AQMEII4.A3 - Point Intercomparison of Dry Deposition Schemes - Overview

Similar to previous phases of AQMEII, participants in Phase 4 Activity 1 (AQMEII4.A1) will be running gridded air quality models for domains in Europe and North America with common inputs. We are also inviting participation in a point (i.e. box model or zero-dimensional) intercomparison of dry deposition schemes as part of AQMEII Phase 4 Activity 3 (AQMEII4.A3). Participants will be asked to calculate deposition velocity and its component resistances for a suite of gases and particles under prescribed surface and atmospheric conditions at specific sites. The goal is to reveal and understand the variation in dry deposition between multiple schemes under identical environmental conditions in the context of implications for air quality and ecosystems. This activity will aid the larger AQMEII4.A1 3-D model intercomparison in which models use model dependent surface and atmospheric conditions as well as different dry deposition schemes.

Science questions

- How much and why do current dry deposition schemes differ under identical environmental conditions?
 - Which processes (e.g. stomatal conductance, cuticle uptake, etc.) control the deposition velocity at individual sites and how variable are the processes among schemes?
 - What is the impact of the assumed land use or vegetation type on deposition velocity?
- How well do dry deposition schemes predict measured deposition velocity?
- How does the sensitivity of deposition velocity to individual environmental factors and surface conditions vary across dry deposition schemes?

Planned approach

Input data: Participants will be provided measurements of meteorology and ozone flux with hourly or half-hourly time resolution from long-term sites as well as site information such as land use type, leaf area index, and canopy height. These data should be used to drive dry deposition calculations. Table 1 lists the variables that will be provided. Data is not gap filled but is quality assured and outliers are flagged. For data sets that do not contain values for leaf wetness, an additional column has been added for a leaf wetness estimation where the leaf is considered wet if the relative humidity exceeds 85%. If your scheme requires additional input data, please contact the planning team and we will determine if the required parameters are available.

Control simulation: For the first part of this effort, participants should calculate deposition velocities with the site observations with their dry deposition schemes and submit the model

data. Simulated deposition velocities and fluxes for O_3 , SO_2 , HNO_3 , and aerosols (0.1 μ m, 1μ m and 10 μ m diameter, densities of 1.0 g cm⁻³ and 2.2 g cm⁻³ for each diameter) are requested and will be used for scheme intercomparisons and sensitivity tests. Evaluation studies will only include O_3 because the flux measurements collected are only for O_3 .

A wrapper code that reads the field study data and calls the standalone dry deposition scheme will be provided as an optional runtime environment. Alternatively, participants may submit documented computer code (Fortran) of their deposition scheme that interfaces with the provided wrapper code. This will allow the box model planning team to run the scheme. Predictions will be compared between schemes and evaluated against available observed ozone deposition velocities.

Perturbation simulations: The second part of this effort will explore the sensitivity of the modeled deposition values to inputs such as land use type, leaf area index, surface wetness, soil moisture, and humidity. Participants are asked to recalculate and report dry deposition under specified conditions provided for each sensitivity test. Optionally, participants may submit their code and the perturbation simulations will be run by the AQMEII4.A3 planning team.

Iterative approach: The analysis is expected to have an iterative aspect because the initial simulations may suggest further sensitivity simulations to better answer the science questions. The computational time required for simulations is expected to be small (see below). Monthly conference calls are planned to help discuss and develop the analysis. Additional simulation suggestions from the participant community are welcomed.

Data site: A dedicated site for the transfer of files for this effort has been set up at the US Environmental Protection Agency. Participants must first register to obtain a username and then will be granted access to the site for downloading input data and uploading output data and code. Please note that use of the ozone flux observational datasets will often require collaboration and co-authorship with the group who performed the measurements. There is a separate data use policy for each site. Instructions for data site access are below.

- 1. Go to https://waa.epa.gov and click on "Self Register".
- 2. You should now see a form for EPA Web Application Access Self Registration. The EPA Contact information is as follows:

EPA Contact Name: Donna Schwede

EPA Contact's Email Address: Schwede.donna@epa.gov

EPA Contact's Phone Number: 919-541-3255

3. Complete the entries for your information and select a password.

- 4. You will also be asked to select the Community or Application for which you are requesting access. Select "General Web Application Access" from the list.
- 5. Check the box to accept the EPA Privacy & Security Notice.
- 6. Click "Submit Registration".
- 7. You should receive a confirmation of your registration via email and you will also be sent your username via email.
- 8. Once you have been granted access to the AQMEII4 Data Site, please log in at https://goanyd01.epa.gov/webclient/Login.xhtml using your username and password.
- 10. You should then be able to go to the AQMEII4 main folder. There are subfolders for
 - a. Box Model Code
 - b. Box Model Results
 - c. Flux Datasets
- 11. You should have access to all 3 folders. Please download and upload data and model code as needed.

