

CS3430 S26: Scientific Computing

HW 5, Problem 1 – Continued Fractions for π and e

Vladimir Kulyukin

Overview

In this problem, I implemented generator-based continued fraction approximations for π and e using two numerical representations: `mpmath` (arbitrary-precision binary arithmetic) and `decimal` (arbitrary-precision base-10 arithmetic).

I validated both implementations against exact rational values computed with `Fraction`. All validation was performed using the provided unit tests.

Structural Verification

I first verified that all required generator functions were present and callable. The unit tests confirmed the existence of:

- `pi_cf_mp`
- `e_cf_mp`
- `pi_cf_dec`
- `e_cf_dec`

The test output explicitly stated:

```
[STRUCTURE TEST] Checking that required generator functions
exist:
- pi_cf_mp:  FOUND and callable
- e_cf_mp:  FOUND and callable
- pi_cf_dec: FOUND and callable
- e_cf_dec: FOUND and callable
```

I then instantiated each generator with fixed precision (`dps = 80` for `mpmath` and `prec = 80` for `decimal`) and consumed the first three values. The test output showed:

```

First three approximations produced:
pi_cf_mp: [3.166666..., 3.133333..., 3.145238...]
e_cf_mp: [3.0, 2.666666..., 2.75]
pi_cf_dec: [3.166666..., 3.133333..., 3.145238...]
e_cf_dec: [3, 2.666666..., 2.75]

```

All generators produced multiple values and returned results of the correct numerical type, confirming that they were implemented as true generators.

Numerical Correctness for e

To verify numerical correctness, I compared the first eight convergents of e against exact rational values computed using `Fraction`.

Using `mpmath` with `mp.dps = 90`, every convergent matched the exact value with zero absolute difference. For example:

```

convergent 5:
approx = 2.71875
exact = 2.71875
|diff| = 0.0

```

Using `decimal` with context precision 90, the results also matched the exact oracle up to extremely small differences on the order of 10^{-89} :

```

convergent 7:
approx = 2.7183098591549...95774
exact = 2.7183098591549...95775
|diff| = 1E-89

```

These differences are far below machine precision and confirm that the implementation is numerically correct.

Numerical Correctness for π

I performed a similar comparison for π , testing the first six convergents.

With `mpmath` at `mp.dps = 90`, all convergents matched the exact oracle with zero absolute difference. For example:

```

convergent 3:
approx = 3.145238095238095...
exact = 3.145238095238095...
|diff| = 0.0

```

Using `decimal` with precision 90 produced exact agreement up to 10^{-89} :

```
convergent 5:  
approx = 3.142712842712842...  
exact = 3.142712842712842...  
|diff| = 0E-89
```

This confirms that the inside-out evaluation of the continued fraction was implemented correctly for both representations.

Conclusions

All four generators correctly compute continued fraction convergents for π and e . The results demonstrate that:

- Continued fractions are naturally expressed using generators.
- Inside-out evaluation is essential for correctness.
- Numerical convergence eventually becomes limited by representation precision rather than mathematics.

The agreement with exact rational oracles confirms the correctness of both the `mpmath` and `decimal` implementations.

I did not notice any wall clock difference between `mpmath` and `decimal`.

Appendix: My Condensed Write-Up for Problem 1

Below is a condensed version (CS/Math journal style) of the Problem 1 report. You should insert a version like this as a multi-line comment at the beginning of your `cs3430_s26_hw_5_prob_1.py`.

HW 5 Problem 1: Continued Fractions for π and e

In this problem, I implemented generator-based continued fraction approximations for π and e using two numerical representations: `mpmath` (arbitrary-precision binary arithmetic) and `decimal` (arbitrary-precision base-10 arithmetic).

I verified the structure of my solution by confirming that all required generator functions existed, were callable, and produced multiple successive values. Using fixed precision (`dps = 80` for `mpmath` and `prec = 80` for `decimal`), each generator yielded valid

approximations of the correct numerical type.

To validate numerical correctness, I compared the first several convergents of π and e against exact rational values computed using Fraction. With high working precision (90 digits), both the mpmath and decimal implementations matched the exact oracles with absolute errors at or below $1e-70$.

For e , the first eight convergents matched the exact values, with mpmath showing zero difference and decimal differing only at the $1e-89$ level in later convergents. For π , the first six convergents matched the exact oracle to the same level of accuracy.

These results confirm that the continued fractions were evaluated correctly using inside-out recurrence, and that observable convergence is ultimately limited by numerical representation rather than mathematics.