varphi') \wedge \Box\forall x.[\varphi'(x) \rightarrow \neg\varphi'(x)]) \rightarrow P(\neg\varphi')}{(P(\varphi') \wedge \Box\forall x.[\neg\varphi'(x)]) \rightarrow P(\neg\varphi')}{\frac{\forall\varphi.[P(\neg\varphi) \leftrightarrow \neg P(\varphi)]}{P(\neg\varphi') \leftrightarrow \neg P(\varphi')}}{\frac{(P(\varphi') \wedge \Box\forall x.[\neg\varphi'(x)]) \rightarrow \neg P(\varphi')}{P(\varphi') \rightarrow \Diamond\exists x.\varphi'(x)}}{\forall\varphi.[P(\varphi) \rightarrow \Diamond\exists x.\varphi(x)]}$$

## 2 Possible existence of a God

**Axioms:**

- (3) Being God is a positive property:

$$P(G)$$

**Theorem 2:** It is possible that a God exists:

$$\Diamond \exists x. G(x)$$

**Formal proof:**

$$\frac{P(G) \quad \frac{\frac{\forall \varphi. [P(\varphi) \rightarrow \Diamond \exists x. \varphi(x)]}{P(G) \rightarrow \Diamond \exists x. G(x)} \text{Th. 1}}{\Diamond \exists x. G(x)}$$

## 3 Essentiality of being God

**Definitions:**

- A property is *essential* for an individual if and only if it holds for that individual and necessarily entails every other property that holds for that individual:  $\varphi \text{ ess } x \leftrightarrow \varphi(x) \wedge \forall \psi. (\psi(x) \rightarrow \Box \forall x. (\varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x)))$

**Axioms:**

- (4) Positive properties are necessarily positive:

$$\forall \varphi. [P(\varphi) \rightarrow \Box P(\varphi)]$$

**Theorem 3:** If an individual is a God, then being God is an essential property for that individual:

$$\forall y. [G(y) \rightarrow G \text{ ess } y]$$

**Formal proof:**

Let the following derivation with the open assumption  $G(x)$  be  $\Pi_1$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\neg P(\psi)^1 \quad \frac{\frac{\forall \varphi. (\neg P(\varphi) \rightarrow P(\neg \varphi))}{\neg P(\psi) \rightarrow P(\neg \psi)} \text{Ax. 2}}{P(\neg \psi)} \text{VE}}{\neg \psi(x)} \text{VE} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{G(x) \quad \dots \dots \dots}{\forall \varphi. (P(\varphi) \rightarrow \varphi(x))} \text{Definition of G}}{P(\varphi) \rightarrow \varphi(x)} \text{VE}}{\psi(x)^2} \text{VE}}{\psi(x) \rightarrow P(\psi)} \text{RAA, 1} \rightarrow \text{I, 2}$$

Let the following derivation with the open assumption  $G(x)$  be  $\Pi_2$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\psi(x)^1 \quad \frac{\Pi_1 \quad \overline{\psi(x)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\psi)}}{\overline{P(\psi)}} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{\overline{\forall \psi.(P(\psi) \rightarrow \Box P(\psi))}}{\overline{P(\psi) \rightarrow \Box P(\psi)}} \text{Ax. 4} \quad \forall E}{\frac{\Box P(\psi)}{\psi(x) \rightarrow \Box P(\psi)} \rightarrow E} \rightarrow I, 1$$

Let the following derivation without open assumptions be  $\Pi_3$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{P(\psi)^1 \quad \frac{\frac{G(x)^2 \quad \dots \dots \text{Definition of G}}{\forall \varphi.(P(\varphi) \rightarrow \varphi(x))} \forall E}{P(\psi) \rightarrow \psi(x)} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{\psi(x)}{G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x)} \rightarrow I, 2}{\frac{\forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}{P(\psi) \rightarrow \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))} \forall I} \rightarrow I, 1$$

Let the following derivation with the open assumption  $G(x)$  be  $\Pi_4$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\psi(x)^1 \quad \frac{\Pi_2 \quad \overline{\psi(x)} \rightarrow \overline{\Box P(\psi)}}{\overline{\Box P(\psi)}} \rightarrow E \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{\Box P(\psi)^2}{P(\psi)} \Box E \quad \frac{\Pi_3 \quad \overline{P(\psi)} \rightarrow \overline{\forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}}{\overline{\forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}} \rightarrow E}{\frac{\overline{\forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}}{\Box \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))} \text{Necessitation} \quad \frac{\Box P(\psi) \rightarrow \Box \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}{\Box \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))} \rightarrow I, 2}{\frac{\Box \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}{\psi(x) \rightarrow \Box \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))} \rightarrow E} \rightarrow I, 1$$

The use of the necessitation rule is valid, because the only open assumption  $\Box P(\psi)$  is boxed.