Anticipated work required of participants

The planning team will minimize the burden on participants by specifying all simulation conditions in a standard format (e.g. csv or text files). We will also provide a wrapper program (e.g. Fortran or python) to help automate the process of running the dry deposition schemes, including all sensitivity simulations. The participants are responsible for creating the standalone dry deposition code. This may be as simple as extracting the code from the regional model. Since the requested deposition velocities and resistance/conductance components are the same as specified for the AQMEII 4.A3 full grid modeling activity, the coding burden will hopefully be minimal. Since dry deposition algorithms are very fast, this activity has very minimal computational burden, even with several perturbation simulations. Participants are invited to lead and participate in subsequent analyses and author or co-author publications. As with the flux data sets, appropriate co-authorship should be offered to those whose modeling data may be used in a publication.

Information requested from participants

- Deposition velocities and resistance/conductance components for trace gases and aerosols as listed in Table 2. If your dry deposition scheme does not include a listed term, please archive a missing value of -999.99.
- Documentation of dry deposition algorithm, including all equations, input baseline parameter values (e.g. minimum stomatal resistance, chemical diffusivity) and implementation details. Documentation should include relevant references as well as

summary of the equations used in the deposition velocity calculation. Examples are shown in Table 3.

• Submission of source code for dry deposition schemes is encouraged to facilitate analyses.

If you are interested in participating in this exercise, please contact Donna Schwede (schwede.donna@epa.gov) or Olivia Clifton (schwede.donna@epa.gov) or other or o

Planning team

Olivia Clifton, Lisa Emberson, Johannes Flemming, Christopher Holmes, Paul Makar, Sam Silva, and Donna Schwede

Table 1. Measurement variables to be provided.

Parameter	Time resolution	Units
Air temperature	Hourly (or half-hourly)	ōC
Relative humidity	Hourly (or half-hourly)	Fractional
Ambient pressure	Hourly (or half-hourly)	hPa
Shortwave radiation	Hourly (or half-hourly)	W m ⁻²
Photosynthetically active radiation	Hourly (or half-hourly)	micromoles m ⁻² s ⁻¹
Net radiation	Hourly (or half-hourly)	W m ⁻²
Friction velocity	Hourly (or half-hourly)	m s ⁻¹
Wind speed	Hourly (or half-hourly)	m s ⁻¹
Wind direction	Hourly (or half-hourly)	Degrees North
Sensible heat flux	Hourly (or half-hourly)	W m ⁻²
Carbon dioxide mixing ratio	Hourly (or half-hourly)	ppm
Precipitation	Hourly (or half-hourly)	mm/s
Leaf wetness	Hourly (or half-hourly)	fractional
Snow cover	Hourly (or half-hourly)	fractional
Near-surface soil moisture	Hourly (or half-hourly)	$\mathrm{m}^{3}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Near-surface soil temperature	Hourly (or half-hourly)	ōС
Leaf area index	Hourly (or half-hourly)	$m^2 m^{-2}$
Canopy height	Hourly (or half-hourly)	m
Vegetation Description	(background information)	Dominant vegetation
		types observed at the
		site
Site latitude, longitude, elevation	(background information)	Site location
		information

Table 2a. Model outputs to be provided for O_3 , SO_2 , and HNO_3 . Terms are defined in the attached document "AQMEII-

 ${\tt 4_Reported_gas_phase_deposition_terms_guidance_July15_2019.pdf''}.$

Parameter	Units
Deposition velocity	cm/s
Aerodynamic Resistance	s/cm
Bulk surface resistance	s/cm
Net stomatal resistance	s/cm
Net mesophyll resistance	s/cm
Net cuticle resistance	s/cm
Effective conductance associated with deposition to plant stomata	s/cm
Effective conductance associated with deposition to plant cuticles	s/cm
Effective conductance associated with deposition to soil and	s/cm
unvegetated surfaces	
Effective conductance associated with deposition to the lower	s/cm
canopy	
Quasi-laminar sublayer resistance associated with stomatal	s/cm
pathway (= rb if this is pathway-independent for the deposition	
framework)	
Quasi-laminar sublayer resistance associated with cuticle pathway	cm/s
(= rb if this is pathway-independent for the deposition framework)	
Quasi-laminar sublayer resistance associated with soil pathway (=	cm/s
rb if this is pathway-independent for the deposition framework)	
Quasi-laminar sublayer resistance associated with lower canopy	cm/s
pathway (= rb if this is pathway-independent for the deposition	
framework)	
Resistance associated with within-canopy turbulence	cm/s

Table 2b. Model outputs to be provided for a $0.1\mu m$, $1~\mu m$ and $10~\mu m$ diameter aerosol. For purposes of this exercise we will assume a constant diameter with no additional hygroscopic changes. For each diameter, densities of $1.0~g~cm^{-3}$ and $2.2~g~cm^{-3}$ are to be used.

