

Title – exactly as indicated in the “title” field

To allow for blinded review:
do NOT indicate author information or affiliation

Keywords: Exactly as indicated in the “keyword” fields, separated by commas.

Instructions: The headings “introduction”, “methods”, “results” and “conclusions” may be replaced by other expressions, if there are compelling reasons to do so. The abstract should be reasonably self-contained; an abstract should normally have actual results/findings presented in a compact but intelligible format. Abstracts failing to contain such basic information would only be accepted under exceptional circumstances.

Please respect the overall structure. Replace all text highlighted in yellow with the appropriate information corresponding to your abstract. Depending on your need for subsections, either replace or remove all text highlighted in green.

Prior to submission, please delete all red instruction text, and remove all highlighting from the document. The colour of all text in the abstract should be black, non-highlighted.

Please bear in mind that this is an abstract; (i) the minimum length allowed (to allow reviewers to take an informed decision on your abstract) is 2 pages; (ii) the maximum length allowed is 4 pages. (A focus on the salient aspects of your abstract is required; details could be saved for the full paper to be submitted after the presentation at the conference).

1 INTRODUCTION

Text.

1.1 Subtitle if needed (please delete otherwise)

Subsection text

1.2 Subtitle if needed (please delete otherwise)

Subsection text

2 METHODS

Text.

2.1 Subtitle if needed (please delete otherwise)

Subsection text

2.2 Subtitle if needed (please delete otherwise)

Subsection text

Run your equation(s) with whatever *math* package you prefer:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3, \exists x, y, z \in \mathbb{N} \mid x^n + y^n = z^n \quad (\text{or maybe not actually}) \quad (1)$$

and possibly refer them as Eq. (1) or Equation (1).

Algorithm 1 Pseudo code for reviewing process

```
1: procedure ACCEPTANCE(paper, committee)
2:   reviewers  $\leftarrow$  randomly select  $\{(i, j) \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, \#\{\text{committee}\}\}, i \neq j\}$ 
3:   reviews  $\leftarrow 2 \times 1$  array of False
4:   reviewing round:
5:     for  $i \in \text{reviewers}$  do
6:       if paper reads well for committee( $i$ ) then
7:         review( $i$ )  $\leftarrow$  True.
8:       else do nothing
9:   evaluation round:
10:  if reviews( $i$ ) is False for all  $i \in \text{reviewers}$  then
11:    goto hell.
12:  else if reviews( $i$ ) is True for all  $i \in \text{reviewers}$  then
13:    goto Bruxelles
14:  else goto revision round
15: revision round:
16:   reviser  $\leftarrow$  randomly select  $\{i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, \#\{\text{committee}\}\}, i \notin \text{reviewers}\}$ 
17:   if paper reads well for committee(reviser) then
18:     goto Bruxelles
19:   else if committee(reviser) has no opinion and likes infinite loop then
20:     goto reviewing round
21:   else goto hell
22: hell
23:   Try again at NTTS 2021!
24: Bruxelles (some people also call it hell):
25:   See you at NTTS 2019!
```

You can describe your home-made algorithm(s) like in Algorithm 1 (also referred as Alg. 1).

3 RESULTS

Please remember to always refer to the table(s) and figure(s) in the text; see for instance reference to Table 1 (or Tab. 1) and Figure 1 (or Fig. 1)

Table 1: Table caption – above the table

Text.

3.1 Subtitle if needed

Subsection text



Figure 1: Figure caption – below the figure

3.2 Subtitle if needed

Subsection text

4 CONCLUSIONS

Text.

REFERENCES

Please provide the references in order of appearance in the text. Refer to them in the text by means of the sequential numbers in brackets generated below, *e.g.* using the allowed citation style. Using the provided package, you can for instance refer to the work of [?], without forgetting to mention the Code of Practice [?] as well as the essential work of [?]. Altogether, we shall further expand the work of [?].