We construct a derivation of theorem 3 with a subderivation  $\Pi_4[G(x)^1]$ , which means that the open assumption  $G(x)$  in  $\Pi_4$  is discharged with the rule labeled 1.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\Pi_4[G(x)^1] \quad \overline{\psi(x)} \rightarrow \overline{\Box \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}}{\overline{\forall \psi.(\psi(x) \rightarrow \Box \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}} \forall I}{\frac{G(x)^1 \quad \overline{\forall \psi.(\psi(x) \rightarrow \Box \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}}{\overline{G(x) \& \forall \psi.(\psi(x) \rightarrow \Box \forall x.(G(x) \rightarrow \psi(x))}} \&I}{\dots \dots \text{Definition of ess}} \rightarrow I, 1}{\frac{G \text{ ess } x}{\forall y.[G(y) \rightarrow G \text{ ess } y]} \rightarrow I, 1$$

**Note:** the formal proof above uses the necessitation rule of the basic modal logic **K** and Axiom 4, which corresponds to a restricted form of necessitation.

## 4 Necessity of God's existence

**Definitions:**

- An individual is a *God* if and only if he possesses all positive properties:

$$G(x) \leftrightarrow \forall \varphi. [P(\varphi) \rightarrow \varphi(x)]$$

- An individual *necessarily exists* if and only if all its essential properties are necessarily witnessed:

$$E(x) \leftrightarrow \forall \varphi. [\varphi \text{ ess } x \rightarrow \Box \exists x. \varphi(x)]$$

**Axioms:**

- (5) Necessary existence is a positive property:

$$P(E)$$

**Theorem A:** If there is a God, then there necessarily exists a God:

$$\exists z. G(z) \rightarrow \Box \exists x. G(x)$$

**Formal proof:**

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\overline{\exists z. G(z)}}{G(g)} 1 \\
 \\
 \frac{\overline{G(g)} \quad \frac{\overline{\forall y. [G(y) \rightarrow G \text{ ess } y]}}{G(g) \rightarrow G \text{ ess } g} \text{ Th. 3} \quad \frac{\frac{P(E) \quad \frac{\overline{G(g)} \quad \overline{\forall \varphi. [P(\varphi) \rightarrow \varphi(g)]}}{P(E) \rightarrow E(g)} \quad E(g)}{\overline{\forall \varphi. [\varphi \text{ ess } g \rightarrow \Box \exists x. \varphi(x)]}}}{G \text{ ess } g \rightarrow \Box \exists x. G(x)} \\
 \hline
 \frac{\Box \exists x. G(x)}{\exists z. G(z) \rightarrow \Box \exists x. G(x)} 1
 \end{array}$$

**Note:** Theorem A could be proved more quickly using the necessitation rule. Interestingly, the proof above shows that, by using the given axioms and definitions of god and necessary existence, theorem A can be derived even without the necessitation rule.

## 5 Necessary existence of a God

**Theorem 4:** The existence of a God is necessary:

$$\Box \exists x.G(x)$$

**Formal proof:**

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\forall \varphi. [\Diamond \dots \Diamond \Box \varphi \leftrightarrow \Box \varphi]}{\Diamond \Box \exists x.G(x) \leftrightarrow \Box \exists x.G(x)} \text{ S5} \quad \frac{\frac{\Diamond \Box \exists x.G(x)}{\Box \exists x.G(x)} \text{ Th. 2} \quad \frac{\frac{\exists z.G(z) \rightarrow \Box \exists x.G(x)}{\Diamond \Box \exists x.G(x)} \text{ Th. A}}{\Box \exists x.G(x)}$$

**Note:** The proof above relies on a theorem of the modal logic **S5**, which is a quite strong modal logic. It would be interesting to try to derive theorem 4 with weaker modal axioms.

## 6 God's existence

**Axioms:**

- (M) What is necessary is the case:

$$\forall \varphi. [\Box \varphi \rightarrow \varphi]$$

**Theorem:** There exists a God:

$$\exists x.G(x)$$

**Formal proof:**

$$\frac{\frac{\Box \Box \exists x.G(x)}{\Box \exists x.G(x)} \text{ Th. 4} \quad \frac{\frac{\forall \varphi. [\Box \varphi \rightarrow \varphi]}{\Box \exists x.G(x) \rightarrow \exists x.G(x)}}{\exists x.G(x)}$$