Parameter	Units
Deposition velocity	cm/s
Gravitational settling velocity	cm/s
Aerodynamic resistance	s/cm
Quasi-Laminar Sublayer Resistance	s/cm
Surface Resistance	s/cm

Table 3. Example documentation of model equations for deposition schemes. Definitions for all variables and parameters should be provided. Note that these are examples, and additional modeling details may be provided for the models below at submission.

	Zhang	CMAQ-M3DRY	WESELY
Modeling framework	$V_d(z) = (R_a(z) + R_b + R_c)^{-1}$	$V_d(z) = (R_a(z) + R_b + R_c)^{-1}$	$V_d(z) = (R_a(z) + R_b + R_c)^{-1}$
Aerodynamic resistance (R_a)	For stable conditions, $R_a = \frac{0.74 \ln(\frac{z}{z_0}) + 4.7 \frac{z}{L}}{\kappa u_*}$ For unstable conditions, $R_a = \frac{0.74}{\kappa u_*} \left[ln\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right) - 2 ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-9\frac{z}{L}}+1}{2}\right) \right]$	$R_{a} = \frac{0.95 \left[ln(\frac{z}{z_{0}}) + \psi_{h}\left(\frac{z}{L}\right) - \psi_{h}\left(\frac{z_{0}}{L}\right) \right]}{\kappa u_{*}}$ For stable conditions, $\psi_{h} = 5\frac{z}{L}$ For unstable conditions, $\psi_{h} = -2 ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - 16\frac{z}{L}} + 1}{2}\right)$	For stable conditions, $R_a = \frac{0.74 \ln(\frac{Z}{Z_0}) + 4.7 \frac{Z - Z_0}{L}}{\kappa u_*}$ For unstable conditions, $R_a = \frac{0.74}{\kappa u_*} \left\{ ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{1 - 9\frac{Z}{L}} - 1}{\sqrt{1 - 9\frac{Z}{L}} + 1} \right] - ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{1 - 9\frac{Z_0}{L}} - 1}{\sqrt{1 - 9\frac{Z_0}{L}} + 1} \right] \right\}$
Quasi-laminar sub-layer resistance (R _b)	$R_b = 2(\kappa u_*)^{-1} (D_\theta/D_c)^{2/3}$	$R_b = 2(\kappa u_*)^{-1} (S_c/P_r)^{2/3}$	$R_b = 2(\kappa u_*)^{-1} (S_c/P_r)^{2/3}$
Stomatal resistance (R ₅)	$R_{s} = \frac{R_{s} (PAR)}{f_{T} f_{vpd} f_{w}}$ $\frac{1}{R_{s} (PAR)} = \frac{LAI_{sun}}{r_{s} (PAR_{sun})} + \frac{LAI_{shade}}{r_{s} (PAR_{shade})}$	$R_{s} = \frac{r_{s,min}}{LAI f_{PAR} f_{T} f_{vpd} f_{w}}$	$R_s = R \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{[200(R_G + 0.1)]^2} \right\} \frac{400}{T_s (40 - T_s)}_{s,min}$

	$r_{s}(PAR) = \frac{r_{s,\min}}{f_{PAR}}$		
Cuticular resistance (R _{cut})	For dry surface, $R_{cut} = \frac{R_{cutd0}}{e^{0.03RH}LAI^{0.25}u_{c}}$	For dry surfaces and chemical species other than O ₃ ,	Prescribed values for dry and wet surfaces
	*	$R_{cut,dry} = R_{cut0} * a0/ar$	
	For wet surface,	For O ₃ deposition to dry surfaces,	
	$R_{cut} = \frac{R_{cutwo}}{LAI^{0.5}u_*}$	$R_{cut} = R_{cut,dry}(1 - F_{RH})$	
		$+R_{cut,wet} \times F_{RH}$	
		$F_{RH} = \frac{(RH - 70)}{30}$	
		For wet surfaces (not frozen),	
		$R_{cut,wet} = R_{cw0}/H_{eff}$	
		For wet surfaces (frozen).	
		$R_{cut,wet} = R_{snow}$	
In-canopy	$R_{ac} = \frac{R_{ac0} LAI^{0.25}}{u_{-}^{2}}$	$R_{ac} = 14LAI \frac{h_c}{v}$	Prescribed values
aerodynamic resistance (<i>R_{ac}</i>)	u_*	u*	
	Donasilia da alta a fan da anda anda anta anta	For decouple and	Donatile described and a second control of the described
Ground resistance	Prescribed values for dry and wet surfaces;	For dry surfaces,	Prescribed values for dry and wet surfaces; adjusted
(R_g)	adjusted if frozen.	$R_g = R_{g0} + a0/ar$	if frozen.
		For wet surfaces (not frozen),	

	$R_g = R_{gwet0}/H_{eff}$	
	For wet surfaces (frozen),	
	$R_g = R_{snow}$	