

# APMLA Tutorial 5: TAP approach

Caterina De Bacco and Daniela Leite

## Exercise 1: sampling from the SK model

Consider again the Hamiltonian of the SK model:

$$H(\mathbf{s}) = - \sum_{i \neq j} J_{ij} s_i s_j . \quad (1)$$

We now want to *sample* configurations of  $N$  variables from the corresponding Boltzmann distribution for a particular realization of the couplings and comparing the empirical mean of the magnetizations with the one inferred using TAP and MF.

For sampling, we use the Monte-Carlo-Markov-Chain (MCMC) Metropolis-Hastings algorithm as we learned for the Curie Weiss model in a previous tutorial.

- (a) Write a code to perform the MCMC dynamics, and start by configurations extracted uniformly at random.
  - i) Sample a particular realization of  $\mathbf{J} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 / \sqrt{N})$ , for  $\sigma^2 = 1$  and  $\beta = 1.1$ .
  - ii) Run your dynamics for a long enough time (say, with  $t_{\max} = 10^3 N$  attempts to flip spins) and monitor the value of the magnetization  $m = \sum_i s_i / N$  as a function of time. Make a plot for  $N = 10, 100, 1000$  spins.
- (b) Iterate MF and TAP equations and compare the values obtained from the ground truth MCMC sampler. To do so, draw a scatter plot of the single values  $m_i$  obtained from the MCMC sampler and the approximations (one plot per approximation, for a total of 2 plots).

Comment. Similarly to before, implement the consistency equations as

$$m_i^{(t+1)} = \tanh \left( \beta \sum_j J_{ij} m_j^{(t)} \right) \quad \text{Mean Field}$$

$$m_i^{(t+1)} = \tanh \left( \beta \sum_j J_{ij} m_j^{(t)} - \beta^2 m_i^{(t-1)} \sum_j J_{ij}^2 (1 - (m_j^{(t)})^2) \right) \quad \text{TAP}$$

## Exercise 2: planted SK model

We consider a model similar to the SK model, with the difference that the  $J_{ij}$  are generated from a particular realization, called “planted”. Specifically, we start from the following generative model for the  $P(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{J})$ :

$$s_i \sim \frac{1}{2} \delta(s_i - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \delta(s_i + 1) , \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (2)$$

$$J_{ij} | s_i, s_j \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{s_i s_j}{\sqrt{N}}, \sigma^2\right) , \quad (i, j) \in N^2 \quad (3)$$

**Goal:** estimate the planted  $\mathbf{s}$  given the  $\mathbf{J}$ .

- (a) Use Bayes' theorem to write the posterior distribution  $P(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{J})$ .
- (b) Rewrite it as a Boltzmann distribution similar to the SK model with  $\beta := \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$ .
- (c) We would like to estimate the mean of  $P(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{J})$ . However, this is not tractable analytically. We will instead use the approximation introduced in the class.  
Write in a *jupyter* notebook a function to *sample* an instance of  $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{J})$ .
- (d) In the same notebook, write a function that implements the TAP equation to approximate the mean  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$  of  $P(\mathbf{s}|\mathbf{J})$ . This is an iteration that, if it converges, gives a very good approximation for  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

For numerical reasons implement the fixed point iterations as follows:

$$m_i^{(t+1)} = \tanh \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{N}} \sum_j J_{ij} m_j^{(t)} \right) \quad \text{Mean Field}$$

$$m_i^{(t+1)} = \tanh \left( \frac{1}{\sigma^2 \sqrt{N}} \sum_j J_{ij} m_j^{(t)} - m_i^{(t-1)} \frac{1}{N \sigma^4} \sum_j J_{ij}^2 (1 - (m_j^{(t)})^2) \right) \quad \text{TAP}$$

- (e) Run some experiments ( $N_{real} \in [10, 100]$  re-samplings of  $J, s$  at your choice) for  $N = 10, 100, 1000, 5000$  and fixed  $\sigma^2 = 0.1$  and check that the overlap<sup>1</sup> with ground-truth improves with the iterations.
- (f) i) Run sum experiments ( $N_{real} \in [10, 100]$  re-samplings of  $J, s$  at your choice) for  $N = 10, 100, 1000, 5000$  and varying  $\sigma^2 \in [0.1, 2]$ .  
ii) Repeat the same experiments but using the MF approximation instead.  
iii) Plot the performance metrics values at convergence for TAP and MF as a function of the noise  $\sigma^2$  for various  $N$ .  
Comment on what you observe.

---

<sup>1</sup>The overlap is defined as:  $overlap(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{s}_0) := |\frac{\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{s}_0}{N}|$